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## §0. Introduction.

In a sphere, Erbacher [2] and Yano-Ishihara [14] characterized Riemannian submanifolds with non-negative sectional curvature, flat normal connection and parallel mean curvature vector under the additional assumptions. It is a natural question to consider this problem in the semi-Riemannian case. Recently, we characterized proper isoparametric semi-Riemannian hypersurfaces in a semiRiemannian space form under certain assumptions [1]. The main purpose of this paper is to characterize, in a semi-Riemannian space form, proper isoparametric semi-Riemannian submanifolds with non-negative (or non-positive) sectional curvature and parallel mean curvature vector under certain additional assumptions.
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## §1. Preliminaries.

Throughout this paper, all manifolds are smooth and connected and geometrical objects are assumed to be smooth unless mentioned otherwise. In this section, we prepare basic facts about semi-Riemannian submanifolds in a semiRiemannian manifold. We call a non-degenerate symmetric (0, 2)-tensor field on an $n$-dimensional manifold $M^{n}$ a semi-Riemannian metric of $M^{n}$ and a manifold $M^{n}$ equipped with such a metric a semi-Riemannian manifold. Especially, an $n$-dimensional real vector space equipped with a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form of signature ( $\nu, n-\nu$ ) given by

$$
\langle x, x\rangle=-\sum_{i=1}^{\nu} x_{i}^{2}+\sum_{j=\nu+1}^{n} x_{j}^{2}
$$
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is called an $n$-dimensional semi-Euclidean space and is denoted by $R_{\nu}^{n}$, where $x=\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$ is the natural coordinate. A frame $\left(e_{1}, \cdots, e_{n}\right)$ is said to be orthonormal if $\left|\left\langle e_{i}, e_{j}\right\rangle\right|=\delta_{i j}$. Semi-Riemannian manifolds $S_{\nu}^{n}(c)$ and $H_{\nu}^{n}(c)$ given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{\nu}^{n}(c)=\left\{\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n+1}\right) \in R_{\nu}^{n+1} \mid-\sum_{i=1}^{\nu} x_{i}{ }^{2}+\sum_{i=\nu+1}^{n+1} x_{i}{ }^{2}=1 / c\right\} \quad(c>0), \\
& H_{\nu}^{n}(c)=\left\{\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n+1}\right) \in R_{\nu+1}^{n+1} \mid-\sum_{i=1}^{\nu+1} x_{i}{ }^{2}+\sum_{i=\nu+2}^{n+1} x_{i}{ }^{2}=1 / c\right\} \quad(c<0)
\end{aligned}
$$

are called a semi-sphere and a semi-hyperbolic space, respectively. These spaces are complete and of constant curvature $c$, that is,

$$
R(X, Y) Z=c(X \wedge Y) Z(=c(\langle Y, Z\rangle X-\langle X, Z\rangle Y))
$$

where $R$ is the curvature tensor ( $n \geqq 2$ ). It is clear that $S_{\nu}^{n}(c)$ is diffeomorphic to $R^{\nu} \times S^{n-\nu}$ and $H_{\nu}^{n}(c)$ is diffeomorphic to $S^{\nu} \times R^{n-\nu}$, where $S^{\mu}=S_{0}^{\mu}$ and $R^{\mu}=R_{0}^{\mu}$. We note that $S_{n}^{n}(c)$ and $H_{0}^{n}(c)$ are not connected and $S_{n-1}^{n}(c)$ and $H_{1}^{n}(c)$ are not simply connected. We call these three spaces $R_{\nu}^{n}, S_{\nu}^{n}(c)$ and $H_{\nu}^{n}(c)$ semi-Riemannian space forms.

A semi-Riemannian manifold $M^{n}$ isometrically immersed into a semi-Riemannian manifold $\tilde{M}^{m}$ by an immersion $f$ is called a semi-Riemannian submanifold of $\tilde{M}$. Since $f$ can be treated locally as an imbedding, $p(\in M)$ will often be identified with $f(p)$ and the mention of $f$ will be supressed. Especially if $n=m-1$, then $M$ is called a semi-Riemannian hypersurface of $\tilde{M}$. Let $T_{p} M$ (resp. $T_{p}^{\perp} M$ ) be the tangent space (resp. the normal space) of $M$ at $p \in M, T M$ (resp. $\left.T^{\perp} M\right)$ the tangent bundle (resp. the normal bundle) of $M$ and $\Gamma(T M)$ resp. $\Gamma\left(T^{\perp} M\right)$ ) the space of all cross sections of $T M$ (resp. $T^{\perp} M$ ). We denote the semi-Riemannian metrics of $\tilde{M}$ and $M$ by $\langle$,$\rangle and the Levi-Civita connec-$ tions on $\tilde{M}$ (resp. $M$ ) by $\tilde{\nabla}$ (resp. $\nabla$ ). For any $X \in T M$ and any $Y \in \Gamma(T M)$, we have the Gauss formula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\nabla}_{X} Y=\nabla_{X} Y+h(X, Y) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\nabla_{X} Y$ and $h(X, Y)$ are the tangential and the normal components of $\tilde{\nabla}_{X} Y$ respectively. It is easy to show that $h$ is symmetric. We call $h$ the second fundamental form of the semi-Riemannian submanifold $M$.

For any $X \in T M$ and any $E \in \Gamma\left(T^{\perp} M\right)$, we have the Weingarten formula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\nabla}_{X} E=-A_{E} X+\nabla_{X}^{\perp} E, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $-A_{E} X$ and $\nabla_{\frac{1}{X}} E$ are the tangential and the normal components of $\tilde{\nabla}_{X} E$ respectively. It is easy to verify that $\nabla^{+}$is a connection of the normal bundle of $M$. We call $A$ the shape operator of the semi-Riemannian submanifold $M$.

It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle h(X, Y), E\rangle=\left\langle A_{E} X, Y\right\rangle \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $X, Y \in T_{p} M$ and any $E \in T \frac{1}{p} M(p \in M)$.
Let $\tilde{R}$ and $R$ be the curvature tensors of $\tilde{M}$ and $M$, respectively. The equation of Gauss is given by

$$
R(X, Y) Z=(\widetilde{R}(X, Y) Z)^{T}+\sum_{a=1}^{m-n} \varepsilon_{a}^{\frac{1}{a}}\left(A_{E_{a}} X \wedge A_{E_{a}} Y\right) Z \quad\left(\varepsilon_{a}^{1}=\left\langle E_{a}, E_{a}\right\rangle\right)
$$

for any $X, Y$ and $Z \in T_{p} M(p \in M)$, where $(\tilde{R}(X, Y) Z)^{T}$ is the tangential component and $\left(E_{1}, \cdots, E_{m-n}\right)$ is an orthonormal frame of $T_{p}^{\perp} M$. The equation of Codazzi is given by

$$
(\tilde{R}(X, Y) E)^{T}=\left(\nabla_{Y}^{\prime} A\right)_{E} X-\left(\nabla_{X}^{\prime} A\right)_{E} Y
$$

for any $X, Y \in T_{p} M$ and any $E \in T_{p}^{\perp} M(p \in M)$, where $\left(\nabla_{X}^{\prime} A\right)_{E} Y=\nabla_{X}\left(A_{E} Y\right)$ $A_{\nabla_{\bar{X}} E} Y-A_{E}\left(\nabla_{X} Y\right)$. In particular, if $\tilde{M}$ is of constant curvature $\tilde{c}$, then these equations can be rewritten as follows:

$$
\begin{gather*}
R(X, Y)=\tilde{c} X \wedge Y+\sum_{a=1}^{m-n} \varepsilon_{a}^{\prime} A_{E_{a}} X^{\prime} \wedge A_{E_{a}} Y  \tag{1.4}\\
\left(\nabla_{X}^{\prime} A\right)_{E} Y=\left(\nabla_{Y}^{\prime} A\right)_{E} X \tag{1.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

## § 2. Shape operators of proper isoparametric semi-Riemannian submanifolds.

Let $Q$ be a (1, 1)-tensor of a real vector space $V$ equipped with a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form. If $Q$ can be expressed by a real diagonal matrix with respect to an orthonormal frame of $V$, then $Q$ is said to be proper.

Lemma 2.1. Let $Q_{1}, \cdots, Q_{k}$ be proper $(1,1)$-tensors of $V$ such that $\left[Q_{a}, Q_{b}\right]$ $=0(1 \leqq a, b \leqq k)$. Then $Q_{1}, \cdots, Q_{k}$ are simultaneously diagonalizable with respect to an orthonormal frame of $V$.

Proof. It is sufficient to show the case where $k=2$. Let $\left\{\lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{t}\right\}$ (resp. $\left\{\mu_{1}, \cdots, \mu_{u}\right\}$ ) be the set of all distinct eigenvalues of $Q_{1}$ (resp. $Q_{2}$ ). Set $V_{\lambda_{a}}=$ $\operatorname{Ker}\left(Q_{1}-\lambda_{a} I\right)(1 \leqq a \leqq t), W_{\mu_{0}}=\operatorname{Ker}\left(Q_{2}-\mu_{0} I\right)(1 \leqq b \leqq u)$. Let $v$ be a vector of $V_{\lambda_{a}}$. There exists a unique $v_{b} \in W_{\mu_{b}}(1 \leqq b \leqq u)$ such that $v=v_{1}+\cdots+v_{u}$ because of $V=\underset{1 \leq b \leq u}{ } W_{\mu_{b}}$, where $\oplus$ means the orthogonal direct sum. By operating $Q_{1}$ to both sides of $v=v_{1}+\cdots+v_{u}$, we have $\lambda_{a} v_{1}+\cdots+\lambda_{a} v_{u}=Q_{1} v_{1}+\cdots+Q_{1} v_{u}$. On the other hand, from $\left[Q_{1}, Q_{2}\right]=0$, it follows that $Q_{1} v_{b} \in W_{\mu_{b}}(1 \leqq b \leqq u)$. Hence, we have $Q_{1} v_{b}=\lambda_{a} v_{b}$, which means that $v_{b} \in V_{\lambda_{a}} \cap W_{\mu_{b}}$. Therefore, we can obtain
$V_{\lambda_{a}}=\underset{b \in G_{a}}{\oplus}\left(V_{\lambda_{a}} \cap W_{\mu_{b}}\right)$ and hence $V=\underset{(a, b) \in G}{\oplus}\left(V_{\lambda_{a}} \cap W_{\mu_{b}}\right)$ because of $V=\underset{1 \leqslant a s t}{\oplus} V_{\lambda_{a}}$, where $G=\left\{(a, b) \mid 1 \leqq a \leqq t, 1 \leqq b \leqq u,\left(V_{\lambda_{a}} \cap W_{\mu_{0}} \neq\{0\}\right)\right\}$ and $G_{a}=\{b \mid(a, b) \in G\}$ $(1 \leqq a \leqq t)$. Moreover, since $V_{\lambda_{a}} \cap W_{\mu_{b}}((a, b) \in G)$ are orthogonal to one another, they are non-degenerate, respectively. So we can take orthonormal frames of $V_{\lambda_{a}} \cap W_{\mu_{0}}((a, b) \in G)$ and, by using them, we can construct an orthonormal frame of $V$. It is clear that $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$ are simultaneously diagonalizable with respect to this orthonormal frame. This completes the proof. Q.E.D.

Let $A$ be the shape operator of a semi-Riemannian submanifold $M$ of a semi-Riemannian manifold $\tilde{M}$. The submanifold $M$ is said to be proper if $A_{E}$ is proper for any $E \in T^{\perp} M$. If the normal connection is flat and the characteristic polynomial of $A_{E}$ is constant over the domain of $E$ for any local parallel normal vector field $E$, then $M$ is said to be isoparametric $[3,11]$. By a similar method to the proof of Lemma 2 in [2], we can show the following.

Lemma 2.2. Let $M^{n}$ be a proper semi-Riemannian submanifold in a semiRiemannian space form $\tilde{M}^{n+r}$ of constant curvature $\tilde{c}$ with flat normal connection and parallel mean curvature vector. Then we have

$$
\Delta\langle A, A\rangle=2\left\langle\nabla^{\prime} A, \nabla^{\prime} A\right\rangle+\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} \sum_{a=1}^{r} K_{i j}\left(\lambda_{i}^{a}-\lambda_{j}^{a}\right)^{2}\left\langle E_{a}, E_{a}\right\rangle
$$

where $\left(e_{1}, \cdots, e_{n}\right)$ and $\left(E_{1}, \cdots, E_{r}\right)$ are an orthonormal tangent frame and an orthonormal normal frame of $M$ such that $A_{E_{a}} e_{i}=\lambda_{i}^{a} e_{i}(1 \leqq i \leqq n, 1 \leqq a \leqq r), K_{i j}$ is the sectional curvature with respect to the 2-dimensional subspace spanned by $e_{i}$ and $e_{j}(i \neq j)$, and $\Delta$ is the Laplacian operator of $M$.

Note that $\langle A, A\rangle$ and $\left\langle\nabla^{\prime} A, \nabla^{\prime} A\right\rangle$ are defined as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \langle A, A\rangle=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{a=1}^{r} \varepsilon_{i} \varepsilon_{a}^{1}\left\langle A_{E_{a}} e_{i}, A_{E_{a}} e_{i}\right\rangle \quad \text { and } \\
& \left\langle\nabla^{\prime} A, \nabla^{\prime} A\right\rangle=\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} \sum_{a=1}^{r} \varepsilon_{i} \varepsilon_{j} \varepsilon_{a}^{\frac{1}{a}}\left\langle\left(\nabla_{e_{i}}^{\prime} A\right)_{E_{a}} e_{j},\left(\nabla_{e_{i}}^{\prime} A\right)_{E_{a}} e_{j}\right\rangle,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\varepsilon_{i}=\left\langle e_{i}, e_{i}\right\rangle(1 \leqq i \leqq n)$ and $\varepsilon_{a}^{\frac{1}{a}}=\left\langle E_{a}, E_{a}\right\rangle(1 \leqq a \leqq r)$.
We denote by $B_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus B_{l}$ the following matrix:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
B_{1} & & \\
& \ddots & 0 \\
& 0 & \\
& & \\
B_{1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $B_{i}(1 \leqq i \leqq l)$ are square matrices, respectively.

By using Lemma 2.1 and 2.2, we can show the following theorem.
Theorem 2.3. Let $M^{n}$ be a proper isoparametric semi-Riemannian submanifold in $R_{\nu}^{n+r}$ with parallel mean curvature vector and $\left\langle\nabla^{\prime} A, \nabla^{\prime} A\right\rangle \geqq 0$. Furthermore, suppose that all sectional curvatures of $M$ are non-negative (resp. nonpositive) and $\left.\langle\rangle\right|_{,T^{\perp}}$ is positive definite (resp. negative definite). Then, for any point $p$ of $M$, there exists a parallel orthonormal normal frame field $\left(E_{1}, \cdots, E_{\tau}\right)$ on a neighborhood $U$ of $p$ with the property (\#): At each point of $U, A_{E_{1}}, \cdots, A_{E_{r}}$ can be expressed with respect to a certain orthonormal tangent frame $\left(e_{1}, \cdots, e_{n}\right)$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{E_{1}}=\lambda_{1} I_{l_{1}} \oplus 0_{k_{1}}, \\
& A_{E_{2}}=0_{l_{1}} \oplus \lambda_{2} I_{l_{2}} \oplus 0_{k_{2}}, \\
& \cdots \cdots, \\
& A_{E_{s}}=\left(\bigoplus_{a=1}^{s-1} 0_{l_{a}}\right) \oplus \lambda_{s} I_{l_{s}} \oplus 0_{k_{s}}, \\
& A_{E_{s+1}}=\cdots=A_{E_{r}}=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\lambda_{a} \neq 0, k_{a}=n-\sum_{b=1}^{a} l_{b}, l_{a} \geqq 1(1 \leqq a \leqq s), k_{s} \geqq 0$ and $0_{l}$ and $I_{l}$ are the zero matrix of type $(l, l)$ and the identity matrix of type $(l, l)$, respectively.

Proof. Fix a point $p$ of $M$. Since the normal connection of $M$ is flat, there exists a parallel orthonormal normal frame field ( $E_{1}, \cdots, E_{r}$ ) on a neighborhood $U$ of $p$ and moreover $\left[A_{E_{a}}, A_{E_{b}}\right]=0$ holds $(1 \leqq a, b \leqq r)$. Hence, by Lemma 2.1, $A_{E_{1}}, \cdots, A_{E_{T}}$ are simultaneously diagonalizable with respect to an orthonormal tangent frame at each point of $U$. Suppose that $A_{E_{1}}, \cdots, A_{E_{r}}$ are expressed with respect to an orthonormal tangent frame $\left(e_{1}, \cdots, e_{n}\right)$ at each point of $U$ as follows:

$$
A_{E_{1}}=\lambda_{1}^{1} I_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \lambda_{n}^{1} I_{1}, \cdots, A_{E_{r}}=\lambda_{1}^{r} I_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \lambda_{n}^{r} I_{1}
$$

By our assumptions and Lemma 2.2, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{i j}\left(\lambda_{i}^{a}-\lambda_{j}^{a}\right)^{2}=0 \quad(1 \leqq a \leqq r, 1 \leqq i \neq j \leqq n) . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the first place, suppose that $p$ is a geodesic point, that is, $A_{E_{1}}=\cdots=A_{E_{r}}$ $=0$ at $p$. Since $M$ is isoparametric, $A_{E_{1}}=\cdots=A_{E_{r}}=0$ on $U$. Thus $\left(E_{1}, \cdots, E_{r}\right)$ satisfies the property (\#).

In the next place, we consider the case where $p$ is not a geodesic point. Since $p$ is not a geodesic point, we may assume that $\lambda_{1}^{1} \neq 0, K_{1 i} \neq 0\left(2 \leqq i \leqq l_{1}\right)$ and $K_{1 j}=0\left(l_{1}+1 \leqq j \leqq n\right)$. From (2.1), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}^{a}=\lambda_{i}^{a}\left(2 \leqq i \leqq l_{1}, 1 \leqq a \leqq r\right) . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We set

$$
\begin{gathered}
E_{1}^{\prime}:=\left(\sum_{a=1}^{r} \lambda_{1}^{a} E_{a}\right) / \lambda_{1}, \\
\bar{E}_{b}:=\left(\lambda_{1}^{1} E_{b}-\lambda_{1}^{b} E_{1}\right) /\left(\left(\lambda_{1}^{1}\right)^{2}+\left(\lambda_{1}^{b}\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \quad(2 \leqq b \leqq r),
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\lambda_{1}=\left(\sum_{a=1}^{r}\left(\lambda_{1}^{a}\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$. It is clear that

$$
\left\langle E_{1}^{\prime}, E_{1}^{\prime}\right\rangle= \pm 1, \quad\left\langle E_{1}^{\prime}, \bar{E}_{b}\right\rangle=0, \quad\left\langle\bar{E}_{b}, \bar{E}_{b}\right\rangle= \pm 1, \quad \nabla^{\perp} E_{1}^{\prime}=\nabla^{\perp} \bar{E}_{b}=0 .
$$

Because of (2.2), $A_{E_{1}^{\prime}}$ and $A_{\bar{E}_{b}}(2 \leqq b \leqq r)$ are expressed as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{E_{1}^{\prime}}=\lambda_{1} I_{l_{1}} \oplus \lambda^{\prime} l_{l_{1}+1} I_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \lambda_{n}^{\prime} I_{1} \\
& A_{\bar{E}_{b}}=0_{l_{1}} \oplus \overline{\bar{\lambda}}_{l_{1}+1}^{b} I_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \overline{\bar{\lambda}}_{n}^{b} I_{1} \quad(2 \leqq b \leqq r)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\left(E_{2}^{\prime}, \cdots, E_{r}^{\prime}\right)$ be an orthonormal normal system given by applying GramSchmidt orthogonalization to $\left(\bar{E}_{2}, \cdots, \bar{E}_{r}\right)$. It is clear that $E_{b}^{\prime}(2 \leqq b \leqq r)$ are parallel and $A_{E_{b}^{\prime}}(2 \leqq b \leqq r)$ are expressed as follows:

$$
A_{E_{b}^{\prime}}=0_{l_{1}} \oplus \lambda_{l_{1}+1}^{\prime b} I_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \lambda_{n}^{\prime} b_{1} \quad(2 \leqq b \leqq r) .
$$

By the assumption that $K_{1 i}=0\left(l_{1}+1 \leqq i \leqq n\right)$ and the equation (1.4), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0=K_{1 i} & =\left\langle e_{1}, e_{1}\right\rangle\left\langle e_{i}, e_{i}\right\rangle\left\langle R\left(e_{1}, e_{i}\right) e_{i}, e_{1}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle e_{1}, e_{1}\right\rangle\left\langle e_{i}, e_{i}\right\rangle\left\langle \pm \sum_{a=1}^{\tau}\left(A_{E_{a}^{\prime}} e_{1} \wedge A_{E_{a}^{\prime}} e_{i}\right) e_{i}, e_{1}\right\rangle \\
& = \pm \lambda_{1} \lambda_{i}^{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

that is, $\lambda_{i}^{\prime}=0\left(l_{1}+1 \leqq i \leqq n\right)$. After all, we obtain $A_{E_{1}^{\prime}}=\lambda_{1} I_{l_{1}} \oplus 0_{n-l_{1}}$. Thus if $A_{E_{2}^{\prime}}=\cdots=A_{E_{r}^{\prime}}=0,\left(E_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, E_{r}^{\prime}\right)$ satisfy the property (\#). So we consider the case where there exists $b \geqq 2$ such that $A_{E_{b}^{\prime}} \neq 0$. We may assume that $\lambda_{l_{1}^{2}+1}^{\prime 2}$ $\neq 0, K_{l_{1}+1, i} \neq 0\left(l_{1}+2 \leqq i \leqq l_{1}+l_{2}\right)$ and $K_{l_{1}+1, j}=0\left(l_{1}+l_{2}+1 \leqq j \leqq n\right)$. By the same process as the above, we can obtain a parallel orthonormal normal system ( $E_{2}^{\prime \prime}, \cdots, E_{r}^{\prime \prime}$ ) such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{E_{2}^{\prime}}=0_{l_{1}} \oplus \lambda_{2} I_{l_{2}} \oplus 0_{n-l_{1}-l_{2}}, \\
& A_{E_{b}^{\prime}}=0_{l_{1}+l_{2}} \oplus \lambda_{l_{1}+l_{2}+1}^{\prime \prime} I_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \lambda^{\prime \prime \prime}{ }_{n}^{\prime} I_{1} \quad(3 \leqq b \leqq r) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the sequel, by repeating the same process, we reach the conclusion. Q.E.D.
In general, if $M$ is simply connected and the normal connection is flat, then there exists a parallel orthonormal normal frame field on $M$. By using this fact, we can obtain the following.

Theorem 2.4. Under the same hypothesis as in Theorem 2.3, if $M$ is simply connected, then there exists a parallel orthonormal normal frame field ( $E_{1}, \cdots, E_{r}$ ) on $M$ with the property (\#) in Theorem 2.3.

## §3. Eigendistributions of the shape operator.

Let $M$ be a semi-Riemannian manifold equipped with a metric $\langle$,$\rangle and D$ a distribution on $M$, that is, a subbundle of the tangent bundle $T M$. If $\nabla_{X} Y \in D$ for any $X \in T M$ and any $Y \in \Gamma(D)$, then $D$ is said to be parallel, where $\Gamma(D)$ is the space of all cross sections of $D$. If $\left.\langle\rangle\right|_{D$,$} is non-degenerate at each$ point of $M$, then $D$ is said to be non-degenerate. We have

Lemma A. Let $D$ be a non-degenerate parallel distribution on a semi-Riemannian manifold $M$. Let $M^{\prime}$ be the maximal integral manifold of $D$ through a point of $M$. Then $M^{\prime}$ is a totally geodesic semi-Riemannian submanifold of $M$. If $M$ is complete, then so is $M^{\prime}$.

Let $Q$ be a $(1,1)$-tensor field on $M$. If $Q$ is proper at each point of $M$, then $Q$ is said to be proper. The following result is stated in [1].

Lemma B. Let $Q$ be a proper $(1,1)$-tensor field on $M$ which has exactly two mutually distinct constant eigenvalues $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$. Suppose that $\left(\nabla_{X} Q\right) Y=\left(\nabla_{Y} Q\right) X$ holds for any $X, Y \in T_{p} M(p \in M)$. Then $D_{\lambda_{i}}=\operatorname{Ker}\left(Q-\lambda_{i} I\right)(i=1,2)$ are nondegenerate parallel distributions on $M$.

By using these results, we obtain the following theorem.
Theorem 3.1. Let $M^{n}$ be a semi-Riemannian submanifold of $R_{2}^{n+r}$. Suppose that for each point $p$ of $M$, there exists a parallel orthonormal normal frame field ( $E_{1}, \cdots, E_{r}$ ) on a neighborhood $U$ of $p$ with the property (\#) in Theorem 2.3. Then
(i) $D_{a}=\operatorname{Ker}\left(A_{E_{a}}-\lambda_{a} I\right)(1 \leqq a \leqq s)$ and $D_{0}=\left(D_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus D_{s}\right)^{\perp}$ are parallel on $U$ respectively, where $\left(D_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus D_{s}\right)^{\perp}$ is the orthogonal complement of $D_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus D_{s}$ in $T U$,
(ii) the each maximal integral manifold of $D_{a}$ is a totally umbilical submanifold of $R_{\nu}^{n+r}$ with the mean curvature vector $\varepsilon_{a}^{\frac{1}{a} \lambda_{a}} E_{a}\left(\varepsilon_{a}^{\frac{1}{a}}=\left\langle E_{a}, E_{a}\right\rangle\right)(1 \leqq a \leqq s)$ and that of $D_{0}$ is a totally geodesic semi-Riemannian submanifold of $R_{\nu}^{n+r}$.

Proof. Let us restrict ourselves to the neighborhood $U$.
(i) By applying Lemma B to $A_{E_{a}}$, we see that each $D_{a}$ is parallel on $U$
$(1 \leqq a \leqq s)$. Since $D_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus D_{s}$ is parallel on $U$, so is the orthogonal complement $D_{0}$.
(ii) Let $U_{(a)}$ be the maximal integral manifold of $D_{a}$ through a point of $U(1 \leqq a \leqq s)$. We denote the second fundamental form of $U$ (resp. $\left.U_{(a)}\right)$ in $R_{\nu}^{n+r}$ by $h$ (resp. $h_{a}$ ). Take $X, Y \in T_{q} U_{(a)}\left(q \in U_{(a)}\right)$. Since $U_{(a)}$ is totally geodesic in $U, h_{a}(X, Y)=h(X, Y)$ holds. Also, by the assumption, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
h(X, Y) & =\sum_{b=1}^{r} \varepsilon_{b}^{\frac{1}{b}}\left\langle h(X, Y), E_{b}\right\rangle E_{b} \\
& =\sum_{b=1}^{r} \varepsilon_{b}^{\frac{1}{b}}\left\langle A_{E_{b}} X, Y\right\rangle E_{b} \\
& =\langle X, Y\rangle \varepsilon_{a}^{\perp} \lambda_{a} E_{a} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we obtain that $h_{a}(X, Y)=\langle X, Y\rangle \varepsilon_{a}^{\frac{1}{a} \lambda_{a}} E_{a}$, that is, $U_{(a)}$ is a totally umbilical
 show that the each maximal integral manifold of $D_{0}$ is a totally geodesic semiRiemannian submanifold of $R_{\nu}^{n+r}$.
Q.E.D.

## §4. Proper isoparametric semi-Riemannian submanifolds in a semi-Euclidean space.

In this section, we characterize proper isoparametric semi-Riemannian submanifolds in a semi-Euclidean space under the hypothesis as in Theorem 2.3. Now we prepare the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1. Let $M^{n}$ be a semi-Riemannian submanifold of $R_{\nu}^{n+r}$ with the second fundamental form $h$ and $D_{1}, \cdots, D_{t}$ non-degenerate parallel distributions on $M$ such that $T M=D_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus D_{t}$. Suppose that $h(X, Y)=0$ holds for any $X \in$ $\left(D_{a}\right)_{p}$ and any $Y \in\left(D_{b}\right)_{p}(a \neq b, p \in M)$ and the each maximal integral manifold of $D_{a}(1 \leqq a \leqq t)$ is a totally umbilical submanifold of $R_{\nu}^{n+r}$ with the mean curvature vector $\eta_{a}$. Then
(i) $\tilde{\nabla}_{X} Y \in D_{b}$ for any $X \in D_{a}$ and any $Y \in \Gamma\left(D_{b}\right)(a \neq b)$,
(ii) $\tilde{\nabla}_{x} \eta_{b}=0$ for any $X \in D_{a}(a \neq b)$,
(iii) $\left\langle\eta_{a}, \eta_{b}\right\rangle=0(a \neq b)$.

Proof. It is sufficient to prove the case where $t=2$.
(i) Take $X \in\left(D_{1}\right)_{p}$ and $Y \in \Gamma\left(D_{2}\right)(p \in M)$. Let ( $\left.U, x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n_{1}}, y_{1}, \cdots, y_{n_{2}}\right)$ be a coordinate neighborhood of $p$ in $M$ such that $\partial / \partial x_{i} \in D_{1}$ and $\partial / \partial y_{j} \in D_{2}$ $\left(1 \leqq i \leqq n_{1}, 1 \leqq j \leqq n_{2}\right)$, where $n_{a}=\operatorname{dim} D_{a}(a=1,2)$. Choose constants $X^{i}\left(1 \leqq i \leqq n_{1}\right)$
and smooth functions $Y^{j}\left(1 \leqq j \leqq n_{2}\right)$ such that $X=\sum_{i=1}^{n_{1}} X^{i} \partial / \partial x_{i}$ and $Y=\sum_{j=1}^{n_{2}} Y^{j} \partial / \partial y_{j}$. Since $D_{1}, D_{2}$ are parallel on $M$ and $\nabla_{\hat{\partial} / \partial x_{i}} \partial / \partial y_{j}=\nabla_{\hat{\partial} / \partial y_{j}} \partial / \partial x_{i}$, we have $\nabla_{\partial \hat{\partial} \hat{\partial} x_{i}} \partial / \partial y_{j}$ $=0$. Therefore, the assumption on $h$ implies $\tilde{\nabla}_{\partial / \partial x_{i}} \partial / \partial y_{j}=0$ and hence $\tilde{\nabla}_{x} Y$ $=\sum_{i=1}^{n_{1}} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{2}} X^{i}\left(\partial / \partial x_{i} Y^{j}\right) \partial / \partial y_{j} \in\left(D_{2}\right)_{p}$.
(ii) Take $X \in \Gamma\left(D_{1}\right)$. By the Weingarten formula (1.2), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\nabla}_{X} \eta_{2}=-A_{\eta_{2}} X+\nabla_{\bar{x}}^{\frac{1}{x}} \eta_{2}, \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ and $\nabla^{\perp}$ are the shape operator and the normal connection of $M$, respectively. For $Y \in T_{p} M$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle A_{\eta_{2}} X, Y\right\rangle & =\left\langle h(X, Y), \eta_{2}\right\rangle  \tag{4.2}\\
& =\left(1 / n_{2}\right) \sum_{j=1}^{n_{2}} \varepsilon_{j}\left\langle h(X, Y), h\left(e_{j}, e_{j}\right)\right\rangle,
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left(e_{1}, \cdots, e_{n_{2}}\right)$ is a local orthonormal frame field of $D_{2}$ about $p$ and $\varepsilon_{j}=$ $\left\langle e_{j}, e_{j}\right\rangle\left(1 \leqq j \leqq n_{2}\right)$. On the other hand, from the equations (1.3) and (1.4), it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle h\langle X, Y\rangle, h\left(e_{j}, e_{j}\right)\right\rangle=\left\langle R\left(Y, e_{j}\right) e_{j}, X\right\rangle+\left\langle h\left(X, e_{j}\right), h\left(Y, e_{j}\right)\right\rangle, \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R$ is the curvature tensor of $M$. Moreover, by the assumption, the right hand side of (4.3, is equal to zero. Therefore, the equation (4.2) implies $A_{\eta_{2}} X=0$. Also, by the assumptions and the equations (1.3) and (1.5), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{X}^{\frac{1}{X}} \eta_{2}= & \left(1 / n_{2}\right) \sum_{j=1}^{n_{2}} \varepsilon_{j} \nabla_{\frac{1}{X}}\left(h\left(e_{j}, e_{j}\right)\right) \\
= & \left(1 / n_{2}\right) \sum_{j=1}^{n_{2}} \varepsilon_{j}\left\{\nabla_{e_{j}}^{1}\left(h\left(X, e_{j}\right)\right)-h\left(\nabla_{e_{j}} X, e_{j}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-h\left(X, \nabla_{e_{j}} e_{j}\right)+2 h\left(\nabla_{X} e_{j}, e_{j}\right)\right\} \\
= & \left(2 / n_{2}\right) \sum_{j=1}^{n_{2}} \varepsilon_{j} h\left(\nabla_{X} e_{j}, e_{j}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, since the each maximal integral manifold of $D_{2}$ is totally geodesic in $M$ and totally umbilic in $R_{\nu}^{n+r}, h\left(\nabla_{X} e_{j}, e_{j}\right)=\left\langle\nabla_{X} e_{j}, e_{j}\right\rangle \eta_{2}=0$ holds. Therefore, $\nabla_{X}^{\frac{1}{x}} \eta_{2}=0$ is induced. Finally, we obtain $\tilde{\nabla}_{X} \eta_{2}=0$.
(iii) Let $\left(\bar{e}_{1}, \cdots, \bar{e}_{n_{1}}\right)$ (resp. $\left.\left(e_{1}, \cdots, e_{n_{2}}\right)\right)$ be an orthonormal frame of $\left(D_{1}\right)_{p}$ (resp. $\left.\left(D_{2}\right)_{p}\right)(p \in M)$. By the equation (1.4), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}\right\rangle & =\left(1 / n_{1} n_{2}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n_{1}} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{2}} \bar{\varepsilon}_{i} \varepsilon_{j}\left\langle h\left(\bar{e}_{i}, \bar{e}_{i}\right), h\left(e_{j}, e_{j}\right)\right\rangle \\
& =\left(1 / n_{1} n_{2}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n_{1}} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{2}} \bar{\varepsilon}_{i} \varepsilon_{j}\left(\left\langle R\left(\hat{e}_{i}, e_{j}\right) e_{j}, \bar{e}_{i}\right\rangle+\left\langle h\left(\bar{e}_{i}, e_{j}\right), h\left(\bar{e}_{i}, e_{j}\right)\right\rangle\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, the right hand side of this equation is equal to zero by the assumptions. Hence, we obtain $\left\langle\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}\right\rangle=0$.
Q.E.D.

For a semi-Riemannian submanifold $M$, we define the first normal space $N_{p}^{1}$ at $p$ as follows:

$$
N_{p}^{1}=\operatorname{Span}\left\{h(X, Y) \mid X, Y \in T_{p} M\right\} .
$$

A subbundle $N$ of $T^{\perp} M$ is said to be normal parallel is $\nabla \frac{1}{x} E \in N$ for any $X \in T M$ and any $E \in \Gamma(N)$. The following reduction theorem was proved by Magid [6].

Theorem C. Let $M^{n}$ be a semi-Riemannian submanifold isometrically immersed into $R_{\nu}^{n+r}$ by $f$. If the first normal spaces constitute a normal parallel subbundle, then there exists a complete ( $n+s$ )-dimensional totally geodesic submanifold $\bar{M}$ of $R_{\nu}^{n+r}$ such that $f(M) \subset \bar{M}$, where $s$ is the dimension of the first normal spaces.

By using this theorem, he obtained the following result [6], where he also treated the case $\langle\eta, \eta\rangle=0$.

Theorem D. Let $M^{n}$ be a totally umbilical submanifold isometrically immersed into $R_{\nu}^{n+r}$ by $f$. Suppose that the mean curvature vector $\eta$ satisfies $\langle\eta, \eta\rangle$ $\neq 0$. Then
(I) If $\langle\eta, \eta\rangle>0$, then $f(M) \subset S_{\mu}^{n}$
(II) If $\langle\eta, \eta\rangle<0$, then $f(M) \subset H_{\mu}^{n}$, where $\mu$ is the index of $M$.

By using Theorem $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}$ and Lemma 4.1, we can show the following lemma.
Lemma 4.2. Under the same hypothesis as in Lemma 4.1, moreover suppose that $\eta_{a}(1 \leqq a \leqq t)$ are non-null and $\left\langle\eta_{a}, \eta_{a}\right\rangle>0(1 \leqq a \leqq u),\left\langle\eta_{a}, \eta_{a}\right\rangle<0(u+1 \leqq a \leqq s)$ and $\eta_{a}=0(s+1 \leqq a \leqq t)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \subset R_{\nu}^{n_{1}+1} \times \cdots \times R_{\nu u}^{n_{u}^{u}+1} \times R_{\nu u+1}^{n_{u+1}^{u+1}} \times \cdots \times R_{\nu_{s}+1}^{n_{s}+1} \times R_{\nu_{0}}^{n_{0}} \subset R_{\nu}^{n+r},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c_{a}=\left\langle\eta_{a}, \eta_{a}\right\rangle,\left(\nu_{a}, n_{a}-\nu_{a}\right)$ is the signature of $D_{a}(1 \leqq a \leqq s)$ and $\left(\nu_{0}, n_{0}-\nu_{0}\right)$ is that of $D_{s+1} \oplus \cdots \oplus D_{t}$.

Proof. We shall prove in the case where $t=3, u=1$ and $s=2$. We denote the maximal integral manifold of $D_{a}$ (resp. $D_{a}^{\perp}$ ) through $p \in M$ by $\left(L_{a}\right)_{p}$ (resp. $\left.\left(L_{a}^{\perp}\right)_{p}\right)(1 \leqq a \leqq 3)$, where $D_{a}^{\perp}$ is the orthogonal complement of $D_{a}$ in $T M$. Since
$\left(L_{1}\right)_{p}$ is a totally umbilical submanifold of $R_{\nu}^{n+r}$ with the mean curvature vector $\eta_{1}$, it is contained in the affine subspace $\left(\bar{L}_{1}\right)_{p}=T_{p}\left(\left(L_{1}\right)_{p}\right) \oplus R\left(\eta_{1}\right)_{p}$ through $f(p)$ by Theorem C, where $R\left(\eta_{1}\right)_{p}$ is the line tangent to $\left(\eta_{1}\right)_{p}$. Now we shall show that $\left(\bar{L}_{1}\right)_{p}$ and $\left(\bar{L}_{1}\right)_{q}$ are parallel in $R_{\nu}^{n+r}$ for any $p, q \in M$. First we consider the case where $p$ and $q$ are contained in a cubic coordinate neighborhood $V$ with respect to $D_{1} \oplus D_{1}^{\perp}$. Then it is clear that $\left(L_{1}^{\frac{1}{1}}\right)_{p} \cap\left(L_{1}\right)_{q} \neq \varnothing$. Take $q^{\prime} \in$ $\left(L_{1}^{\frac{1}{1}}\right)_{p} \cap\left(L_{1}\right)_{q}$. Since $\left(L_{1}^{\frac{1}{1}}\right)_{p}=\left(L_{1}^{\frac{1}{1}}\right)_{q^{\prime}},\left(\bar{L}_{1}\right)_{p}$ and $\left(\bar{L}_{1}\right)_{q^{\prime}}\left(=\left(\bar{L}_{1}\right)_{q}\right)$ are parallel in $R_{2}^{n+r}$ by (i), (ii) of Lemma 4.1. Next we consider a general case for $p$ and $q$. Take a curve $\sigma:[0,1] \rightarrow M$ with $\sigma(0)=p, \sigma(1)=q$. Since $\sigma([0,1])$ is compact, there exists a finite open covering $\left\{V_{i} \mid 1 \leqq i \leqq k\right\}$ of $\sigma([0,1])$ by cubic coordinate neighborhoods such that $V_{i} \cap V_{i+1} \neq \varnothing(1 \leqq i \leqq k-1), p \in V_{1}$ and $q \in V_{k}$. Take $p_{i} \in V_{i} \cap V_{i+1}(1 \leqq i \leqq k-1)$. Since $p_{i-1}$ and $p_{i}$ is contained in a cubic coordinate neighborhood, $\left(\bar{L}_{1}\right)_{p_{i-1}}$ and $\left(\bar{L}_{1}\right)_{p_{i}}$ are parallel in $R_{\nu}^{n+r}$. Similarly, so are $\left(\bar{L}_{1}\right)_{p}$ and $\left(\bar{L}_{1}\right)_{p_{1}}$ (resp. $\left(\bar{L}_{1}\right)_{p_{k-1}}$ and $\left.\left(\bar{L}_{1}\right)_{q}\right)$. Therefore, $\left(\bar{L}_{1}\right)_{p}$ and $\left(\bar{L}_{1}\right)_{q}$ are parallel in $R_{\nu}^{n+r}$. Similarly, $\left(\bar{L}_{a}\right)_{p}$ and $\left(\bar{L}_{a}\right)_{q}(a=2,3)$ are parallel in $R_{\nu}^{n+r}$ for any $p, q \in M$, where $\left(\bar{L}_{2}\right)_{p}=T_{p}\left(\left(L_{2}\right)_{p}\right) \oplus R\left(\eta_{2}\right)_{p},\left(\bar{L}_{3}\right)_{p}=T_{p}\left(\left(L_{3}\right)_{p}\right)$. Also, by (iii) of Lemma 4.1, $\left(\bar{L}_{a}\right)_{p} \perp\left(\bar{L}_{b}\right)_{p}$ holds for any $p \in M(a \neq b)$.

Let $R_{(a)}(1 \leqq a \leqq 3)$ be the subspace of $R_{\nu}^{n+r}$ spanned by all tangent vectors of $\left(\bar{L}_{a}\right)_{p}$. Note that $R_{(a)}(1 \leqq a \leqq 3)$ are well-defined and orthogonal to one another by the above facts. Let $R_{(0)}$ be the orthogonal complement of $R_{(1)} \oplus$ $R_{(2)} \oplus R_{(3)}$. We regard $R_{(a)}(0 \leqq a \leqq 3)$ as the affine subspace through the origin of $R_{\nu}^{n+r}$. It is clear that $R_{\nu}^{n+r}=R_{(0)} \times \cdots \times R_{(3)}$. Let $\psi_{a}(0 \leqq a \leqq 3)$ be the natural projections of $R_{\nu}^{n+r}$ onto $R_{(a)}$. It is easy to show that $\psi_{0} \circ f$ is a constant map. Suppose that $\left(L_{1}^{\perp}\right)_{p}=\left(L_{1}^{\frac{1}{1}}\right)_{q}$. Then we have $\left(\psi_{1} \circ f\right)(p)=\left(\psi_{1} \circ f\right)(q)$. Since $\left(\eta_{1}\right)_{p}$ and $\left(\eta_{1}\right)_{q}$ are parallel in $R_{\nu}^{n+r}$ by (ii) of Lemma 4.1, $\left(\psi_{1}\right)_{*}\left(\eta_{1}\right)_{p}=\left(\psi_{1}\right)_{*}\left(\eta_{1}\right)_{q}$. Therefore, from Theorem D and $\left.\left\langle\eta_{1}, \eta_{1}\right\rangle\right\rangle 0$, if follows that there exists a hypersurface $S_{\nu_{1}}^{n_{1}}$ of $R_{(1)}$ which contains both $\left(\psi_{1} \circ f\right)\left(\left(L_{1}\right)_{p}\right)$ and $\left(\psi_{1} \circ f\right)\left(\left(L_{1}\right)_{q}\right)$. By the same method as used in the proof of parallelism between $\left(\bar{L}_{a}\right)_{p}$ and $\left(\bar{L}_{a}\right)_{q}$, we can show that $\left(\psi_{1} \circ f\right)\left(\left(L_{1}\right)_{p}\right)$ is contained in this hypersurface for any $p \in M$. This fact implies that $\left(\psi_{1} \circ f\right)(M) \subset S_{\nu_{1}}^{n_{1}}$. Similar arguements on $\left(\psi_{2} \circ f\right)(M)$ and $\left(\psi_{3} \circ f\right)(M)$ lead to

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(M) \subset\left(\psi_{1} \circ f\right)(M) \times\left(\psi_{2} \circ f\right)(M) \times\left(\psi_{3} \circ f\right)(M) & \subset S_{\nu_{1}}^{n_{1}} \times H_{\nu_{2}}^{n_{2}} \times R_{\nu_{0}}^{n_{0}} \\
& \subset R_{(1)} \times R_{(2)} \times R_{(3)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Q.E.D.

Remark. From the assumption of Lemma 4.2, we can show that the second fundamental form is parallel and the normal connection is flat. In [6],
he characterized a complete Riemannian submanifold $M^{n}$ of $R_{\nu}^{n+r}$ with parallel second fundamental form and flat normal connection. The proof depends on Satz 2 of [12], which uses the Moore's lemma [8]. We can show that they are generally valid for proper semi-Riemannian submanifolds. On the other hand, Moore treats the case where $M$ is a product manifold. If $M$ is complete, then we can use the Moore's lemma for the universal covering of $M$. However, if $M$ is not complete, then the lemma is not valid for this arguement at least globally. The lemma assures that each product neighborhood $V$ of $M$ is contained in a product manifold $\bar{M}$ of semi-Riemannian space forms as an open submanifold. However, we have to show that the manifolds $\bar{M}$ can be tahen in common for all $V$ as in Lemma 4.2.

The distributions $D_{a}(0 \leqq a \leqq s)$ of Theorem 3.1 satisfy the conditions of Lemma 4.2. Hence we have the following proposition.

Proposition 4.3. Let $M^{n}$ be a semi-Riemannian submanifold isometrically immersed into $R_{\nu}^{n+r}$ by $f$. Suppose that there exists a parallel orthonormal normal frame field $\left(E_{1}, \cdots, E_{r}\right)$ on $M$ with the property (\#) in Theorem 2.3. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(M) & \subset S_{\nu 1}^{n_{1}}\left(c_{1}\right) \times \cdots \times S_{\nu u}^{n} n_{u}^{u}\left(c_{u}\right) \times H_{\nu u+1}^{n_{u+1}}\left(c_{u+1}\right) \times \cdots \times H_{\nu_{s}}^{n_{s}}\left(c_{s}\right) \times R_{\nu 0}^{n_{0}} \\
& \subset R_{\nu_{1}}^{n_{1}+1} \times \cdots \times R_{\nu_{u}}^{n_{u}+1} \times R_{\nu u+1}^{n_{u} u_{+1}+1} \times \cdots \times R_{\nu_{s}+1}^{n_{s}+1} \times R_{\nu_{0}}^{n_{0}} \subset R_{\nu}^{n+r},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $u$ is the number of +1 in $\left\{\left\langle E_{1}, E_{1}\right\rangle, \cdots,\left\langle E_{s}, E_{s}\right\rangle\right\}$ and $n=n_{0}+\cdots+n_{s}$.
By taking the universal semi-Riemannian covering manifold of $M$ if necessary, this proposition together with Theorem 2.4 gives the following main theorem.

TNEOREM 4.4. Let $M^{n}$ be a proper isoparametric semi-Riemannian submanifold isometrically immersed into $R_{\nu}^{n+r}$ by $f$ with parallel mean curvature vector and $\left\langle\nabla^{\prime} A, \nabla^{\prime} A\right\rangle \geqq 0$. Furthermore, suppose that all sectional curvatures of $M$ are non-negative (resp. non-positive), $\left.\langle\rangle\right|_{,\Gamma^{1} M}$ is positive definite (resp. negative definite). Then

$$
f(M) \subset S_{\nu 1}^{n_{1}} \times \cdots \times S_{\nu s}^{n_{s}} \times R_{\nu 0}^{n_{0}} \subseteq R_{\nu_{1}}^{n_{1}+1} \times \cdots \times R_{\nu_{s}}^{n_{s}+1} \times R_{\nu_{0}}^{n_{0}} \subset R_{\nu}^{n+r}
$$

(resp. $f(M) \subset H_{\nu 1}^{n_{1}} \times \cdots \times H_{\nu s}^{n_{s}} \times R_{\nu_{0}}^{n_{0}} \subset R_{\nu_{1}+1}^{n_{1}+1} \times \cdots \times R_{\nu s+1}^{n_{s}+1} \times R_{\nu 0}^{n_{0}} \subset R_{\nu}^{n+r}$ ), where $n=$ $n_{0}+\cdots+n_{s}$.
§ 5. Proper isoparametric semi-Riemannian submanifolds in $S_{\nu}^{n+r}(c)$ or $H_{\nu}^{n+r}(\tilde{c})$.

In this section we shall show the results corresponding to $\S 4$ in the case where the ambient space is $H_{\nu}^{n+\tau}(\tilde{c})$ (or $S_{\nu}^{n+\tau}(\tilde{c})$ ).

Lemma 5.1. Let $M^{n}$ be a proper isoparametric semi-Riemannian submanifold of $H_{\nu}^{n+r}(\tilde{c})$ such that
(i) the mean curvature vector is parallel,
(ii) $\left\langle\nabla^{\prime} A, \nabla^{\prime} A\right\rangle \geqq 0$.

Then, if we consider $M$ as isometrically immersed into $R_{\nu+1}^{n++1}, M$ also is a proper isoparametric semi-Riemannian submanifold with (i) and (ii).

Proof. Let $A$ and $\nabla^{\perp}$ (resp. $\tilde{A}$ and $\tilde{\nabla}^{\perp}$ ) be the shape operator and the normal connection of $M$ in $H_{\nu}^{n+r}(\tilde{c})$ (resp. $R_{\nu+1}^{n+r+1}$ ). By the Gauss formula (1.1) and the Weingaten formula (1.2), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{A}_{E} X=A_{E} X, \quad \tilde{\nabla}_{\frac{1}{X}} E=\nabla_{\bar{X}}^{1} E,  \tag{5.1}\\
& \tilde{A}_{\bar{E}} X= \pm \sqrt{ }-\tilde{c} X, \quad \tilde{\nabla}_{X}^{1} \bar{E}=0 \tag{5.2}
\end{align*}
$$

for any $X \in T M$ and any $E \in \Gamma\left(T^{\perp} M\right)$, where $\bar{E}$ is a unit normal vector field of $H_{\nu}^{n+r}(\tilde{c})$ in $R_{\nu+1}^{n+r+1}$ and $T^{\perp} M$ is the normal bundle of $M$ in $H_{\nu}^{n+r}(\tilde{c})$. By (5.1), (5.2) and the assumption, we see that $M$ is a proper isoparametric semi-Riemannian submanifold of $R_{\nu+1}^{n+r+1}$.

Let $\eta$ (resp. $\tilde{\eta}$ ) be the mean curvature vector of $M$ in $H_{\nu}^{n+r}(\tilde{c})$ (resp. $R_{\nu+1}^{n+r+1}$ ) and $\bar{\eta}$ that of $H_{\nu}^{n+r}(\tilde{c})$ in $R_{\nu+1}^{n+r+1}$. Since $H_{\nu}^{n+r}(\tilde{c})$ is a totally umbilical submanifold of $R_{\nu+1}^{n+r+1}, \tilde{\eta}=\eta+\bar{\eta}$ holds. Moreover, the equation (5.1) and the assumption (resp. the equation (5.2) and $\bar{\eta}= \pm \sqrt{-\tilde{c}} \bar{E}$ ) imply $\tilde{\nabla}_{\frac{1}{x}} \eta=0$ (resp. $\tilde{\nabla}_{\frac{1}{x}}^{\bar{\eta}}$ $=0$ ) for any $X \in T M$. Thus $\tilde{\nabla}_{\frac{1}{x}} \tilde{\eta}=0$.

By (5.1), (5.2) and the assumption, we can show $\left\langle\nabla^{\prime} \tilde{A}, \tilde{\nabla}^{\prime} \tilde{A}\right\rangle=\left\langle\nabla^{\prime} A, \nabla^{\prime} A\right\rangle$ $\geqq 0$, where $\left(\tilde{\nabla}_{X}^{\prime} \tilde{A}\right)_{E} Y=\nabla_{X}\left(\tilde{A}_{E} Y\right)-\tilde{A}_{\tilde{\nabla}_{X}} Y-\tilde{A}_{E}\left(\nabla_{X} Y\right)$ for any $X \in T M$, any $Y \in$ $\Gamma(T M)$ and any $E \in \Gamma\left(T^{\perp} M \oplus T^{\perp} H_{\nu}^{n+r}(\tilde{c})\right)$.
Q.E.D.

This lemma together with Theorem 4.4 gives the following theorem.
Theorem 5.2. Let $M^{n}$ be a proper isoparametric semi-Riemannian submanifold isometrically immersed into $H_{\nu}^{n+r}(\tilde{c})$ by $f$ with parallel mean curvature vector and $\left\langle\nabla^{\prime} A, \nabla^{\prime} A\right\rangle \geqq 0$. Furthermore, suppose that all sectional curvatures of $M$ are non-positive, $\left.\langle\rangle\right|_{,T^{1} M}$ is negative definite. Then

$$
(i \circ f)(M) \subset H_{\nu 1}^{n_{1}}\left(c_{1}\right) \times \cdots \times H_{\nu s}^{n_{s}}\left(c_{s}\right) \subset H_{\nu+s-r-1}^{n+s-1}(\bar{c}) \subset H_{\nu}^{n+r}(\tilde{c}) \subset R_{\nu+1}^{n+r+1},
$$ where $n=n_{1}+\cdots+n_{s}, 1 / c_{1}+\cdots+1 / c_{s}=1 / \bar{c} \geqq 1 / \tilde{c}$ and $i$ is the inclusion mapping of $H_{\nu}^{n+r}(\tilde{c})$ into $R_{\nu+1}^{n+1+1}$.

Proof. By Theorem 4.4 and Lemma 5.1, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (i \circ f)(M) \subset H_{\nu 1}^{n_{1}}\left(c_{1}\right) \times \cdots \times H_{\nu s}^{n_{s}}\left(c_{s}\right) \times R_{\nu 0}^{n_{0}} \times\{x\} \\
& \quad \subset R_{\nu 1+1}^{n_{1}+1} \times \cdots \times R_{\nu s+1}^{n_{s}+1} \times R_{\nu 0}^{n_{0}} \times R_{r-s+1}^{r-s+1}=R_{\nu+1}^{n+++1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Take $p \in(i \circ f)(M)$. We denote the leaf of $R_{\nu_{0}}^{n_{0}}$ through $p$ by $L_{p}$ and $L_{p} \cap$ $(i \circ f)(M)$ by $\hat{L}_{p}$. Suppose $n_{0}>1$. Since $\hat{L}_{p}$ is totally geodesic in $R_{\nu+1}^{n+1+1}$, it is also totally geodəsic in $H_{\nu}^{n+r}(\tilde{c})$. Hence $\hat{L}_{p}$ is of constant curvature $\tilde{c}$. This fact contradicts the flatness of $L_{p}$. Therefore, we have $n_{0} \leqq 1$. If $n_{0}=1$, then $\hat{L}_{p}$ is a family of non-null curves of $H_{\nu}^{n+r}(\tilde{c})$. By the way, all line segments of $R_{\nu+1}^{n+r+1}$ contained in $H_{\nu}^{n+r}(\tilde{c})$ are null. Hence each component of $\hat{L}_{p}$ is not a line segment. This fact contradicts that $L_{p}$ is totally geodesic in $R_{\nu+1}^{n+r+1}$. Thus we see that $n_{0}=0$.

Let $o_{a}$ be the center of $H_{\nu_{a}}^{n_{a}}\left(c_{a}\right)(1 \leqq a \leqq s)$. Take $p \in(i \circ f)(M)$. We can uniquely decompose $p$ into $p=p_{1}+\cdots+p_{s}+x$, where $p_{a} \in R_{\nu a+1}^{n_{a}+1}(1 \leqq a \leqq s)$. From $\left\langle p_{a}-o_{a}, p_{a}-o_{a}\right\rangle=1 / c_{a}$, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle p_{a}, p_{a}\right\rangle & =\left\langle o_{a}+\left(p_{a}-o_{a}\right), o_{a}+\left(p_{a}-o_{a}\right)\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle o_{a}, 2 p_{a}-o_{a}\right\rangle+1 / c_{a} \\
& =\left\langle o_{a}, 2 p-o\right\rangle+1 / c_{a},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $o=o_{1}+\cdots+o_{s}$. Hence we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
1 / \tilde{c}=\langle p, p\rangle & =\left\langle p_{1}, p_{1}\right\rangle+\cdots+\left\langle p_{s}, p_{s}\right\rangle+\langle x, x\rangle \\
& =\langle 0,2 p-0\rangle+1 / c_{1}+\cdots+1 / c_{s}+\langle x, x\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\langle o, 2 p-0\rangle=1 / \tilde{c}-\left(1 / c_{1}+\cdots+1 / c_{s}+\langle x, x\rangle\right)$ holds. This equality implies that $\langle p, o\rangle$ is independent of $p \in(i \circ f)(M)$. Hence, if $o$ is a non-zero vector, then $(i \circ f)(M)$ is contained in the hyperplane orthogonal to $o$ in $R_{\nu_{1}+1}^{n_{1}+1} \times \cdots$ $\times R_{\nu s+1}^{n_{s}+1} \times\{x\}$. This fact contradicts that $(i \circ f)(M)$ is full in $R_{\nu 1+1}^{n_{1}+1} \times \cdots \times R_{\nu_{s}+1}^{n_{s}+1}$ $\times\{x\}$. Therefore, we see that $o$ is the zero vector and $1 / \tilde{c}=1 / c_{1}+\cdots+1 / c_{s}$ $+\langle x, x\rangle$. These facts imply that

$$
H_{\nu 1}^{n_{1}}\left(c_{1}\right) \times \cdots \times H_{\nu s}^{n_{s}}\left(c_{s}\right) \times\{x\} \subset H_{\nu}^{n+r}(\tilde{c})
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{\nu 1}^{n_{1}}\left(c_{1}\right) \times \cdots \times H_{\nu s}^{n_{s}}\left(c_{s}\right) \times\{x\} & \subset H_{\nu}^{n+r}(\tilde{c}) \cap\left(R_{\nu 1}^{n_{1}+1} \times \cdots \times R_{\nu_{s}+1}^{n_{s}+1} \times\{x\}\right) \\
& =H_{\nu+s-r-1}^{n+s-1}(\bar{c}) \times\{x\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $1 / \bar{c}=1 / c_{1}+\cdots+1 / c_{s}$ because

$$
1 / \tilde{c}=\langle q, q\rangle=\langle x+(q-x), x+(q-x)\rangle=\langle x, x\rangle+1 / \bar{c}
$$

for $q \in H_{\nu+s-r-1}^{n+s-1}(\bar{c}) \times\{x\}$. Therefore, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
(i \circ f)(M) \subset H_{\nu 1}^{n_{1}}\left(c_{1}\right) \times \cdots \times H_{\nu_{s}}^{n_{s}}\left(c_{s}\right) \times\{x\} & \subset H_{\nu+s, r-1}^{n+s-1}(\bar{c}) \times\{x\} \\
& \subset H_{\nu}^{n+r}(\tilde{c}) \subset R_{\nu+1}^{n+r+1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

> Q.E.D.

Similarly, in the case where the ambient space is $S_{\nu}^{n+r}(\tilde{c})$, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 5.3. Let $M^{n}$ be a proper isoparametric semi-Riemannian submanifold isometrically immersed into $S_{\nu}^{n+r}(\tilde{c})$ by $f$ with parallel mean curvature vector and $\left\langle\nabla^{\prime} A, \nabla^{\prime} A\right\rangle \geqq 0$. Furthermore, suppose that all sectional curvatures of $M$ are non-negative, $\left.\langle\rangle\right|_{,r^{1}}$ is positive definite. Then

$$
(i \circ f)(M) \subset S_{\nu 1}^{n_{1}}\left(c_{1}\right) \times \cdots \times S_{\nu s}^{n_{s}}\left(c_{s}\right) \subset S_{\nu}^{n+s-1}(\bar{c}) \subset S_{\nu}^{n+r}(\tilde{c}) \subset R_{\nu}^{n+r+1},
$$

where $n=n_{1}+\cdots+n_{s}, 1 / c_{1}+\cdots+1 / c_{s}=1 / \bar{c} \leqq 1 / \tilde{c}$ and $i$ is the inclusion mapping of $S_{\nu}^{n+r}(\tilde{c})$ into $R_{\nu}^{n+r+1}$.
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