

## A RENEWAL THEOREM IN HIGHER DIMENSIONS

By

Kiyoshi SHIKAI

### Summary

Let  $F$  be a probability distribution on  $d$ -dimensional Euclidean space  $R^d$  with mean 0 and finite  $2[d/2]$ -th moment. Let  $U\{A\} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} F^{n*}\{A\}$ , where  $F^{n*}$  denotes the  $n$ -fold convolution of  $F$  and  $A$  is a measurable set on  $R^d$ . The purpose of this paper is to give an asymptotic expression for  $U\{A+x\}$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ , in case that  $F$  is nonlattice and  $d \geq 3$ .

### 1. Introduction and the statement of the result

Let  $F$  be a probability distribution on  $R^d$ . For any measurable set  $A$  put

$$U\{A\} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} F^{n*}\{A\},$$

where  $F^{n*}$  denotes the  $n$ -fold convolution of  $F$ . A random walk associated with  $F$  is transient, if for any bounded set  $A$

$$U\{A\} < \infty.$$

For transient random walk of  $d \geq 2$ , it is well known

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} U\{A+x\} = 0.$$

For lattice distributions it was shown by F. Spitzer [2] and P. Ney and F. Spitzer [1] that for aperiodic  $d$ -dimensional random walk ( $d \geq 3$ ) with mean 0 and finite second moments, such that for each  $n$ ,  $|x|^{d-2} p_n(0, x) \rightarrow 0$  when  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ , the Green function has the asymptotic behavior

$$G(0, x) \sim c_d |Q|^{-1/2} (x, Q^{-1}x)^{1-d/2}, \text{ when } |x| \rightarrow \infty.$$

Here  $G(0, x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n(0, x)$ ,  $p_n(0, x)$  denotes the probability that a particle starting at the origin will be at the point  $x$  at time  $n$ ,  $Q$  is the covariance matrix of  $p(0, x)$ ,  $Q^{-1}$  is its inverse, and  $|Q|$  is the determinant of  $Q$ , and the constants  $c_d$  are positive and depend on the dimension.

Our aim is to obtain the asymptotic expression of the Green function in case of a nonlattice distribution with mean 0 and finite  $2[d/2]$ -th moment.

Let  $\phi$  denote the characteristic function of  $F$ . We say that  $F$  is nonlattice if

$$(1.1) \quad |\phi(y)| < 1, \quad y \in R^d - \{0\}.$$

In our case the quadratic form is given by

$$(1.2) \quad Q(y) = \int_{R^d} (x, y)^2 F(dx).$$

For  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in R^d$  and  $h > 0$ , let  $P_n(x, h)$  be the measures assigned by  $F^{*n}$  to the set

$$\{y = (y_1, \dots, y_d) | x_k \leq y_k \leq x_k + h \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq d\}.$$

For a fixed  $\nu > 0$ , we take a bounded set  $A$  as

$$A = \{y = (y_1, \dots, y_d) | 0 \leq y_k < \nu \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq d\}.$$

Noting that

$$U\{A+x\} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_n(x, \nu),$$

we get the following

THEOREM. If  $F$  satisfies the conditions below ;

$$(1.3) \quad d \geq 3,$$

$$(1.4) \quad F \text{ is nonlattice,}$$

$$(1.5) \quad \int x F(dx) = 0,$$

$$(1.6) \quad \int |x|^{2[d/2]} F(dx) < \infty,$$

then

$$(1.7) \quad U\{A+x\} \sim \frac{\nu^d \Gamma(d/2)}{(d-2)\pi^{d/2} |Q|^{1/2}} (x, Q^{-1}x)^{1-d/2}, \text{ as } |x| \rightarrow \infty.$$

Here  $Q$  is the covariance matrix of  $F$ ,  $Q^{-1}$  is its inverse, and  $|Q|$  is the determinant of  $Q$ .

## 2. Preliminaries

Before the proof we prepare two lemmas.

LEMMA 1. (C. Stone [4]) If  $F$  is a nonlattice distribution with mean 0 and second moment, then for each  $\nu > 0$

$$(2.1) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [(2n\pi)^{d/2} P_n(x, \nu) - \nu^d |Q|^{-1/2} e^{-1/2n \langle x, Q^{-1}x \rangle}] = 0,$$

uniformly for all  $x \in R^d$ .

LEMMA 2. If  $F$  is nonlattice distribution with mean 0 and  $2k$ -th ( $k \geq 1$ , integer) moment, then

$$(2.2) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{|x|}{\sqrt{n}} \right)^{2k} [(2n\pi)^{d/2} P_n(x, \nu) - \nu^d |Q|^{-1/2} e^{-1/2n \langle x, Q^{-1}x \rangle}] = 0,$$

uniformly for all  $x \in R^d$ .

Following C. Stone [4], we define  $g(x)$  and  $\gamma(x)$ ,  $x \in R^d$  by

$$g(x) = \left( \frac{1}{2\pi A_{2m}} \right)^d \prod_{j=1}^d \left( \frac{\sin x_j}{x_j} \right)^{2m} \quad (m \geq k+1, \text{ integer fixed}),$$

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(y) &= \int e^{i\langle y, x \rangle} g(x) dx \\ &= \left( \frac{1}{\pi A_{2m}} \right)^d \prod_{j=1}^d \int_0^\infty \cos(y_j x_j) \left( \frac{\sin x_j}{x_j} \right)^{2m} dx_j, \end{aligned}$$

where  $A_{2m} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \left( \frac{\sin x}{x} \right)^{2m} dx$ .

Set  $|y| = (y_1^2 + \dots + y_d^2)^{1/2}$  and  $\|y\| = \max_{1 \leq j \leq d} |y_j|$ .  $\gamma(y)$  is a function of class  $C^{2k}$  on  $R^d$  and  $\gamma(y) \equiv 0$  on  $\|y\| \geq 2m$ . For  $a > 0$  set  $g_a(x) = a^{-d} g(a^{-1}x)$  and  $\gamma_a(y) = \gamma_a(ay)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int g_a(x) dx &= 1, \\ \int e^{i\langle x, y \rangle} g_a(x) dx &= \gamma_a(y). \end{aligned}$$

Now  $P_n(\cdot, h)$  is integrable and

$$\int e^{i\langle x, y \rangle} P_n(\sqrt{n}x, \sqrt{n}h) dx = h^d \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{1 - e^{-ihy_j}}{ihy_j} \phi^n \left( \frac{y}{\sqrt{n}} \right).$$

To complete the proof of Lemma 2 we need the following two propositions.

PROPOSITION 1.

$$(2.3) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Delta^k \left[ \phi^n \left( \frac{y}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \right] = \Delta^k [e^{-1/2 Q(y)}], \quad y \in R^d;$$

(2.4) for an arbitrary fixed  $B > 0$

$$\left| \Delta^k \left[ \phi^n \left( \frac{y}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \right] \right| \leq \text{constant}, \quad |y| \leq B;$$

$$(2.5) \quad \left| \Delta^k \left[ \phi^n \left( \frac{y}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \right] \right| \leq \text{constant} |y|^{2k} \left| \phi^{n-2k} \left( \frac{y}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \right|, \quad |y| \geq 1;$$

$$(2.6) \quad \left| \Delta^k \left[ \phi^n \left( \frac{y}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \right] \right| \leq \text{constant} e^{-1/4 Q(y)}, \quad |y| \leq \varepsilon \sqrt{n};$$

where  $\Delta^k$  is the  $k$ -th ( $k=0, 1, 2, \dots$ ) iteration of Laplace operator  $\Delta$ , the constant in (2.4) may depend on  $B$  but is independent of  $n$  and  $y$ , the constants in (2.5) and (2.6) are both independent of  $n$  and  $y$ , and as to  $\varepsilon > 0$  in (2.6) see Appendix.

We can show Proposition 1 in the same way of P. Ney and F. Spitzer [1] and [2].

Using Proposition 1, we next prove the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 2. Let

$$(2.7) \quad V_n(x, h, a) = |x|^{2k} \int g_a(x-y) P_n(\sqrt{n} y, \sqrt{n} h) dy.$$

For arbitrary fixed positive numbers  $\nu$  and  $\lambda$ , set  $h = \nu/\sqrt{n}$  and  $a = \lambda/\sqrt{n}$ . Then

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} V_n(x, h, a) &= (-1)^k \left( \frac{\nu}{2\pi\sqrt{n}} \right)^d \int e^{-i(x,y)} \Delta^k [e^{-1/2 Q(y)}] dy + o(n^{-d/2}) \\ &= |x|^{2k} \frac{\nu^d}{(2\pi n)^{d/2} |Q|^{1/2}} e^{-1/2 (x, Q^{-1}x)} + o(n^{-d/2}). \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. Put  $\prod_{j=1}^d (1 - e^{-ihy_j}) (ihy_j)^{-1} = f_h(y)$ , then we have by Fubini's theorem and Green's theorem

$$\begin{aligned} V_n(x, h, a) &= \left( \frac{h}{2\pi} \right)^d |x|^{2k} \int_{|y| \leq 2ma^{-1}} e^{-i(x,y)} \gamma_a(y) f_h(y) \phi \left( \frac{y}{\sqrt{n}} \right) dy \\ &= \left( \frac{h}{2\pi} \right)^d |x|^{2k} \int_{|y| \leq 4\sqrt{d}ma^{-1}} e^{-i(x,y)} \gamma_a(y) f_h(y) \phi^n \left( \frac{y}{\sqrt{n}} \right) dy \\ &= (-1)^k \left( \frac{h}{2\pi} \right)^d \int_{|y| \leq 4\sqrt{d}ma^{-1}} \Delta^k [e^{-i(x,y)}] \gamma_a(y) f_h(y) \phi^n \left( \frac{y}{\sqrt{n}} \right) dy \\ &= (-1)^k \left( \frac{h}{2\pi} \right)^d \int_{|y| \leq 4\sqrt{d}ma^{-1}} e^{-i(x,y)} \Delta^k \left[ \gamma_a(y) f_h(y) \phi^n \left( \frac{y}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \right] dy. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$I_1 = \int_{|y| \leq B} e^{-i(x,y)} \left( \gamma_a(y) f_h(y) \Delta^k \left[ \phi^n \left( \frac{y}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \right] - \Delta^k [e^{-1/2 Q(y)}] \right) dy;$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_2 &= \int_{B < |y| \leq \varepsilon \sqrt{n}} e^{-i(x,y)} \gamma_a(y) f_h(y) \Delta^k \left[ \phi^n \left( \frac{y}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \right] dy; \\
 I_3 &= \int_{\varepsilon \sqrt{n} < |y| \leq 4\sqrt{d} m a^{-1}} e^{-i(x,y)} \gamma_a(y) f_h(y) \Delta^k \left[ \phi^n \left( \frac{y}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \right] dy; \\
 I_4 &= - \int_{|y| > B} e^{-i(x,y)} \Delta^k [e^{-1/2 Q(y)}] dy; \\
 I_5 &= \left( \int_{|y| \leq B} + \int_{B < |y| \leq \varepsilon \sqrt{n}} + \int_{\varepsilon \sqrt{n} < |y| \leq 4\sqrt{d} m a^{-1}} \right) e^{-i(x,y)} H(y) dy;
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $H(y) = \Delta^k \left[ \gamma_a(y) f_h(y) \phi^n \left( \frac{y}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \right] - \gamma_a(y) f_h(y) \Delta^k \left[ \phi^n \left( \frac{y}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \right]$ .  $H(y)$  is a polynomial of partial derivatives of  $\gamma_a(y) f_h(y) \phi^n \left( \frac{y}{\sqrt{n}} \right)$  of the first  $2k$  order, each term involving at least the first partial derivatives of  $\gamma_a(y) f_h(y)$ . Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4 + I_5) &\times (-1)^k \left( \frac{h}{2\pi} \right)^d \\
 &= V_n(x, h, a) - (-1)^k \left( \frac{h}{2\pi} \right)^d \int e^{-i(x,y)} \Delta^k [e^{-1/2 Q(y)}] dy.
 \end{aligned}$$

In order to prove (2.8) it is sufficient to show that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_m = 0 \quad (1 \leq m \leq 5) \text{ uniformly for all } x \in R^d.$$

Since  $|\gamma_a(y)| \leq 1$ ,  $|f_h(y)| \leq 1$ , and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_a(y) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_h(y) = 1$ , it follows from (2.3) and (2.4) that

$$(2.9) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_1 = 0 \quad \text{uniformly for all } x \in R^d.$$

Using (2.6) for each given  $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ , we can choose  $B > 0$  independent of  $x$  such that

$$(2.10) \quad |I_2| < \varepsilon_2.$$

Nextly we prove that there exists a positive constant  $\delta$  independent of  $n$  (but may depend on  $\varepsilon, m$ , and  $\lambda$ ) such that

$$(2.11) \quad |I_3| \leq \text{constant } n^{d/2+k} (1-\delta)^{n-2k}.$$

Indeed since we can choose  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\left| \phi \left( \frac{y}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \right| < 1 - \delta \quad \text{for } \varepsilon \sqrt{n} < |y| \leq 4m \sqrt{d} \lambda^{-1} \sqrt{n}$$

Using (2.5) we have

$$|I_3| \leq \text{constant} (1-\delta)^{n-2k} \int_{|y| \leq 4m\sqrt{d} \lambda^{-1}\sqrt{n}} |y|^{2k} dy.$$

Now choosing  $B$  sufficiently large we get

$$(2.12) \quad |I_4| < \varepsilon_2$$

for a given fixed  $\varepsilon_2 > 0$ . Finally we prove

$$(2.13) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_5 = 0 \quad \text{uniformly for all } x \in R^d.$$

Note that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\partial^{|r|}}{\partial y_1^{r_1} \dots \partial y_d^{r_d}} (\gamma_a(y) f_h(y)) = 0 \quad \text{if } |r| \neq 0.$$

Then it follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|y| \leq B} e^{-i(x,y)} H(y) dy = 0 \quad \text{uniformly for all } x \in R^d,$$

because the derivatives of  $\phi^n\left(\frac{y}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$  and  $\gamma_a(y) f_h(y)$  are uniformly bounded on every compact set. Furthermore using the estimations similar to (2.5) and (2.6) for the derivative of  $\phi^n\left(\frac{y}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$ , we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B < |y| \leq \varepsilon \sqrt{n}} e^{-i(x,y)} H(y) dy = 0, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\varepsilon \sqrt{n} < |y| \leq 4m a^{-1} \sqrt{d}} e^{-i(x,y)} H(y) dy = 0$$

uniformly for all  $x \in R^d$ . That completes the proof.

PROOF OF LEMMA 2. The proof of Lemma 2 is as same as C. Stone's [4]. But for completeness we repeat it here.

Put  $p_k(x) = |x|^{2k} (2\pi)^{-d/2} |Q|^{-1/2} e^{-1/2(x, Q^{-1}x)}$  and  $p = \max_{x \in R^d} p_k(x)$ . Since  $p_k(x)$  is uniformly continuous, there is an  $h_1 > 0$  such that  $|p_k(x) - p_k(y)| \leq 1/4 \varepsilon$  if  $\|x - y\| \leq h_1$ . We choose a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $(1+2\delta)^d \leq 4/3$ ,  $(1+2\delta)^d - 1 \leq \varepsilon_1$ ,  $(1-2\delta)^d - 1 \geq -\varepsilon_1$ , and

$$\int_{\|x\| > 1/\delta} g(x) dx \leq \varepsilon_2,$$

where  $\varepsilon_1$  and  $\varepsilon_2$  are positive numbers satisfying

$$\left(p + \varepsilon_1 p + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon\right) (1 - \varepsilon_2)^{-1} - p \leq \varepsilon$$

and

$$\varepsilon_1 p + \varepsilon_2 (p + \varepsilon) \leq \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon.$$

Set  $i=(1, \dots, 1) \in R^d$ . By Proposition 2 we can find  $N>0$  such that for  $n \geq N$  and  $x \in R^d$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.14) \quad V_n\left(x - \frac{\delta\nu}{\sqrt{n}}i, \frac{(1+2\delta)\nu}{\sqrt{n}}, \frac{\delta^2\nu}{\sqrt{n}}\right) &\cong \left(\frac{(1+2\delta)\nu}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^d p\left(x - \frac{\delta\nu}{\sqrt{n}}i\right) + \varepsilon\left(\frac{\nu}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^d \\
 &\cong \left(\frac{(1+2\delta)\nu}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^d \left(p_k(x) + \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon\right) + \varepsilon\left(\frac{\nu}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^d \\
 &\cong \left(\frac{\nu}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^d \left(p_k(x) + \varepsilon_1 p + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon\right),
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.15) \quad V_n\left(x + \frac{\delta\nu}{\sqrt{n}}i, \frac{(1-2\delta)\nu}{\sqrt{n}}, \frac{\delta^2\nu}{\sqrt{n}}\right) &\cong \left(\frac{(1-2\delta)\nu}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^d p_k\left(x + \frac{\delta\nu}{\sqrt{n}}i\right) - \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon\left(\frac{\nu}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^d \\
 &\cong \left(\frac{(1-2\delta)\nu}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^d \left(p_k(x) - \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon\right) - \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon\left(\frac{\nu}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^d \\
 &\cong \left(\frac{\nu}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^d \left(p_k(x) - \varepsilon_1 p - \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon\right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$(2.16) \quad P_n\left(\sqrt{n}\left(x - \frac{\delta\nu}{\sqrt{n}}i - y\right), (1+2\delta)\nu\right) \cong P_n(\sqrt{n}x, \nu), \quad \|y\| \cong \frac{\delta\nu}{\sqrt{n}}$$

and

$$(2.17) \quad P_n\left(\sqrt{n}\left(x + \frac{\delta\nu}{\sqrt{n}}i - y\right), (1-2\delta)\nu\right) \leq P_n(\sqrt{n}x, \nu), \quad \|y\| \leq \frac{\delta\nu}{\sqrt{n}}.$$

By (2.16) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.18) \quad &V_n\left(x - \frac{\delta\nu}{\sqrt{n}}i, \frac{(1+2\delta)\nu}{\sqrt{n}}, \frac{\delta^2\nu}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \\
 &\cong |x|^{2k} \int_{\|y\| \leq \delta\nu/\sqrt{n}} \frac{g_{\delta^2\nu}(y)}{\sqrt{n}} P_n\left(\sqrt{n}\left(x - \frac{\delta\nu}{\sqrt{n}}i - y\right), (1+2\delta)\nu\right) dy \\
 &\cong |x|^{2k} P_n(\sqrt{n}x, \nu) \int_{\|y\| \leq \delta\nu/\sqrt{n}} \frac{g_{\delta^2\nu}(y)}{\sqrt{n}} dy \\
 &\cong (1-\varepsilon_2)|x|^{2k} P_n(\sqrt{n}x, \nu).
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore by (2.14) and (2.18) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.19) \quad |x|^{2k} P_n(\sqrt{n}x, \nu) &\cong \left(\frac{\nu}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^d (p_k(x) + \varepsilon_1 p + \varepsilon) (1-\varepsilon_2)^{-1} \\
 &\cong \left(\frac{\nu}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^d (p_k(x) + \varepsilon).
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}
& V_n\left(x + \frac{\delta\nu}{\sqrt{n}}i, \frac{(1-2\delta)\nu}{\sqrt{n}}, \frac{\delta^2\nu}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \\
&= |x|^{2k} \int_{y \leq \delta\nu/\sqrt{n}} \frac{g_{2k}(y)}{\sqrt{n}} P_n\left(\sqrt{n}\left(x + \frac{\delta\nu}{\sqrt{n}}i - y\right), (1-2\delta)\nu\right) dy \\
&+ |x|^{2k} \int_{y \geq \delta\nu/\sqrt{n}} \frac{g_{2k}(y)}{\sqrt{n}} P_n\left(\sqrt{n}\left(x + \frac{\delta\nu}{\sqrt{n}}i - y\right), (1-2\delta)\nu\right) dy \\
&= J_1 + J_2.
\end{aligned}$$

By (2.17) we get

$$J_1 \leq |x|^{2k} P_n(\sqrt{n}x, \nu).$$

Noting that the equality

$$\begin{aligned}
& V_n\left(x + \frac{\delta\nu}{\sqrt{n}}i, \frac{(1-2\delta)\nu}{\sqrt{n}}, \frac{\delta^2\nu}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \\
&= \left(\frac{(1-2\delta)\nu}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^d p_k\left(x + \frac{\delta\nu}{\sqrt{n}}i\right) + o(n^{-d/2}) \\
&= \left(\frac{\nu}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^d p_k(x) + o(n^{-d/2})
\end{aligned}$$

holds by (2.8), we can see that

$$J_2 \leq \left(\frac{\nu}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^d (p + \varepsilon)\varepsilon_2.$$

Therefore we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.20) \quad & V_n\left(x + \frac{\delta\nu}{\sqrt{n}}i, \frac{(1-2\delta)\nu}{\sqrt{n}}, \frac{\delta^2\nu}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \\
& \leq |x|^{2k} P_n(\sqrt{n}x, \nu) + \left(\frac{\nu}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^d (p + \varepsilon)\varepsilon_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus by (2.15) and (2.20) we obtain

$$(2.21) \quad |x|^{2k} P_n(\sqrt{n}x, \nu) \geq \left(\frac{\nu}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^d (p_k(x) - \varepsilon).$$

Since  $\varepsilon$  is independent of  $x$  we may replace  $x$  by  $x/\sqrt{n}$  in the inequalities (2.19) and (2.21). Thus the proof of Lemma 2 is complete.

PROOF OF THE THEOREM. By Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 we have for  $x \neq 0$



$$(2.22) \quad |x|^{d-2}P_n(x, \nu) = |x|^{d-2}(2n\pi)^{-d/2}\nu^d|Q|^{-1/2}e^{-1/2n(x, Qx^{-1}x)} \\ + |x|^{d-2}n^{-d/2}E_1(n, x),$$

and

$$(2.23) \quad |x|^{d-2}P_n(x, \nu) = |x|^{d-2}(2n\pi)^{-d/2}\nu^d|Q|^{-1/2}e^{-1/2n(x, Q^{-1}x)} \\ + |x|^{d-2-2k}n^{-d/2+k}E_2(n, x),$$

respectively. Here  $k=[d/2]$ . Both of the error terms  $E_1(n, x)$  and  $E_2(n, x)$  have the property of tending to zero as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , uniformly in  $x$ .

Let us investigate the asymptotic behavior of

$$|x|^{d-2}U\{A+x\} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x|^{d-2}P_n(x, \nu)$$

as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ . Set

$$S(x) = (2\pi)^{-d/2}\nu^d|Q|^{-1/2}|x|^{d-2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-d/2}e^{-1/2n(x, -1x)}.$$

Put  $(x, Q^{-1}x)^{-1} = \Delta$ , then

$$S(x) = \frac{(2\pi)^{-d/2}\nu^d|Q|^{-1/2}|x|^{d-2}}{(x, Q^{-1}x)^{d/2-1}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n\Delta)^{-d/2}e^{-(2n\Delta)^{-1}\Delta}.$$

Since  $\Delta \rightarrow 0$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ , the sum on the righthand side tends the convergent improper Riemann integral

$$\int_0^{\infty} t^{-d/2}e^{-1/2t}dt = \frac{2^d\Gamma(d/2)}{d-2}.$$

Therefore

$$(2.24) \quad S(x) \sim \nu^d\pi^{-d/2}(d-2)^{-1}\Gamma(d/2)|Q|^{-1/2}(x, Q^{-1}x)^{1-d/2}|x|^{d-2} \text{ as } |x| \rightarrow \infty.$$

We now only have to explain why the error terms do not contribute to our result. We shall use (2.23) for the range  $1 \leq n \leq [|x|^2]$ . Since the contribution of the principal terms in (2.24) is positive, we have to show that

$$(2.25) \quad \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} |x|^{d-2-2k} \sum_{n=1}^{[|x|^2]} n^{-d/2+k} |E_2(n, x)| \\ + \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} |x|^{d-2} \sum_{n=[|x|^2]+1}^{\infty} n^{-d/2} |E_1(n, x)| = 0.$$

From (1.6) any finite number of terms in the first sum is zero. We choose  $M$  so large that  $\sup |E_2(n, x)| < \varepsilon$  whenever  $n \geq M$ . Then

$$|x|^{d-2-2k} \sum_{n=M}^{[|x|^2]} n^{-d/2+k} |E_2(n, x)|$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \varepsilon |x|^{d-2-2k} \sum_{n=M}^{\lfloor |x|^2 \rfloor} n^{-d/2+k} \\ &\leq \varepsilon |x|^{d-2-2k} \sum_{n=1}^{\lfloor |x|^2 \rfloor} n^{-d/2+k} \leq \varepsilon k_1 \end{aligned}$$

for some positive  $k_1$  independent of  $\varepsilon$  and  $x$ . Since  $\varepsilon$  is arbitrary, the first limit in (2.25) is zero. The second limit is also zero since

$$\begin{aligned} |x|^{d-2} \sum_{n=\lfloor |x|^2 \rfloor + 1}^{\infty} n^{-d/2} |E_1(n, x)| &\leq |x|^{d-2} \sup_{n > \lfloor |x|^2 \rfloor} |E_1(n, x)| \sum_{n=\lfloor |x|^2 \rfloor + 1}^{\infty} n^{-d/2} \\ &\leq k_2 \sup_{n > \lfloor |x|^2 \rfloor} |E_1(n, x)|, \end{aligned}$$

where  $k_2$  is a positive constant independent of  $x$ . This completes the proof.

### Appendix

(2.3) can be shown by expanding the derivative on the left and then taking limits  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} \phi \left( \frac{y}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \right| &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \left| \int (e^{i(x \cdot \frac{y}{\sqrt{n}})} - 1) x_j F(dx) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{|y|}{n} \int |x|^2 F(dx), \end{aligned}$$

and for  $|r| \geq 2$

$$\left| \frac{\partial^{|r|}}{\partial y_1^{r_1} \cdots \partial y_d^{r_d}} \phi \left( \frac{y}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \right| \leq n^{-r/2} \int |x_1^{r_1} \cdots x_d^{r_d}| F(dx), \quad r_1 + \cdots + r_d = |r|,$$

we get (2.4) and (2.5).

Next, using the fact that

$$\frac{1 - \phi(y)}{Q(y)} = \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{as } |y| \rightarrow 0 \quad (\text{see P7.7 of [2]}),$$

we see that  $\varepsilon$  can be chosen sufficiently small so that

$$\left| \phi^n \left( \frac{y}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \right| \leq e^{-1/4 Q(y)} \quad \text{for } |y| \leq \varepsilon \sqrt{n}.$$

Then we have (2.6) immediately.

**Bibliography**

- [1] Ney, P. and Spitzer, F.: The Martin Boundary For Random Walk, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 121, 116-132, 1966.
- [2] Spitzer, F.: Principles of Random Walk, Van Nostrand, 1964.
- [3] Stam, A.J.: Renewal theory in dimensions(1), Compositio Mathematica, vol. 21, Fac, 4, 383-399, 1969.
- [4] Stone, C.J.: A local limit theorem for multidimensional distribution functions, Ann. Math. Stat. 36, 546-551, 1965.

Institute of Mathematics  
University of Tsukuba  
Ibaraki, 300-31, Japan