

## GEODESIC HYPERSPHERES IN COMPLEX PROJECTIVE SPACE

By

Tohru GOTOH

### 1. Introduction

Let  $P^n\mathbf{C}$  be an  $n(\geq 2)$ -dimensional complex projective space with the Fubini-Study metric of constant holomorphic sectional curvature 4. A first interesting progress in the theory of real hypersurfaces in complex projective space is R. Takagi's work on homogeneous real hypersurfaces. In [T1], he classified all the homogeneous real hypersurfaces in  $P^n\mathbf{C}$  into six types,  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$ ,  $B$ ,  $C$ ,  $D$  and  $E$ . A real hypersurface of type  $A_1$  is also called a geodesic hypersphere, which can be characterized as a real hypersurface with two constant principal curvatures [T2]. Furthermore he characterized real hypersurfaces of type  $A_2$  and  $B$  as those with three constant principal curvatures [T3]. Next important studies are found in [C-R]. In thier paper [C-R], T.E. Cecil and P.J. Ryan investigated a real hypersurface which lies in a tube over a submanifold in  $P^n\mathbf{C}$ . Especially, they found that every homogeneous real hypersurface in Takagi's classification can be realized as a tube of a constant radius over a compact Hermitian symmetric space of rank 1 or rank 2: Every homogeneous real hypersurface in  $P^n\mathbf{C}$  is locally congruent to a tube of radius  $r$  over one of the following ;

- ( $A_1$ ) hyperplane  $P^{n-1}\mathbf{C}$ , where  $0 < r < \pi/2$ ,
- ( $A_2$ ) totally geodesic  $P^k\mathbf{C}(1 \leq k \leq n-1)$ , where  $0 < r < \pi/2$ ,
- ( $B$ ) complex quadric  $Q^{n-1}$ , where  $0 < r < \pi/4$ ,
- ( $C$ )  $P^1\mathbf{C} \times P^{(n-1)/2}\mathbf{C}$ , where  $0 < r < \pi/4$  and  $n$  is odd,
- ( $D$ ) complex Grassmann  $G_{2,s}\mathbf{C}$ , where  $0 < r < \pi/4$  and  $n=9$ ,
- ( $E$ ) Hermitian symmetric space  $SO(10)/U(5)$ , where  $0 < r < \pi/4$  and  $n=15$ .

On the other hand, many differential geometers have studied real hypersurfaces in  $P^n\mathbf{C}$  by making use of the almost contact structure induced from  $P^n\mathbf{C}$ . For example, M. Okumura [Ok] proved that a real hypersurface is of type  $A_1$  or  $A_2$  if and only if the almost contact structure commutes with the second fundamental form of it.

In this paper, we characterize a geodesic hypersphere by a certain condition on the second fundamental form (Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.2.).

The author is grateful to Prof. Ryoichi Takagi for advices and encouragement.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let  $M$  be a real hypersurface in  $P^n\mathbb{C}$ . The Riemannian metrics of  $P^n\mathbb{C}$  and  $M$  are denoted by the same letter  $g$ , while the Riemannian connections of them are denoted by  $\nabla^P$  and  $\nabla$  respectively. Let  $\nu$  be a (local) field of unit normal vector of  $M$ . Then Gauss's and Weingarten's formulas are given as

$$(2.1) \quad \nabla_X^P Y = \nabla_X Y + g(AX, Y),$$

$$(2.2) \quad \nabla_X^P \nu = -AX,$$

for any vector fields  $X$  and  $Y$ . Here  $A$  is an endomorphism of the tangent bundle  $TM$  of  $M$  which is defined by (2.2) and called the shape operator in the direction  $\nu$ . Let  $J$  denote the complex structure of  $P^n\mathbb{C}$ . Then we define  $\phi$  of type  $(1, 1)$ , a vector field  $\xi$  and a 1-form  $\eta$  on  $M$  as follows:

$$(2.3) \quad \phi X = (JX)^\top, \quad \xi = -J\nu, \quad \text{and} \quad \eta(X) = g(X, \xi),$$

where  $\cdot^\top: TP^n\mathbb{C} \rightarrow TM$  indicates the orthogonal projection. From definitions above we obtain

$$(2.4) \quad \phi^2 = -I + \eta \otimes \xi, \quad \phi \xi = 0, \quad \eta(\xi) = 0,$$

where  $I$  denotes the identity transformation of  $TM$ . We also obtain

$$(2.5) \quad \nabla_X \phi(Y) = \eta(Y)AX - g(AX, Y)\xi,$$

$$(2.6) \quad \nabla_X \xi = \phi AX.$$

Let  $R^P$  and  $R$  denote the curvature tensor of  $P^n\mathbb{C}$  and  $M$  respectively. Then since  $R^P$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} R^P(X, Y)Z &= g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y \\ &\quad + g(JY, Z)JX - g(JX, Z)JY + 2g(X, JY)JZ, \end{aligned}$$

the equations of Gauss and Codazzi are respectively given as follows:

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} R(X, Y)Z &= g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y + g(\phi Y, Z)\phi X - g(\phi X, Z)\phi Y \\ &\quad - 2g(\phi X, Y)\phi Z + g(AY, Z)AX - g(AX, Z)AY, \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.7) \quad \nabla_X A(Y) - \nabla_Y A(X) = \eta(X)\phi Y - \eta(Y)\phi X - 2g(\phi X, Y)\xi.$$

Finally we recall the Ricci formula. For each tensor field  $T$  of type  $(r, s)$ , its covariant derivative  $\nabla T$ , a tensor field of type  $(r, s+1)$ , is defined by

$$\nabla T(X_1, \dots, X_s; X) = \nabla_X T(X_1, \dots, X_s).$$

Then the second covariant derivative  $\nabla^2 T = \nabla \nabla T$  is computed as

$$(2.8) \quad \nabla^2 T(X_1, \dots, X_s; X; Y) = \nabla_Y \nabla_X T(X_1, \dots, X_s) - \nabla_{\nabla_Y X} T(X_1, \dots, X_s).$$

From (2.8) we have the following which is known as the Ricci formula:

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla^2 T(X_1, \dots, X_s; X; Y) - \nabla^2 T(X_1, \dots, X_s; Y; X) \\ = -(R(X, Y)T)(X_1, \dots, X_s), \end{aligned}$$

where  $R(X, Y)$  acts on  $T$  as a derivation.

### 3. Key lemma

In the study of real hypersurfaces of  $P^n C$ , it is a crucial condition that the structure vector  $\xi$  is principal. In fact in proofs of many known results, it seems that the most difficult part is to show that  $\xi$  is principal under a certain condition. For this reason, this section is devoted to show the following lemma:

LEMMA 3.1. *Assume  $n \geq 3$  and the shape operator  $A$  satisfies*

$$(R(Y, Z)A)X = 0$$

*for each vector  $X, Y, Z$  perpendicular to  $\xi$ . Then  $\xi$  is principal.*

PROOF. We denote by  $\xi^\perp$  the subbundle of  $TM$  consisting of vectors perpendicular to  $\xi$ . In what follows  $e_1, \dots, e_{2n-2}$  stand for an orthonormal basis of  $\xi^\perp$  at a point in  $M$ , and the index  $j$  runs from 1 to  $2n-2$ .

On account of (2.6) and the condition, the following holds:

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} g(Z, AX)Y - g(Y, AX)Z + g(\phi Z, AX)\phi Y - g(\phi Y, AX)\phi Z \\ - 2g(\phi Y, Z)\phi AX + g(AZ, AX)AY - g(AY, AX)AZ \\ - g(Z, X)AY + g(Y, X)AZ - g(\phi Z, X)A\phi Y + g(\phi Y, X)A\phi Z \\ + 2g(\phi Y, Z)A\phi X - g(AZ, X)A^2 Y + g(AY, X)A^2 Z \\ = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $X, Y, Z$  are tangent vectors perpendicular to  $\xi$ . Putting  $X = e_j$  and  $Z = \phi e_j$  in (3.2), and taking summation on  $j$ , we obtain

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & -\{TrA-\eta(A\xi)\}\phi Y-3\phi AY+(2n+1)A\phi Y \\ & -A\phi A^2Y+A^2\phi AY-\eta(A\phi Y)\xi=0. \end{aligned}$$

Taking  $\xi$ - and  $Y$ -component of (3.3) to get

$$(3.4) \quad 2n\eta(A\phi Y)-\eta(A\phi A^2Y)+\eta(A^2\phi AY)=0$$

and

$$(3.5) \quad (2n+4)g(A\phi Y, Y)+2g(A^2\phi AY, Y)=0.$$

Note that  $TrA\phi=TrA^2\phi A=0$  because  $A$  is symmetric and  $\phi$  is skew-symmetric. Therefore putting  $Y=e_j$  in (3.5) and taking summation on  $j$ ,

$$(3.6) \quad g(A^2\phi A\xi, \xi)=0.$$

Now define a cross section  $U$  of  $\xi^\perp$  and a smooth function  $\alpha$  on  $M$  by

$$A\xi=U+\alpha\xi.$$

Then  $\phi A\xi=\phi U$  and  $A^2\xi=AU+\alpha U+\alpha^2\xi$ , so (3.6) implies

$$(3.7) \quad g(\phi U, AU)=\eta(A^2\phi U)=0.$$

Using (3.7), we also have

$$(3.8) \quad g(A^2U, \phi U)=0$$

by putting  $Y=U$  in (3.4). We also note

$$(3.9) \quad g(\phi U, A\xi)=\eta(A\phi U)=0.$$

Thus from (3.7) and (3.9), we get the following by putting  $Z=U$  and  $X=\phi U$  in (3.2):

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} & -g(Y, A\phi U)U-g(\phi Y, A\phi U)\phi U+g(\phi U, A\phi U)\phi Y-2g(\phi Y, U)\phi A\phi U \\ & -g(A\phi Y, A\phi U)AU+3g(Y, \phi U)AU-\|U\|^2A\phi Y+g(Y, U)A\phi U \\ & +g(A\phi Y, \phi U)A^2U=0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\|U\|^2=g(U, U)$ . Taking  $\phi U$ -component of (3.10),

$$g(g(A\phi U, \phi U)U+\|U\|^2\phi A\phi U, Y)=0.$$

Since this equation holds for all  $Y$  perpendicular to  $\xi$ , we obtain

$$(3.11) \quad -\|U\|^2A\phi U=g(\phi A\phi U, U)\phi U.$$

Now suppose  $\|U\|^2 \neq 0$  at a point, say  $x$ . Then a contradiction is derived as follows. In this case, by virtue of (3.11), there exists a certain real number  $\lambda$  such that

$$(3.12) \quad A\phi U = \lambda\phi U.$$

That is,  $\phi U$  is principal curvature vector with principal curvature  $\lambda$ . Then (3.10) is reduced to

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{aligned} & -3\lambda g(Y, \phi U)U + \lambda\|U\|^2\phi Y + (3-\lambda^2)g(Y, \phi U)AU \\ & -\|U\|^2A\phi Y + \lambda g(Y, \phi U)A^2U = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore if  $Y$  is perpendicular to all of  $U, \phi U$  and  $\xi$ ,

$$\lambda\|U\|^2\phi Y - \|U\|^2A\phi Y = 0,$$

so that

$$A\phi Y = \lambda\phi Y.$$

Now let  $T_x M = V \oplus \text{span}\{U, \xi\}$  be the orthogonal decomposition. Then the above argument implies

$$(3.14) \quad A|_V = \lambda I_V,$$

where  $I_V$  stands for the identity transformation of  $V$ . Further we decompose  $V$  orthogonally as  $V = V' \oplus \text{span}\{\phi U\}$ . Note that  $\dim V' \geq 1$  by the assumption  $n \geq 3$ . Since  $V'$  is invariant by  $\phi$ , (3.3) reduces to

$$-\{Tr A - \alpha\}\phi Y - 3\lambda\phi Y + (2n+1)\lambda\phi Y = 0,$$

for each  $Y \in V'$ . So we have

$$(3.15) \quad Tr A - (2n-2)\lambda - \alpha = 0.$$

On the other hand, (3.14) implies

$$(3.16) \quad Tr A = (2n-3)\lambda + g(AU, U) + \alpha.$$

Thus  $g(AU, U) = \lambda$ , which implies

$$(3.17) \quad AU = \lambda U + \|U\|^2\xi,$$

and

$$(3.18) \quad A^2U = (\lambda^2 + \|U\|^2)U + (\alpha + \lambda)\|U\|^2\xi.$$

Putting  $Y = \phi U$  in (3.13) and substituting (3.17), (3.18) into it, we get

$$\lambda\|U\|^4U + (\alpha\lambda + 4)\|U\|^4\xi = 0,$$

which contradicts to  $\|U\|^2 \neq 0$ . Consequently  $U = 0$  and  $\xi$  is principal. ■

Next lemma is contained in previous Lemma (3.1) in the case  $n \geq 3$ , but is verified even in the case  $n = 2$ :

LEMMA 3.19. Assume the shape operator  $A$  satisfies

$$(\nabla^2 A)(X; Y; Z) = f\{g(X, \phi Y)\phi Z + g(X, \phi Z)\phi Y\}$$

for all  $X, Y, Z$  perpendicular to  $\xi$ , where  $f$  in a  $C^\infty$ -function on  $M$ . Then  $\xi$  is principal.

PROOF. By making use of the equation of Codazzi (2.7), we find the following formula in general:

$$\begin{aligned} (3.20) \quad & (\nabla^2 A)(X; Y; Z) - (\nabla^2 A)(Y; X; Z) \\ & = g(Y, \phi AZ)\phi X - g(X, \phi AZ)\phi Y - 2g(X, \phi Y)\phi AZ \\ & \quad + 3\{\eta(X)g(AY, Z) - \eta(Y)g(AX, Z)\}\xi, \end{aligned}$$

for arbitrary tangent vectors  $X, Y, Z$ .

Therefore the condition and (3.20) implies

$$\begin{aligned} (3.21) \quad & -f\{g(Y, \phi Z)\phi X - g(X, \phi Z)\phi Y - 2g(X, \phi Y)\} \\ & = g(Y, \phi AZ)\phi X - g(X, \phi AZ)\phi Y - 2g(X, \phi Y)\phi AZ. \end{aligned}$$

Putting  $Y = \phi X$  in (3.21) and taking  $\phi X$ -component, we obtain

$$(3.22) \quad AZ = -fZ + \eta(AZ)\xi,$$

for all  $Z$  perpendicular to  $\xi$ .

On the other hand, the condition and the Ricci formula (2.9) implies

$$(R(Y, Z)A)X = 0$$

for all vectors  $X, Y, Z$  perpendicular to  $\xi$ . In what follows we use notation in the proof of lemma (3.1). Suppose  $U \neq 0$  at a point. Then from (3.12) and (3.22),  $-f = \lambda$  at the point, so that

$$AU = \lambda U + \|U\|^2 \xi.$$

This derives a contradiction by a similar argument in the proof of lemma (3.1). ■

Type number at  $x \in M$  is, by definition, the rank of linear transformation  $A$ , and denoted by  $t(x)$ . As a result of this proof, we obtain

PROPOSITION 3.23. There exist no real hypersurfaces in  $P^n C$  satisfying

$$(\nabla^2 A)(X; Y; Z) = 0$$

for all  $X, Y, Z$  perpendicular to  $\xi$ .

PROOF. Since  $\xi$  is principal under the condition as  $f=0$  on  $M$  in Lemma (3.9), (3.22) reduces to  $AZ=0$ . Thus  $t(x)\leq 1$  at each  $x\in M$ . However it is known that any real hypersurface has a point  $x$  with  $t(x)>1$  (cf. p. 156 [Y-K], see all so [T1]). This contradiction shows the assertion. ■

**4. Theorems**

In this section we will prove the following two Theorems:

THEOREM 4.1. *Let  $M$  be a real hypersurface in  $P^n\mathbb{C}$ ,  $n\geq 3$ . If the shape operator  $A$  satisfies*

$$(R(Y, Z)A)X=0$$

*for all tangent vectors  $X, Y, Z$  perpendicular to  $\xi$ , then  $M$  is locally congruent to a geodesic hypersphere.*

THEOREM 4.2. *Let  $M$  be a real hypersurface in  $P^n\mathbb{C}$ ,  $n\geq 2$ . If the shape operator  $A$  satisfies*

$$(\nabla^2 A)(X; Y; Z)=f\{g(X, \phi Y)\phi Z+g(X, \phi Z)\phi Y\}$$

*for all tangent vectors  $X, Y, Z$  perpendicular to  $\xi$ , where  $f$  is a  $C^\infty$ -function on  $M$ , then  $f$  is non-zero constant and  $M$  is locally congruent to a geodesic hypersphere.*

For proof we need the following results:

FACT 4.3. ([K-Ms]) *Let  $M$  be a real hypersurface in  $P^n\mathbb{C}$ ,  $n\geq 2$ . Suppose that  $M$  satisfies*

$$\mathfrak{S}_{X,Y,Z}(R(Y, Z)A)X=0$$

*for all  $X, Y, Z\in TM$ . Here  $\mathfrak{S}_{X,Y,Z}$  indicates cyclic sum with respect to  $X, Y, Z$ . Then  $M$  is locally congruent to one of the following:*

- (i) *a geodesic hypersphere,  $n\geq 3$ ,*
- (ii) *a real hypersurface in  $P^2\mathbb{C}$  on which  $\xi$  is a principal curvature vector.*

FACT 4.4. ([T2]) *If  $M$  is a connected complete real hypersurface in  $P^n\mathbb{C}$  with two constant principal curvatures, then  $M$  is a geodesic hypersphere. If we do not assume the completeness of  $M$ ,  $M$  is locally congruent to a geodesic hypersphere.*

PROOF OF THEOREM 4.1. We have seen in Lemma (3.1) that the structure vector  $\xi$  is principal under the condition. Then it is easy to verify  $\mathfrak{S}_{X,Y,Z}$

$(R(Y, Z)A)X=0$  for all tangent vectors  $X, Y, Z$ . Therefore our assertion comes from Fact (4.3). ■

REMARK 4.5. Maeda [Ms] proved that there exist no real hypersurfaces in  $P^n\mathbb{C}$ ,  $n \geq 3$ , satisfying  $RA \equiv 0$ .

PROOF OF THEOREM 4.2. Theorem 4.2 is contained in Theorem 4.1 in the case  $n \geq 3$ , but we proceed independently.

Since  $\xi$  is principal by Lemma (3.19), let  $Y$  be a (local) vector field orthogonal to  $\xi$  such that  $AY = \lambda Y$ . Then it is known ([My]) that

$$A\phi Y = \frac{\alpha\lambda + 2}{2\lambda - \alpha} \phi Y.$$

Putting  $X = Z = \phi Y$  in (3.2) to get

$$-2\alpha\lambda^4 + (2\alpha^2 - 20)\lambda^3 + 30\alpha\lambda^2 + (20 - 8\alpha^2)\lambda - 8\alpha = 0.$$

It is also known ([My]) that  $\alpha$  is locally constant. Thus  $\lambda$  is constant. On the other hand, from (3.22)

$$A|\xi^\perp = -fI_{\xi^\perp},$$

and so  $f = -\lambda$  is constant. Consequently  $M$  has two constant principal curvatures. Therefore Fact (4.4) implies the assertion. Moreover this constant  $f$  is not zero by Proposition (3.23). ■

### References

- [C-R] Cecil, T.E. and Ryan, P.J., Focal sets and real hypersurfaces in complex projective space, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 269 (1982), 481-499.
- [K-Ms] Kimura, M. and Maeda, S., On real hypersurfaces of a complex projective space III, *Hokkaido Math. J.* 22 (1993), 63-78.
- [Ms] Maeda, S., Real hypersurfaces of complex projective spaces, *Math. Ann.* 263 (1983), 473-478.
- [My] Maeda, Y., On real hypersurfaces in a complex projective space, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* 26 (1976), 529-540.
- [Ok] Okumura, M., On some real hypersurfaces of a complex projective space, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 212 (1975), 355-364.
- [T1] Takagi, R., On homogeneous real hypersurfaces in a complex projective space, *Osaka J. Math.* 10 (1973), 495-506.
- [T2] Real hypersurfaces in a complex projective space with constant principal curvatures, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* 27 (1975), 43-53.
- [T3] Real hypersurfaces in a complex projective space with constant principal curvatures II, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* 27 (1975), 507-516.
- [Y-K] Yano, K. and Kon, M., "CR-submanifolds of Kaehlerian and Sasakian manifolds", Birkäuser, 1983.



Department of Mathematics  
Faculty of Science  
Chiba University  
Chiba-city, 263  
Chiba

Present Address  
Department of Mathematics  
College of Liberal Arts  
Kanazawa University  
Kanazawa, 920-11