

COMPACTNESS CRITERIA FOR RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLDS WITH COMPACT UNSTABLE MINIMAL HYPERSURFACES

By

Kazuo AKUTAGAWA

1. Introduction

In this paper, we shall prove the following Theorem.

THEOREM A. *Let N be a complete Riemannian manifold with a compact embedded unstable minimal hypersurface M . Suppose that there exists a positive constant s_0 such that along each unit speed geodesic $\gamma: [0, \infty) \rightarrow N$ emanating from each point in the tubular neighborhood $U_{s_0}(M) := \{q \in N; \text{dist}_N(q, M) < s_0\}$ the Ricci curvature satisfies*

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^r \text{Ric}_N(d\gamma/dt, d\gamma/dt) dt \geq 0.$$

Then N is compact.

The Myers' theorem [11] is one of the most well-known results relating the curvature and the topology of a complete Riemannian manifold N , which states that if the Ricci curvature has a positive lower bound then N is compact. In [1], Ambrose proved a generalization of Myers' theorem, that is, if there is a point $q \in N$ such that along each unit speed geodesic $\gamma: [0, \infty) \rightarrow N$ emanating from q the Ricci curvature satisfies

$$\int_0^\infty \text{Ric}_N(d\gamma/dt, d\gamma/dt) dt = +\infty$$

then N is compact. It should be pointed out that in this result the Ricci curvature is not required to be everywhere nonnegative. Further developments can be found in Galloway [9] and different sorts of extensions of Myers' theorem can be found in Avez [3], Calabi [5] and Shiohama [12].

Theorem A is an Ambrose-type theorem for Riemannian manifolds with compact embedded unstable hypersurfaces (see also Remark in section 3). It should be also pointed out that in Theorem A the existence of the global unit normal vector field on M is not required.

The author would like to express his sincere gratitude to the referee for kind advices.

§ 2. Definitions and formulas

Let $N=(N, g)$ be a complete Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 2$ with a compact embedded hypersurface M . We choose a local orthonormal frame field $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ in N such that, restricted to M , the vectors $\{e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}\}$ are tangent to M . Let denote the Levi-Civita connection of N by ∇ , the component normal to M by $(\cdot)^{\perp}$ and the restriction of e_n to M by ν . The second fundamental form A_M of M is defined by

$$A_M(X, Y)\nu = (\nabla_X Y)^{\perp},$$

where X and Y are local vector fields on M . M is called *minimal* if $H_M = \text{Trace } A_M$ is identically zero.

We shall derive the equation $H_M=0$ by another elegant way. For a smooth function $f \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathcal{D}(\nu))$ with compact support in $\mathcal{D}(\nu)$ and a small positive constant δ , let $\{M(\varepsilon f; \nu)\}_{\varepsilon \in (-\delta, \delta)}$ denote the one-parameter family of hypersurfaces $\{S(\varepsilon f; \nu) \cup \{M - \mathcal{D}(\nu)\}\}_{\varepsilon \in (-\delta, \delta)}$, where $\mathcal{D}(\nu)$ is the domain of ν and $S(\varepsilon f; \nu) = \{\exp_x \varepsilon f(x)\nu \in N; x \in \mathcal{D}(\nu)\}$. We then get a local deformation $\{M(\varepsilon f; \nu)\}_{\varepsilon \in (-\delta, \delta)}$ of M . Let $\mathcal{A}(\cdot)$ denote the $(n-1)$ -dimensional area functional of hypersurfaces. Then $\mathcal{A}(M(\varepsilon f; \nu))$ is class of C^{∞} with respect to ε and

$$\left. \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \mathcal{A}(M(\varepsilon f; \nu)) \right|_{\varepsilon=0} = - \int_M f \cdot H_M dv_g,$$

where dv_g is the induced volume element of M . If M is a critical point of \mathcal{A} , then $H_M=0$.

Suppose that M is minimal. Then

$$(1) \quad \left. \frac{d^2}{d\varepsilon^2} \mathcal{A}(M(\varepsilon f; \nu)) \right|_{\varepsilon=0} = \int_M [|\nabla^M f|^2 - (\text{Ric}_N(\nu, \nu) + |A_M|^2)f^2] dv_g,$$

where $\nabla^M f = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} e_i(f) \cdot e_i$ and $|A_M|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [A_M(e_i, e_i)]^2$. M is called *unstable* if there exist a local unit normal vector field ν on M and a smooth function $f \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathcal{D}(\nu))$ such that

$$\left. \frac{d^2}{d\varepsilon^2} \mathcal{A}(M(\varepsilon f; \nu)) \right|_{\varepsilon=0} < 0.$$

For later references, we also give the second variational formula of arc length functional of rays with respect to special variations. Let $\gamma: [0, \infty) \rightarrow N$ be a ray satisfying $\gamma(0) \in M$ and $\text{dist}_N(M, \gamma(t)) = \text{dist}_N(\gamma(0), \gamma(t)) (=t)$ for all $t \geq 0$. Let $\mathcal{L}(\cdot)$ denote the arc length functional. We note that for each $r > 0$ $\gamma|_{[0, r]}$

is a critical point of \mathcal{L} . Choose a local orthonormal frame field $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ in N around $\gamma(0)$ such that, restricted to M , the vectors $\{e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}\}$ are tangent to M and the vector $\nu=e_n|_M$ satisfies $\nu(\gamma(0))=(d\gamma/dt)(0)$. Let $\gamma_{i,r}: [0, r] \times (-\delta, \delta) \rightarrow N$ be a variation of $\gamma|_{[0, r]}$ satisfying $\gamma_{i,r}(\{0\} \times (-\delta, \delta)) \subset M$, $\gamma_{i,r}(\{r\} \times (-\delta, \delta)) = \gamma(r)$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon} \gamma_{i,r}(t, \varepsilon) \Big|_{\varepsilon=0} = \cos \frac{\pi t}{2r} \cdot e_i(t)$, where each $e_i(t)$ is the parallel translate vector of $e_i(\gamma(0))$ along γ . We then obtain (cf. [4, Chapter 11])

$$(2) \quad \frac{d^2}{d\varepsilon^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathcal{L}(\gamma_{i,r}([0, r] \times \{\varepsilon\})) \Big|_{\varepsilon=0} \\ = (n-1)\pi^2/8r - \int_0^r \text{Ric}_N(d\gamma/dt, d\gamma/dt) \left(\cos \frac{\pi t}{2r}\right)^2 dt - H_M(\gamma(0)),$$

where H_M is the mean curvature of M with respect to ν .

§ 3. Proof of Theorem A

Theorem A is an immediate consequence of the following.

THEOREM B. *Let $N=(N, g)$ be a complete Riemannian manifold with a compact embedded unstable minimal hypersurface M . Suppose that there exist positive constants s_0 and θ such that along each unit speed geodesic $\gamma: [0, \infty) \rightarrow N$ satisfying $\gamma(0) \in M$ and $|g((d\gamma/dt)(0), V)| \geq 1 - \theta$, the Ricci curvature satisfies*

$$(3) \quad \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_s^r \text{Ric}_N(d\gamma/dt, d\gamma/dt) dt \geq 0$$

for all $0 \leq s < s_0$, where V is a unit vector normal to M at $\gamma(0)$. Then N is compact.

To prove Theorem B, we will suppose that N is noncompact and, finally, lead a contradiction.

Since N is noncompact, there exists a ray $\gamma: [0, \infty) \rightarrow N$ satisfying $\gamma(0) \in M$ and

$$(4) \quad \text{dist}_N(M, \gamma(t)) = \text{dist}_N(\gamma(0), \gamma(t)) = t$$

for all $t \geq 0$.

From the instability of M , we will first construct C^0 -hypersurfaces $\{M(\varepsilon u; \bar{\nu})\}_{\varepsilon \in (0, \sigma)}$ near M , which are smooth and have positive mean curvature around $\gamma \cap M(\varepsilon u; \bar{\nu})$.

LEMMA 1. *There exist a continuous nonnegative function $u \in C(M)$, a local unit normal vector field $\bar{\nu}$ on M and a positive constant σ such that*

- (i) $\gamma(0) \in \mathcal{D}(\bar{\nu}) = \{x \in M; u(x) > 0\}$,
- (ii) u is smooth in $\mathcal{D}(\bar{\nu})$,
- (iii) $M(\varepsilon u; \bar{\nu}) \subset U_{s_0}(M)$,
- (iv) $H_{M(\varepsilon u; \bar{\nu})} > 0$ in $\{\exp_x t\bar{\nu} \in N; x \in W, 0 \leq t < s_0\}$

for all $\varepsilon (0 < \varepsilon < \sigma)$, where $W = \{x \in M; u(x) > \frac{1}{2}u(\gamma(0))\} \subset \mathcal{D}(\bar{\nu})$.

PROOF. From the unstability of M , there exist a local unit normal vector field $\bar{\nu}$ on M and a function $f \in C^\infty_0(\mathcal{D}(\bar{\nu}))$ such that

$$(5) \quad \left. \frac{d^2}{d\varepsilon^2} \mathcal{A}(M(\varepsilon f; \bar{\nu})) \right|_{\varepsilon=0} < 0.$$

We may assume that the closure $\bar{\mathcal{D}}(\bar{\nu})$ is contained in a coordinate neighborhood of M . Let ν be a local unit normal vector field on M around $\gamma(0)$ satisfying $(d\gamma/dt)(0) = \nu(\gamma(0))$. Replacing $\bar{\nu}$ by $-\bar{\nu}$ if necessary, we can choose a local unit normal vector field $\bar{\nu}$ on M , which is an extension of $\bar{\nu}, \nu$ and satisfies that $\mathcal{D}(\bar{\nu})$ is connected with C^∞ -boundary $\partial\mathcal{D}(\bar{\nu})$.

Consider the functional

$$I_{\bar{\nu}}(\phi) = \int_M [|\nabla^M \phi|^2 - (\text{Ric}_N(\bar{\nu}, \bar{\nu}) + |A_M|^2)\phi^2] dv_g$$

and define $\lambda = \inf I_{\bar{\nu}}(\phi)$ for all $\phi \in C^\infty_0(\mathcal{D}(\bar{\nu}))$ satisfying $\phi = 0$ on $M - \mathcal{D}(\bar{\nu})$ and $\int_M \phi^2 dv_g = 1$. From (1) and (5) we then obtain a continuous function $u \in C(M)$ satisfying $\lambda = I_{\bar{\nu}}(u) < 0$, which u has the following properties (cf. [2], [7] and [8])

- (6) $u > 0$ in $\mathcal{D}(\bar{\nu})$ and $u|_{\partial\mathcal{D}(\bar{\nu})} = 0$,
- (7) u is smooth in $\mathcal{D}(\bar{\nu})$,
- (8) $Lu := -\Delta_M u - (\text{Ric}_N(\bar{\nu}, \bar{\nu}) + |A_M|^2)u = \lambda u (< 0)$ in $\mathcal{D}(\bar{\nu})$,

where $\Delta_M u = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} g(e_i, \nabla_{e_i} \nabla^M u)$. In particular, the property (6) is an immediate consequence of Courant's nodal domain theorem for the linear elliptic operator of second order L (cf. [6, Chapter 1], [7, VI-§6]). From (6)-(8) and an easy calculation we obtain

$$(9) \quad \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon} H_{M(\varepsilon u; \bar{\nu})} \right|_{\varepsilon=0} = \Delta_M u + (\text{Ric}_N(\bar{\nu}, \bar{\nu}) + |A_M|^2)u = -\lambda u > 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{D}(\bar{\nu}).$$

It follows from (6), (7) and (9) that there exists a positive constant σ such that for any $\varepsilon (0 < \varepsilon < \sigma)$ $M(\varepsilon u; \bar{\nu}) \subset U_{s_0}(M)$ and $H_{M(\varepsilon u; \bar{\nu})} = \int_0^\varepsilon \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} H_{M(\rho u; \bar{\nu})} \Big|_{\rho=s} \right) ds > 0$ in $\{\exp_x t\bar{\nu} \in N; x \in W, 0 \leq t < s_0\}$. This completes the proof of Lemma 1.

LEMMA 2. *There exist positive constants $\varepsilon_0 (0 < \varepsilon_0 < \sigma)$, $t_0 (0 < t_0 < s_0)$ and a unit*

speed geodesic $\bar{\gamma} : [0, \infty) \rightarrow N$ such that

- (i) $\bar{\gamma}(t_0) \in M(\varepsilon_0 u; \bar{\nu}) \cap \{\exp_x t \bar{\nu} \in N; x \in W, 0 \leq t < s_0\}$,
- (ii) $\bar{\gamma}(0) \in W \subset \mathcal{D}(\bar{\nu})$,
- (iii) $g((d\bar{\gamma}/dt)(0), \bar{\nu}(\bar{\gamma}(0))) \geq 1 - \theta$,
- (iv) $\text{dist}_N(M(\varepsilon_0 u; \bar{\nu}), \bar{\gamma}(t)) = \text{dist}_N(\bar{\gamma}(t_0), \bar{\gamma}(t)) = t - t_0$ for all $t \geq t_0$.

PROOF. Take $\varepsilon(0 < \varepsilon < \sigma)$ arbitrarily and fix it. For each $i \in N$, there exists a minimizing geodesic $\gamma_{\varepsilon, i}$, emanating from $M(\varepsilon u; \bar{\nu})$, between $M(\varepsilon u; \bar{\nu})$ and $\gamma(i)$. Put $\tilde{W} = \{x \in M; u(x) \geq u(\gamma(0))\} \subset W \subset \mathcal{D}(\bar{\nu})$. Suppose that there exists $j_1 \in N$ such that

$$(10) \quad \gamma_{\varepsilon, j_1}(0) \notin M(\varepsilon u; \bar{\nu}) \cap \{\exp_x t \bar{\nu} \in N; x \in \tilde{W}, 0 \leq t < s_0\}.$$

From (4), (10) and Lemma 1-(iii) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dist}_N(M, \gamma(j_1)) &\leq \text{dist}_N(M, \gamma_{\varepsilon, j_1}(0)) + \mathcal{L}(\gamma_{\varepsilon, j_1}) \\ &< \mathcal{L}(\gamma|_{[t_0, j_1]}) = \text{dist}_N(M, \gamma(j_1)). \end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction. Then we obtain for all $i \in N$

$$(11) \quad \gamma_{\varepsilon, i}(0) \in M(\varepsilon u; \bar{\nu}) \cap \{\exp_x t \bar{\nu} \in N; x \in \tilde{W}, 0 \leq t < s_0\} \subset U_{s_0}(M).$$

We also note that for each $i \in N$ the vector $(d\gamma_{\varepsilon, i}/dt)(0)$ is perpendicular to $TM(\varepsilon u; \bar{\nu})$ and

$$(12) \quad \gamma_{\varepsilon, i} \cap M(\varepsilon u; \bar{\nu}) = \{\gamma_{\varepsilon, i}(0)\}.$$

Suppose that there exists $j_2 \in N$ such that

$$g((d\gamma_{\varepsilon, j_2}/dt)(0), (d(\exp t \bar{\nu})/dt)(\gamma_{\varepsilon, j_2}(0))) < 0.$$

From (11) and (12) that there exists $c(0 < c < \mathcal{L}(\gamma_{\varepsilon, j_2}))$ such that

$$(13) \quad \gamma_{\varepsilon, j_2}(c) \in \tilde{W} \cup \{\exp_x t \bar{\nu} \in N; x \in \partial \tilde{W}, 0 \leq t < \varepsilon u(\gamma(0))\}.$$

It then follows from (4), (11) and (13) that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dist}_N(M, \gamma(j_2)) &\leq \text{dist}_N(M, \gamma_{\varepsilon, j_2}(c)) + \mathcal{L}(\gamma_{\varepsilon, j_2}|_{[c, \mathcal{L}(\gamma_{\varepsilon, j_2})]}) \\ &< \text{dist}_N(M, \gamma_{\varepsilon, j_2}(c)) + \mathcal{L}(\gamma_{\varepsilon, j_2}) \\ &< \mathcal{L}(\gamma|_{[t_0, j_2]}) = \text{dist}_N(M, \gamma(j_2)). \end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction, too. Then we obtain for all $i \in N$

$$(14) \quad g((d\gamma_{\varepsilon, i}/dt)(0), (d(\exp t \bar{\nu})/dt)(\gamma_{\varepsilon, i}(0))) \geq 0.$$

Let $v_\varepsilon \in \{v \in TM(\varepsilon u; \bar{\nu})^\perp; \|v\| = 1\}$ be an accumulation point of the sequence

$\{(d\gamma_{\varepsilon,i}/dt)(0)\}_{i \in N}$. Let $\gamma_\varepsilon: [0, \infty) \rightarrow N$ be the geodesic such that $\gamma_\varepsilon(0) = \mathcal{P}(v_\varepsilon)$ and $(d\gamma_\varepsilon/dt)(0) = v_\varepsilon$, where $\mathcal{P}: TN \rightarrow N$ is the bundle projection. Then γ_ε is a ray satisfying

$$(15) \quad \text{dist}_N(M(\varepsilon u; \bar{v}), \gamma_\varepsilon(t)) = \text{dist}_N(\gamma_\varepsilon(0), \gamma_\varepsilon(t))$$

for all $t \geq 0$. We say that γ_ε is a *limit ray* of the sequence of minimizing geodesics $\{\gamma_{\varepsilon,i}\}_{i \in N}$. It then follows from (11) and (14) that

$$(16) \quad \gamma_\varepsilon(0) \in M(\varepsilon u; \bar{v}) \cap \{\exp_x t \bar{v} \in \tilde{W}, 0 \leq t < s_0\},$$

$$(17) \quad g((d\gamma_\varepsilon/dt)(0), (d(\exp t \bar{v})/dt)(\gamma_\varepsilon(0))) \geq 0.$$

Let $\tilde{\gamma}$ be a limit ray of the sequence of rays $\{\gamma_{1/i}\}_{i \geq i_0}$, where $1/i_0 < \sigma$. It then follows from (15)-(17) that

$$(18) \quad \tilde{\gamma}(0) \in \tilde{W} \subset W \subset \mathcal{D}(\bar{v})$$

$$(19) \quad g((d\tilde{\gamma}/dt)(0), \bar{v}(\tilde{\gamma}(0))) \geq 0,$$

$$(20) \quad \text{dist}_N(M, \tilde{\gamma}(t)) = \text{dist}_N(\tilde{\gamma}(0), \tilde{\gamma}(t))$$

for all $t \geq 0$. Also from (19) and (20) $(d\tilde{\gamma}/dt)(0) = \bar{v}(\tilde{\gamma}(0))$ and then

$$(21) \quad g((d\tilde{\gamma}/dt)(0), \bar{v}(\tilde{\gamma}(0))) = 1.$$

By the construction of $\tilde{\gamma}$, (18) and (21) there exists a positive constant ε_0 ($\varepsilon_0 = 1/i_0$, $i \geq i_0$) such that

$$(22) \quad s_0 > t_0 := \inf\{t > 0; \gamma_{\varepsilon_0}^{-1}(t) \in W\},$$

$$(23) \quad |g((d\gamma_{\varepsilon_0}^{-1}/dt)(t_0), \bar{v}(\gamma_{\varepsilon_0}^{-1}(t_0)))| \geq 1 - \theta,$$

where $\gamma_{\varepsilon_0}^{-1}(t) = \exp_{\gamma_{\varepsilon_0}(0)}(-t(d\gamma_{\varepsilon_0}/dt)(0))$.

Let $\tilde{\gamma}: [0, \infty) \rightarrow N$ be the geodesic such that

$$\tilde{\gamma}(t) = \begin{cases} \gamma_{\varepsilon_0}^{-1}(t_0 - t) & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq t_0 \\ \gamma_{\varepsilon_0}(t - t_0) & \text{if } t \geq t_0. \end{cases}$$

It then follows from (15), (16), (22) and (23) that $\tilde{\gamma}$ satisfies the properties (i)-(iv). This completes the proof of Lemma 2.

Let $\{\bar{e}_1, \dots, \bar{e}_{n-1}\}$ be a local orthonormal frame field on $M(\varepsilon_0 u; \bar{v})$ around $\tilde{\gamma}(t_0)$ and each $\bar{e}_i(t)$ be the parallel translate vector of $\bar{e}_i(\tilde{\gamma}(t_0))$ along $\tilde{\gamma}$ with the initial condition $\bar{e}_i(t_0) = \bar{e}_i(\tilde{\gamma}(t_0))$. Let $\tilde{\gamma}_{i,r}: [0, r] \times (-\delta, \delta) \rightarrow N$ be a variation of $\tilde{\gamma}|_{[t_0, t_0+r]}$ satisfying $\tilde{\gamma}_{i,r}(\{0\} \times (-\delta, \delta)) \subset M(\varepsilon_0 u; \bar{v})$, $\tilde{\gamma}_{i,r}(\{r\} \times (-\delta, \delta)) = \tilde{\gamma}(t_0 + r)$ and $(\partial \tilde{\gamma}_{i,r} / \partial \varepsilon)(t, \varepsilon)|_{\varepsilon=0} = \cos \frac{\pi t}{2r} \cdot \bar{e}_i(t_0 + t)$. From (2) we then obtain

$$(24) \quad \frac{d^2}{d\varepsilon^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathcal{L}(\bar{\gamma}_{i,r}([0, r] \times \{\varepsilon\})) \Big|_{\varepsilon=0} \\ = (n-1)\pi^2/8r - \int_{t_0}^{t_0+r} \text{Ric}_N(d\bar{\gamma}/dt, d\bar{\gamma}/dt) \left(\cos \frac{\pi(t-t_0)}{2r}\right)^2 dt - H_{M(\varepsilon_0 u; \bar{v})}(\bar{\gamma}(t_0)).$$

It follows from (3), (24), Lemma 1, Lemma 2 and Lemma 3 below that there exists a large constant r_0 such that

$$(n-1)\pi^2/8r_0 - \int_{t_0}^{t_0+r_0} \text{Ric}_N(d\bar{\gamma}/dt, d\bar{\gamma}/dt) \left(\cos \frac{\pi(t-t_0)}{2r_0}\right)^2 dt \\ - H_{M(\varepsilon_0 u; \bar{v})}(\bar{\gamma}(t_0)) < 0.$$

This contradicts that $\bar{\gamma}|_{[t_0, \infty)}$ is a ray. This completes the proof of Theorem B.

LEMMA 3. For each constant K

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^r \text{Ric}_N(d\bar{\gamma}/dt, d\bar{\gamma}/dt) dt \geq K$$

implies

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^r \text{Ric}_N(d\bar{\gamma}/dt, d\bar{\gamma}/dt) \left(\cos \frac{\pi t}{2r}\right)^2 dt \geq K.$$

COROLLARY. Let N be a complete Riemannian manifold of nonnegative Ricci curvature with a compact embedded minimal hypersurface M . Suppose that either

- (i) M is unstable in N or
- (ii) $(N-M)$ is connected.

Then N is compact. In the case (ii) it is also established that $(N-M)$ is isometric to a product Riemannian manifold $M \times (0, l)$, where l is a suitable positive constant.

PROOF. In the case (ii), Corollary was proved by Ichida [10].

REMARK. Without the unstability of M it follows immediately from (2) and Lemma 3 that

“Let N be a complete Riemannian manifold with a compact embedded minimal hypersurface M . Suppose that along each unit speed geodesic $\gamma: [0, \infty) \rightarrow N$ emanating perpendicularly from each point in M the Ricci curvature satisfies

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^r \text{Ric}_N(d\gamma/dt, d\gamma/dt) dt > 0.$$

Then N is compact.”

References

- [1] Ambrose, W., A theorem of Myers, *Duke Math. J.*, **24** (1957), 345-348.
- [2] Aubin, T., *Nonlinear Analysis on Manifolds, Monge-Ampère Equations*, Springer, 1982.
- [3] Avez, A., Riemannian manifolds with non-negative Ricci curvature, *Duke Math. J.*, **39** (1972), 55-64.
- [4] Bishop R. and Crittenden R., *Geometry of Manifolds*, Academic Press, 1964.
- [5] Calabi, E., On Ricci curvature and geodesics, *Duke Math. J.*, **34** (1967), 667-676.
- [6] Chavel, I., *Eigenvalues in Riemannian Geometry*, Academic Press, 1984.
- [7] Courant, R. and Hilbert D., *Methods of Mathematical Physics, Vol. I*, J. Wiley & Sons, 1953.
- [8] Friedman, A., *Partial Differential Equations*, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York, 1969.
- [9] Galloway, G. J., Compactness criteria for Riemannian manifolds, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **84** (1982), 106-110.
- [10] Ichida, R., Riemannian manifolds with compact boundary, *Yokohama Math. J.*, **29** (1981), 169-177.
- [11] Myers, S. B., Riemannian manifolds with positive mean curvature, *Duke Math. J.*, **8** (1941), 401-404.
- [12] Shiohama, K., An extension of a theorem of Myers, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **27** (1975), 561-569.

Department of Mathematics
Miyakonojo National College of Technology
Miyazaki 885, Japan