

SMASH PRODUCTS AND COMODULES OF LINEAR MAPS

By

K. -H. ULBRICH*

Let G be a finite group and A be a G -graded algebra over a commutative ring k . Consider the G -graded right A -module $U = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} A(\sigma)$ where $A(\sigma) = A$ has grading shifted by σ . Năstăsescu and Rodinò [5] proved that

$$(1) \quad \text{End}_{A-g\tau}(U) * G \cong \text{End}_A(U), \quad \text{and} \quad A \# k[G]^* \cong \text{End}_{A-g\tau}(U)$$

where $\text{End}_{A-g\tau}(U)$ denotes the algebra of graded A -endomorphisms of U , and $*$ means crossed product, [5], Theorems 1.2 and 1.3. The proofs are given by some explicit matrix computations relying on a graded isomorphism $\text{End}_A(U) \cong M_n(A)$, $n = |G|$, [5], Prop. 1.1. The first isomorphism of (1) has recently been generalized to

$$(2) \quad \text{End}_{A-g\tau}(U) * G \cong \text{END}_A(U), \quad [2], \text{Thm. 3.3,}$$

for not necessarily finite groups G . The purpose of this paper is to give Hopf algebraic versions of (1) and (2). Write $H = k[G]$. First note that the above crossed products are also smash products. Furthermore, a G -graded k -module is the same as an H -comodule, and the A -isomorphism

$$U \xrightarrow{\sim} H \otimes A, \quad a(\sigma) \longmapsto \sigma^{-1} \otimes a(\sigma), \quad a(\sigma) \in A(\sigma),$$

is H -colinear where $H \otimes A$ has coaction $\alpha: H \otimes A \rightarrow H \otimes A \otimes H$ defined by

$$(3) \quad \alpha(h \otimes a) = \sum h_{(1)} \otimes a_{(0)} \otimes h_{(2)} a_{(1)}, \quad h \in H, a \in A.$$

Now let H be any Hopf algebra over k and set $U = H \otimes A$ for a right H -comodule algebra A . Let $\text{End}_A^H(U)$ be the algebra of right A -linear maps $U \rightarrow U$ which are colinear with respect to (3). We shall generalize (1), for H finite over k , to

$$(4) \quad \text{End}_A^H(U) \# H \cong \text{End}_A(U) \quad \text{and} \quad A \# H^* \cong \text{End}_A^H(U).$$

It was pointed out in [5] that (1) implies the duality theorems of Cohen and Montgomery [4]. Correspondingly, (4) may be viewed as an improvement of the duality result for finite Hopf algebras [3], Cor. 2.7. Note that the second

* Supported by a grant from JSPS.

Received February 27, 1989. Revised October 4, 1989.

isomorphism of (4) gives a natural interpretation for an arbitrary smash product by a finite Hopf algebra.

Comodules of the form $\text{HOM}_A(M, N)$ seem not have been considered yet for Hopf algebras others than $k[G]$. We introduce them here for arbitrary, projective Hopf algebras in section 2. We can then generalize (2) (and the first isomorphism of (4)) to

$$\text{End}_A^H(U) \# H \cong \text{END}_A(U)$$

for projective Hopf algebras. This turns out to be a special case of Theorem 2.4 which also includes [2], Thm. 3.6 (1), and shows that the finiteness conditions assumed there are not necessary.

Throughout the following, H denotes a Hopf algebra over a commutative ring k , and A a right H -comodule algebra. Recall that a Hopf A -module is a right A -module M supplied with a right H -comodule structure $\alpha: M \rightarrow M \otimes H$ such that

$$(5) \quad \alpha(ma) = \sum m_{(0)} a_{(0)} \otimes m_{(1)} a_{(1)}, \quad m \in M, a \in A.$$

In case $H=A$, the descent theorem for Hopf H -modules says that the H -(co)-linear map

$$M^H \otimes H \longrightarrow M, \quad m \otimes h \longmapsto mh,$$

is an isomorphism ([1], Thm. 3.1.8). Here $M^H = \{m \in M \mid \alpha(m) = m \otimes 1\}$. If H is finite over k , a right H -module M is a Hopf H -module iff M is a left H^* -module satisfying

$$g(mh) = \sum (g_{(1)} m)(g_{(2)} h), \quad g \in H^*, m \in M, h \in H.$$

As usual, $H^* = \text{Hom}_k(H, k)$ denotes the dual Hopf algebra (for H finite over k), and H is viewed as a left H^* -module by $gh = \sum h_{(1)} \langle g, h_{(2)} \rangle$. For a left H -module algebra B the smash product algebra $B \# H$ is $B \otimes H$ with multiplication defined by

$$(b' \otimes h)(b \otimes h') = \sum b'(h_{(1)} b) \otimes h_{(2)} h',$$

for $b, b' \in B, h, h' \in H$. The antipode and counit of a Hopf algebra will be denoted by λ and ε , respectively. We write $\otimes = \otimes_k$.

1. Let M be a left H - and right A -module such that

$$(6) \quad (hm)a = h(ma), \quad h \in H, m \in M, a \in A.$$

For $h \in H$ and $\phi \in \text{End}_A(M)$ define $h\phi \in \text{End}_A(M)$ by

$$(7) \quad (h\phi)(m) = \sum h_{(1)}\phi(\lambda(h_{(2)})m), \quad m \in M.$$

Then $\text{End}_A(M)$ is a left H -module algebra [6], and

$$\text{End}_A(M) \# H \longrightarrow \text{End}_A(M), \quad \phi \otimes h \longmapsto \phi h^*,$$

is a homomorphism of k -algebras, where $(\phi h)(m) = \phi(hm)$. Assume that M has also a right H -comodule structure $\alpha: M \rightarrow M \otimes H$ satisfying

$$(8) \quad \alpha(hm) = \sum h_{(1)}m_{(0)} \otimes h_{(2)}m_{(1)}, \quad h \in H, m \in M.$$

Let $\text{End}_A^H(M)$ be the k -algebra of A -linear and H -colinear maps $M \rightarrow M$.

LEMMA 1.1. $\text{End}_A^H(M)$ is an H -submodule algebra of $\text{End}_A(M)$.

The easy proof is left to the reader.

In the following we consider $M = H \otimes A = U$ with H -comodule structure defined by (3); U is naturally a left H - and right A -module satisfying (5), (6) and (8).

LEMMA 1.2. Suppose the antipode λ of H is bijective. Then

$$(9) \quad \chi: \text{End}_A^H(U) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_k(H, A), \quad \chi(\phi)(h) = (\varepsilon \otimes 1)\phi(h \otimes 1),$$

is an isomorphism of k -modules.

PROOF. Define $\text{Hom}_k(H, A) \rightarrow \text{End}_A^H(U)$, $v \mapsto \tilde{v}$, by

$$\tilde{v}(h \otimes a) = \sum h_{(2)}\lambda^{-1}(v(h_{(1)})) \otimes v(h_{(1)})_{(0)}a,$$

for $h \in H, a \in A$. It is easy to see that \tilde{v} is H -colinear. Clearly $\chi(\tilde{v}) = v$. Let $\phi \in \text{End}_A^H(U)$, $h \in H$, and write $\phi(h \otimes 1) = \sum h_i \otimes a_i$. The colinearity of ϕ implies for $v = \chi(\phi)$

$$\sum a_{i(0)} \otimes h_i a_{i(1)} = \sum v(h_{(1)}) \otimes h_{(2)}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(h \otimes 1) &= \sum h_i a_{i(2)} \lambda^{-1}(a_{i(1)}) \otimes a_{i(0)} \\ &= \sum h_{(2)} \lambda^{-1}(v(h_{(1)})) \otimes v(h_{(1)})_{(0)}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

REMARK 1. If the comodule structure of A is trivial then (9) is an algebra map where $\text{Hom}_k(H, A)$ has the opposite convolution product. (The bijectivity of λ is not needed in this case.)

Suppose now that H is finite over k . For $a \in A$ and $g \in H^*$ define $a^0, g^0: U \rightarrow U$ by

$$a^0(h \otimes b) = \sum h \lambda^{-1}(a_{(1)}) \otimes a_{(0)} b,$$

$$g^0(h \otimes b) = g^0(h) \otimes b = \sum h_{(2)} \langle g, h_{(1)} \rangle \otimes b,$$

for $h \in H, b \in A$. It is not difficult to see that a^0 and g^0 are H -colinear. Furthermore, $(aa')^0 = a^0 a'^0$, while $(gg')^0 = g'^0 g^0$. Note that $g^0(h) = gh$ if H is cocommutative.

THEOREM 1.3. *Let H be a finitely generated and projective Hopf algebra over k , A a right H -comodule algebra, and $U = H \otimes A$ with comodule structure defined by (3). Then*

$$(10) \quad \text{End}_A^H(U) \# H \longrightarrow \text{End}_A(U), \quad \phi \otimes h \longmapsto \phi h,$$

and

$$(11) \quad A \# H^* \longrightarrow \text{End}_A^H(U), \quad a \otimes g \longmapsto a^0 \lambda(g)^0,$$

are isomorphisms of k -algebras.

PROOF. That (10) is bijective is a special case of Theorem 2.4 below. It may be worth, however, to give here a separate proof for the finite case. We claim that the right H -module $\text{End}_A(U)$ is a Hopf module satisfying

$$(12) \quad \text{End}_A^H(U) = \text{End}_A(U)^H.$$

It suffices to exhibit a corresponding left H^* -module structure. View A and U as left H^* -modules in the natural way. Then

$$gu = \sum g_{(1)} h \otimes g_{(2)} a, \quad \text{for } u = h \otimes a, g \in H^*.$$

Now $\text{End}_A(U)$ becomes a left H^* -module by the formula (7), (with h replaced by $g \in H^*$). That $g\phi$ is A -linear follows in the present case from $g(ua) = \sum (g_{(1)} u)(g_{(2)} a)$, $u \in U, a \in A$. Furthermore, we have $g(hu) = \sum (g_{(1)} h)(g_{(2)} u)$ for $h \in H, u \in U$, and this implies $g(\phi h) = \sum (g_{(1)} \phi)(g_{(2)} h)$, as is easy to see. Thus $\text{End}_A(U)$ is a Hopf H -module. If $\phi \in \text{End}_A(U)$ is H^* -linear, then clearly $g\phi = \varepsilon(g)\phi$ for all $g \in H^*$. Conversely, if the latter holds, then

$$g(\phi(u)) = \sum (g_{(1)} \phi)(g_{(2)} u) = \sum \varepsilon(g_{(1)}) \phi(g_{(2)} u) = \phi(gu),$$

so that ϕ is H^* -linear. Hence (12) holds, and (10) is an isomorphism by the descent theorem for Hopf modules.

The composite of (11) and (9) gives the map

$$A \otimes H^* \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_k(H, A), \quad a \otimes g \longmapsto (h \mapsto a \langle \lambda(g), h \rangle).$$

This is bijective, since H is finite over k . Hence (11) is an isomorphism by Lemma 1.2. That (11) is an algebra map follows from

$$g^0 a^0 = \sum (\lambda^{-1}(g_{(2)})a)^0 g_{(1)}^0,$$

which may be verified by evaluating on elements $h \otimes 1$.

2. We assume throughout the following that H is projective over k . As before, A denotes a right H -comodule algebra. We want to define comodules $\text{HOM}_A(M, N)$ which generalize those defined for graded modules.

Fix Hopf A -modules M and N . For $\phi \in \text{Hom}_A(M, N)$ define $\alpha(\phi) \in \text{Hom}_A(M, N \otimes H)$ by

$$(13) \quad \alpha(\phi)(m) = \sum \phi(m_{(0)})_{(0)} \otimes \phi(m_{(0)})_{(1)} \lambda(m_{(1)}), \quad m \in M.$$

(That $\alpha(\phi)$ is A -linear follows from (5).) Evidently,

$$(14) \quad (1 \otimes \varepsilon)\alpha(\phi) = \phi, \quad \phi \in \text{Hom}_A(M, N).$$

LEMMA 2.1. *Let $\phi \in \text{Hom}_A(M, N)$. Then ϕ is H -colinear if and only if $\alpha(\phi)(m) = \phi(m) \otimes 1$ for all $m \in M$.*

PROOF. “ \Rightarrow ”: This is obvious. “ \Leftarrow ”: We have, by (13) with m replaced by $m_{(0)}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum \phi(m_{(0)}) \otimes m_{(1)} &= \sum \phi(m_{(0)})_{(0)} \otimes \phi(m_{(0)})_{(1)} \lambda(m_{(1)}) m_{(2)} \\ &= \sum \phi(m)_{(0)} \otimes \phi(m)_{(1)}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Define the k -module $\text{HOM}_A(M, N)$ to consist of all $\phi \in \text{Hom}_A(M, N)$ for which there exists an element $\sum \phi_{(0)} \otimes \phi_{(1)} \in \text{Hom}_A(M, N) \otimes H$ such that

$$\alpha(\phi)(m) = \sum \phi_{(0)}(m) \otimes \phi_{(1)}, \quad m \in M.$$

Note that, since H is projective, $\text{Hom}_A(M, N) \otimes H$ may be viewed as a submodule of $\text{Hom}_A(M, N \otimes H)$, and we may simply write

$$\alpha(\phi) = \sum \phi_{(0)} \otimes \phi_{(1)}, \quad \phi \in \text{HOM}_A(M, N).$$

Clearly, $\text{HOM}_A(M, N) = \text{Hom}_A(M, N)$ if H is finite over k .

LEMMA 2.2. *Let $\phi \in \text{HOM}_A(M, N)$. Then $\alpha(\phi) \in \text{HOM}_A(M, N) \otimes H$, and $\text{HOM}_A(M, N)$ is a right H -comodule. Furthermore, $\text{END}_A(M) = \text{HOM}_A(M, M)$ is a right H -comodule algebra.*

PROOF. Let $m \in M$. We have by definition of $\alpha(\phi_{(0)})$, and by (13) with m

replaced by m_{c_0} ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum \alpha(\phi_{c_0})(m) \otimes \phi_{c_1} &= \sum \phi_{c_0}(m_{c_0})_{c_0} \otimes \phi_{c_0}(m_{c_0})_{c_1} \lambda(m_{c_1}) \otimes \phi_{c_1} \\ &= \sum \phi(m_{c_0})_{c_0} \otimes \phi(m_{c_0})_{c_1} \lambda(m_{c_2}) \otimes \phi(m_{c_0})_{c_2} \lambda(m_{c_1}) \\ &= \sum \phi_{c_0}(m) \otimes \phi_{c_1} \otimes \phi_{c_2} . \end{aligned}$$

Thus $(\alpha \otimes 1)\alpha(\phi) = (1 \otimes \delta)\alpha(\phi)$ for δ the comultiplication of H . This also implies that $\alpha(\phi)$ lies in $\text{HOM}_A(M, N) \otimes H$. For, $\text{HOM}_A(M, N)$ is the pull back for α and the canonical map $\kappa : \text{Hom}_A(M, N) \otimes H \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(M, N \otimes H)$, and $(-)\otimes H$ preserves finite limits since H is flat. Thus $\text{HOM}_A(M, N) \otimes H$ is the pull back for $\alpha \otimes id_H$ and $\kappa \otimes id_H$, and $(\alpha \otimes 1)\alpha(\phi) \in \text{Im}(\kappa \otimes 1)$ implies $\alpha(\phi) \in \text{HOM}_A(M, N) \otimes H$.

Next let $\phi, \psi \in \text{END}_A(M)$. The definition of $\sum \phi_{c_0} \otimes \psi_{c_1}$ implies

$$\sum \phi(m_{c_0}) \otimes \lambda(m_{c_1}) = \sum \phi_{c_0}(m)_{c_0} \otimes \lambda(\phi_{c_0}(m)_{c_1}) \psi_{c_1} .$$

From this we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(\phi\psi)(m) &= \sum (\phi\psi(m_{c_0}))_{c_0} \otimes (\phi\psi(m_{c_0}))_{c_1} \lambda(m_{c_1}) \\ &= \sum \phi(\psi_{c_0}(m)_{c_0})_{c_0} \otimes \phi(\psi_{c_0}(m)_{c_0})_{c_1} \lambda(\psi_{c_0}(m)_{c_1}) \psi_{c_1} \\ &= \sum \phi_{c_0} \psi_{c_0}(m) \otimes \phi_{c_1} \psi_{c_1} . \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\alpha(\phi\psi) = \alpha(\phi)\alpha(\psi)$, and this completes the proof.

EXAMPLE. Let $H = k[G]$ for a group G . Hence A is a G -graded k -algebra, and $M = \bigoplus_{\sigma} M_{\sigma}$, $N = \bigoplus_{\sigma} N_{\sigma}$ are G -graded right A -modules. Let $\phi \in \text{Hom}_A(M, N)$ and $m_{\sigma} \in M_{\sigma}$. Then

$$\alpha(\phi)(m_{\sigma}) = \sum_{\rho} \phi(m_{\sigma})_{\rho} \otimes \rho \sigma^{-1} = \sum_{\tau} \phi(m_{\sigma})_{\tau\sigma} \otimes \tau .$$

This shows $\phi \in \text{HOM}_A(M, N)$ iff $\phi = \sum_{\tau} \phi_{\tau} \in \bigoplus_{\tau} H_{\tau}$ (see (14)) with

$$H_{\tau} = \{ \phi_{\tau} \in \text{Hom}_A(M, N) \mid \phi_{\tau}(M_{\sigma}) \subset N_{\tau\sigma}, \sigma \in G \} ,$$

and in this case $\alpha(\phi) = \sum_{\tau} \phi_{\tau} \otimes \tau$. Hence our definition of $\text{HOM}_A(M, N)$ coincides for $H = k[G]$ with the usual one for graded modules.

Suppose in the following that M is also a left H -module satisfying (6) and (8); hence $\text{Hom}_A(M, N)$ is a right H -module with $(\phi h)(m) = \phi(hm)$.

LEMMA 2.3. *Let $\phi \in \text{HOM}_A(M, N)$ and $h \in H$. Then*

$$\alpha(\phi h) = \sum \phi_{c_0} h_{c_1} \otimes \phi_{c_1} h_{c_2} .$$

In particular, $\phi h \in \text{HOM}_A(M, N)$.

PROOF. From (8) (with h replaced by $h_{(1)}$) one obtains

$$\sum (h_{(1)}m)_{(0)} \otimes \lambda((h_{(1)}m)_{(1)})h_{(2)} = \sum hm_{(0)} \otimes \lambda(m_{(1)}).$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(\phi h)(m) &= \sum \phi(hm_{(0)})_{(0)} \otimes \phi(hm_{(0)})_{(1)} \lambda(m_{(1)}) \\ &= \sum \phi((h_{(1)}m)_{(0)})_{(0)} \otimes \phi((h_{(1)}m)_{(0)})_{(1)} \lambda((h_{(1)}m)_{(1)})h_{(2)} \\ &= \sum \phi_{(0)}(h_{(1)}m) \otimes \phi_{(1)}h_{(2)}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 2.4. *Let H be a projective Hopf k -algebra, A a right H -comodule algebra, and M, N Hopf A -modules. Suppose M is also a left H -module satisfying (6) and (8). Then*

$$\text{Hom}_A^H(M, N) \otimes H \longrightarrow \text{HOM}_A(M, N), \quad \phi \otimes h \longmapsto \phi h,$$

is an isomorphism of right H -comodules, where $\text{Hom}_A^H(M, N)$ denotes the k -module of A -linear and H -colinear maps $M \rightarrow N$. Furthermore,

$$\text{End}_A^H(M) \# H \longrightarrow \text{END}_A(M), \quad \phi \otimes h \longmapsto \phi h,$$

is an isomorphism of right H -comodule algebras.

PROOF. $\text{HOM}_A(M, N)$ is a Hopf H -module by Lemma 2.3, and

$$\text{Hom}_A^H(M, N) = \text{HOM}_A(M, N)^H$$

holds by Lemma 2.1. Hence the result follows from the descent theorem for Hopf H -modules.

REMARK 2. Assume that H is finite over k . Then $\text{HOM}_A(M, N) = \text{Hom}_A(M, N)$, and the corresponding H^* -module structure is

$$(g\phi)(m) = \sum g_{(1)}\phi(\lambda(g_{(2)})m)$$

for $g \in H^*$ and $\phi \in \text{Hom}_A(M, N)$. In this case theorem 2.4 may be proved entirely in the same way as the bijectivity of (10).

Clearly, Theorem 2.4 applies to $M = U = H \otimes A$. More generally, one may consider $U(M) = H \otimes M$, for any Hopf A -module M , with comodule structure $h \otimes m \rightarrow \sum h_{(1)} \otimes m_{(0)} \otimes h_{(2)} m_{(1)}$. Then

$$\text{End}_A^H(U(M)) \# H \cong \text{END}_A(U(M)).$$

This shows for $H=k[G]$ that [2], Thm. 3.6 (1) holds without any finiteness conditions on G or M .

Acknowledgement. I am grateful to the referee who suggested some improvements on the first version of the paper.

References

- [1] Abe, E., Hopf algebras, Cambridge Univ. Press 1980.
- [2] Albu, T. and Năstăsescu, C., Infinite group-graded rings, rings of endomorphisms, and localization, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **59** (1989), 125-150.
- [3] Blattner, R.J. and Montgomery, S., A duality theorem for Hopf module algebras, *J. Algebra* **95** (1985), 153-172.
- [4] Cohen, M. and Montgomery, S., Group-graded rings, smash products and group actions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **282** (1984), 237-258.
- [5] Năstăsescu, C. and Rodinò, N., Group graded rings and smash products, *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova* **74** (1985), 129-137.
- [6] Long, F.W., The Brauer group of dimodule algebras, *J. Algebra* **30** (1974), 559-601.

Institute of Mathematics
University of Tsukuba
Ibaraki 305, Japan