

## ON CL-ISOCOMPACTNESS AND WEAK BOREL COMPLETENESS

By

Masami SAKAI

### Introduction.

A space  $X$  is said to be isocompact [1] if every countably compact closed subset of  $X$  is compact. In this paper we introduce a new class of spaces called  $CL$ -isocompact spaces. We call a space  $X$   $CL$ -isocompact if the closure of each countably compact subset of  $X$  is compact.  $CL$ -isocompact spaces are isocompact. The class of  $CL$ -isocompact spaces behaves well with respect to topological operations. For example the class is productive and closed hereditary. After showing various properties of  $CL$ -isocompact spaces, we investigate the relationship between  $CL$ -isocompact spaces, weakly  $\theta$ -refinable spaces [6] and weakly Borel complete spaces [3]. We show that every weakly  $\theta$ -refinable space of non-measurable cardinal is weakly Borel complete and every weakly Borel complete space is  $CL$ -isocompact.

All spaces are assumed to be completely regular. But this is not always needed.

### § 1. Fundamental properties.

DEFINITION 1.1. A space  $X$  is said to be  $CL$ -isocompact if the closure of each countably compact subset of  $X$  is compact.

Obviously  $CL$ -isocompact spaces are isocompact.

PROPOSITION 1.2. *The following facts hold.*

(a) *Let  $f$  be a perfect map from  $X$  onto  $Y$ . Then,  $X$  is  $CL$ -isocompact iff  $Y$  is  $CL$ -isocompact.*

(b) *Let  $X$  be  $CL$ -isocompact, and  $Y$  be an  $F_\sigma$ -subset of  $X$ . Then,  $Y$  is  $CL$ -isocompact.*

(c) *If  $X = \prod_{\alpha} X_{\alpha}$ , with  $X_{\alpha}$   $CL$ -isocompact for  $\alpha \in A$ , then  $X$  is  $CL$ -isocompact.*

(d) *If  $X = \bigoplus_{\alpha} X_{\alpha}$ , with  $X_{\alpha}$   $CL$ -isocompact for  $\alpha \in A$ , then  $X$  is  $CL$ -isocompact.*

- (e) If each  $X_\alpha$  is a CL-isocompact subset of  $X$ , then  $\bigcap_\alpha X_\alpha$  is CL-isocompact.  
 (f) The following (1), (2) and (3) are equivalent.  
 (1)  $X$  is hereditarily CL-isocompact.  
 (2)  $X$  is hereditarily isocompact.  
 (3) For each  $x \in X$ ,  $X - \{x\}$  is CL-isocompact.

PROOF. (a) Compactness and countably compactness are preserved by perfect maps. From this fact, it is easy to show (a). (b) We set  $Y = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} Y_i$ , each  $Y_i$  is closed in  $X$ . Let  $E$  be any countably compact subset of  $Y$ . Since each  $Y_i$  is CL-isocompact,  $\text{Cl}(E \cap Y_i)$  is compact.  $\bigcup_i \text{Cl}(E \cap Y_i)$  contains  $E$  as a dense subset. Since  $\bigcup_i \text{Cl}(E \cap Y_i)$  is pseudocompact  $\sigma$ -compact, it is compact. We get  $\text{Cl}_Y E = \bigcup_i \text{Cl}(E \cap Y_i)$ . (c) Let  $E$  be any countably compact subset of  $X$ . Since each  $Pr_\alpha E$  is countably compact,  $\text{Cl}(Pr_\alpha E)$  is compact. Here  $Pr_\alpha$  is the projection of  $X$  onto  $X_\alpha$ . The closure of  $E$  in  $X$  is contained in the compact space  $\prod_\alpha \text{Cl}(Pr_\alpha E)$ .  $\text{Cl} E$  must be compact. (d) is trivial. (e)  $\bigcap_\alpha X_\alpha$  can be naturally embedded as a closed subspace into  $\prod_\alpha X_\alpha$ . By (b) and (c),  $\bigcap_\alpha X_\alpha$  is CL-isocompact. (f) The equivalence of (1) and (2) is obvious. We assume (3). Let  $Y$  be any subspace of  $X$ . Since  $Y = \bigcap \{X - \{x\} \mid x \in X - Y\}$ ,  $Y$  is CL-isocompact by (e). ■

Bacon proved in [1] that the product of an isocompact space and a hereditarily isocompact space is isocompact. The following result generalizes it.

PROPOSITION 1.3. *Let  $X$  be CL-isocompact, and  $Y$  be isocompact. Then  $X \times Y$  is isocompact.*

PROOF. Let  $E$  be any countably compact closed subset of  $X \times Y$ . Since  $Pr_X E$  is countably compact,  $\text{Cl}(Pr_X E)$  is compact. Therefore  $Pr_Y E$  is closed countably compact in  $Y$ . So,  $Pr_Y E$  must be compact.  $E$  is contained in the compact space  $\text{Cl}(Pr_X E) \times Pr_Y E$ . The proof is complete. ■

PROPOSITION 1.4. *The following (a) and (b) hold.*

(a) *For each space  $X$ , there exists a CL-isocompact space  $pX$  with the following properties.*

- (1)  $X \subset pX \subset \beta X$ . Here  $\beta X$  is the Stone-Čech compactification of  $X$ .  
 (2) *If  $f$  is a map from  $X$  onto a CL-isocompact space  $Y$ , then  $f$  has a continuous extension  $f^p$  that maps  $pX$  onto  $Y$ .*

(b) *If  $X$  has a dense countably compact subspace, then  $pX = \beta X$ . Conversely,*

if  $pX = \beta X$ , then  $X$  is pseudocompact.

PROOF. (a) is obtained from Proposition 1.2. (b), (c) and Theorem 2.1. in [7]. (b) is trivial. Note that  $pX \subset \nu X$ ,  $\nu X$  is the Hewitt's realcompactification. ■

**§ 2. Weak Borel completeness.**

A space  $X$  is said to be weakly Borel complete [3] if each Borel ultrafilter  $\mathcal{B}$  on  $X$  with c. i. p. (countable intersection property) has the property that  $\bigcap \{Z \mid Z \in \mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{Z}(X)\} = \bigcap \{F \mid F \in \mathcal{B}, F \text{ is closed in } X.\}$  is non-void. Here  $\mathcal{Z}(X)$  is the set of zero sets of  $X$ .

THEOREM 2.1. *Weakly Borel complete spaces are  $CL$ -isocompact.*

PROOF. Weak Borel completeness is closed hereditary [3]. So, we show that a weakly Borel complete space which has a dense countably compact subset is compact. Let  $X$  be weakly Borel complete, and  $Y$  be a dense countably compact subset of  $X$ .

Suppose that  $X$  is not compact. Since  $X$  is pseudocompact,  $X$  is not realcompact. We take a free zero ultrafilter  $\mathcal{Z}$  on  $X$  with c. i. p.. Each element of  $\mathcal{Z}$  must intersect with  $Y$ . Put  $\mathcal{A} = \{\mathcal{H} \mid \mathcal{H} \text{ is a closed family such that (1) } \mathcal{Z} \subset \mathcal{H}, (2) \text{ If } H \in \mathcal{H}, \text{ then } H \cap Y \neq \emptyset. (3) \mathcal{H} \text{ is closed under the finite intersections.}\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a maximal element of  $\mathcal{A}$ . It is easily showed that  $\mathcal{H}$  is closed under the countable intersections, and  $X \in \mathcal{H}$  by the maximality.

Put  $\mathcal{D} = \{B \in Bo(X) \mid B \supset H \cap Y \text{ for some } H \in \mathcal{H}\}$ . Here  $Bo(X)$  is the set of Borel sets of  $X$ . We take a Borel ultrafilter  $\mathcal{B}$  on  $X$  containing  $\mathcal{D}$ . Put  $\mathcal{E} = \{B \in Bo(X) \mid \text{If } B \not\supset H \cap Y \text{ for any } H \in \mathcal{H}, \text{ then } B \cap H \cap Y = \emptyset \text{ for some } H \in \mathcal{H}.\}$ .

Now,  $\mathcal{E}$  satisfies the following conditions.

- (a) If  $F$  is closed in  $X$ , then  $F \in \mathcal{E}$ .
- (b) If  $B \in \mathcal{E}$ , then  $X - B \in \mathcal{E}$ .
- (c) If  $\mathcal{E} \supset \{B_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ , then  $\bigcap_i B_i \in \mathcal{E}$ .

Firstly we show (a). Let  $F$  be a closed subset of  $X$ , and suppose that  $F \not\supset H \cap Y$  for any  $H \in \mathcal{H}$ . Obviously  $F \notin \mathcal{H}$ . Put  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{H} \cup \{F \cap H \mid H \in \mathcal{H}\}$ .  $\mathcal{L}$  satisfies (1), (3) of  $\mathcal{A}$ , and  $\mathcal{H} \neq \mathcal{L}$ , because  $F \in \mathcal{L}$ . By the maximality of  $\mathcal{H}$ , there exists  $H \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $F \cap H \cap Y = \emptyset$ . This shows that  $F \in \mathcal{E}$ . The proof of (b) and (c) is a routine matter. We omit the proof.

Since  $Bo(X)$  is the smallest  $\sigma$ -field containing the set of closed subsets of  $X$ , we get  $\mathcal{E} = Bo(X)$ .

Suppose that  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ , and  $B \cap H \cap Y = \emptyset$  for some  $H \in \mathcal{H}$ . Then  $X - B \in \mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{B}$ .

It is a contradiction that  $\mathcal{B}$  is a filter. Therefore, for each  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $B \cap H \cap Y \neq \emptyset$  for any  $H \in \mathcal{H}$ . It follows from  $\mathcal{E} = \text{Bo}(X)$  that for each  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  there exists some  $H(B) \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $B \supset H(B) \cap Y$ . This fact gives that  $\mathcal{B}$  has c.i.p.. Since  $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathcal{B}$ , we obtain that  $\bigcap \{Z \mid Z \in \mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{Z}(X)\} = \emptyset$ . This is a contradiction that  $X$  is weakly Borel complete. ■

**COROLLARY 2.2.** *If  $X$  has a countably compact dense subset, then  $wX = \beta X$ . Here  $wX$  is the weak Borel completion of  $X$ .*

**PROOF.** Apply Proposition 1.4. (b) and Theorem 2.1. ■

**COROLLARY 2.3.** *If  $X$  is a perfect image of a weakly Borel complete space, then  $X$  is CL-isocompact.*

**PROOF.** Apply Proposition 1.2. (a) and Theorem 2.1. ■

It is not known whether perfect images of weakly Borel complete spaces are weakly Borel complete.

**THEOREM 2.4.** *If  $X$  is a weakly  $\theta$ -refinable space of non-measurable cardinal, then  $X$  is weakly Borel complete.*

**PROOF.** Hardy proved in [2] that a weakly  $\theta$ -refinable space of non-measurable cardinal is  $a$ -realcompact. The procedure of the proof is valid for this theorem.

Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a Borel ultrafilter on  $X$  with c.i.p.. Let  $\mathcal{H} = \{H \mid H \in \mathcal{B}, H \text{ is closed in } X\}$ . Suppose that  $\bigcap \mathcal{H} = \emptyset$ . Since  $\mathcal{U} = \{X - H \mid H \in \mathcal{H}\}$  is an open cover of  $X$ , there exists a weak  $\theta$ -refinement  $\mathcal{C} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{C}_n$  of  $\mathcal{U}$ . For  $n, j$ , let  $H_{n,j} = \{x \in X \mid 1 \leq \text{ord}(x, \mathcal{C}_n) \leq j\}$ . Then obviously  $X = \bigcup_{n,j} H_{n,j}$ . By c.i.p. of  $\mathcal{B}$ , there exist natural numbers  $n, j$  such that  $H_{n,j} \cap B \neq \emptyset$  for any  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ . We fix these  $n, j$ .

By virtue of Zorn's lemma, we can find a discrete subspace  $D \subset H_{n,j}$  such that

- (a)  $\{\text{St}(x, \mathcal{C}_n) \mid x \in D\}$  covers  $H_{n,j}$ ,
- (b) If  $V \in \mathcal{C}_n$ , then  $|V \cap D| \leq 1$ .

Since  $|X| < m_1$ ,  $D$  is realcompact. Here  $m_1$  is the first measurable cardinal.

For each  $F \in \mathcal{H}$ , let  $F^* = \{x \in D \mid \text{St}(x, \mathcal{C}_n) \cap F \cap H_{n,j} \neq \emptyset\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{M} = \{F^* \mid F \in \mathcal{H}\}$  is a free filter base on  $D$ . Take a ultrafilter  $\mathcal{K}$  on  $D$  such that  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{K}$ . Since  $D$  is realcompact, there exists a countable subcollection  $\{K_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$

$\subset \mathcal{K}$  such that  $\bigcap_i K_i = \emptyset$ . Let  $U_i = \cup \{St(x, \mathcal{C}\mathcal{V}_n) \mid x \in K_i\}$ . If  $x \in \bigcap_i U_i$ , then for each  $i$  there exist  $x_i \in K_i$  and  $V_i \in \mathcal{C}\mathcal{V}_n$  with  $x, x_i \in V_i$ . Since this shows that  $ord(x, \mathcal{C}\mathcal{V}_n) = \omega$ , we have  $x \in H_{n_j}$ . Consequently  $H_{n_j} \cap (\bigcap_i U_i) = \emptyset$ .

If  $X - U_i \in \mathcal{H}$  for some  $i$ , we can consider  $(X - U_i)^*$ . But it is easily showed that  $K_i \cap (X - U_i) = \emptyset$ . Since  $K_i, (X - U_i)^* \in \mathcal{K}$ , this is a contradiction. It must be  $X - U_i \notin \mathcal{H}$  for every  $i$ . Therefore  $X - U_i \in \mathcal{B}$  for every  $i$ . Since it must be  $U_i \in \mathcal{B}$  for every  $i$ , we have  $\bigcap_i U_i \in \mathcal{B}$ . It follows that  $H_{n_j} \cap (\bigcap_i U_i) \neq \emptyset$ . This is a contradiction. ■

By the similar procedure of the proof of Theorem 2.4, we can show that each  $\theta$ -refinable space [6] is weakly Borel complete if the cardinality of each closed discrete subspace is non-measurable.

REMARK 2.5. Hardy conjectured in [2, Remark 2.8.] that there exists an  $a$ -realcompact space of non-measurable cardinal which is not weakly  $\theta$ -refinable. Rudin's Dowker space in [4] is, in fact, such a space. Because Simon proved in [5] that the Rudin's Dowker space is  $a$ -realcompact, and not weakly Borel complete. This fact answers the third question posed in [9].

COROLLARY 2.6. *A quasi-developable space of non-measurable cardinal is Borel complete.*

PROOF. It is known that a quasi-developable space is hereditarily weakly  $\theta$ -refinable, and that Borel completeness is equivalent to be hereditarily weakly Borel complete [3]. ■

### Addendum

Theorem 2.4 is extendable to the class of  $\theta$ -penetrable spaces. Namely each  $\theta$ -penetrable space of non-measurable cardinal is weakly Borel complete. For  $\theta$ -penetrable spaces, refer to [8]. For the proof, we use the fact that, for a free closed filter  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $X$  with c. i. p. which is extendable to a Borel ultrafilter on  $X$  with c. i. p.,  $\{X - F \mid F \in \mathcal{F}\}$  has a weak  $\theta$ -refinement if it has a  $\theta$ -penetration. This fact is proved by the quite similar way of [8, Lemma 2.2].

### References

- [1] Bacon, P., The compactness of countably compact spaces. Pacific J. Math. 32 (1970), 587-593.
- [2] Hardy, K., Notes on two generalizations of almost realcompact spaces. Math. Centrum, 1975.

- [3] Rice, M.D. and Leynolds, G., Weakly Borel-complete topological spaces. *Fund. Math.* (1980), 179-185.
- [4] Rudin, M.E., A normal space  $X$  for which  $X \times I$  is not normal. *Fund. Math.* 73 (1971), 179-186.
- [5] Simon, P., A note on Rudin's example of Dowker space. *Comm. Math. Univ. Car.* 12 (1971), 825-834.
- [6] Wicke, H.H. and Worrell, J.M. Jr., Point-countability and compactness. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 55 (1976), 427-431.
- [7] Woods, R.G., A Tychonoff almost realcompactification. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 43 (1974), 200-208.
- [8] Blair, R.L., On a theorem of Chaber. *Top. Proceed.* 5 (1980), 33-46.
- [9] Smith, J.C., Applications of shrinkable covers. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 73 (1979), 379-387.

Institute of Mathematics  
University of Tsukuba  
Ibaraki, 305 Japan