

CONVERGENCE OF MOMENTS IN THE CENTRAL LIMIT THEOREM FOR STATIONARY ϕ -MIXING SEQUENCES

By
Ryozo YOKOYAMA

1. Introduction and result.

Let $\{X_j, -\infty < j < \infty\}$ be a strictly stationary sequence of random variables centered at expectations with finite variance, which satisfies ϕ -mixing condition

$$(1.1) \quad \sup |P(A \cap B) - P(A)P(B)| / P(A) \leq \phi(n) \downarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

Here the supremum is taken over all $A \in \mathcal{M}_{-\infty}^k$ and $B \in \mathcal{M}_{k+n}^{\infty}$, and \mathcal{M}_a^b denotes the σ -field generated by X_j ($a \leq j \leq b$). Let $S_n = X_1 + \cdots + X_n$ and $\sigma_n^2 = ES_n^2$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$.

For independent random variables, Brown [1 and 2] has shown that the Lindeberg condition of order $\nu \geq 2$ is necessary and sufficient for the central limit theorem and the convergence of $E|S_n/\sigma_n|^\nu$ towards the corresponding moment of the normal distribution. For dependent random variables, such a result seems less well-known. We study here the convergence of moments for stationary ϕ -mixing sequences.

THEOREM. *Let $\{X_j\}$ satisfy (1.1). If $EX_1^{2m} < \infty$ for some integer $m \geq 2$, and if*

$$(1.2) \quad \sigma_n^2 = \sigma^2 n(1 + o(1))$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$ ($\sigma > 0$), then

$$(1.3) \quad E(S_n/\sigma_n)^{2m} \rightarrow \beta_{2m} \quad (n \rightarrow \infty),$$

where β_ν is the ν th absolute moment of $N(0, 1)$.

We remark that under the assumptions of the theorem X_j satisfies the central limit theorem (cf. [4, Theorem 18.5.1]). Also remark that any other conditions beyond (1.1) on the decays of mixing coefficients $\phi(n)$ are not required.

2. Preparatory lemmas.

LEMMA 1 [4, Theorem 17.2.3]. *Suppose that (1.1) is satisfied and that ξ and η are measurable with respect to $\mathcal{M}_{-\infty}^k$ and $\mathcal{M}_{k+n}^{\infty}$ ($n \geq 0$) respectively. If $E|\xi|^p < \infty$ and $E|\eta|^q < \infty$ for $p, q > 1$ with $(1/p) + (1/q) = 1$, then*

$$(2.1) \quad |E(\xi\eta) - E(\xi)E(\eta)| \leq 2\{\phi(n)E|\xi|^p\}^{1/p}\{E|\eta|^q\}^{1/q}.$$

LEMMA 2. Let $\{X_j\}$ satisfy (1.1) and $E|X_1|^\nu < \infty$ for some $\nu \geq 2$. If

$$\sigma_n \rightarrow \infty$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then there is a constant K , for which

$$(2.2) \quad E|S_n|^\nu \leq K\sigma_n^\nu, \quad n \geq 1,$$

In this lemma, the assumption (1.2) is not necessarily required. If (1.2) holds, the right-hand side of (2.2) can be replaced by $Kn^{\nu/2}$.

PROOF. We apply the method used in the proof of Lemma 7.4 of Doob [3] to that of Lemma 18.5.1 of Ibragimov-Linnik [4]. Lemma 2 is true for $\nu=2$. We assume therefore that (2.2) holds when ν is an integer $m \geq 2$ and prove that it then holds for $\nu=m+\delta$, $0 < \delta \leq 1$. Let us write

$$\hat{S}_n = \sum_{j=n+k+1}^{2n+k} X_j, \quad a_n = E|S_n|^{m+\delta}$$

We only prove that, for $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist K_1 and k such that

$$(2.3) \quad E|S_n + \hat{S}_n|^{m+\delta} \leq (2+\varepsilon)a_n + K_1\sigma_n^{m+\delta}.$$

The proof of (2.2) then follows on the same line as in Lemma 18.5.1 of [4]. We have

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} E|S_n + \hat{S}_n|^{m+\delta} &\leq E\{|S_n + \hat{S}_n|^m (|S_n|^\delta + |\hat{S}_n|^\delta)\} \leq E|S_n|^{m+\delta} + E|\hat{S}_n|^{m+\delta} \\ &+ E\left\{\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \binom{m}{j} |S_n|^{j+\delta} |\hat{S}_n|^{m-j} + \sum_{j=1}^m \binom{m}{j} |S_n|^j |\hat{S}_n|^{m-j+\delta}\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since S_n and \hat{S}_n have the same distribution,

$$(2.5) \quad E|S_n|^{m+\delta} = E|\hat{S}_n|^{m+\delta} = a_n.$$

Using (2.1) with $p=(m+\delta)/(j+\delta)$,

$$(2.6) \quad E|S_n|^{j+\delta} |\hat{S}_n|^{m-j} \leq 2a_n [\phi(k)]^{(j+\delta)/(m+\delta)} + E|S_n|^{j+\delta} E|\hat{S}_n|^{m-j},$$

and with $p=(m+\delta)/j$,

$$(2.7) \quad E|S_n|^j |\hat{S}_n|^{m-j+\delta} \leq 2a_n [\phi(k)]^{j/(m+\delta)} + E|S_n|^j E|\hat{S}_n|^{m-j+\delta}.$$

By Hölder's inequality,

$$E|S_n|^u \leq (E|S_n|^m)^{u/m}, \quad 0 < u \leq m.$$

Thus, since (2.2) is assumed to hold for $\nu=m$ (with some K), for $0 \leq j \leq m-1$,

$$(2.8) \quad E|S_n|^{j+\delta} E|\hat{S}_n|^{m-j} \leq (E|S_n|^m)^{(m+\delta)/m} \leq K\sigma_n^{m+\delta},$$

and for $1 \leq j \leq m$,

$$(2.9) \quad E|S_n|^j E|S_n|^{m-j+\delta} \leq (E|S_n|^m)^{(m+\delta)/m} \leq K\sigma_n^{m+\delta}.$$

From (2.4) through (2.9), we obtain

$$E|S_n + \hat{S}_n|^{m+\delta} \leq (2 + K_2[\phi(k)]^{\delta/(m+\delta)})\alpha_n + K_1\sigma_n^{m+\delta},$$

for some constants K_1 and K_2 . To prove (2.3) it suffices to take k so large that $K_2[\phi(k)]^{\delta/(m+\delta)} < \varepsilon$.

We represent the sum S_n in the form

$$S_n = \sum_{i=1}^k \xi_i + \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \eta_i = Z_k + Z'_{k+1},$$

where

$$\xi_i = \sum_{(i-1)(p+q)+1}^{ip+(i-1)q} X_j \quad (1 \leq i \leq k)$$

$$\eta_i = \sum_{ip+(i-1)q+1}^{i(p+q)} X_j \quad (1 \leq i \leq k)$$

$$\eta_{k+1} = \sum_{k(p+q)+1}^n X_j,$$

where $k = [n/(p+q)]$, and $p = p(n)$ and $q = q(n)$ are integer-valued functions such that as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$(2.10) \quad p \rightarrow \infty, \quad q \rightarrow \infty, \quad q = o(p), \quad p = o(n), \quad nq = o(p^2) \text{ and } n\phi(q) = o(p).$$

For such a pair of p and q , see for example [4, Theorem 18.4.1]. Under the requirements imposed on p and q , we shall show that Z'_k is negligible, and that consequently $E(S_n/\sigma_n)^{2m} \sim E(Z_k/\sigma_n)^{2m}$. We note that, because of the stationarity, Lemma 2 is applicable to ξ_i and η_i . In the following, for convenience' sake the conditions of the theorem are assumed to hold and K denotes generic constant.

LEMMA 3. As $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$(2.11) \quad EZ_k^{2l} = ES_n^{2l} + o(\sigma_n^{2l}), \quad l=1, 2, \dots, m,$$

PROOF. We first show that

$$(2.12) \quad EZ'_{k+1}^{2l} = o(\sigma_n^{2l}).$$

We have

$$(2.13) \quad EZ'_{k+1}^{2l} = EZ_k^{2l} + \sum_{j=1}^{2l-1} \binom{2l}{j} EZ_k^j \eta_{k+1}^{2l-j} + E\eta_{k+1}^{2l}.$$

By Minkowski's inequality, Lemma 2 and (2.10),

$$(2.14) \quad EZ_k^{2l} \leq k^{2l} E\eta_1^{2l} \leq K(k^2 q)^l = o(\sigma_n^{2l}),$$

by Lemma 2.

$$(2.15) \quad E\gamma_{k+1}^{2l} \leq K(n - k(p+q))^l = o(\sigma_n^{2l}),$$

and by Hölder's inequality, (2.14) and (2.15),

$$(2.16) \quad |EZ'_k \gamma_{k+1}^{2l-j}| \leq (EZ'^{2l}_k)^{j/2l} (E\gamma_{k+1}^{2l})^{(2l-j)/2l} = o(\sigma_n^{2l}).$$

Then (2.12) follows from (2.13)-(2.16).

$$EZ_k^{2l} = E(S_n - Z'_{k+1})^{2l} = ES_n^{2l} + \sum_{j=0}^{2l-1} (-1)^{2l-j} ES_n^j Z'^{2l-j}_{k+1},$$

and by Hölder's inequality, Lemma 2 and (2.12),

$$|ES_n^j Z'^{2l-j}_{k+1}| \leq (ES_n^{2l})^{j/2l} (EZ'^{2l}_{k+1})^{(2l-j)/2l} = o(\sigma_n^{2l}),$$

for $j=0, 1, \dots, 2l-1$. Thus the lemma is proved.

Let $\tau_i^2 = EZ_i^2$ for $i=1, 2, \dots, k$. Then (2.11) implies that

$$(2.17) \quad \tau_k^2 = \sigma_n^2 (1 + o(1)).$$

Since

$$EZ_i^{2l} = E(S_{i(p+q)} - Z'_i)^{2l},$$

it follows from the proof of Lemma 3 that

$$(2.18) \quad EZ_i^{2l} = ES_{i(p+q)}^{2l} + o(\sigma_{i(p+q)}^{2l}),$$

which together with (2.10) implies that

$$(2.19) \quad EZ_i^{2l} \leq K(i\hat{p})^l,$$

for $i=1, 2, \dots, k$, $l=1, 2, \dots, m$. Also (2.10) and (2.18) imply that

$$(2.20) \quad \tau_i^2 = \sigma_{i\hat{p}}^2 (1 + o(1)), \quad i=1, 2, \dots, k.$$

3 Proof of Theorem.

$E(S_n/\sigma_n)^2 = 1$, $n=1, 2, \dots$. Assume inductively that as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$(3.1) \quad E(S_n/\sigma_n)^{2l} \rightarrow \beta_{2l}, \quad l=1, 2, \dots, m-1.$$

In view of (2.11) and (2.17), the assumption (3.1) is equivalent to the one that as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$(3.2) \quad E(Z_k/\tau_k)^{2l} \rightarrow \beta_{2l}, \quad l=1, 2, \dots, m-1.$$

Using (2.11) again, we have only to prove under the assumption (3.2) that as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$(3.3) \quad E(Z_k/\tau_k)^{2m} \rightarrow \beta_{2m}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.4) \quad EZ_k^{2m} &= \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=0}^{2m-1} \binom{2m}{j} EZ_{i-1}^j \xi_i^{2m-j}, \text{ where } Z_0=0, \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^k E\xi_i^{2m} + 2m \sum_{i=1}^k EZ_{i-1}^{2m-1} \xi_i + \sum_{i=1}^k \binom{2m}{2} EZ_{i-1}^{2m-2} \xi_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{2m-3} \binom{2m}{j} EZ_{i-1}^j \xi_i^{2m-j}.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2 and (2.20),

$$(3.5) \quad \sum_{i=1}^k E\xi_i^{2m} \leq Kkp^m = o(\tau_k^{2m}).$$

By Lemmas 1, 2, (2.10), (2.19) and (2.20),

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.6) \quad &\sum_{i=1}^k |EZ_{i-1}^{2m-1} \xi_i| \\
&\leq 2[\phi(q)]^{(2m-1)/2m} \sum_{i=1}^k (EZ_{i-1}^{2m})^{(2m-1)/2m} (E\xi_i^{2m})^{1/2m} \\
&\leq K[\phi(q)]^{1/2} p^m \sum_{i=1}^k (i-1)^{(2m-1)/2} \\
&\leq K' [k\phi(q)]^{1/2} (kp)^m = o(\tau_k^{2m}).
\end{aligned}$$

For $j=1, \dots, 2m-3$, by Lemma 2, (2.19) and (2.20),

$$(3.7) \quad \sum_{i=1}^k (EZ_{i-1}^{2m})^j \xi_i^{2m} (E\xi_i^{2m})^{(2m-j)/2m} \leq Kk^{-(2m-j-2)/2} (kp)^m = o(\tau_k^{2m}),$$

and so

$$(3.8) \quad \sum_{i=1}^k |EZ_{i-1}^j \xi_i^{2m-j}| = o(\tau_k^{2m}).$$

Further, by Lemmas 1, 2, (2.19) and (2.20),

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.9) \quad &\left| \sum_{i=1}^k EZ_{i-1}^{2m-2} \xi_i^2 - \sum_{i=1}^k EZ_{i-1}^{2m-2} E\xi_i^2 \right| \\
&\leq 2[\phi(q)]^{(m-1)/m} \sum_{i=1}^k (EZ_{i-1}^{2m})^{(m-1)/m} (E\xi_i^{2m})^{1/m} \\
&\leq K[\phi(q)]^{(m-1)/m} (kp)^m = o(\tau_k^{2m}).
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, by (3.4)-(3.9), as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$(3.10) \quad EZ_k^{2m} = \sum_{i=1}^k \binom{2m}{2} EZ_{i-1}^{2m-2} E\xi_i^2 + o(\tau_k^{2m}).$$

By (2.20) and (3.2),

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.11) \quad &\sum_{i=1}^k \binom{2m}{2} E(Z_{i-1}/\tau_{i-1})^{2m-2} E(\xi_i^2) \tau_{i-1}^{2m-2} \\
&= \left\{ \binom{2m}{2} \beta_{2m-2} + o(1) \right\} \sigma_p^2 \sum_{i=1}^k \tau_{i-1}^{2m-2} + O(1)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\sim \left\{ \binom{2m}{2} \beta_{2m-2} + o(1) \right\} \sigma_p^{2m} \sum_{i=1}^k (i-1)^{m-1} + O(1) \\ &\sim \left\{ \binom{2m}{2} \beta_{2m-2} + o(1) \right\} \sigma_p^{2m} (k^m/m) + O(1) \\ &\sim \left\{ (2m-1) \beta_{2m-2} + o(1) \right\} \tau_k^{2m} + O(1) \sim \beta_{2m} \tau_k^{2m}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by (3.10) and (3.11), (3.3) follows, and the proof of the theorem is completed.

REMARK. If $E|X_1|^{\nu'} < \infty (\nu' > \nu > 2)$, then by Lemma 2, $\{|S_n/\sigma_n|^\nu, n \geq 1\}$ is uniformly integrable. By the central limit theorem we have, without the assumption (1.2),

$$E|S_n/\sigma_n|^\nu \rightarrow \beta_\nu \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

References

- [1] Brown, B. M., Moments of a stopping rule related to the central limit theorem. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **40** (1969) 1236-1249.
- [2] Brown, B. M., Characteristic functions, moments, and the central limit theorem. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **41** (1970) 658-664.
- [3] Doob, J. L., *Stochastic Processes*, Wiley, New York, 1953.
- [4] Ibragimov, I. A. and Linnik, Yu. V., *Independent and Stationary Sequences of Random Variables*, Wolters-Noordhoff, Groningen, 1971.

Department of Mathematics
Osaka Kyoiku University
Ikeda, Osaka, 563