

ON CERTAIN CURVES OF GENUS THREE WITH MANY AUTOMORPHISMS

By

Izumi KURIBAYASHI

Introduction.

Let k be an algebraically closed ground field. When C is a complete nonsingular curve of genus g and G is a subgroup of its automorphism group $\text{Aut}(C)$, we call the pair (C, G) an *AM* curve of genus g (*AM* stands for “automorphism”).

In Part I, we consider the *AM* curve $(K, \text{Aut}(K))$, where K is the plane curve defined by $x_1x_2^3+x_2x_3^3+x_3x_1^3$ (in $\text{char}(k) \neq 7$). It is known [7] that $\#\text{Aut}(K)$ attains the Hurwitz’s bound: $84(g-1)$ with $g=3$, in case $\text{char}(k) > g+1$ with $g=3$. To determine $(K, \text{Aut}(K))$, we use the fact that $\text{Aut}(C)$ of a nonsingular quartic plane curve C is canonically identified with a subgroup of $PGL(3, k)$. We shall show in particular that when $\text{char}(k)=3$, $(K, \text{Aut}(K))$ is isomorphic to the *AM* curve $(K_4, PSU(3, 3^2))$, where K_4 is defined by $x_1^4+x_2^4+x_3^4$ and $PSU(3, 3^2)$ is a simple subgroup of $PGL(3, k)$ of order 6048. We note that it is the maximum order among the automorphism groups of (complete nonsingular) curves of genus 3 [8].

In Part II we consider the families of *AM* curves (C, G) of genus 3, where G is isomorphic to the symmetric group of degree 4, \mathfrak{S}_4 . (We note that $\text{Aut}(K)$ contains such subgroups.) In §1, we shall determine “normal forms” of such *AM* curves. In §2 we shall determine the isomorphism classes in the above normal forms. In §3, using these results, we explain the relations between the subgroups of Teichmüller modular group $\text{Mod}(3)$ which are isomorphic to \mathfrak{S}_4 and their representations on the spaces of holomorphic differentials. In fact, for an *AM* Riemann surface (W, G) (similarly defined as in the case of *AM* curves), we obtain naturally a subgroup (denoted by $M(W, G)$) of the Teichmüller modular group $\text{Mod}(3)$, which is isomorphic to G . Also we obtain a subgroup (denoted by $\rho(W, G)$) of $GL(3, \mathbb{C})$ which is the image of the representation of G on the space of holomorphic differentials. We shall prove:

THEOREM. *Let (W, G) be an AM Riemann surface of genus three. Assume that G is isomorphic to \mathfrak{S}_4 . Then we have:*

- (1) $M(W, G)$ is Mod(3)-conjugate to either MG_{24} or MH_{24} , $\rho(W, G)$ is $GL(3, \mathbf{C})$ -conjugate to either G_{24} or H_{24} .
- (2) $M(W, G) \sim MG_{24}$ (resp. MH_{24}) if and only if $\rho(W, G) \sim G_{24}$ (resp. H_{24}).

MG_{24} and MH_{24} (resp. G_{24} and H_{24}) in the above are certain subgroups of Mod(3) (resp. $GL(3, \mathbf{C})$), which are explained in (3.1) of Part II.

Contents.

Part I. On the automorphism group of the Klein's quartic curve.

§1. Notations and theorem.

§2. The case $\text{char}(k)=2$.

§3. The case $\text{char}(k)=3$.

Part II. On curves of genus three which have automorphism groups isomorphic to \mathfrak{S}_4 .

§1. Normal forms.

§2. Isomorphism classes.

§3. Subgroups of Mod(3) which are isomorphic to \mathfrak{S}_4 and their representations.

Part I. On the automorphism group of Klein's quartic curve.

§1. Notations and theorem.

1.1. Let k be an algebraically closed base field of characteristic $p \geq 0$. A curve will mean a complete nonsingular curve over k . If C is a nonhyperelliptic curve of genus 3, then its canonical embedding is a quartic plane curve. Conversely, any (nonsingular) quartic plane curve is nonhyperelliptic of genus 3, and its embedding into the ambient projective plane is canonical.

Let C' and C be two quartic plane curves. We denote by $\text{Lin}(C', C)$ the set of automorphisms of the ambient projective plane which induce isomorphisms of C' onto C . Then it is known that the natural mapping of $\text{Lin}(C', C)$ into $\text{Iso}(C', C)$ is a bijection.

Considering a system of homogeneous coordinates, we put

$$\mathbf{P}^2 = \text{Proj}(k[x_1, x_2, x_3]).$$

Then we may identify the group of automorphisms of \mathbf{P}^2 , $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{P}^2)$, with a projective linear group, $PGL(3, k)$. In fact, if a matrix (a_{ij}) represents an element of $PGL(3, k)$, its corresponding automorphism (of \mathbf{P}^2) is defined by:

$$(x_1, x_2, x_3) \mapsto \left(\sum_{j=1}^3 a_{1j}x_j, \sum_{j=1}^3 a_{2j}x_j, \sum_{j=1}^3 a_{3j}x_j \right).$$

If C is a quartic plane curve in $\mathbf{P}^2 = \text{Proj}(k[x_1, x_2, x_3])$ the automorphism group of C , $\text{Aut}(C)$, is always considered as a subgroup of $PGL(3, k)$. For a matrix $T = (a_{ij})$ in $M(3, k)$, T^* denotes the homomorphism of the graded k -algebra $k[x_1, x_2, x_3]$, defined by: $x_i \mapsto \sum_{j=1}^3 a_{ij}x_j$ ($i=1, 2, 3$). And when T is an element of $GL(3, k)$ and H is a subset or an element of $GL(3, k)$, we denote $T^{-1} \cdot H \cdot T$ by $T^*(H)$.

We use the same notation for a quartic curve and a generator of its homogeneous ideal of definition. And we denote an element of $PGL(3, k)$ by its representatives when there is no fear of confusion. Then, for example, if C is a quartic curve and H is a subset of $\text{Aut}(C)$, then for any element T of $PGL(3, k)$, $T^*(C)$ is well-defined as a plane curve, and $T^*(H)$ is also well-defined as a subset of $\text{Aut}(T^*(C))$.

1.2. Notations. We fix a primitive 7-th root ζ of unity in k (if exists), and we denote: (cf. [1])

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_1 &:= \zeta^5 + \zeta^2, & \beta_2 &:= \zeta^3 + \zeta^4, & \beta_3 &:= \zeta^6 + \zeta, \\ \gamma_1 &:= \zeta^5 - \zeta^2, & \gamma_2 &:= \zeta^3 - \zeta^4, & \gamma_3 &:= \zeta^6 - \zeta, \\ \theta_1 &:= \zeta + \zeta^2 + \zeta^4, & \theta_2 &:= \zeta^6 + \zeta^5 + \zeta^3 \text{ and} \\ \alpha_1 &:= \beta_3 + \beta_1, & \alpha_2 &:= \beta_1 + \beta_2, & \alpha_3 &:= \beta_2 + \beta_3. \end{aligned}$$

It is immediate to see:

- (1) $\beta_1^2 = \beta_2 + 2, \beta_2^2 = \beta_3 + 2, \beta_3^2 = \beta_1 + 2, \beta_1\beta_2 = \beta_1 + \beta_3, \beta_2\beta_3 = \beta_2 + \beta_1, \beta_3\beta_1 = \beta_3 + \beta_2,$
- (2) β_1, β_2 and β_3 are the distinct three roots of the equation $\beta^3 + \beta^2 - 2\beta + 1 = 0,$
- (3) θ_1 and θ_2 are the distinct two roots of the equation $(2\theta + 1)^2 + 7 = 0,$
- (4) $\beta_1\gamma_1 = \gamma_2, \beta_2\gamma_2 = \gamma_3, \beta_3\gamma_3 = \gamma_1, \alpha_1\gamma_1 = \gamma_3, \alpha_2\gamma_2 = \gamma_1, \alpha_3\gamma_3 = \gamma_2.$

Next we define distinguished elements and a subgroup of $GL(3, k)$ as follows: (cf. [3, p. 444])

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda &:= D(\zeta^2, \zeta^4, \zeta), \quad \sigma_i := \gamma_i \cdot (\theta_1 - \theta_2)^{-1} \cdot S(\alpha_i, \beta_i, 1), \quad (i=1, 2, 3) \\ \tau &:= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{where } D(a, b, c) = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } S(a, b, c) = \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \\ b & c & a \\ c & a & b \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

And $G_K := \langle \lambda, \tau, \sigma \rangle$, where $\sigma := \sigma_1$.

1.2.1. LEMMA. *The followings hold in $GL(3, k)$:*

- (1) the order of λ (resp. τ, σ) is 7 (resp. 3, 2).
- (2) $\sigma_1 = \tau\sigma_2, \sigma_2 = \tau\sigma_3, \sigma_3 = \tau\sigma_1, \sigma_1\tau = \sigma_2, \sigma_2\tau = \sigma_3, \sigma_3\tau = \sigma_1,$
- (3) $\tau\lambda\tau^{-1} = \lambda^2,$
- (4) "defining relation" $\sigma_i\lambda^{-2i}\sigma_i = \lambda^{2i}\sigma_i\lambda^{2i}$ ($i=1, 2, 3$).

PROOF. These are followed from above by direct calculation.

1.3. LEMMA. Assume that $\text{char}(k) \neq 7$. There is an isomorphism of $PSL(2, 7)$ onto G_K sending $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ (resp. $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 3 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$) to λ (resp. τ, σ). Hence the natural homomorphism of G_K into $PGL(3, k)$ is injective.

PROOF. We have known that the followings are defining relations for $PSL(2, 7)$:

$$x^7 = y^3 = 1, \quad y^{-1}xy = x^2, \quad t^2 = 1, \quad t^{-1}yt = y^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad (xt)^3 = 1.$$

If we take (in $PSL(2, 7)$)

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 3 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

in lieu of x, y and z , then these satisfy the above relations. From (1.2.1) λ, τ^{-1} and $\tau^{-1}\sigma\tau$ also satisfy the relations. Therefore there is a surjective homomorphism as in the statement of the Lemma. Since $PSL(2, 7)$ is a simple group (of order 168), this is an isomorphism. Then the latter part is obvious. Q. E. D.

1.4. A couple (C, G) of a curve C and its automorphism group G shall be called an *AM curve*. An isomorphism of *AM curves* of (C', G') onto (C, G) is an isomorphism of curves $T: C' \rightarrow C$ such that $G' = T^{-1}GT$. In this case we denote (C', G') by $T^*(C, G)$ or $(T^*(C), T^*(G))$, and also write $(C', G') \cong (C, G)$.

The purpose of this part is to prove the following theorem:

1.4.1. THEOREM. When $\text{char}(k) \neq 3$ (resp. $\text{char}(k) = 3$), $(K, \text{Aut}(K))$ is isomorphic (as *AM curves*) to (K, G_K) (resp. $(K_4, PSU(3, 3^2))$). Moreover when $\text{char}(k) = 2$, $(K, \text{Aut}(K))$ is isomorphic to $(K_2, PSL(3, 2))$.

In the above, K denotes the plane curve defined by $x_1x_2^2 + x_2x_3^2 + x_3x_1^2$, in case $\text{char}(k) \neq 7$. K_4 denotes the curve $x_1^4 + x_2^4 + x_3^4$ and K_2 denotes the curve $x_1^4 + x_2^4 + x_3^4 + x_1^2x_2^2 + x_2^2x_3^2 + x_3^2x_1^2 + x_1x_2x_3(x_1 + x_2 + x_3)$. And $PSU(3, 3^2)$ denotes the injective image in $PGL(3, k)$ (in case $\text{char}(k) = 3$) of

$$SU(3, 3^2) = \{A \in SL(3, 3^2) \mid {}^t A \cdot A^{(3)} = I\},$$

where $A^{(i)} := (a_{ij}^i)$ if $A = (a_{ij})$. It is known as a simple group of order $2^5 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 7 = 6048$. $PSL(3, 2)$ denotes the injective image in $PGL(3, k)$ (in case $\text{char}(k) = 2$) of a finite general linear group $GL(3, 2)$. It is known as a simple group of order $2^3 \cdot 3 \cdot 7 = 168$.

A part of proof. First we note that in case where $\text{char}(k) = 7$, K is a singular plane curve, so we omit this case. Now it follows that $\lambda^*(K) = K$, $\tau^*(K) = K$ and $\sigma^*(K) = K$ in $k[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ by direct calculation using (1.2). So G_K is contained in $\text{Aut}(K)$ (in $PGL(3, k)$). On the other hand, when $\text{char}(k) \neq 2$ or 3 , it follows from [7] that $\#\text{Aut}(K) \leq 84(g-1)$ with $g = 3$. Thus we get that $\text{Aut}(K) = G_K$ in these cases.

The excluded cases are settled in § 2, (2.2.1) and § 3, (3.1.1).

§ 2. The case $\text{char}(k) = 2$.

Throughout this section we assume that $\text{char}(k) = 2$. First we write down rather general notations for the use in Part II.

2.1. Notations. We define distinguished subgroups of $GL(3, 2)$:

$$G_8 := \langle R_+, R_- \rangle, G_{24}(+) := \langle S_+, R_+R_- \rangle, G_{24}(-) := \langle S_-, R_+R_- \rangle$$

where

$$R_+ := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad R_- := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad S_+ := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad S_- := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here we have known that G_8 is a 2-Sylow subgroup of $GL(3, 2)$ and that $G_{24}(+)$ and $G_{24}(-)$ are isomorphic to the symmetric group of degree 4, \mathfrak{S}_4 .

Also we define distinguished families of AM curves as follows:

$F_8 :=$ the set of AM curves $(C(a, b), G_8)$ (with parameters a and b)

$F_{24}(+) :=$ the set of AM curves $(C(a, a), G_{24}(+))$

$F_{24}(-) :=$ the set of AM curves $(C(1, b), G_{24}(-))$

where

$$C(a, b) := x_1^4 + ax_2^4 + bx_3^4 + x_1^2x_2^2 + ax_2^2x_3^2 + x_3^2x_1^2 + x_1x_2x_3(x_1 + x_2 + x_3).$$

When G is a subgroup of $GL(3, k)$ (in any characteristic) we denote by $F(G)$ the set of (nonsingular) quartic AM curves (C, G) . Forgetting automorphism groups, we also use the above each family as the set of corresponding curves.

Now we prove a lemma which characterize the curve K_2 .

2.1.1. LEMMA. *We have: $F_{24}(+)=F(G_{24}(+))$ and $F_{24}(-)=F(G_{24}(-))$. Hence $F(PSL(3, 2))=\{K_2\}$.*

PROOF. Comparing coefficients we see easily that $F(\langle R_+R_- \rangle)$ =the set of curves $C(a, b, c_2, c_3)$, where $C(a, b, c_2, c_3):=x_1^4+ax_2^4+bx_3^4+(x_1^2x_2^2+c_2x_2^2x_3^2+c_3x_3^2x_1^2)+x_1x_2x_3(x_1+x_2+x_3)+(1+c_3)x_3^3x_3+(1+c_3+a+c_2)x_2x_3^3+(1+c_3)x_1x_3^3$ with a, b, c_2 and c_3 in k . Again comparing coefficients as for S_+ (resp. S_-), we get that $F(\langle S_+, R_+R_- \rangle)$ =the set of curves of the form $C(a, a, a, 1)$ i.e. $F_{24}(+)$, and that $F(\langle S_-, R_+R_- \rangle)$ =the set of curves of the form $C(1, b, 1, 1)$ i.e. $F_{24}(-)$. Since $\langle S_+, S_-, R_+R_- \rangle$ is equal to $PSL(3, 2)$, it follows from these facts that $F(PSL(3, 2))=F_{24}(+)\cap F_{24}(-)=\{C(1, 1)$ i.e. $K_2\}$. Q. E. D.

2.2. We shall prove (2.2.1) using (2.2.2).

2.2.1. PROPOSITION. $(K, \text{Aut}(K))\cong(K_2, PSL(3, 2))$.

2.2.2. LEMMA. *Let C be a curve in F_8 , and let T be an element of $GL(3, k)$. If $T^*(C)$ is again a curve in F_8 , then T is contained in $PSL(3, 2)$ (in $PGL(3, k)$).*

PROOF of (2.2.2). Let $C=C(a, b)$ and $T=(a_{ij})$ be as above. We denote $T^{(2)}:=(a_{ij}^2)$, $\Delta:=(\Delta_{ij})$ where Δ_{ij} are the cofactors of the matrix (a_{ij}) , and put ${}^t\Delta \cdot T^{(2)}=(b_{ij})$. Then we have (in $k[x_1, x_2, x_3]$):

$$\begin{aligned} T^*(x_1x_2x_3(x_1+x_2+x_3)) &= T^*(x_1^2x_2x_3+x_2^2x_3x_1+x_3^2x_1x_2) \\ &= (a_{11}^2x_1^2+a_{12}^2x_2^2+a_{13}^2x_3^2)(a_{21}x_1+a_{22}x_2+a_{23}x_3)(a_{31}x_1+a_{32}x_2+a_{33}x_3) \\ &\quad + (a_{21}^2x_1^2+a_{22}^2x_2^2+a_{23}^2x_3^2)(a_{31}x_1+a_{32}x_2+a_{33}x_3)(a_{11}x_1+a_{12}x_2+a_{13}x_3) \\ &\quad + (a_{31}^2x_1^2+a_{32}^2x_2^2+a_{33}^2x_3^2)(a_{11}x_1+a_{12}x_2+a_{13}x_3)(a_{21}x_1+a_{22}x_2+a_{23}x_3). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have:

$$\begin{aligned} &(\text{the coefficient of } x_1^2x_2x_3 \text{ in } T^*(C(a, b))) \\ &= (\text{the coefficient of } x_1^2x_2x_3 \text{ in } T^*(x_1x_2x_3(x_1+x_2+x_3))) \\ &= a_{11}^2\Delta_{11}+a_{21}^2\Delta_{21}+a_{31}^2\Delta_{31}=b_{11}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we have:

$$\begin{aligned} &(\text{the coefficient of } x_2^2x_2x_3 \text{ (resp. } x_3^2x_2x_3) \text{ in } T^*(C(a, b)))=b_{12} \text{ (resp. } b_{13}). \\ &(\text{the coefficient of } x_1^2x_3x_1 \text{ (resp. } x_2^2x_3x_1, x_3^2x_3x_1, x_1^2x_1x_2, x_2^2x_1x_2, x_3^2x_1x_2) \\ &\quad \text{in } T^*(C(a, b)))=b_{21} \text{ (resp. } b_{22}, b_{23}, b_{31}, b_{32}, b_{33}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $T^*(C(a, b))$ is a curve in F_8 , we have that ${}^t\Delta \cdot T^{(2)}=(b_{ij})=I$ in $PGL(3, k)$. On the other hand we have ${}^t\Delta \cdot T=I$ in $PGL(3, k)$. It follows that $T=T^{(2)}$ in $PGL(3, k)$. This means that T is contained in $PSL(3, 2)$. Q. E. D.

PROOF of (2.2.1). It follows from (2.1.1) and (2.2.2) that $\text{Aut}(K_2) = \text{PSL}(3, 2)$. On the other hand it is easy to see that $S(\beta_1, \alpha_1, 1) * K = K_2$ (as curves) by (1.2). Thus we conclude that $(K, \text{Aut}(K))$ is isomorphic (as AM curves) to $(K_2, \text{PSL}(3, 2))$. Q. E. D.

Also from (2.1.1), (2.2.1) and (2.2.2) we get:

2.2.3. REMARK. $G_{24}(+)$ and $G_{24}(-)$ are not $\text{PGL}(3, k)$ -conjugate to each other.

§3. The case $\text{char}(k) = 3$.

In this section we assume that $\text{char}(k) = 3$.

3.1. We shall prove (3.1.1) using (3.1.2).

3.1.1. PROPOSITION. $(K, \text{Aut}(K)) \cong (K_4, \text{PSU}(3, 3^2))$.

3.1.2. LEMMA. Let T be an element of $\text{GL}(3, k)$ such that $T^*(K_4)$ is in F_{24} . Then T is contained in $\text{PSU}(3, 3^2)$ (in $\text{PGL}(3, k)$), and $T^*(K_4) = K_4$.

In the above, F_{24} denotes (in general when $\text{char}(k) \neq 2$), the set of AM curves $(C(a), G_{24})$ where $C(a)$ is a plane curve defined by: $x_1^4 + x_2^4 + x_3^4 + a(x_1^2x_2^2 + x_2^2x_3^2 + x_3^2x_1^2)$, $a \in k$, and G_{24} is a subgroup $\langle R, S \rangle$ of $\text{GL}(3, k)$, with

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

PROOF of (3.1.2). Let $T = (a_{ij})$ and ${}^tT \cdot T^{(3)} = (b_{ij})$. First we note that:

$$\begin{aligned} T^*(K_4) &= (a_{11}x_1 + a_{12}x_2 + a_{13}x_3)^4 + (a_{21}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 + a_{23}x_3)^4 + (a_{31}x_1 + a_{32}x_2 + a_{33}x_3)^4 \\ &= b_{11}x_1x_1^3 + b_{12}x_1x_2^3 + b_{13}x_1x_3^3 + b_{21}x_2x_1^3 + b_{22}x_2x_2^3 + b_{23}x_2x_3^3 \\ &\quad + b_{31}x_3x_1^3 + b_{32}x_3x_2^3 + b_{33}x_3x_3^3. \end{aligned}$$

Hence it follows by the assumption that $T^*(K_4) = K_4$ and that ${}^tT \cdot T^{(3)} = I$ (in $\text{PGL}(3, k)$). Then we have also that $T^{(3)*}(K_4) = K_4$, so that ${}^tT^{(3)} \cdot T^{(9)} = I$ i.e. ${}^tT^{(9)} \cdot T^{(3)} = I$. Hence we get that $T = T^{(9)}$ (in $\text{PGL}(3, k)$). Put $c^{-8} \cdot T = T^{(9)}$ in $\text{GL}(3, k)$ with some c in k . Then we have that cT is in $\text{GU}(3, 3^2)$ and so that $(\det(cT))^5 \cdot cT$ is in $\text{SU}(3, 3^2)$. Q. E. D.

PROOF of (3.1.1.). It also follows from the above proof that $\text{PSU}(3, 3^2)$ is

contained in $\text{Aut}(K_4)$. So we have that $\text{Aut}(K_4) = \text{PSU}(3, 3^2)$. On the other hand it is easy to see that $S(\beta_1, \alpha_1, 1)^*(K) = K_4$ by (1.2). Thus we conclude that $(K, \text{Aut}(K))$ is isomorphic to $(K_4, \text{PSU}(3, 3^2))$. Q. E. D.

3.2. REMARK. In the similar line (as in (3.1)) we also have that $\text{Aut}(X_{q+1})$ is isomorphic to $\text{PU}(3, q^2)$, if $\text{char}(k) = p$ is positive and $q = p^n > 3$ with $n \geq 1$. In the above, X_{q+1} denotes the (nonsingular) plane curve (of genus $2^{-1} \cdot q(q-1)$) defined by: $x_1^{q+1} + x_2^{q+1} + x_3^{q+1}$. Hence the order of $\text{Aut}(X_{q+1})$ is $(q^3+1)q^3(q^2-1)$. Moreover if $(3, q+1) = 1$, then $\text{PU}(3, q^2) = \text{PSU}(3, q^2)$ is a simple group. Here we note that this curve is isomorphic to the curve defined by: $y^q + y = x^{q+1}$, (e. g. [8, p. 528]).

Part II. On curves of genus three which have automorphism groups isomorphic to \mathfrak{S}_4 .

§1. Normal forms.

The purpose of this section is to prove the following theorem:

1.1. THEOREM. *Let (C, G) be an AM curve of genus three. Assume that G is isomorphic to \mathfrak{S}_4 . Then there is an isomorphism T (of AM curves) such that:*

- (i) $T^*(C, G)$ is in F_{24} , hF_{24} or hF'_{24} , when $\text{char}(k) \neq 2$, or
- (ii) $T^*(C, G)$ is in $F_{24}(+)$ or $F_{24}(-)$, when $\text{char}(k) = 2$.

In the above we denote:

F_{24} = the set of AM curves $(C(a), G_{24})$ (with a parameter a), (3.1 of Part I),
 hF_{24} = {the AM curve (C^*, hG_{24}) },
 hF'_{24} = {the AM curve (C^*, hH_{24}) },

where C^* denotes the hyperelliptic curve (in case where $\text{char}(k) \neq 2$ or 3) defined by: $y^2 = x^8 + 14x^4 + 1$, and $hG_{24} = \langle A_4, J, T_3 \rangle$, $hH_{24} = \langle A_4, T_3 \rangle$. In the above we denote by J (resp. A_4, T_3) the automorphism of C^* defined by $(x, y) \mapsto (x, -y)$ (resp. (ix, y) , $(-i(x-1) \cdot (x+1)^{-1}, -4y(x+1)^{-4})$), (i denotes $\sqrt{-1}$).

1.2. The case: $\text{char}(k) \neq 2$ and C is nonhyperelliptic. Then we may assume that (C, G) is a quartic plane AM curve. Since it is obvious that $F(G_{24}) = F_{24}$ (cf. (2.1 of Part I)), it suffices to show:

1.2.1. LEMMA. *Assume that $\text{char}(k) \neq 2$. Let H be a subgroup of $\text{PGL}(3, k)$*

which is isomorphic to \mathfrak{S}_4 . Then H is $PGL(3, k)$ -conjugate to G_{24} .

PROOF. We denote by $\mathbf{P}\text{-}PGL$ (resp. $\mathbf{D}\text{-}PGL$) the set of elements of $PGL(3, k)$ which are represented by (a_{ij}) , where $a_{31}=a_{32}=a_{13}=a_{23}=0$ (resp. $a_{ij}=0$ if $i \neq j$). Also we denote $\langle S^2, RS^2R^{-1} \rangle$ by G_4 .

Let $V = \langle A_1, A_2 \rangle$ be the (unique) normal subgroup of H of order 4. We may assume that $A_1 = S^2$ by the Jordan's canonical form. Then A_2 is contained in $\mathbf{P}\text{-}PGL$, which is equal to the centralizer of S^2 in $PGL(3, k)$, $C_{PGL}(S^2)$. Since $A_2^2 = I$ (in $PGL(3, k)$), there is an element T in $\mathbf{P}\text{-}PGL$ such that $T^*(A_2)$ is in $\mathbf{D}\text{-}PGL$. Thus we get that $T^*(V) = \langle T^*(A_1), T^*(A_2) \rangle = G_4$. So we may assume that V is equal to G_4 .

Next it is easy to show that $C_{PGL}(G_4) = \mathbf{D}\text{-}PGL$ and that the normalizer of G_4 in $PGL(3, k)$, $N_{PGL}(G_4)$ equals to $\langle R, S' \rangle \cdot C_{PGL}(G_4)$, where $S' = S^2 \cdot RSR$. Therefore H contains an element of the form RD , where $D = D(\alpha, \beta, 1)$ (cf. (1.2 of Part I)). Let v be a solution of the equation $\alpha\beta v^3 = 1$. Then we have that $D(\beta v^2, v, 1)^*(RD) = R$ (in $PGL(3, k)$). Thus we may assume that R belongs to H .

Since H is isomorphic to \mathfrak{S}_4 , we have that $N_H(\langle R \rangle) = \langle R, S'D' \rangle$ for some $D' = D(\gamma, \delta, 1)$. It follows from $(S'D')^2 = I$ that $\gamma\delta = 1$. And it follows from $S'D' \cdot R(S'D')^{-1} = R^{-1}$ that $\gamma^2 = \delta$. Then we have that $D'^*(S'D') = S'$. Since this D' is in $C_{PGL}\langle S^2, R \rangle$, we get that $D'^*(H) = \langle D'^*(S^2), D'^*(R), D'^*(S'D') \rangle = G_{24}$. This completes the proof of (1.2.1), and hence the theorem (1.1) in case where $\text{char}(k) \neq 2$ and C is nonhyperelliptic.

1.3. The case: C is hyperelliptic.

First we show:

1.3.1. LEMMA. Assume that $\text{char}(k) \neq 2$.

- (1) Let \underline{H} be an abelian subgroup of $PGL(2, k)$ of type $(2, 2)$. Then \underline{H} is $PGL(2, k)$ -conjugate to \underline{H}_4 , where \underline{H}_4 denotes $\langle \underline{A}^2, \underline{B} \rangle$.
- (2) $N_{PGL(2, k)}(\underline{H}_4)$ is equal to $\langle \underline{A}, \underline{T}_3 \rangle$ and is isomorphic to \mathfrak{S}_4 .

In the above we denote $\begin{bmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ (resp. $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ i & i \end{bmatrix}$) by \underline{A} (resp. $\underline{B}, \underline{T}_3$). Also we shall denote $\begin{bmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \beta \end{bmatrix}$ by $D(\alpha, \beta)$.

PROOF. (1) Let $\underline{H} = \langle \underline{A}_1, \underline{A}_2 \rangle$. We may assume that $\underline{A}_1 = \underline{A}^2$ by the Jordan's canonical form. Then \underline{A}_2 is of the form $D(\alpha, 1)\underline{B}$. Put $\underline{T} = D(\beta, 1)\underline{B}$ with $\beta^2 = \alpha$. Then we have that $\underline{T}^{-1} \cdot \underline{H} \underline{T} = \langle \underline{T}^{-1} \underline{A}_1 \underline{T}, \underline{T}^{-1} \underline{A}_2 \underline{T} \rangle = \langle \underline{A}^2, \underline{B} \rangle = \underline{H}_4$.

(2) It is easy to show that $C_{PGL(2, k)}(\underline{H}_4) = \underline{H}_4$. Since we have that $\underline{B}' \underline{A}^2 \underline{B}'^{-1}$

$=A^2$, $B'BB'^{-1}=A^2B$, where $B'=\underline{A}^2T_3AT_3$ and that $T_3^{-1}A^2T_3=B$, $T_3A^2T_3^{-1}=A^2B$, it follows that $N_{PGL(2,k)}(\underline{H}_4)=\langle T_3, B' \rangle \cdot C_{PGL(2,k)}(\underline{H}_4)$. Therefore we have that $N_{PGL(2,k)}(\underline{H}_4)=\langle T_3, A \rangle$, since $\langle A^2, B, T_3, B' \rangle = \langle T_3, A \rangle$. Since $(A^{-1})^4=(T_3A)^2=(A^{-1}T_3A)^2=I$, and since $\#N_{PGL(2,k)}(\underline{H}_4)=24$, we have an isomorphism of \mathfrak{S}_4 onto $N_{PGL(2,k)}(\underline{H}_4)$. Q. E. D.

Next we shall show the theorem (1.1) in case where C is hyperelliptic. In this case we have a natural exact sequence $\langle J \rangle \rightarrow \text{Aut}(C) \rightarrow PGL(2, k)$. Since G is isomorphic to \mathfrak{S}_4 , we have that the image \underline{G} of G in $PGL(2, k)$ is also isomorphic to \mathfrak{S}_4 . Thus $\text{char}(k)$ must be different from 2, because there is no elements of order 4 in $PGL(2, k)$ in case $\text{char}(k)=2$. Then C is determined by $f(x, z)$, where $f(x, z)$ is a homogeneous form of degree 8 which is a semi-invariant with respect to \underline{G} . Then we may assume by (1.3.1) that $\underline{G}=N_{PGL(2,k)}(\underline{H}_4)$. Since $f(x, z)$ is a semi-invariant for \underline{A} , we have that $f(x, z)=\alpha x^8+\beta x^4z^4+\gamma z^8$ for some α, β and γ . Moreover since $f(x, z)$ is a semi-invariant for \underline{B} , we have that Case 1: $\alpha+\gamma=0, \beta=0$, or Case 2: $\alpha=\gamma$. In Case 1, $f(x, z)$ cannot be a semi-invariant for \underline{T}_3 . So Case 1 does not happen. In Case 2, since $f(x, z)$ is a semi-invariant for \underline{T}_3 , we have that $14\alpha=\beta$ i. e. $f(x, z)=\alpha(x^8+14x^4z^4+z^8)$. Thus we see that C is defined by $y^2=x^8+14x^4+1$. Since $\underline{G}=\langle \underline{A}, \underline{T}_3 \rangle$, and since A_4 and T_3 are automorphisms of C , we have that G is contained in $\langle A_4, T_3, J \rangle$. On the other hand T_3 is in G , because there are no element of order 6 in \mathfrak{S}_4 . Thus we obtain that $G=\langle A_4J, T_3 \rangle$ or $\langle A_4, T_3 \rangle$. This completes the proof of the fact that (C, G) isomorphic to (C^*, hG_{24}) or (C^*, hH_{24}) , in case where C is hyperelliptic.

1.4. The case: $\text{char}(k)=2$. Then we may assume that C is nonhyperelliptic. And it follows from the Jordan's canonical form that we may assume that R_+R_- is in G . Then C equals to some $C(a, b, c_2, c_3)$ in $F(\langle R_+R_- \rangle)$ (cf. (2.1.1 of Part I)). If $T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha & \beta \\ 0 & 1 & \alpha \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ (α, β in k), then T is in $C_{PGL}(R_+R_-)$ and $T^*(C)=C(a', b', c'_2, c'_3)$ in $F(\langle R_+R_- \rangle)$, where $c'_2=c_2+c_3(\alpha^2+\alpha)+\alpha^4+\alpha^3+\beta^2+\beta$, and $c'_3=c_3+\alpha^2+\alpha$. For suitable choice of α and β , we get that $T^*(C)$ is a curve in F_8 . Hence we may assume that C is in F_8 with R_+R_- in G . It follows from (2.2.2 of Part I) that $\text{Aut}(C)$ is contained in $PSL(3, 2)$. It is easy to see that $C_{PSL(3,2)}(\langle R_+R_- \rangle^2)=G_8$. So we have that G_8 is contained in G . Therefore the normal subgroup of G of order 4 is either $\langle R_+, (R_+R_-)^2 \rangle$ or $\langle R_-, (R_+R_-)^2 \rangle$. Since $N_{PSL(3,2)}\langle R_+, (R_+R_-)^2 \rangle = G_{24}(+)$, and $N_{PSL(3,2)}\langle R_-, (R_+R_-)^2 \rangle = G_{24}(-)$, we have that $G=G_{24}(+)$ or $G_{24}(-)$. On the other hand, since $F(G_{24}(+))=F_{24}(+)$ and $F(G_{24}(-))=F_{24}(-)$ (2.1.1 of Part I), we get that (C, G) is a member of $F_{24}(+)$ or $F_{24}(-)$. This completes the proof

of (1.1) in case where $\text{char}(k)=2$.

§ 2. Isomorphism classes.

The purpose of this section is to prove the following theorem :

2.1. THEOREM. Assume that $\text{char}(k) \neq 2$. Let $C(a)$ and $C(a')$ be two curves in F_{24} , where $a \neq 3\theta_1$ or $3\theta_2$. Then $C(a)$ is isomorphic to $C(a')$ if and only if $a = a'$.

PROOF. To prove the “only if” part, we assume that $C(a) \cong C(a')$ and $a \neq a'$. First it is easy to see that $C_{PGL}(G_{24}) = \{I\}$. Since any automorphism of \mathfrak{S}_4 is an inner automorphism, we also have that $N_{PGL}(G_{24}) = G_{24}$. Therefore by the assumption it follows that $\text{Aut}(C(a))$ contains strictly G_{24} . Then we apply a result on the classification of nonhyperelliptic AM curves of genus three [5], and it follows that $C(a)$ is isomorphic to K or K_4 .

(1) The case: $C(a) \cong K_4$. When $\text{char}(k)=3$, it follows from (3.1.2 of Part I) that $a=0$, where this is the excluded value. When $\text{char}(k) \neq 3$, we note that $\#\text{Aut}(K_4)=96$, and that $C_{\text{Aut}(K_4)}(S^2)$ is a 2-Sylow subgroup of $\text{Aut}(K_4)$ with $\langle D(i, i, -1) \rangle$ as its center. So any 2-Sylow subgroup of $\text{Aut}(K_4)$ has a cyclic subgroup of order 4 as its center. Since $C_{PGL}\langle S^2, RS^2R^{-1} \rangle$ is contained in $D\text{-PGL}$, $\text{Aut}(C(a))$ contains an element of $D\text{-PGL}$ of order 4. Then we have at any rate that $a=0$. Also we have that $a'=0$. These lead to a contradiction to the assumption on a and a' .

(2) The case: $C(a) \cong K$. We may assume that $\text{char}(k) \neq 3$, by (1.4.1 of Part I). If we denote by S_0 (resp. \bar{S}_0) $S(\zeta^6\alpha_3, \zeta^4\beta_1, 1)$ (resp. $S(\zeta\alpha_3, \zeta^3\beta_1, 1)$) (cf. (1.2 of Part I)) then by direct calculations we see that $S_0^*(K) = C(3\theta_1)$ (in F_{24}) and $\bar{S}_0^*(K) = C(3\theta_2)$ (in F_{24}). Let T be an isomorphism of K onto $C(a)$. Then $T^*(G_{24})$ is G_K -conjugate to either $S_0^{-1}*(G_{24})$ or $\bar{S}_0^{-1}*(G_{24})$, since $G_K = \text{Aut}(K)$ (1.4.1 of Part I) and G_K is isomorphic to $PSL(2, 7)$. Hence replacing T if necessary, we may assume that TS_0 or $T\bar{S}_0$ is contained in $N_{PGL}(G_{24}) = G_{24}$, which is contained in $\text{Aut}(C(a))$. Thus we have at any rate that $a = 3\theta_1$ or $3\theta_2$, which are the excluded values. This completes the proof of (2.1).

2.2. REMARK. We have an analogous result for the case $\text{char}(k)=2$, by (2.2.2 of Part I) :

Assume that $\text{char}(k)=2$. Let $C(a, b)$ and $C(a', b')$ be two curves in F_8 . Then $C(a, b)$ is isomorphic to $C(a', b')$ if and only if $a = a'$ and $b = b'$.

§ 3. Subgroups of $\text{Mod}(3)$ which are isomorphic to \mathfrak{S}_4 and their representations.

In this section we work in the category of (compact) Riemann surfaces.

3.1. Notations and theorem.

3.1.1. Let W_0 be a fixed Riemann surface of genus 3. For each Riemann surface W of genus 3, we consider the pairs (W, α) , where α are homotopy classes of orientation-preserving (or shortly o. p.) homeomorphisms of W_0 onto W . Two such pairs (W, α) and (W', α') are said to be conformally equivalent if there is a conformal mapping of W onto W' which is an element of $\alpha'\alpha^{-1}$. We denote by $\langle W, \alpha \rangle$ the equivalence class of (W, α) . And the set of these classes is called the Teichmüller space $T(3)$ of genus 3. $T(3)$ becomes a metric space [9], and moreover a (simply connected) complex manifold of dimension $3g-3$ with $g=3$ [2].

Let $G(W_0)$ be the group of o. p. homeomorphisms of W_0 . Each c in $G(W_0)$ defines a well-defined permutation c^* of $T(3)$ sending $\langle W, \alpha \rangle$ to $\langle W, \alpha \cdot c^{-1} \rangle$. In fact this c^* is a biholomorphic mapping. And so we have a group homomorphism of $G(W_0)$ into $\text{Aut}(T(3))$, the group of biholomorphic mappings of $T(3)$. We denote its image by $\text{Mod}(3)$. For $\langle W, \alpha \rangle$ in $T(3)$, we have a natural group homomorphism (denoted by M_α) of $\text{Aut}(W)$ into $\text{Mod}(3)$ defined by $\sigma \mapsto \langle \alpha^{-1}\sigma\alpha \rangle^*$. It is known that M_α defines an isomorphism of $\text{Aut}(W)$ and the isotropy subgroup of $\text{Mod}(3)$ at $\langle W, \alpha \rangle$ (e. g. [6, p. 16, Corollary]). For an AM Riemann surface (W, G) (defined as in (1.4 of Part I)), taking a homotopy class α of W_0 onto W , we define a homomorphism (denoted by $M(W, \alpha)$) of $\text{Aut}(W)$ into $\text{Mod}(3)$ as above. Then we note that its image $M(W, G)$ is determined up to $\text{Mod}(3)$ -conjugacy.

3.1.2. For an AM Riemann surface (W, G) of genus 3, taking a basis $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3$ of the space of holomorphic differentials, we define a representation, $\rho(W, \alpha)$, of $\text{Aut}(W)$ on the space which is defined by: $\rho(W, \sigma) = (a_{ij})$ in $GL(3, \mathbb{C})$, where $\sigma^*(\varphi_i) = \sum_{j=1}^3 a_{ij}\varphi_j$ ($\sigma \in \text{Aut}(W)$). Then we note that the image $\rho(W, G)$ of G is determined up to $GL(3, \mathbb{C})$ -conjugacy.

The purpose of this section is to prove the following theorem:

3.1.3. THEOREM. *Let (W, G) be an AM Riemann surface of genus three. Assume that G is isomorphic to \mathfrak{S}_4 . Then we have:*

- (1) $M(W, G)$ is Mod(3)-conjugate to either MG_{24} or MH_{24} , $\rho(W, G)$ is $GL(3, \mathbf{C})$ -conjugate to either G_{24} or H_{24} .
- (2) $M(W, G) \sim MG_{24}$ (resp. MH_{24}) if and only if $\rho(W, G) \sim G_{24}$ (resp. H_{24}).

In the above we denote by MG_{24} (resp. MH_{24}) the subgroup $M(C^*, hG_{24})$ (resp. $M(C^*, hH_{24})$) of Mod(3). And we denote by G_{24} (resp. H_{24}) the subgroup $\langle R, S \rangle$ (resp. $\langle R, -S \rangle$) of $GL(3, \mathbf{C})$ (cf. (3.1 of Part I)).

3.2. Our proof is based on the following several lemmas:

3.2.1. LEMMA. Let $(C(a), G_{24})$ is an AM Riemann surface in F_{24} . Then $\rho(C(a), G_{24})$ is $GL(3, \mathbf{C})$ -conjugate to G_{24} .

PROOF. Let $F(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ be the homogeneous polynomial defining $C(a)$. And we denote by x and y the functions on $C(a)$, x_1/x_3 and x_2/x_3 . Since $C(a)$ is a nonsingular plane curve which meets the line defined by $x_3=0$ transversally, the differentials $x F_2^{-1} dx$, $y F_2^{-1} dx$ and $F_2^{-1} dx$ form a basis of the space of holomorphic differentials, where $F_2 = F_2(x, y) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} F\right)(x, y, 1)$. If $\rho(C(a),)$ is the representation with respect to this basis, then we have that $\rho(C(a), S) = S$, since $S^*(x F_2^{-1} dx) = -y F_2^{-1} dx$, $S^*(F_2^{-1} dx) = F_2^{-1} dx$ and $S^*(y F_2^{-1} dx) = x \cdot F_2^{-1} dx$. On the other hand we have that $R^*(F_2^{-1} dx) = (4x^{-3} + 2a((yx^{-1})^2 x^{-1} + x^{-1}))^{-1} d(yx^{-1}) = (4 + 2a(x^2 + y^2))^{-1} x(x dy - y dx) = x F_2^{-1} dx$, since $F_1(x, y) dx + F_2(x, y) dy = 0$. Hence we also have that $R^*(x F_2^{-1} dx) = yx^{-1} R^*(F_2^{-1} dx) = y F_2^{-1} dx$, and that $R^*(y F_2^{-1} dx) = x^{-1} R^*(F_2^{-1} dx) = F_2^{-1} dx$. Thus we get that $\rho(C(a), R) = R$. Therefore we conclude that $\rho(C(a), G_{24}) = G_{24}$. Q. E. D.

3.2.2. LEMMA. Let C^* be the hyperelliptic surface in (1.1). Then $\rho(C^*, hG_{24})$ (resp. $\rho(C^*, hH_{24})$) is $GL(3, \mathbf{C})$ -conjugate to G_{24} (resp. H_{24}).

PROOF. Let $\rho(C^*,)$ be the representation of $\text{Aut}(C^*)$ with respect to the basis: $i(x^2 - 1)y^{-1} \cdot dx$, $(x^2 + 1)y^{-1} \cdot dx$ and $2ixy^{-1} \cdot dx$. First it is obvious that $\rho(C^*, J) = -I$. Next it follows easily that:

$$\begin{aligned} (A_4 J)^*(i(x^2 - 1)y^{-1} dx) &= i^2(-x^2 - 1)(-y)^{-1} dx = -(x^2 + 1)y^{-1} dx, \\ (A_4 J)^*((x^2 + 1)y^{-1} dx) &= i(x^2 - 1)y^{-1} dx, \text{ and} \\ (A_4 J)^*(2ixy^{-1} dx) &= 2ixy^{-1} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain that $\rho(C^*, A_4 J) = S$ and $\rho(C^*, A_4) = -S$. We also have that:

$$T_3^*(y^{-1} dx) = i(x + 1)^2(2y)^{-1} dx, \quad T_3^*(xy^{-1} dx) = (x^2 - 1)(2y)^{-1} dx \text{ and}$$

$$T_3^*(x^2 \cdot y^{-1} dx) = -i(x-1)^2(2y)^{-1} dx.$$

Hence we obtain that :

$$T_3^*(i(x^2-1)y^{-1} dx) = (x^2+1)y^{-1} dx, \quad T_3((x^2+1)y^{-1} dx) = 2ixy^{-1} dx \quad \text{and}$$

$$T_3^*(2ixy^{-1} dx) = i(x^2-1)y^{-1} dx.$$

Therefore it follows that $\rho(C^*, T_3) = R$. Combining these results, we have that $\rho(C^*, hG_{24}) = G_{24}$ and $\rho(C^*, hH_{24}) = H_{24}$. Q. E. D.

3.2.3. REMARK. G_{24} and H_{24} are not $GL(3, \mathbf{C})$ -conjugate are each other, since $\langle S \rangle$ and $\langle -S \rangle$ are not conjugate.

3.3. Now we prove the following proposition :

3.3.1. PROPOSITION. *Let $C(a)$ and $C(a')$ be two Riemann surfaces in F_{24} . Then there exists an orientation-preserving homeomorphism f of $C(a)$ onto $C(a')$ such that $f \cdot A = A \cdot f$ for each automorphism A in G_{24} .*

PROOF. We shall prove this proposition in several steps.

Step 1. We denote by \mathbf{C}^* a Zariski-open subset $\{a \mid C(a) \in F_{24}\}$ of \mathbf{C} . We fix an element a_0 of \mathbf{C}^* . Let L be a topological embedding of \mathbf{R} to \mathbf{C}^* such that $L(0) = a_0$. For $\varepsilon > 0$, we denote by L_ε the restriction of L to the open interval $(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$. And we also denote by L_ε its image in \mathbf{C}^* .

Then it suffices to show :

CLAIM. *There exists an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for any a in L_ε , there is an o.p. homeomorphism f_a of $C(a_0)$ to $C(a)$ with the property that $f_a \cdot A = A \cdot f_a$ for each A in G_{24} .*

If we prove this Claim, then we obtain a desired mapping after composing of finitely many such mappings as in the Claim.

In the following we shall prove this Claim.

Step 2. Let a_0 and L be as above. If $n_1(a)$ and $n_2(a)$ are the two solutions (in \mathbf{C}) of the equation: $n^2 + 2an + (a+2) = 0$, then we denote $N'_i(a) = 1 + 2(n_i(a) + 1)^2 \cdot n_i(a)^{-1}$ ($i=1, 2$). If ε is sufficiently small, then we may assume that the mapping N'_i of L_ε to \mathbf{C} is continuous, since $N'_1(a)$ and $N'_2(a)$ are distinct (and different from 0) for each a in \mathbf{C}^* .

Next we choose a quasi-conformal mapping ψ of \mathbf{P}^1 onto \mathbf{P}^1 such that $\psi(0) = 0$, $\psi(\infty) = \infty$, $\psi(N'_1(a_0)) = 1$ and $\psi(N'_2(a_0)) = i$. We denote the continuous

mapping $\psi N'_i$ by N_i .

Let C be the complex subspace of $\mathbf{P}^2 \times L_\varepsilon$ defined by the locus of the equation:

$$x_1^4 + x_2^4 + x_3^4 + a(x_1^2 x_2^2 + x_2^2 x_3^2 + x_3^2 x_1^2) = 0.$$

Then we have the following Claim:

CLAIM. (1) If we define the continuous mapping π of C onto $\mathbf{P}^1 \times L_\varepsilon$ by sending (x_1, x_2, x_3, a) to $(\phi(1 + (x_1^2 + x_2^2)(x_2^2 + x_3^2)(x_3^2 + x_1^2)(x_1 x_2 x_3)^{-2}), a)$, then it is the quotient mapping of C onto C/G_{24} .

(2) The o. p. continuous mapping $\pi_a : \pi^{-1}(a) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$ (the fiber of π over a) is the natural mapping of $C(a)$ onto $C(a)/G_{24}$.

(3) The branch points of π_a are $0, \infty, N_1(a)$ and $N_2(a)$.

PROOF. We have (1) and (2) from the fact that the holomorphic mapping of $C(a)$ to \mathbf{P}^1 defined by $(x_1, x_2, x_3) \mapsto 1 + (x_1^2 + x_2^2)(x_2^2 + x_3^2)(x_3^2 + x_1^2)(x_1 x_2 x_3)^{-2}$ is the quotient mapping $C(a) \rightarrow C(a)/G_{24}$.

Since G_{24} is isomorphic to \mathfrak{S}_4 , it is easy to see that the branch points are the images of the following 4 points of $C(a)$; $(1, \omega, \omega^2)$: a fixed point of R (in $C(a)$), where ω is a solution of the equation $\omega^2 + \omega + 1 = 0$, $(*, 1, 0)$: a fixed point of S^2 , $(1, 1, \sqrt{n_i(a)})$: a fixed point of $S^2 RSR$ ($i=1, 2$). These images are in fact $0, \infty, N_1(a)$ and $N_2(a)$. Q. E. D.

Step 3. We define a mapping g of $\mathbf{P}^1 \times L_\varepsilon$ into $\mathbf{P}^1 \times L_\varepsilon$ by $(P, a) \mapsto (Re(P)N_1(a) + Im(P)N_2(a), a)$ (if $P \neq \infty$), and $(\infty, a) \mapsto (\infty, a)$. If ε is sufficiently small, then it follows easily that:

- (1) g is a homeomorphism such that $g(0, a) = (0, a)$, $g(\infty, a) = (\infty, a)$ and $g(N_i(a_0), a) = (N_i(a), a)$ ($i=1, 2$).
- (2) the fiber of g over a (denote it by g_a) is an o. p. homeomorphism.

Step 4. $B(a)$ denotes the set $\{(Q, a) \text{ in } \mathbf{P}^1 \times L_\varepsilon \mid Q \text{ is a branch point of } \pi_a : C(a) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1\}$, and B denotes the union $\bigcup_{a \in L_\varepsilon} B(a)$. Since the action of G_{24} on $C \setminus \pi^{-1}B$ is fixed-point free, the restriction of π to $C \setminus \pi^{-1}B$ into $\mathbf{P}^1 \times L_\varepsilon \setminus B$ is surjective and locally homeomorphic.

For a point P of $C(a_0) \setminus \pi_{a_0}^{-1}B(a_0)$ and a in L_ε , let $L(P, a)$ be the lifting with initial point P (considered as a point of C) of the \mathbf{R} -curve from $[0, t_a]$ to $\mathbf{P}^1 \times L_\varepsilon$ (where $L(t_a) = a$) defined by $t \mapsto g(\pi_{a_0}(P), L(t))$. Then we have a homeomorphism (denoted by f) of $(C(a_0) \setminus \pi_{a_0}^{-1}B(a_0)) \times L_\varepsilon$ onto $C \setminus \pi^{-1}B$, sending (P, a) to the end point of $L(P, a)$. This mapping has the property that $f(AP, a) = Af(P, a)$ for

any automorphism A in G_{24} , since $Af(P, a)$ is the end point of the R -curve $AL(P, a)$ which is equal to $L(AP, a)$.

It is obvious that f can be uniquely extended to a homeomorphism (again denoted by f) of $C(a_0) \times L_\varepsilon$ onto C , and that f has the property that $f(AP, a) = Af(P, a)$, because $C \rightarrow L_\varepsilon$ is a proper mapping.

Step 5. The fiber (denoted by f_a) of f over $a \in L_\varepsilon$ is the desired homeomorphism of $C(a_0)$ onto $C(a)$ with the property that $f_a A = Af_a$ for each A in G_{24} . The fact that f_a is orientation-preserving is followed from (2) of Claim in Step 2 and from (2) of Step 3. Q. E. D. of (3.3.1).

3.3.2. COROLLARY. *Let $(C(a), G_{24})$ and $(C(a'), G_{24})$ be two AM Riemann surfaces in F_{24} . Then $M(C(a), G_{24})$ and $M(C(a'), G_{24})$ are Mod(3)-conjugate to each other.*

PROOF. Let f be as in (3.3.1). If we take a homotopy class α of W_0 onto $C(a)$, then we have that $M_{f_a}(A) = ((f \cdot \alpha)^{-1} A (f \cdot \alpha))^* = (\alpha^{-1} \cdot f^{-1} A f \cdot \alpha)^* = M_\alpha(f^{-1} A f) = M_\alpha(A)$. Thus we have that $M(C(a), G_{24}) \sim M(C(a'), G_{24})$. Q. E. D.

3.4. Proof of the theorem: Let (W, G) be as in (3.1.3).

First we note by (3.2.1), (3.2.2) and (1.1) that $\rho(W, G)$ is $GL(3, \mathcal{C})$ -conjugate to either G_{24} or H_{24} , and that $\rho(W, G) \sim G_{24}$ (resp. H_{24}) if and only if (W, G) is an element of F_{24} or hF_{24} (resp. of hF'_{24}), up to isomorphisms of AM Riemann surfaces.

For the rest of this section we shall prove the similar results as above concerning the subgroups of Mod(3). In general, when H is a finite subgroup of Mod(3), we denote by $T(3)^H$ the fixed point set $\{\langle W', \alpha \rangle \mid c^*(\langle W', \alpha \rangle) = \langle W', \alpha \rangle \text{ for all } c^* \text{ in } H\}$. If $\langle W', \alpha \rangle$ is an element of $T(3)^H$, we consider the AM Riemann surface (W', G') where $G' = M_\alpha^{-1}(H)$, and we denote by $d(H)$ the number: $3 \cdot (\text{genus of } W'/G') - 3 + \#(\text{branch points for } W' \rightarrow W'/G')$. Then it follows from [4] that $T(3)^H$ is a simply connected submanifold (of $T(3)$) of dimension $d(H)$. Since the genus of C^*/hG_{24} (resp. C^*/hH_{24}) is 0 (resp. 0) and $\#$ (branch points for $C^* \rightarrow C^*/hG_{24}$ (resp. C^*/hH_{24})) is 4 (resp. 3), we have by definition that $d(MG_{24}) = 1$ (resp. $d(MH_{24}) = 0$). Thus in particular it follows that MG_{24} is not Mod(3)-conjugate to MH_{24} . Since Mod(3) acts on $T(3)$ properly discontinuously, it follows from the classification (1.1) and (2.1) that $T(3)^{MG_{24}}$ contains an element $\langle W, \alpha \rangle$ such that $(W, M_\alpha^{-1}(MG_{24}))$ is an AM Riemann surface in F_{24} up to isomorphisms. Hence by (3.3.2) we have that $M(C(a), G_{24})$

is conjugate to MG_{24} for any AM Riemann surface $(C(a), G_{24})$ of F_{24} . Thus we obtain that $M(W, G)$ is Mod(3)-conjugate to either MG_{24} or MH_{24} , and that $M(W, G) \sim MG_{24}$ (resp. MH_{24}) if and only if (W, G) is an element of F_{24} or hF_{24} (resp. of hF'_{24}), up to isomorphisms of AM Riemann surfaces.

The above two results completes the proof of (3.1.1).

References

- [1] Baker, H.F., Note introductory to the study of Klein's group of order 168, Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society, 31 (1935), 468-481.
- [2] Bers, L., The space of Riemann surfaces, Proc. Intern. Congr., Edinburgh, 1958, 349-361.
- [3] Klein, F., Ueber die Transformation siebenter Ordnung der elliptischen Funktionen, Math. Ann. 14 (1879), 428-471.
- [4] Kra, I., Canonical mappings between Teichmüller spaces, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 4 (1981), 143-179.
- [5] Kuribayashi, I., Quartic curves with many automorphisms, (to appear).
- [6] Rauch, H.E., A transcendental view of the space of algebraic Riemann surfaces, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 71 (1965), 1-39.
- [7] Roquette, P., Abschätzung der Automorphismenanzahl von Funktionenkörpern bei Primzahlcharakteristik, Math. Z. 117 (1970), 157-163.
- [8] Stichtenoth, H., Über die Automorphismengruppe eines algebraischen Funktionenkörpers von Primzahlcharakteristik, Archiv der Math. 24 (1973), 527-544.
- [9] Teichmüller, O., Bestimmung der extremalen quasikonformen Abbildungen bei geschlossenen orientierten Riemannschen Flächen, Abh. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. Math. 24 (1943), 1-42.

Institute of Mathematics
University of Tsukuba
Ibaraki, Japan