

ON STABILITY OF A CERTAIN MINIMAL  
SUBMANIFOLD IN  $SU(3)/SO(3)$

By

Osamu IKAWA

§1. Introduction.

Let  $M$  be a compact irreducible symmetric space. It is known that the first conjugate locus  $F_p(M)$  of  $M$  with respect to  $p \in M$  has a stratification. We denote by  $F_p^0(M)$  the maximal dimensional strata. H. Tasaki proved the following theorem:

**THEOREM ([8]).** *For any point  $p$  in  $M$ ,  $F_p^0(M)$  is a noncompact minimal submanifold of  $M$ . If  $M$  is a compact connected simple Lie group, then  $F_p^0(M)$  is stable.*

If  $M$  is of rank one, then  $F_p^0(M)$  is stable. These results are obtained by Berger [1].

In this paper we shall study on stability of a noncompact minimal submanifold  $F_p^0(M)$  in the compact irreducible symmetric space  $M = SU(3)/SO(3)$ .

In general, a noncompact minimal submanifold  $F$  in a Riemannian manifold  $M$  is said to be *stable* if the second variation of the volume of  $F$  is nonnegative for every variation of compact support.

The purpose of this paper is to prove the following theorem:

**THEOREM.** *If  $M$  is  $SU(3)/SO(3)$ , then  $F_p^0(M)$  is stable.*

In §2 we explain the structure of  $F_p^0(M)$  when  $M$  is simply connected which is obtained by T. Sakai and M. Takeuchi. In §3 we shall give the proof of the theorem.

The author would like to express his hearty thanks to Professors Tsunero Takahashi and Hiroyuki Tasaki who gave him valuable advice during the preparation of this note.

## §2. Preliminaries.

1. Let  $(G, K)$  be a compact symmetric pair and  $\theta$  be the involutive automorphism of  $G$  associated with  $(G, K)$ . Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathfrak{k}$  be the Lie algebras of  $G$  and  $K$  respectively. We denote also by  $\theta$  the induced involutive automorphism of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Take a bi-invariant Riemannian metric  $\langle, \rangle$  on  $G$  and denote also by  $\langle, \rangle$  the induced  $G$ -invariant Riemannian metric on  $M=G/K$ . Then  $M$  is a compact symmetric space with respect to  $\langle, \rangle$ . Let  $\pi$  denote the natural projection from  $G$  to  $M$ . Put  $o=\pi(e)$ , where  $e$  is the identity element of  $G$ . Since  $K$  lies between

$$G_\theta = \{g \in G; \theta(g) = g\}$$

and its identity component, we have

$$\mathfrak{k} = \{X \in \mathfrak{g}; \theta X = X\}.$$

Put

$$\mathfrak{m} = \{X \in \mathfrak{g}; \theta X = -X\}.$$

Since  $\theta$  is an involutive automorphism, we have a direct sum decomposition of  $\mathfrak{g}$ :

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{m}.$$

Take a maximal abelian subspace  $\mathfrak{a}$  of  $\mathfrak{m}$  and a maximal abelian subalgebra  $\mathfrak{t}$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$  containing  $\mathfrak{a}$ . Then the complexification  $\mathfrak{t}^c$  of  $\mathfrak{t}$  is a Cartan subalgebra of the complexification  $\mathfrak{g}^c$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . For an element  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{t}$ , put

$$\mathfrak{g}_\alpha = \{X \in \mathfrak{g}^c; [H, X] = 2\pi\sqrt{-1}\langle\alpha, H\rangle X \quad \text{for each } H \in \mathfrak{t}\}.$$

An element  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{t} - \{0\}$  is called a root if  $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha \neq \{0\}$ . We denote by  $\Sigma(G)$  the set of all roots. We have a direct sum decomposition of  $\mathfrak{g}^c$ :

$$\mathfrak{g}^c = \mathfrak{t}^c + \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma(G)} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha.$$

For an element  $\gamma \in \mathfrak{a}$ , put

$$\mathfrak{g}_\gamma^c = \{X \in \mathfrak{g}^c; [H, X] = 2\pi\sqrt{-1}\langle\gamma, H\rangle X \quad \text{for each } H \in \mathfrak{a}\}.$$

An element of  $\gamma \in \mathfrak{a} - \{0\}$  is called a restricted root if  $\mathfrak{g}_\gamma^c \neq \{0\}$ . We denote by  $\Sigma(G, K)$  the set of all restricted roots. We denote by  $\bar{\cdot}$  the orthogonal projection from  $\mathfrak{t}$  to  $\mathfrak{a}$ . We have

$$\mathfrak{g}_\gamma^c = \sum_{\bar{\alpha}=\gamma} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha,$$

$$\Sigma(G, K) = \overline{\Sigma(G) - \Sigma_{\mathfrak{o}}(G)},$$

$$\text{where } \Sigma_{\mathfrak{o}}(G) = \Sigma(G) \cap \mathfrak{k}.$$

Choose lexicographic orderings  $>$  on  $\mathfrak{t}$  and  $\mathfrak{a}$  such that

$$\alpha \in \Sigma(G), \quad \bar{\alpha} \geq 0 \implies \alpha \geq 0.$$

We denote by  $\Sigma^+(G)$  the set of positive roots and by  $\Sigma^+(G, K)$  the set of positive restricted roots. We put

$$\mathfrak{k}_\gamma = \mathfrak{k} \cap (\mathfrak{g}_\gamma^c + \mathfrak{g}_\gamma^c), \quad \mathfrak{m}_\gamma = \mathfrak{m} \cap (\mathfrak{g}_\gamma^c + \mathfrak{g}_\gamma^c)$$

for each  $\gamma \in \Sigma^+(G, K)$  and

$$\mathfrak{k}_0 = \{X \in \mathfrak{k}; [\mathfrak{a}, X] = \{0\}\}.$$

Then we have the following lemma:

LEMMA 1 ([7], Lemma 1.1). *We have the orthogonal direct sum decompositions*

$$\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{k}_0 + \sum_{\gamma \in \Sigma^+(G, K)} \mathfrak{k}_\gamma, \quad \mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{a} + \sum_{\gamma \in \Sigma^+(G, K)} \mathfrak{m}_\gamma.$$

We can choose  $S_\alpha \in \mathfrak{k}$  and  $T_\alpha \in \mathfrak{m}$  for each  $\alpha \in \Sigma^+(G) - \Sigma_0(G)$  in such a way that:

- (1) For each  $\gamma \in \Sigma^+(G, K)$ , the sets  $\{S_\alpha; \alpha \in \Sigma^+(G) - \Sigma_0(G), \bar{\alpha} = \gamma\}$  and  $\{T_\alpha; \alpha \in \Sigma^+(G) - \Sigma_0(G), \bar{\alpha} = \gamma\}$  are orthonormal basis of  $\mathfrak{k}_\gamma$  and  $\mathfrak{m}_\gamma$  respectively;
- (2) For each  $\alpha \in \Sigma^+(G) - \Sigma_0(G)$  and each  $H \in \mathfrak{a}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} [H, S_\alpha] &= 2\pi \langle \alpha, H \rangle T_\alpha, & [H, T_\alpha] &= -2\pi \langle \alpha, H \rangle S_\alpha, \\ Ad(\exp H)S_\alpha &= (\cos 2\pi \langle \alpha, H \rangle)S_\alpha + (\sin 2\pi \langle \alpha, H \rangle)T_\alpha, \\ Ad(\exp H)T_\alpha &= -(\sin 2\pi \langle \alpha, H \rangle)S_\alpha + (\cos 2\pi \langle \alpha, H \rangle)T_\alpha; \end{aligned}$$

- (3) For each  $\alpha \in \Sigma^+(G) - \Sigma_0(G)$ , we have

$$[S_\alpha, T_\alpha] = 2\pi \bar{\alpha}.$$

2. From now on we assume that  $M$  is irreducible. Then  $\Sigma(G, K)$  is irreducible and there exists a unique highest root  $\bar{\delta}$  in  $\Sigma^+(G, K)$ . Let  $r$  be the rank of  $M$  and  $\Pi(G, K) = \{\gamma_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq r}$  be the fundamental root system of  $\Sigma(G, K)$ . Put

$$S = \{H \in \mathfrak{a}; \langle H, \bar{\delta} \rangle = 1/2, \langle H, \gamma_i \rangle \geq 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq r\},$$

$$S^0 = \{H \in \mathfrak{a}; \langle H, \bar{\delta} \rangle = 1/2, \langle H, \gamma_i \rangle > 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq r\},$$

$$F_p(M) = gK \text{ Exp } S,$$

$$F_p^0(M) = gK \text{ Exp } S^0 \text{ for } p = \pi(g) \in M, \text{ where } g \in G,$$

$$m_H = -2\pi \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma^+(G) - \Sigma_0(G), \bar{\alpha} \neq \bar{\delta}} (\cot 2\pi \langle \alpha, H \rangle) \bar{\alpha}.$$

Then  $F_p(M)$  is the first conjugate locus of  $M$  with respect to a point  $p$  (see [2], Chap. VII, §3). The vector  $(k \exp H)_* m_H$  is the mean curvature vector of  $K \text{Exp } H$  at  $k \exp H$  for each  $H \in S^0$ . Let  $(R\delta)^\perp$  denote the orthogonal complement of  $R\delta$  in  $\mathfrak{a}$ . The submanifold  $F_p^0(M)$  is open and dense in  $F_p(M)$ . H. Tasaki proved the following theorem:

**THEOREM 1** ([8]). *For each point  $p$  in  $M$ ,  $F_p^0(M)$  is a noncompact minimal submanifold of  $M$ . Furthermore, if  $M$  is a compact connected simple Lie group, then  $F_p^0(M)$  is stable.*

For each  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ , we define a vector field  $X^* \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$  by

$$X_p^* = \frac{d}{dt} \exp tX \cdot p \Big|_{t=0}.$$

We denote by  $\bar{\nabla}$  the covariant derivative of  $M$ . We have

$$(2.1) \quad g_*(\bar{\nabla}_{X^*} Y^*) = \bar{\nabla}_{(Ad(g)X)^*} (Ad(g)Y)^*,$$

for  $g$  in  $G$  and  $X, Y$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$ , and

$$(2.2) \quad (\bar{\nabla}_{X^*} Y^*)_o = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } X \in \mathfrak{m} \text{ and } Y \in \mathfrak{m}, \\ -[X, Y] & \text{for } X \in \mathfrak{m} \text{ and } Y \in \mathfrak{k}, \\ 0 & \text{for } X \in \mathfrak{k} \text{ and } Y \in \mathfrak{k}, \\ 0 & \text{for } X \in \mathfrak{k} \text{ and } Y \in \mathfrak{m} \end{cases}$$

under the identification of  $\mathfrak{m}$  with the tangent space  $T_o(M)$  of  $M$  at the origin  $o$ . Let  $m(\gamma)$  denote the multiplicity of  $\gamma \in \Sigma(G, K)$ . Then we obtain the following relations:

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} T_{\text{Exp } H}(\text{Exp } S^0) &= (\exp H)_*(R\delta)^\perp, \\ T_{k \text{Exp } H}(K \text{Exp } H) &= (k \exp H)_* \sum_{\gamma \in \Sigma(G, K) - \{\delta\}} m_\gamma, \\ T_{k \text{Exp } H}(F_p^0(M)) &= (k \exp H)_* \left( \sum_{\gamma \in \Sigma(G, K) - \{\delta\}} m_\gamma + (R\delta)^\perp \right), \\ N_{k \text{Exp } H}(F_p^0(M)) &= (k \exp H)_*(R\delta + m_\delta), \\ \text{codim}(F_p^0(M)) &= 1 + m(\delta), \\ N_{k \text{Exp } H}(K \text{Exp } H) &= (k \exp H)_*(\mathfrak{a} + m_\delta), \end{aligned}$$

for  $H \in S^0$  and  $k \in K$  (see [8]).

Let  $A, B$  and  $R$  denote the shape operator, the second fundamental form of  $F_p^0(M) \subset M$  and the Riemannian curvature tensor of  $M$ , respectively. We

define symmetric linear transformations  $\bar{R}_{k \text{ Exp } H}$  and  $\tilde{A}_{k \text{ Exp } H}$  on the normal space  $N_{k \text{ Exp } H}(F_0^{\circ}(M))$  at  $k \text{ Exp } H$ , where  $k \in K$  and  $H \in S^0$ , as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{R}_{k \text{ Exp } H}(v) &= \sum (R(e_i, v)e_i)^\perp, \\ \tilde{A}_{k \text{ Exp } H}(v) &= \sum B(e_i, A^v e_i), \end{aligned}$$

for each  $v \in N_{k \text{ Exp } H}(F_0^{\circ}(M))$ , where  $\{e_i\}$  is an orthonormal basis of the tangent space  $T_{k \text{ Exp } H}(F_0^{\circ}(M))$ . Let  $N(F_0^{\circ}(M))$  denote the normal bundle of  $F_0^{\circ}(M)$  and  $\Gamma(N(F_0^{\circ}(M)))$  denote the vector space of all  $C^\infty$  sections of  $N(F_0^{\circ}(M))$ . Put

$$\Gamma_0(N(F_0^{\circ}(M))) = \{V \in \Gamma(N(F_0^{\circ}(M))) ; V \text{ has a compact support}\}.$$

Let  $J = \Delta + \bar{R} - \tilde{A}$  denote the Jacobi operator, where  $\Delta$  is the negative of the rough Laplacian of the normal connection of  $N(F_0^{\circ}(M))$ .

Then  $F_0^{\circ}(M)$  is stable if and only if the following inequality holds (see [5]):

$$\int_F \langle JV, V \rangle dv_{F_0^{\circ}(M)} \geq 0 \quad \text{for each } V \in \Gamma_0(N(F_0^{\circ}(M))).$$

Identifying  $\mathbf{R}\bar{\delta} + \mathfrak{m}_{\bar{\delta}}$  with  $N_{k \text{ Exp } H}(F_0^{\circ}(M))$  by linear isometry  $(k \text{ exp } H)_*$ , we can consider  $\bar{R}_{k \text{ Exp } H}$  and  $\tilde{A}_{k \text{ Exp } H}$  as the symmetric linear transformations on  $\mathbf{R}\bar{\delta} + \mathfrak{m}_{\bar{\delta}}$ . Then we have the following theorem:

**THEOREM 2.** *As a linear operator on  $\mathbf{R}\bar{\delta} + \mathfrak{m}_{\bar{\delta}}$ , the symmetric linear transformation  $\bar{R}_{k \text{ Exp } H} - \tilde{A}_{k \text{ Exp } H}$  is of the following form:*

$$\bar{R}_{k \text{ Exp } H} - \tilde{A}_{k \text{ Exp } H} = \left[ -\frac{4\pi^2}{\|\bar{\delta}\|^2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma^+(G) - \Sigma_0(G), \bar{\alpha} \neq \bar{\delta}} \frac{(\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\delta})^2}{\sin^2 2\pi \langle \alpha, H \rangle} \right] id.$$

**PROOF.** For the sake of brevity, we denote  $\bar{R}_{k \text{ Exp } H}$  by  $\bar{R}$ ,  $\tilde{A}_{k \text{ Exp } H}$  by  $\tilde{A}$ , and  $\sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma^+(G) - \Sigma_0(G), \bar{\alpha} \neq \bar{\delta}}$  by  $\Sigma'_\alpha$ . Let  $\{H_i\}$  be an orthonormal basis of  $(\mathbf{R}\bar{\delta})^\perp$ . Then

$$\{(k \text{ exp } H)_* H_i\} \cup \{(k \text{ exp } H)_* T_\alpha ; \alpha \in \Sigma^+(G) - \Sigma_0(G), \bar{\alpha} \neq \bar{\delta}\}$$

forms an orthonormal basis of  $T_{k \text{ Exp } H}(F_0^{\circ}(M))$ .

We shall show that  $\bar{R}$  and  $\tilde{A}$  are scalar operators. We define a closed subgroup  $K_H$  of  $K$  for  $H \in S^0$  as follows:

$$(2.4) \quad K_H = \{k \in K ; k \text{ Exp } H = \text{Exp } H\}.$$

Let  $\mathfrak{k}_H$  denote the Lie algebra of  $K_H$ . Then  $\mathfrak{k}_H = \mathfrak{k}_0 + \mathfrak{k}_{\bar{\delta}}$ . The group  $K_H$  acts on the normal space  $N_{\text{Exp } H}(K \text{ Exp } H)$  naturally. Identifying  $\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{m}_{\bar{\delta}}$  with  $N_{\text{Exp } H}(K \text{ Exp } H)$  by linear isometry  $(\text{exp } H)_*$ , we can consider that  $K_H$  acts on  $\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{m}_{\bar{\delta}}$ . Let  $\rho_H(k)$  be the action of  $k \in K_H$  on  $\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{m}_{\bar{\delta}}$ . Since  $(\bar{\delta}, H) = 1/2$  for each  $H \in S^0$ , we get

$$(2.5) \quad \rho_H(k) = s \circ Ad(k) \circ s,$$

where  $s=id$  on  $\mathfrak{a}$  and  $s=-id$  on  $\mathfrak{m}_{\bar{\delta}}$ . In particular,  $\rho_H$  is equivalent to the adjoint representation of  $K_H$  on  $\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{m}_{\bar{\delta}}$ . Put

$$M_{\bar{\delta}} = \text{Exp}(\mathbf{R}\bar{\delta} + \mathfrak{m}_{\bar{\delta}}).$$

The manifold  $M_{\bar{\delta}}$  is a maximal dimensional totally geodesic sphere in  $M$  of constant curvature  $\kappa$ , where  $\kappa$  is the maximum of the sectional curvatures of  $M$ . The manifold  $M_{\bar{\delta}}$  is called the Helgason sphere of  $M$ . Then the pair  $([\mathfrak{m}_{\bar{\delta}}, \mathfrak{m}_{\bar{\delta}}] + \mathfrak{k}_{\bar{\delta}}, \mathbf{R}\bar{\delta} + \mathfrak{m}_{\bar{\delta}})$  is the symmetric pair of  $M_{\bar{\delta}}$  and  $ad(\mathfrak{k}_{\bar{\delta}} + [\mathfrak{m}_{\bar{\delta}}, \mathfrak{m}_{\bar{\delta}}])|(\mathbf{R}\bar{\delta} + \mathfrak{m}_{\bar{\delta}}) = \mathfrak{so}(\mathbf{R}\bar{\delta} + \mathfrak{m}_{\bar{\delta}})$  (see [2], Chap. VII, § 11).

It is well-known that the natural representation of  $\mathfrak{so}(n)$  on  $\mathbf{R}^n$  is irreducible. Since  $\mathfrak{k}_{\bar{\delta}} + [\mathfrak{m}_{\bar{\delta}}, \mathfrak{m}_{\bar{\delta}}] \subset \mathfrak{k}_0 + \mathfrak{k}_{\bar{\delta}}$ , the symmetric linear transformations  $\bar{R}$  and  $\tilde{A}$  are scalar operators.

Since  $M$  is symmetric, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \bar{R}(\bar{\delta}), \bar{\delta} \rangle &= \sum'_{\alpha} \langle (R(T_{\alpha}, \bar{\delta})T_{\alpha})^{\perp}, \bar{\delta} \rangle + \sum'_i \langle (R(H_i, \bar{\delta})H_i)^{\perp}, \bar{\delta} \rangle \\ &= -(\sum'_{\alpha} \langle [[T_{\alpha}, \bar{\delta}], T_{\alpha}]^{\perp}, \bar{\delta} \rangle + \sum'_i \langle [[H_i, \bar{\delta}], H_i]^{\perp}, \bar{\delta} \rangle) \\ &= -\sum'_{\alpha} \langle [[T_{\alpha}, \bar{\delta}], T_{\alpha}]^{\perp}, \bar{\delta} \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where we denote by  $\perp$  the orthogonal projection from  $\mathfrak{m}$  to  $\mathbf{R}\bar{\delta} + \mathfrak{m}_{\bar{\delta}}$ . Thus we have

$$\bar{R} = -\frac{4\pi^2}{\|\bar{\delta}\|^2} \sum'_{\alpha} \langle \bar{\alpha}, \bar{\delta} \rangle^2 id.$$

From (2.1), (2.2), we have

$$(2.6) \quad (\exp H)_{*}^{-1} B((\exp H)_{*} T_{\alpha}, (\exp H)_{*} H_i) = \frac{-1}{\sin 2\pi \langle \alpha, H \rangle} (\exp H)_{*}^{-1} (\nabla_{H_i}^{*} S_{\alpha}^{*})^{\perp} \\ = 2\pi \cot 2\pi \langle \alpha, H \rangle T_{\alpha}^{\perp} = 0.$$

Since  $\text{Exp } S^0$  is totally geodesic, we have

$$(2.7) \quad (\exp H)_{*}^{-1} B((\exp H)_{*} H_i, (\exp H)_{*} H_j) = 0.$$

The following is proved in [8]:

$$(\exp H)_{*}^{-1} B((\exp H)_{*} T_{\alpha}, (\exp H)_{*} T_{\beta}) = (\cot 2\pi \langle \beta, H \rangle) [T_{\alpha}, S_{\beta}]^{\perp}$$

Using the above equation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \tilde{A}(\bar{\delta}), \bar{\delta} \rangle &= \sum'_{\alpha} \sum'_{\beta} (\cot^2 2\pi \langle \beta, H \rangle) \langle [T_{\alpha}, S_{\beta}]^{\perp}, \bar{\delta} \rangle^2 \\ &= \sum'_{\alpha} (\cot^2 2\pi \langle \alpha, H \rangle) (2\pi \langle \alpha, \bar{\delta} \rangle)^2 \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\tilde{A} = \frac{4\pi^2}{\|\bar{\delta}\|^2} \sum'_\alpha (\cot^2 2\pi \langle \alpha, H \rangle) \langle \bar{\alpha}, \bar{\delta} \rangle^2 id.$$

Q. E. D.

3. From now on, we assume that  $M$  is simply connected. Put

$$K_1 = \{k \in K; \text{Exp } Ad(k)H = \text{Exp } H \text{ for each } H \in S^0\}.$$

Then clearly  $K_1$  is a closed subgroup of  $K$ . Let  $\mathfrak{k}_1$  be the Lie algebra of  $K_1$ . Then  $\mathfrak{k}_1 = \mathfrak{k}_0 + \mathfrak{k}_{\bar{\delta}}$ .

PROPOSITION 1 ([4], Lemma 3.10, [6], p. 52, Cor. 1).  $K_H$  (defined by (2.4)) is a closed subgroup of  $K$  which is independent of the choice of  $H \in S^0$  and consequently equal to  $K_1$ .

PROPOSITION 2 ([4], Prop. 3.11). We denote by  $\Phi : K/K_1 \times S^0 \rightarrow M$  the mapping defined by  $\Phi(kK_1, H) = \text{Exp } Ad(k)H$ . Then we have the following:

- (1)  $\Phi$  is a differentiable mapping into  $M$  whose image is  $F^0(M)$ .
- (2)  $\Phi$  is an injective mapping.
- (3)  $\Phi$  is everywhere regular.
- (4)  $F^0(M)$  is an embedded submanifold of  $M$ , i. e., the topology on  $F^0(M)$  induced by  $\Phi$  coincides with the relative topology of  $M$ .

From Proposition 1 and (2.5),  $\rho_H$  is independent of the choice of  $H \in S^0$ . From now on,  $\rho$  is to stand for  $\rho_H$ .

LEMMA 2.

- (1) The space  $\mathbf{R}\bar{\delta} + \mathfrak{m}_{\bar{\delta}}$  is invariant under the action of  $K_1$ .
- (2) The group  $K_1$  acts trivially on  $(\mathbf{R}\bar{\delta})^\perp$ .

PROOF.

(1)  $k_* N_{\text{Exp } H}(F^0(M)) \subset N_{\text{Exp } H}(F^0(M))$  for each  $k \in K_1$ . Hence we obtain (1) using (2.3).

(2) Let  $k \in K_1$ ,  $H \in S^0$  and  $X \in (\mathbf{R}\bar{\delta})^\perp$ . For sufficiently small  $t$ ,  $H+tX$  is in  $S^0$ . Using Proposition 1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} k_*(\text{exp } H)_* X &= \frac{d}{dt} k \text{Exp}(H+tX)|_{t=0} \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} \text{Exp}(H+tX)|_{t=0} \\ &= (\text{exp } H)_* X. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\rho(k)X=X$ .

Q. E. D.

4. The restriction  $N(F_0^g(M))|K \text{Exp } H$  of the vector bundle  $N(F_0^g(M))$  on  $K \text{Exp } H$  is a homogeneous vector bundle isomorphic to  $K \times_{\rho} N_{\text{Exp } H}(F_0^g(M))$ . A section of this vector bundle is identified with an element of

$$\begin{aligned} C^{\infty}(K; \mathbf{R}\bar{\delta} + \mathfrak{m}_{\bar{\delta}})_{K_1} \\ = \{f \in C^{\infty}(K; \mathbf{R}\bar{\delta} + \mathfrak{m}_{\bar{\delta}}); f(ku) = \rho(u^{-1})f(k) \text{ for } k \in K, u \in K_1\} \end{aligned}$$

by the following mapping:

$$(2.8) \quad \Gamma(K \times_{\rho} N_{\text{Exp } H}(F_0^g(M))) \longrightarrow C^{\infty}(K; \mathbf{R}\bar{\delta} + \mathfrak{m}_{\bar{\delta}})_{K_1}; V \mapsto V^{\mathfrak{h}},$$

where  $V^{\mathfrak{h}}(k) = (k \text{Exp } H)_*^{-1} V_{k \text{Exp } H}$  for each  $k \in K$ . From Proposition 1 and Lemma 2(1), we obtain the following bundle isomorphism:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K \times_{\rho} N_{\text{Exp } H}(F_0^g(M)) & \longrightarrow & K \times_{\rho} N_{\text{Exp } H'}(F_0^g(M)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K \text{Exp } H & \longrightarrow & K \text{Exp } H'; \\ [(k, v)] & \longmapsto & [k, \exp(H' - H)_* v] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ k \text{Exp } H & \longmapsto & k \text{Exp } H', \end{array}$$

where  $H, H' \in S^0$ . We remark that the above bundle isomorphism is independent of representation of  $K$ -orbit  $K \text{Exp } H$  by Proposition 2(2). We may identify  $\Gamma(K \times_{\rho} N_{\text{Exp } H}(F_0^g(M)))$  with  $\Gamma(K \times_{\rho} N_{\text{Exp } H'}(F_0^g(M)))$  by the bundle isomorphism above:

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \Gamma(K \times_{\rho} N_{\text{Exp } H}(F_0^g(M))) &\longleftrightarrow \Gamma(K \times_{\rho} N_{\text{Exp } H'}(F_0^g(M))) \\ V &\longleftrightarrow V', \end{aligned}$$

where  $V'_{k \text{Exp } H'} = k_* \exp(H' - H)_* k_*^{-1} V_{k \text{Exp } H}$  for each  $k \in K$ . Then we can consider  $\Gamma(K \times_{\rho} N_{\text{Exp } H}(F_0^g(M)))$  as a subspace of  $\Gamma(N(F_0^g(M)))$  by (2.9) and the above remark. Let  $V^{\mathfrak{h}}$  denote an element of  $\Gamma(N(F_0^g(M)))$  corresponding to  $V \in \Gamma(K \times_{\rho} N_{\text{Exp } H}(F_0^g(M)))$ . Then the following relations hold in correspondence (2.9):

$$\begin{aligned} V^{\mathfrak{h}} &= V'^{\mathfrak{h}} \quad \text{on } C^{\infty}(K; \mathbf{R}\bar{\delta} + \mathfrak{m}_{\bar{\delta}})_{K_1}, \\ V^{\mathfrak{h}} &= V'^{\mathfrak{h}} \quad \text{on } \Gamma(N(F_0^g(M))). \end{aligned}$$

Define a mapping  $J_H$  from  $\Gamma(K \times_{\rho} N_{\text{Exp } H}(F_0^g(M)))$  to itself by

$$J_H V = (J V^{\mathfrak{h}})|K \text{Exp } H \text{ for each } V \in \Gamma(K \times_{\rho} N_{\text{Exp } H}(F_0^g(M))).$$



We can consider  $J_H$  as a mapping from  $C^\infty(K; \mathbf{R}\bar{\delta} + \mathfrak{m}_{\bar{\delta}})_{K_1}$  to itself by using (2.8). We shall prove the following theorem:

THEOREM 3. *The mapping  $J_H: C^\infty(K; \mathbf{R}\bar{\delta} + \mathfrak{m}_{\bar{\delta}})_{K_1} \rightarrow C^\infty(K; \mathbf{R}\bar{\delta} + \mathfrak{m}_{\bar{\delta}})_{K_1}$  is given by the following equation:*

$$J_H = - \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma^+(G) - \Sigma_0(G), \bar{\alpha} \neq \bar{\delta}} \frac{1}{\sin^2 2\pi \langle \alpha, H \rangle} \tilde{S}_\alpha^2 - \frac{4\pi^2}{\|\bar{\delta}\|^2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma^+(G) - \Sigma_0(G), \bar{\alpha} \neq \bar{\delta}} \frac{\langle \alpha, \bar{\delta} \rangle^2}{\sin^2 2\pi \langle \alpha, H \rangle},$$

where  $\tilde{S}_\alpha$  denote the left invariant vector field on  $K$  such that  $(\tilde{S}_\alpha)_e = S_\alpha$ .

PROOF. For the sake of brevity, we denote  $\sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma^+(G) - \Sigma_0(G), \bar{\alpha} \neq \bar{\delta}}$  by  $\Sigma'_\alpha$ . Let  $\tilde{Z}$  denote the left invariant vector field on  $G$  such that  $\tilde{Z}_e = Z \in \mathfrak{g}$ . Let  $v \in T_x(M)$ ,  $W \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$  and  $x = \pi(g)$  for some  $g \in G$ . We take an element  $Z \in \mathfrak{g}$  satisfying  $d\pi(\tilde{Z}_g) = v$  and write  $Z = X + Y$  for some  $X \in \mathfrak{m}$  and  $Y \in \mathfrak{k}$ . Then the following equation holds (see [3]):

$$(2.10) \quad \bar{\nabla}_v W = \frac{d}{dt} (\exp(-t \text{Ad}(g)Z))_* W_{g \exp tZK|t=0} - \frac{d}{dt} (\exp(-t \text{Ad}(g)Y))_* W_{gK|t=0}.$$

Let  $\Delta: \Gamma(N(F_\delta^0(M))) \rightarrow \Gamma(N(F_\delta^0(M)))$  be the negative of the rough Laplacian of the normal connection of  $N(F_\delta^0(M))$ . Define a mapping

$$\Delta_H: \Gamma(K \times_\rho N_{\text{Exp } H}(F_\delta^0(M))) \longrightarrow \Gamma(K \times_\rho N_{\text{Exp } H}(F_\delta^0(M)))$$

by

$$\Delta_H V = (\Delta V^0)|_{K \text{Exp } H} \quad \text{for each } V \in \Gamma(K \times_\rho N_{\text{Exp } H}(F_\delta^0(M))).$$

We consider  $\Delta_H$  as a mapping from  $C^\infty(K; \mathbf{R}\bar{\delta} + \mathfrak{m}_{\bar{\delta}})_{K_1}$  to itself by using (2.8). We shall prove the following equation:

$$(2.11) \quad \Delta_H = - \sum'_\alpha \frac{1}{\sin^2 2\pi \langle \alpha, H \rangle} \tilde{S}_\alpha^2.$$

Then the proof will be finished by using Theorem 2. We consider the homogeneous vector bundle  $K \times T_{\text{Exp } H}(\text{Exp } S^0)$  on  $K \text{Exp } H$ . Then we get by Lemma 2(2),

$$\begin{aligned} & \Gamma(K \times T_{\text{Exp } H}(\text{Exp } S^0)) \\ & = \{V \in \Gamma(N(K \text{Exp } H)); V|_{k \text{Exp } H} \in k_* T_{\text{Exp } H}(\text{Exp } S^0) \text{ for each } k \in K\}. \end{aligned}$$

We consider  $\Gamma(K \times T_{\text{Exp } H}(\text{Exp } S^0))$  as a subspace of  $\mathfrak{X}(F_\delta^0(M))$  in the way above.

Let  $X^h$  denote an element of  $\mathfrak{X}(F_0^0(M))$  corresponding to  $X \in \Gamma(K \times T_{\text{Exp } H}(\text{Exp } S^0))$ . Let  $H_i$  be an orthonormal basis of  $(\mathbf{R}\delta)^{\perp}$  and define  $\bar{H}_i \in \Gamma(K \times T_{\text{Exp } H}(\text{Exp } S^0))$  by

$$(\bar{H}_i)_{k \text{ Exp } H} = (k \text{ exp } H)_* H_i.$$

Then, by (2.10), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (2.12) \quad (\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{H}_i^h} \bar{H}_i^h)(\text{Exp } H) &= \frac{d}{dt} (\text{exp }(-t) \text{Ad}(\text{exp } H) H_i)_* (\bar{H}_i^h)_{\text{exp } H \text{ Exp } t H_i | t=0} \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} (\text{exp }(-t H_i))_* (\bar{H}_i^h)_{\text{Exp}(H+t H_i) | t=0} \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} (\text{exp }(-t H_i))_* (\text{exp}(t H_i + H))_* H_i | t=0 \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\nabla$  be the covariant derivative of  $F_0^0(M)$ . The following is proved in [8]:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum'_\alpha \frac{1}{\sin^2 2\pi \langle \alpha, H \rangle} (\nabla_{S_\alpha^*} S_\alpha^*)(\text{Exp } H) \\ = (\text{exp } H)_* m_H \in (\text{exp } H)_*(\mathbf{R}\delta)^{\perp}. \end{aligned}$$

For sufficiently small  $t$ ,  $tm_H + H \in S^0$ . Using this fact and (2.10), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (2.13) \quad \sum'_\alpha \frac{1}{\sin^2 2\pi \langle \alpha, H \rangle} (\bar{\nabla}_{\nabla_{S_\alpha^*} S_\alpha^*} V^h)(\text{Exp } H) \\ = \frac{d}{dt} (\text{exp }(-tm_H))_* V^h_{\text{exp } tm_H \text{ Exp } H | t=0} \\ = 0, \quad \text{for each } V \in \Gamma(K \times_\rho N_{\text{Exp } H}(F_0^0(M))). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we can prove

$$(\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{H}_i^h} \bar{\nabla}_{\bar{H}_i^h} V^h)(\text{Exp } H) = 0.$$

Using (2.6), (2.7) and the above equation, we have

$$(2.14) \quad (\nabla_{\bar{H}}^{\perp} \nabla_{\bar{H}}^{\perp} V^h)(\text{Exp } H) = 0.$$

Using (2.12), (2.13) and (2.14), we obtain

$$(\Delta_H V^h)(\text{Exp } H) = - \sum'_\alpha \frac{1}{\sin^2 2\pi \langle \alpha, H \rangle} (\nabla_{S_\alpha^*}^{\perp} \nabla_{S_\alpha^*}^{\perp} V^h)(\text{Exp } H).$$

By (2.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\bar{\nabla}_{S_\alpha^*} V^h)(\text{exp } t S_\alpha \text{ Exp } H) &= \frac{d}{ds} (\text{exp }(-s S_\alpha))_* V^h_{\text{exp } t S_\alpha \text{ exp } s S_\alpha \text{ Exp } H | s=0} \\ &- \frac{d}{ds} (\text{exp }(-s) \text{Ad}(\text{exp } t S_\alpha \text{ exp } H) (\cos 2\pi \langle \alpha, H \rangle) S_\alpha)_* V^h_{\text{exp } t S_\alpha \text{ Exp } H | s=0}. \end{aligned}$$

By taking the normal components to  $F_0^0(M)$  of both sides in the above equation, we get

$$(\nabla_{\tilde{S}_\alpha}^\perp V^h)(\exp tS_\alpha \text{Exp } H) = \frac{d}{ds}(\exp(-sS_\alpha))_* V_{\exp tS_\alpha \exp sS_\alpha \text{Exp } H|_{s=0}}^h.$$

Hence we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\bar{\nabla}_{S_\alpha}^* \nabla_{\tilde{S}_\alpha}^\perp V^h)(\text{Exp } H) &= \frac{d}{dt}(\exp(-tS_\alpha))_*(\nabla_{\tilde{S}_\alpha}^\perp V^h)(\exp tS_\alpha \text{Exp } H)|_{t=0} \\ &\quad - \frac{d}{dt}(\exp(-t) \text{Ad}(\exp H)(\cos 2\pi \langle \alpha, H \rangle) S_\alpha)_*(\nabla_{\tilde{S}_\alpha}^\perp V^h)(\text{Exp } H)|_{t=0} \\ &= \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s \partial t}(\exp(-tS_\alpha))_*(\exp(-sS_\alpha))_* V_{\exp tS_\alpha \exp sS_\alpha \text{Exp } H|_{t=s=0}} \\ &\quad - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t \partial s}(\exp(-t) \text{Ad}(\exp H)(\cos 2\pi \langle \alpha, H \rangle) S_\alpha \exp(-s) S_\alpha)_* V_{\exp sS_\alpha \text{Exp } H|_{t=s=0}}. \end{aligned}$$

If we take the normal components to  $F_0^0(M)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(\nabla_{\tilde{S}_\alpha}^\perp \nabla_{\tilde{S}_\alpha}^\perp V^h)(\text{Exp } H) \\ &= \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t \partial s}(\exp(-tS_\alpha))_*(\exp(-sS_\alpha))_* V_{\exp tS_\alpha \exp sS_\alpha \text{Exp } H|_{t=s=0}}. \end{aligned}$$

Put  $f = V^h$ . Then we get

$$(\Delta_H f)(e) = -\sum'_\alpha \frac{1}{\sin^2 2\pi \langle \alpha, H \rangle} (\tilde{S}_\alpha^2 f)(e).$$

Hence we get (2.11). Thus the proof is finished.

Q. E. D.

Put

$$\begin{aligned} &C^\infty(F_0^0(M))_K \\ &= \{\varphi \in C^\infty(F_0^0(M)); \varphi(k \text{Exp } H) = \varphi(\text{Exp } H) \text{ for } k \in K, H \in S^0\}. \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 2(2), an element  $f$  of  $C^\infty(S^0)$  is extended to an element  $f^h$  of  $C^\infty(F_0^0(M))_K$  in a natural manner. Namely we put  $f^h(k \text{Exp } H) = f(H)$  for each  $k \in K$  and  $H \in S^0$ . Put

$$C_0^\infty(S^0) = \{f \in C^\infty(S^0); f \text{ has a compact support}\}.$$

By Proposition 2(2), we extend  $g \in C^\infty(K \text{Exp } H_0)(H_0 \in S^0)$  to  $g^h \in C^\infty(F_0^0(M))$  by  $g^h(k \text{Exp } H) = g(\text{Exp } H_0)$  for  $k \in K$  and  $H \in S^0$ . Then

$$\|V^h\| = \|V\|^h \quad \text{for each } V \in \Gamma(K \times_\rho N_{\text{Exp } H_0}(F_0^0(M))).$$

We denote by  $grad$  and  $grad_{F_0^0(M)}$  the gradient on  $S^0$  and  $F_0^0(M)$  respectively. Then we shall show the following lemma:

LEMMA 3.

$$\|grad_{F_0^0(M)} f^h\| = \|grad f\|^h \quad \text{for each } f \in C^\infty(S^0).$$

PROOF. Let  $\{H_i\}$  be an orthonormal basis of  $(R\delta)^\perp$ . Then  $\{(\exp H)_* H_i\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $T_{\text{Exp } H}(\text{Exp } S^0)$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} (S_\alpha^* f^h)(\text{Exp } H) &= \frac{d}{dt} f^h(\exp tS_\alpha \text{Exp } H)_{|t=0} \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} f(H)_{|t=0} \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} ((\exp H)_* H_i) f^h &= \frac{d}{dt} f^h(\text{Exp}(tH_i + H))_{|t=0} \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} f(H + tH_i)_{|t=0} \\ &= (H_i f)(H). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|grad_{F_0^0(M)} f^h\|^2(\text{Exp } H) &= \sum \{(H_i f)(H)\}^2 \\ &= \|grad f\|^2(H). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $f^h(k \text{Exp } H) = f^h(\text{Exp } H)$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|grad_{F_0^0(M)} f^h\|(k \text{Exp } H) &= \|grad f\|(H) \\ &= \|grad f\|^h(k \text{Exp } H). \end{aligned}$$

Q. E. D.

§ 3. Proof of theorem.

In this section, we put  $G = SU(3)$ ,  $K = SO(3)$ ,  $\theta(g) = \bar{g}$  for each  $g \in G$  and  $\langle, \rangle =$  the negative of the Killing form. We put

$$\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{t} = \left\{ \sqrt{-1} \begin{bmatrix} x & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & z \end{bmatrix}; x, y, z \in \mathbf{R}, x + y + z = 0 \right\},$$

and introduce a lexicographic order in  $\mathfrak{t}$  defined by

$$E_{11} > E_{22} > E_{33}.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma^+(G) &= \Sigma^+(G, K) = \left\{ \alpha_{ij} = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{12\pi} (E_{ii} - E_{jj}); i < j \right\} \\ &= \{ \alpha_{12}, \alpha_{23}, \alpha_{13} = \bar{\delta} \}, \\ \Sigma_0(G) &= \emptyset, \end{aligned}$$

$$S^0 = \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \pi \sqrt{-1} \begin{bmatrix} x+1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2x & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x-1 \end{bmatrix}; -\frac{1}{3} < x < \frac{1}{3} \right\},$$

We put

$$\begin{aligned} S_{13} &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, & T_{13} &= \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\sqrt{3}} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \\ S_{23} &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, & T_{23} &= \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\sqrt{3}} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \\ S_{12} &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, & T_{12} &= \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\sqrt{3}} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $S_{ij} = S_{\alpha_{ij}}$ ,  $T_{ij} = T_{\alpha_{ij}}$ . By a straightforward calculation we get the following lemma:

LEMMA 4. (1) For  $H, H' \in S^0$ ,  $k \in K$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Sigma^+(G) - \{\bar{\delta}\}$ ,

$$\frac{\langle (S_\alpha^*)_{k \text{ Exp } H}, (S_\beta^*)_{k \text{ Exp } H} \rangle}{\langle (S_\alpha^*)_{k \text{ Exp } H'}, (S_\beta^*)_{k \text{ Exp } H'} \rangle}$$

is independent of the choice  $k \in K$ .

(2)  $\sin^2 2\pi \langle \alpha, H \rangle$  for  $H \in S^0$  is independent of the choice of  $\alpha \in \Sigma^+(G) - \{\bar{\delta}\}$ .

Let  $C$  be the negative of the Casimir differential operator of  $K$  relative to  $\langle, \rangle$ . Then using Theorem 3 and Lemma 4(2), we get

$$(3.1) \quad J_H = \frac{1}{\cos^2 \frac{3}{2} \pi x} \left( C - \frac{1}{2} \right),$$

$$\text{for } H = \frac{1}{2} \pi \sqrt{-1} \begin{bmatrix} x+1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2x & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x-1 \end{bmatrix} \in S^0 \quad \left( -\frac{1}{3} < x < \frac{1}{3} \right).$$

Let  $dv_{F_0^0(M)}$ ,  $dv_{S^0}$  and  $dv_{K \text{ Exp } H_0}$  denote the volume elements of  $F_0^0(M)$ ,  $S^0$  and  $K \text{ Exp } H_0$ , respectively. Then we shall show the following lemma:

LEMMA 5.

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{F_0^0(M)} f^h g^h dv_{F_0^0(M)} \\ &= \frac{\int_{K \text{ Exp } H_0} g dv_{K \text{ Exp } H_0}}{\left| \prod_{\alpha \in \Sigma^+(G) - \{\delta\}} \sin 2\pi \langle \alpha, H_0 \rangle \right|} \int_{S^0} f(H) \left| \prod_{\alpha \in \Sigma^+(G) - \{\delta\}} \sin 2\pi \langle \alpha, H \rangle \right| dv_{S^0} \end{aligned}$$

for each  $f \in C_0^\infty(S^0)$  and  $g \in C^\infty(K \text{ Exp } H_0)$ .

PROOF. Put

$$g_{\alpha\beta}(k \text{ Exp } H) = \langle (S_\alpha^*)_{k \text{ Exp } H}, (S_\beta^*)_{k \text{ Exp } H} \rangle,$$

for  $k \in K$ ,  $H \in S^0$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Sigma^+(G) - \{\delta\}$ . By the change of variable by the mapping  $\Phi$ , we replace the integration on  $F_0^0(M)$  with the integration on  $S^0 \times K \text{ Exp } H_0$ . By Lemma 4(1),

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{F_0^0(M)} f^h g^h dv_{F_0^0(M)} \\ &= \int_{S^0 \times K \text{ Exp } H_0} f(H) g(k \text{ Exp } H_0) \frac{\sqrt{\det g_{\alpha\beta}(k \text{ Exp } H)}}{\sqrt{\det g_{\alpha\beta}(k \text{ Exp } H_0)}} dv_{K \text{ Exp } H_0} \times dv_{S^0} \\ &= \frac{\int_{K \text{ Exp } H_0} g dv_{K \text{ Exp } H_0}}{\left| \prod_{\alpha \in \Sigma^+(G) - \{\delta\}} \sin 2\pi \langle \alpha, H_0 \rangle \right|} \int_{S^0} f(H) \left| \prod_{\alpha \in \Sigma^+(G) - \{\delta\}} \sin 2\pi \langle \alpha, H \rangle \right| dv_{S^0}. \end{aligned}$$

Q. E. D.

Let  $V \in \Gamma(K \times N_{\text{Exp } H_0}(F_0^0(M)))$ . We assume that there exists  $\varphi \in C^\infty(S^0)$  such that  $JV^h = \varphi^h V^h$ . Then

$$J(f^h V^h) = (\Delta_{F_0^0(M)} f^h) V^h + f^h \varphi^h V^h,$$

for each  $f \in C_0^\infty(S^0)$ , where  $\Delta_{F_0^0(M)}$  is the negative of the Laplace operator of  $F_0^0(M)$ . Since  $C^\infty(F_0^0(M))_K$  is invariant under  $\Delta_{F_0^0(M)}$ , we get by Lemma 3 and Lemma 5

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{F_0^0(M)} \langle J(f^h V^h), f^h V^h \rangle dv_{F_0^0(M)} \\ &= \frac{\int_{K \text{ Exp } H_0} \|V\|^2 dv_{K \text{ Exp } H_0}}{\left| \prod_{\alpha \in \Sigma^+(G) - \{\delta\}} \sin 2\pi \langle \alpha, H_0 \rangle \right|} \int_{S^0} (\|grad f\|^2 + f^2 \varphi) \left| \prod_{\alpha \in \Sigma^+(G) - \{\delta\}} \sin 2\pi \langle \alpha, H \rangle \right| dv_{S^0}. \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 6. Let  $V_1, V_2 \in \Gamma(K \times_{\rho} N_{\text{Exp } H_0}(F_0^{\circ}(M)))$  and  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in C^{\infty}(S^0)$ . If  $JV_i^{\natural} = \varphi_i^{\natural} V_i^{\natural}$  ( $i=1, 2$ ) and  $\varphi_1 < \varphi_2$ , then

$$\int_{K \text{ Exp } H_0} \langle V_1, V_2 \rangle dv_{K \text{ Exp } H_0} = 0.$$

PROOF. For each  $f \in C_0^{\infty}(S^0)$ ,  $f \geq 0$ ,  $f \neq 0$ , we get

$$\int_{F_0^{\circ}(M)} \langle J(f^{\natural} V_1^{\natural}), f^{\natural} V_2^{\natural} \rangle dv_{F_0^{\circ}(M)} = \int_{F_0^{\circ}(M)} \langle f^{\natural} V_1^{\natural}, J(f^{\natural} V_2^{\natural}) \rangle dv_{F_0^{\circ}(M)}.$$

We calculate the equation above by using Lemma 5,

$$\int_{S^0} (\varphi_2 - \varphi_1) f \Big|_{\alpha \in \Sigma^+(\mathfrak{G}) - \{i\delta\}} \sin 2\pi \langle \alpha, K \rangle \Big| dv_{S^0} \int_{K \text{ Exp } H_0} \langle V_1, V_2 \rangle dv_{K \text{ Exp } H_0} = 0.$$

Hence the lemma holds.

Q. E. D.

THEOREM 4. If  $M$  is  $SU(3)/SO(3)$ , then  $F_p^{\circ}(M)$  is stable.

PROOF. We may assume  $p=0$ . We put

$$u = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & t & 0 \\ -t & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}; t \in \mathbf{R} \right\}$$

and

$$\alpha = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\sqrt{3}} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$u$  is a maximal abelian subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{k}$ . We introduce a lexicographic ordering  $<$  on  $u$  such that  $\alpha > 0$ . Let  $D(K)$  be the set of all equivalence classes of finite dimensional complex irreducible representations of  $K$ . It is well-known that  $D(K)$  is identified with the following set:

$$\{m\alpha; m=0, 1, 2, \dots\}.$$

Let  $V(\lambda)$  be a representation space of an element of  $\lambda \in D(K)$ . Let  $L^2(K \times_{\rho} N_{\text{Exp } H}(F_0^{\circ}(M)))^c$  be the completion of  $\Gamma(K \times_{\rho} N_{\text{Exp } H}(F_0^{\circ}(M)))^c$  relative to the  $L^2$ -inner product for each  $H \in S^0$ . By virtue of the Peter-Weyl theorem, we get

$$L^2(K \times_{\rho} N_{\text{Exp } H}(F_0^{\circ}(M)))^c = \sum_{\lambda \in D(K)} V(\lambda) \otimes \text{Hom}_{K_1}(V(\lambda), (\mathbf{R}\delta + m\delta)^c)$$

We know that the negative of the Casimir operator  $C$  of  $K$  is a scalar operator  $a_\lambda id$  on each  $V(\lambda) \otimes \text{Hom}_{K_1}(V(\lambda), (\mathbf{R}\bar{\delta} + \mathfrak{m}_\delta)^c)$  with  $a_\lambda = 4\pi^2(\lambda + \alpha, \lambda)$ . Put

$$D(K)' = \{\lambda \in D(K); \text{Hom}_{K_1}(V(\lambda), (\mathbf{R}\bar{\delta} + \mathfrak{m}_\delta)^c) \neq \{0\}\}.$$

For a fixed  $H_0 \in S^0$ , we denote  $\varphi_H$  the diffeomorphism from  $K \text{Exp } H_0$  onto  $K \text{Exp } H$  defined as follows:

$$\varphi_H: K \text{Exp } H_0 \rightarrow K \text{Exp } H; k \text{Exp } H_0 \mapsto k \text{Exp } H \quad (k \in K).$$

Let  $V$  be in  $\Gamma_0(N(F_0^0(M)))^c$ . Then  $V|K \text{Exp } H \in \Gamma(K \times_\rho N_{\text{Exp } H}(F_0^0(M)))^c$  for each  $H \in S^0$ . Let  $\{V_{\lambda, i}\}_{1 \leq i \leq p(\lambda)}$  (where  $p(\lambda) = \dim(V(\lambda) \otimes \text{Hom}_{K_1}(V(\lambda), (\mathbf{R}\bar{\delta} + \mathfrak{m}_\delta)^c))$ ) be an orthonormal basis of  $V(\lambda) \otimes \text{Hom}_{K_1}(V(\lambda), (\mathbf{R}\bar{\delta} + \mathfrak{m}_\delta)^c) \subset \Gamma(K \times_\rho N_{\text{Exp } H_0}(F_0^0(M)))^c$ . By (3.1), we have

$$J(V_{\lambda, i}^u) = \frac{1}{\cos^2 \frac{3}{2} \pi x} \left( a_\lambda - \frac{1}{2} \right) V_{\lambda, i}^u.$$

Since  $\{V_{\lambda, i}^u\}$  forms an orthonormal base of  $\Gamma(N(F_0^0(M))|K \text{Exp } H)$  on each  $K$ -orbit  $K \text{Exp } H$ , we can express

$$(3.2) \quad V = \sum_{\lambda \in D(K)'} \sum_{i=1}^{p(\lambda)} f_{\lambda, i}^u V_{\lambda, i}^u,$$

$$\text{where } f_{\lambda, i}(H) = \int_{K \text{Exp } H} \langle V|K \text{Exp } H, V_{\lambda, i}^u|K \text{Exp } H \rangle dv_{K \text{Exp } H} \in C_0^\infty(S^0).$$

The right-hand side of (3.2) is absolutely uniformly convergent to  $V|K \text{Exp } H$  on each  $K$ -orbit  $K \text{Exp } H$ . We shall show the right-hand side of (3.2) is absolutely uniformly convergent to  $V$  on each compact subset of  $F_0^0(M)$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\lambda, i}(H) &= \int_{K \text{Exp } H} \langle V|K \text{Exp } H, V_{\lambda, i}^u|K \text{Exp } H \rangle dv_{K \text{Exp } H} \\ &= \frac{1}{a_\lambda \cos^2 \frac{3}{2} \pi x} \int_{K \text{Exp } H} \langle V|K \text{Exp } H, \Delta(V_{\lambda, i}^u|K \text{Exp } H) \rangle dv_{K \text{Exp } H} \\ &= \frac{1}{a_\lambda \cos^2 \frac{3}{2} \pi x} \int_{K \text{Exp } H} \langle \Delta(V|K \text{Exp } H), V_{\lambda, i}^u|K \text{Exp } H \rangle dv_{K \text{Exp } H} \\ &= \frac{1}{a_\lambda^3 \cos^6 \frac{3}{2} \pi x} \int_{K \text{Exp } H} \langle \Delta^3(V|K \text{Exp } H), V_{\lambda, i}^u|K \text{Exp } H \rangle dv_{K \text{Exp } H}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by using the Cauchy-Schwartz' inequality,



$$|f_{\lambda, i}(H)| \leq \frac{1}{a_\lambda^3 \cos^6 \frac{3}{2} \pi x} \left( \int_{K \text{ Exp } H_0} \varphi_H^* \|\Delta_{K \text{ Exp } H}^3(V|K \text{ Exp } H)\|^2 dv_{K \text{ Exp } H_0} \right)^{1/2}.$$

Let  $D$  be any compact set in  $S^0$ . Put

$$E = \max_{H \in D} \left( \frac{1}{\cos^2 \frac{3}{2} \pi x} \int_{K \text{ Exp } H_0} \varphi_H^* \|\Delta_{K \text{ Exp } H}^3(V|K \text{ Exp } H)\|^2 dv_{K \text{ Exp } H_0} \right)^{1/2}.$$

Then

$$\|f_{\lambda, i} V_{\lambda, i}\| \leq \frac{E \|V_{\lambda, i}\|}{a_\lambda^3}.$$

Hence it is sufficient to prove the following equation:

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \max \|V_{\lambda, i}\| / a_\lambda^2 = 0.$$

Let  $\{e_k\}_{1 \leq k \leq 1+m(\bar{\delta})}$  be an orthonormal basis of  $\mathbf{R}\bar{\delta} + \mathfrak{m}_{\bar{\delta}}$ . Put  $d_\lambda = \dim V(\lambda)$ . Then  $d_\lambda = 2m+1$  for  $\lambda = m\alpha$ . Let  $\rho(\lambda)$  be a representation of  $\lambda$ . We define  $\rho(\lambda)_p^q$  as the following equation:

$$\rho(\lambda)_p^q(k) = \langle \rho(\lambda)(k)v_p, v_q \rangle \quad (k \in K),$$

where  $\{v_p\}_{1 \leq p \leq d_\lambda}$  is a unitary frame of  $V(\lambda)$ . Then we express  $V_{\lambda, i} = \sum a_{pq}^k \bar{\rho}(\lambda)_p^q e_k$  (for some  $a_{pq}^k \in \mathbf{C}$ ,  $d_\lambda = \sum |a_{pq}^k|^2$ ). By the Cauchy-Schwartz' inequality and the fact that each  $|a_{pq}^k|^2 \leq d_\lambda$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|V_{\lambda, i}\|^2 &\leq d_\lambda^2(1+m(\bar{\delta})) \sum |a_{pq}^k|^2 |\rho(\lambda)_p^q|^2 \\ &\leq d_\lambda^2 \sum |\rho(\lambda)_p^q|^2 = d_\lambda^2(1+m(\bar{\delta})). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we get

$$\frac{\max \|V_{\lambda, i}\|}{a_\lambda^2} \leq \frac{(2m+1)^2 \sqrt{1+m(\bar{\delta})}}{\left\{ \frac{1}{2} m(m+1) \right\}^2} \rightarrow 0 \quad (\text{as } m \rightarrow \infty).$$

Hence the right-hand side of (3.2) is absolutely uniformly convergence on the compact subset. Thus, by Lemma 6, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{F_0^0(\mathcal{M})} \langle JV, V \rangle dv_{F_0^0(\mathcal{M})} \\ &= \sum_{\lambda \in D(K)}, \sum_{i=1}^{p(\lambda)} \int_{S^0} \left\{ \|\text{grad } f_{\lambda, i}\|^2 + f_{\lambda, i}^2 \frac{\alpha_\lambda - 1/2}{\cos^2 \frac{3}{2} \pi x} \right\} \Big|_{\alpha \in \Sigma^+(\bar{G}) - i(\bar{\delta})} \sin 2\pi \langle \alpha, H \rangle \Big| dv_{S^0} \\ &\quad \times \int_{K \text{ Exp } H_0} \|V_{\lambda, i}\|^2 dv_{K \text{ Exp } H_0}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $0 < a_\alpha < a_{2\alpha} = 1/2 < a_{3\alpha} \dots$  and  $\text{Hom}_{K_1}(V(\alpha), (\mathbf{R}\bar{\delta} + \mathfrak{m}_{\bar{\delta}})^c) = \{0\}$ , we get

$$\int_{F_\alpha^0(M)} \langle JV, V \rangle dv_{F_\alpha^0(M)} \geq 0 \quad \text{for each } V \in T(N(F_\alpha^0(M)))^c.$$

Therefore  $F_\alpha^0(M)$  is stable.

Q. E. D.

### References

- [ 1 ] M. Berger, Du côté de chez Pu, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* **5** (1972), 1-44.
- [ 2 ] S. Helgason, "Differential Geometry, Lie Groups and Symmetric Spaces," Academic Press, New York, San Francisco, London, 1978.
- [ 3 ] Y. Ohnita, On Stability of minimal submanifolds in compact symmetric spaces, *Compositio Math.* **64** (1987), 157-189.
- [ 4 ] T. Sakai, On the Structure of Cut Loci in Compact Riemannian Symmetric Spaces, *Math. Ann.* **235** (1978), 129-148.
- [ 5 ] J. Simons, Minimal varieties in riemannian manifolds, *Ann. of Math.* **88** (1968), 62-105.
- [ 6 ] M. Takeuchi, "Modern theory of spherical functions (in Japanese)," Iwanami, Tokyo, 1975.
- [ 7 ] ———, On conjugate loci and cut loci of compact symmetric spaces I, *Tsukuba J. Math.* **2** (1977), 35-68.
- [ 8 ] H. Tasaki, Certain minimal or homologically volume minimizing submanifolds in compact symmetric spaces, *Tsukuba J. Math.* **9** (1985), 117-131.
- [ 9 ] N.R. Wallach, "Harmonic Analysis on Homogeneous Spaces," Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1973.

Institute of Mathematics  
University of Tsukuba  
Ibaraki, 305  
Japan