# ON COMPLEX TORI WITH MANY ENDOMORPHISMS

### By

### Atsushi SHIMIZU

The endomorphism ring of a complex torus T of dimension n is a free module of rank $\leq 2n^2$  as a Z-module. When T is an abelian variety it is wellknown that if the rank is equal to  $2n^2$ , T is isogenous to the direct sum of ncopies of an elliptic curve with complex multiplication. We will prove a similar result in a more general form, that is, let T and T' be two complex tori of dimension n and n' respectively, and if the Z-module of all homomorphisms of T into T' is of rank 2nn', then T and T' are isogenous to the direct sums of n and n' copies of an elliptic curve (Theorem 1-3). Next let T be a complex torus of dimension 2 and put  $\operatorname{End}^Q(T) = \operatorname{End}(T) \otimes_Z Q$ . Then using the types of  $\operatorname{End}^Q(T)$  we will classify all T's with a non-trivial endomorphism ring. The result is given in the last part of §4. A complex torus T of dimension 2 which is not simple is an abelian variety, if and only if T is isogenous to the direct sum of two elliptic curves. On the other hand a simple torus T of dimension 2 such that  $\operatorname{End}(T)$  is not isomorphic to Z is an abelian variety if and only if  $\operatorname{End}^Q(T)$  contains some real quadratic field over Q. This is proved in §5.

NOTATIONS. We denote by Z, Q, R and C, respectively, the ring of rational integers, the field of rational numbers, real numbers and complex numbers. For a ring R,  $M(n \times m, R)$  denotes the R-module composed of all matrices with n rows and m columns with coefficients in R. When n=m, it is the R-algebra of all square matrices of size n. We simply denote it by M(n, R). The group of all invertible elements of M(n, R) is denoted by GL(n, R).

Let T and T' be two complex tori. We denote by  $\operatorname{Hom}(T, T')$  the set of all homomorphisms of T into T' and put  $\operatorname{End}(T)=\operatorname{Hom}(T, T)$ . We put  $\operatorname{Hom}^{q}(T, T')=\operatorname{Hom}(T, T')\otimes Q$  and  $\operatorname{End}^{q}(T)=\operatorname{End}(T)\otimes Q$ .  $\operatorname{End}^{q}(T)$  is naturally considered as an algebra over Q. T and T' are called isogenous and denoted by  $T \sim T'$  if they are of the same dimension and there exists a homomorphism  $\lambda$  of the one onto the other; such a  $\lambda$  is called an isogeny. " $\sim$ " is an equivalence relation. If  $T_1$  and  $T'_1$  are complex tori which are isogenous T and T'respectively, then  $\operatorname{Hom}^{q}(T_1, T'_1)$  is isomorphic to  $\operatorname{Hom}^{q}(T, T')$  and  $\operatorname{End}^{q}(T_1)$ 

Received November 17, 1983.

isomorphic to  $End^{Q}(T)$  as a Q-algebra.

Let G be a lattice subgroup of  $C^n$  and  $(g_1, \dots, g_{2n})$  its base. Then the matrix  $G=(g_1, \dots, g_{2n}) \in M(n \times 2n, C)$  is called the period matrix of the complex torus  $C^n/G$ . We shall often denoted by  $C^n/G$  the complex torus  $C^n/G$ .

# §1. Complex tori with endomorphism rings of the maximal rank.

Let T and T' be two complex tori of dimension n and n' respectively.

THEOREM 1-1. Hom(T, T') is a free abelian group whose rank is at most 2nn'.

PROOF. We put T = E/G and T' = E'/G', where E, E' are complex linear spaces and G, G' are respectively their lattice subgroups. Take a C-base  $(g_1, \dots, g_n)$  of E which is also a part of a Z-base of G and let  $H_1$  the subgroup of G generated by  $g_1, \dots, g_n$ . If  $\lambda$  is an element of Hom(T, T'),  $\lambda$  naturally induces a linear map  $L_{\lambda}$  of E to E'. Then making correspond to  $\lambda$  the homomorphism of  $H_1$  into G' which maps  $(g_1, \dots, g_n)$  to  $(L_{\lambda}(g_1), \dots, L_{\lambda}(g_n))$ , we get an injective homomorphism of Hom(T, T') into  $\text{Hom}(H_1, G')$ . Since  $\text{Hom}(H_1, G')$ is a free abelian group of rank 2nn', Hom(T, T') which is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $\text{Hom}(H_1, G')$  is a free abelian group whose rank is at most 2nn'. (q. e. d.)

Let T and T' be the direct sums of r and r' complex tori  $T_1, \dots, T_r$  and  $T'_1, \dots, T'_r$ , respectively. Then,  $\operatorname{Hom}(T, T')$  is isomorphic to the direct sum of all  $\operatorname{Hom}(T_i, T'_{i'})$ 's  $(i=1, 2, \dots, r \text{ and } i'=1, 2, \dots, r')$ . If T=T', they are isomorphic as rings, where for two elements  $(\lambda_{ii'}), (\mu_{ii'})$  of  $\bigoplus_{i,i'} \operatorname{Hom}(T_i, T_{i'}) (\lambda_{ii'})$  and  $\mu_{ii'}$  are elements of  $\operatorname{Hom}(T_i, T_{i'})$ . We define the product of them by  $(\sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_{ji'} \circ \mu_{ij}) \in \bigoplus_{i,i'} \operatorname{Hom}(T_i, T_{i'})$ . Especially when  $T_1 = T_2 = \cdots = T_r$ ,  $\operatorname{End}(T)$  is isomorphic to  $M(r, \operatorname{End}(T_1))$ .

Let C be an elliptic curve with complex multiplication, that is, complex torus of dimension 1 with an endomorphism ring of rank 2, and let T and T' be complex tori which are isogenous to the direct sums of n and n' copies of C respectively. Then the rank of Hom(T, T') is clearly 2nn'. We shall prove the converse is true.

THEOREM 1-2. Let T and T' be complex tori of dimension n and n' respectively. If the rank of Hom(T, T') is 2nn', T and T' are respectively isogenous to the direct sums of n and n' copices of an elliptic curve C with complex multiplication.

PROOF. Notation being as in the proof of Theorem 1-1; choose a proper C-base of E and a proper Z-base of G, and we may assume that the period matrix of T is  $(1_n, T)$  where  $1_n$  is the unit matrix of size n and T is an element of M(n, C) such that the imaginary part of T is a regular matrix. Similarly we may assume that the period matrix of T' is  $(1_{n'}, T')$  for some matrix T' of size n' which satisfies the same condition.

Now considering Hom(T, T') to be a subgroup of Hom( $H_1$ , G'), since they are of the same rank, there exists an integer  $\lambda$  such that  $\lambda(\text{Hom}(H_1, G')) \subset$ Hom(T, T'). In other words, for any  $S \in M(2n' \times n, \mathbb{Z})$  there exist  $\omega \in M(n' \times n, \mathbb{C})$ and  $\Omega \in M(2n' \times 2n, \mathbb{Z})$  such that

$$\omega 1_n = (1_n, T')\lambda S$$
 and  $\omega (1_n, T) = (1_n, T')\Omega$ .

For any  $\alpha \in M(n' \times n, \mathbb{Z})$ , putting  $S = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , there exists  $\Omega = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$  (A, B, C,  $D \in M(n' \times n, \mathbb{Z})$ ) such that

$$\lambda \alpha(1_n, T) = (1_{n'}, T') \Omega = (A + T'C, B + T'D),$$

and especially  $\lambda \alpha T = B + T'D$ . If we denote by Im T and Re T the imaginary part of T and the real part of T respectively, we have i)  $\lambda \alpha (\text{Im } T) = (\text{Im } T')D$ and ii)  $\lambda \alpha (\text{Re } T) = B + (\text{Re } T')D$ . Therefore for any element  $\alpha$  of  $M(n' \times n, Z)$ we have

- i') (Im T')<sup>-1</sup>( $\lambda \alpha$ )(Im T) $\in M(n' \times n, \mathbb{Z})$
- ii')  $(\lambda \alpha)(\operatorname{Re} T) (\operatorname{Re} T')(\operatorname{Im} T')^{-1}(\lambda \alpha)(\operatorname{Im} T) \in M(n' \times n, \mathbb{Z}).$

Put  $(\operatorname{Im} T')^{-1} = (\beta_{pr}), \ \alpha = (\alpha_{rs}), \ \operatorname{Im} T = (a_{sr}), \ \text{and} \ i') \text{ implies}$ 

$$\lambda \sum_{r=1}^{n'} \sum_{s=1}^{n} \beta_{pr} \alpha_{rs} a_{sq} \in \mathbb{Z}$$

for any p, q ( $p=1, \dots, n', q=1, \dots, n$ ). If we put  $\alpha$  to be the matrix whose (r, s)-component is 1 and the others are all 0, we have  $\lambda\beta_{pr}a_{sq} \in Z$  for any p, q, r, s. Especially putting p=r=1, we have  $\lambda\beta_{11}a_{sq} \in Z$  for any s, q. Therefore there exist a real number  $a_1$  which is independent of s, q and integers  $a_{sq}^*$  (s,  $q=1, 2, \dots, n$ ) such that  $a_{sq}=a_1a_{sq}^*$ . Put  $T_1=(a_{sq}^*)\in M(n, \mathbb{Z})$ , and we have  $\operatorname{Im} T=a_1T_1$ , where  $a_1\neq 0$  and det  $T_1\neq 0$ . Similarly there exist  $b'\in \mathbb{R}$  and  $T_0'\in M(n', \mathbb{Z})$  such that  $(\operatorname{Im} T')^{-1}=b'T_0'$ . Putting  $a_1'=b'^{-1}(\det T_0')^{-1}$  and  $T_1'=(\det T_0')T_0'^{-1}$ , we have  $\operatorname{Im} T'=a_1'T_1'$  where  $a_1'$  is a real number  $T_1'$  is an element of  $M(n', \mathbb{Z})$ . Now we have  $T=\operatorname{Re} T+\sqrt{-1}a_1T_1$ . Considering the isogeny whose rational representation is  $\binom{1_n}{0} T_1^{-1}$ , we can see that T is isogenous to  $C^n/(1_n, (\operatorname{Re} T)T_1^{-1}+\sqrt{-1}a_11_n)$ . So we may assume that  $\operatorname{Im} T=a_11_n$ . And similarly we may assume

### Atsushi SHIMIZU

that Im  $T'=a'_{1}1_{n'}$ . Put  $\mu=a_{1}a'_{1}-\lambda$ , and we have by ii')

$$(\lambda \alpha)(\operatorname{Re} T) - \mu(\operatorname{Re} T')\alpha \in M(n' \times n, \mathbb{Z})$$

for any  $\alpha$ . If we put **Re**  $T = (c_{sq})$ , **Re**  $T' = (d_{pr})$  and  $\alpha = (\alpha_{rs})$ , we have

$$\lambda \sum_{s=1}^{n} \alpha_{ps} c_{sq} - \mu \sum_{r=1}^{n'} d_{pr} \alpha_{rq} \in \mathbb{Z}$$

for  $p=1, \dots, n, s=1, \dots, n'$ . Again putting  $\alpha$  to be the matrix whose (r, q)component is 1 and the others are all 0, we have A)  $\lambda c_{sq} \in Z$ , if  $s \neq q$ , B)  $\mu d_{pr} \in Z$ ,
if  $p \neq r$ , and C)  $\lambda c_{ss} - \mu d_{rr} \in Z$ , for any p, q, r, s. Therefore we have  $\lambda (c_{sq}) - \mu d_{11} 1_n$   $\in M(n, Z)$  and  $\mu (d_{pr}) - \lambda c_{11} 1_{n'} \in M(n', Z)$ . Put  $T_2 = \lambda (c_{sq}) - \mu d_{11} 1_n$  and  $c = \mu d_{11}$ , and
we have  $\operatorname{Re} T = \lambda^{-1} (c 1_2 + T_2)$ . So putting  $z = \lambda^{-1} c + \sqrt{-1} a_1$ , we have  $T = z 1_n + \lambda^{-1} T_2$ .
Consider the isogeny whose rational representation is  $\begin{pmatrix} 1_n & -\lambda^{-1} T_2 \\ 0 & 1_n \end{pmatrix}$ , and we can
see that T is isogenous to  $C^n/(1_n, z 1_n)$  which is clearly isogenous to the direct
sum of n copies of C = C/(1, z). Similarly T' is isogenous to the direct sum of n' copies of some complex torus C' of dimension 1. Since  $\operatorname{Hom}(T, T')$  is isomorphic to the direct sum of nn' copies of  $\operatorname{Hom}(C, C')$ , the rank of  $\operatorname{Hom}(C, C')$ is 2, hence C is an elliptic curve with complex multiplication which is isomorphic
to C'. (q. e. d.)

### §2. Period matrices of complex tori with many endomorphisms.

Let T be a complex torus whose  $\operatorname{End}^{q}(T)$  contains a division sub-algebra Dwhich contains Q properly. Let Z be the center of D and K one of the maximal commutative subfields of D and denote the dimensions of the vector spaces D, K and Z over Q by d, e and f respectively. Then we have  $d/f = (e/f)^2$ , in other words  $df = e^2$ . On the other hand, considering a rational representation of D, the linear space  $Q^{2n}$  can be regarded as a D-module. Since D is a division algebra, a D-module is always free, hence denoting by r the rank of the module over D, we have rd = 2n. Now the following theorem has been proved.

THEOREM 2-1. Let D be a division algebra contained in  $\text{End}^{\mathbf{q}}(T)$ . If we donote by d, e and f, respectively, the dimensions over  $\mathbf{Q}$  of D, one of the maximal subfield of D and the center of D, we have

- i)  $df = e^2$
- ii) f | e | d | 2n (where a | b means a divides b.)

COROLLARY 2-2. Let n be a positive odd integer which is square-free, and T a complex torus of dimension n. Then any division algebra which is contained in

 $End^{\boldsymbol{q}}(\boldsymbol{T})$  is commutative.

**PROOF.** Notations being as in Theorem 2-1,  $(e/f)^2 = d/f$  divides 2*n*. Hence e/f=1, that is, *D* is commutative. (q. e. d.)

Next we shall inquire into the period matrix of T.

THEOREM 2-3. Let T = E/G be a complex torus of dimension n such that End<sup>Q</sup>(T) contains a division algebra D which contains Q properly. Take any element  $\phi$  of D which is not contained in Q. Choosing an adequate C-base of C-vector space E, the analytic representation of  $\phi$  is a diagonal matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & 0 \\ \ddots \\ 0 & \alpha_n \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $\alpha_i$  is the image of  $\phi$  by an isomorphism of  $\mathbf{Q}(\phi)$  into  $\mathbf{C}$  (i=1, 2, ..., n). And put  $h = [\mathbf{Q}(\phi) : \mathbf{Q}], s = 2n/h$  and

$$\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha_1 & \alpha_1^2 \cdots & \alpha_1^{h-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 1 & \alpha_n & \alpha_n^2 \cdots & \alpha_n^{h-1} \end{pmatrix} \in M(n \times h, C).$$

And put

$$G(g_{ij}) = \left( \begin{pmatrix} g_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & g_{1n} \end{pmatrix} \varPhi \begin{pmatrix} g_{21} & 0 \\ 0 & g_{2n} \end{pmatrix} \varPhi \cdots \begin{pmatrix} g_{s1} & 0 \\ 0 & g_{sn} \end{pmatrix} \varPhi \right)$$

where  $g_{ij}$  (i=1, ..., s, j=1, ..., n) are sound given complex numbers. Then there exists ns complex numbers  $g_{ij}$  such that T is isogenous to the complex torus  $T(g_{ij})$  whose period matrix is  $G(g_{ij})$ .

PROOF. Let  $\omega$  be an analytic representation of  $\phi$  and  $\Omega$  a rational representation. Since the minimal polynomial f of  $\Omega$  is also the minimal polynomial of  $\phi$  when  $Q(\phi)$  is regarded as an algebraic field over Q, f is irreducible. Clearly  $f(\omega)=0$ , so that the minimal polynomial of  $\omega$  has no multiple root. Here choosing an adequate C-base of E,

$$\omega = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & 0 \\ \ddots \\ 0 & \alpha_n \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  are roots of the algebraic equation f(x)=0. On the other hand the characteristic polynomial F of  $\Omega$  is s-th power of f. Therefore if we consider  $\Omega$  to be a linear transformation on  $Q^{2n}$ , there exists an element P of  $GL(2n, Q) \cap M(2n, Z)$  such that

where 
$$A_1 = A_2 = \dots = A_s = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ 0 & A_s \end{pmatrix}$$
  
 $A_1 = A_2 = \dots = A_s = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & -a_0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & -a_1 \\ 0 & \ddots & 0 & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -a_{h-1} \end{pmatrix} \in GL(h, Q),$ 

and  $f(x) = x^{h} + a_{h-1}x^{h-1} + \dots + a_{0}$ . Considering the isogeny whose rational representation is P, we may assume that the analytic representation  $\omega$  of  $\phi$  is a diagonal matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & 0 \\ \ddots \\ 0 & \alpha_n \end{pmatrix}$  and the rational representation  $\Omega$  of  $\phi$  is  $\begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ \ddots \\ 0 & A_s \end{pmatrix}$ . Then let G be the period matrix, and we have  $\omega G = G \Omega$ . We only have to compare each component of  $\omega G$  with the corresponding component of  $G\Omega$  to complete the proof. (q.e.d.)

Conversely suppose complex numbers  $\{g_{ij}\}$  are given. Is  $G(g_{ij})$  the period matrix of some complex torus? Since  $\begin{pmatrix} \omega & 0 \\ 0 & \overline{\omega} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} G \\ \overline{G} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} G \\ \overline{G} \end{pmatrix} \Omega$ ,  $\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_n$  have to satisfy the following condition (#);

(#) the image of  $\phi$  by any isomorphism of  $Q(\phi)$  into C appears just s times in  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \bar{\alpha}_1, \dots, \bar{\alpha}_n$  (where  $\bar{\alpha}$  means the complex conjugate of  $\alpha$ ).

THEOREM 2-4. We assume  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  satisfy the condition (#). Then if  $g_{ij}$  $(i=1, \dots, s, j=1, \dots, n)$  are generally given,  $G(g_{ij})$  is the period matrix of some complex torus. (That is, the subset in  $C^{sn}$  composed of all  $\{g_{ij}\}$  such that  $G(g_{ij})$ is a period matrix is open dense in  $C^{sn}$ .)

PROOF. Let  $X_{ij}$   $(i=1, \dots, s, j=1, \dots n)$  be *ns* variables, and we only have to prove that  $\det\left(\frac{G(X_{ij})}{G(X_{ij})}\right)=0$  is a non-trivial equation. Let  $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n$  be the images of  $\phi$  by all the isomorphisms of  $Q(\phi)$  into C, and put

$$\boldsymbol{\varPhi} \!=\! \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \phi_1 \cdots \phi_1^{h-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & \phi_n \cdots \phi_h^{h-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

Then we have

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} \underline{G(X_{ij})} \\ \overline{G(X_{ij})} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} X_{11}^* \varPhi \cdots X_{1s}^* \varPhi \\ \vdots \\ X_{s1}^* \varPhi \cdots X_{ss}^* \varPhi \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} X_{11}^* \cdots X_{1s}^* \\ \vdots \\ X_{s1}^* \cdots X_{ss}^* \end{vmatrix} (\det \varPhi)^s$$

where  $X_{ij}^*$  (*i*, *j*=1, 2, ..., *s*) are diagonal matrices such that all  $X_{ij}$  and all  $\overline{X}_{ij}$ appear once and only once in their diagonal components. Since det  $\Phi \neq 0$ , we only have to prove the following lemma to complete the proof.

LEMMA 2-5. Let  $f(x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_m)$  be a polynomial of 2m variables  $x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_m$  with coefficients in C. If  $f(z_1, \dots z_m, \overline{z}_1, \dots, \overline{z}_m)=0$  for any m complex numbers  $z_1, \dots, z_m$ , then f=0 as a polynomial.

PROOF. It is easily seen that we may assume m=1. Put  $f(x, y)=F_p(x)y^p$ +...+ $F_0(x)$ . If  $f(z, \bar{z})=0$ ,  $\bar{z}$  is a root of the algebraic equation  $F_p(z)y^p$ +...+ $F_0(z)=0$  with an unknown y. If p>0,  $\bar{z}$  is locally a holomorphic function of z on an open subset in C. That is a contradiction. Therefore p=0. Then it is clear that f=0 since  $F_0(z)=0$  for any z. (q.e.d.)

#### §3. Invariant subtori.

Let T be a complex torus and T' its subtorus. We call T' invariant throughout this paper if the image of T' by any endomorphism of T is contained in T'. Of course T itself and  $\{0\}$  are invariant subtori. We call each of them a trivial invariant subtorus.

THEOREM 3-1. If a complex torus T has no non-trivial invariant subtorus. Then T is isogenous to the direct sum of some copies of a simple torus. (A complex torus is called simple if it has no subtorus but itself and  $\{0\}$ .)

PROOF. Let T' be a simple subtorus which is not  $\{0\}$ . The set  $\Lambda = \{\lambda(T') | \lambda \in \text{End}(T)\}$  is a finite set. In fact, since any  $\lambda(T')$  is simple, if  $\Lambda' = \{\lambda_1(T'), \dots, \lambda_m(T')\}$  be a subset of  $\Lambda(\lambda_i(T') \neq \lambda_j(T'))$  if  $i \neq j$ ,  $T_0 = \lambda_1(T') + \dots + \lambda_m(T')$  is isogenous to the direct sum  $\lambda_1(T') \oplus \dots \oplus \lambda_m(T')$  which is isogenous to the direct sum of m copies of T'. So  $\Lambda$  is a finite set. Put  $\Lambda' = \Lambda$  especially, and  $T_0 = \lambda_1(T') + \dots + \lambda_m(T')$  is an invariant subtorus which is not  $\{0\}$ . Therefore  $T_0 = T$ , that is, T is isogenous to the direct sum of m copies of a simple subtorus T'. (q. e. d.)

THEOREM 3-2. Let T' be an invariant subtorus of a complex torus T. Then we have

- i)  $\operatorname{rank}_{Z}\operatorname{End}(T) \leq \operatorname{rank}_{Z}\operatorname{End}(T/T') + \operatorname{rank}_{Z}\operatorname{Hom}(T, T')$
- ii)  $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}}\operatorname{End}(\mathbb{T}) \leq \operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}}\operatorname{End}(\mathbb{T}') + \operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}}\operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{T}/\mathbb{T}', \mathbb{T}).$

PROOF. We define an homomorphism  $\Phi : \operatorname{End}(T) \to \operatorname{End}(T')$  by the natural restriction. It is clear that the kernel of  $\Phi$  can be considered to be a subset of  $\operatorname{Hom}(T/T', T)$ , so ii) is proved. Considering similarly the natural homomorphism

 $\Phi': \operatorname{End}(T) \rightarrow \operatorname{End}(T/T')$ , we have i). (q.e.d.)

COROLLARY 3-3. Let T be a complex torus of dimension n. If rank<sub>z</sub>End(T)  $>2n^2-2n+2$ , there exists an integer m>1 such that T is isogenous to the direct sum of m copies of a simple torus.

PROOF. Let T' be an invariant subtorus and k its dimension. By ii) we have  $2n^2-2n+2 < \operatorname{rank}_{Z} \operatorname{End}(T) \leq \operatorname{rank}_{Z} \operatorname{End}(T') + \operatorname{rank}_{Z} \operatorname{Hom}(T/T', T) \leq 2k^2+2(n-k)n$ . So we have k=0 or n. On the other hand if T is simple,  $\operatorname{rank}_{Z} \operatorname{End}(T) \leq 2n$ . Therefore T is isogenous to the direct sum of m copies of a simple torus for some m > 1. (q. e. d.)

We will use the corollary to prove the following proposition which is a special case of Theorem 1-2

PROPOSITION. Let T be complex torus of dimension n. If the rank of End(T) is  $2n^2$ , T is isogenous to the direct sum of n copies of an elliptic curve C with complex multiplication.

PROOF. We may assume n > 1. Then since  $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbf{Z}}\operatorname{End}(\mathbf{T}) = 2n^2 > 2n^2 - 2n - 2$ ,  $\mathbf{T}$  is isogenous to the direct sum of some copies of a simple torus  $\mathbf{T}'$ . Let rbe the dimension of  $\mathbf{T}'$ , and  $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbf{Z}}\operatorname{End}(\mathbf{T}) = \operatorname{rank}_{\mathbf{Z}}M(n/r, \operatorname{End}(\mathbf{T}'))$ , therefore  $2n^2$  $\leq (n/r)^2(2r) = 2n^2/r$ . So r = 1 and  $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbf{Z}}\operatorname{End}(\mathbf{T}') = 2$ . (q. e. d.)

REMARK. Let T and  $T_1$  be two complex tori and T' and  $T'_1$  their subtori respectively. We call the pair  $(T', T'_1)$  I-pair if the image of T' by any homomorphism of T into  $T_1$  is contained in  $T'_1$ . If T and  $T_1$  have no non-trivial I-pair,  $T_1$  is isogenous to the direct sum of copies of a simple torus. And we have equations which are similar to i) and ii) in Theorem 3-2. Therefore if Hom $(T, T_1)$  is of the maximal rank,  $T_1$  is isogenous to the direct sum of copies of an elliptic curve. Considering dual tori, we can see that T is also isogenous to the direct sum of copies of an elliptic curve. Thus Theorem 1-2 itself can be proved.

Now let T be a complex torus such that a division algebra D is contained in  $\operatorname{End}^{Q}(T)$  as a subalgebra. If T' is a non-trivial invariant subtorus,  $\Phi$  and  $\Phi'$ in the proof of Theorem 3-2 induce the following Q-algebra homomorphisms;

$$\begin{split} \varPhi^{\boldsymbol{q}} &: \operatorname{End}^{\boldsymbol{q}}(\boldsymbol{T}) {\rightarrow} \operatorname{End}^{\boldsymbol{q}}(\boldsymbol{T}') \\ \varPhi^{\prime \boldsymbol{q}} &: \operatorname{End}^{\boldsymbol{q}}(\boldsymbol{T}) {\rightarrow} \operatorname{End}^{\boldsymbol{q}}(\boldsymbol{T}/\boldsymbol{T}') \,. \end{split}$$

 $\Phi^{q}$  is injective on *D*. In fact, if not, there exists an element of *D* such that  $\Phi^{q}(\phi)=0$  then  $\phi(T')=\{0\}$ . But such a  $\phi$  cannot be an isogeny. Similarly  $\Phi'^{q}$  is injective on *D*, too. Hence we may consider *D* a subalgebra of  $\operatorname{End}^{q}(T')$  and  $\operatorname{End}^{q}(T/T')$ .

THEOREM 3-3. Let T be a complex torus of dimension n. If  $\operatorname{End}^{q}(T)$  contains a division algebra of dimension 2n as a Q-vector space, T is isogenous to the direct sum of some copies of a simple torus.

PROOF. If T has a non-trivial invariant subtorus T',  $End^{q}(T')$  contains a division algebra of dimension 2n. But this is impossible. Hence T has no non-trivial invariant subtorus, so that, by theorem 3-1, T is isogenous to the direct sum of some copies of a simple torus. (q. e. d.)

### §4. Complex tori of dimension 2.

Throughout this section T will denote a complex torus of dimension 2. In this section we will study the structure of  $\operatorname{End}^{q}(T)$ .

(1) The case that T is simple.

If T is simple any endomorphism is an isogeny, so  $\operatorname{End}^{q}(T)$  is a division algebra. Let K be one of the maximal commutative subfields of  $\operatorname{End}^{q}(T)$  and d its degree over Q, and d divides 4, so d=1, 2 or 4. If d=1,  $\operatorname{End}^{q}(T)=Q$ .

a) The case of d=4.

In this case  $\operatorname{End}^{q}(T) = K$  is isomorphic to a quartic field Q[X]/(f(X)) over Q where f(X) is an irreducible polynomial of degree 4. By Theorem 2-3, there exist complex numbers  $\zeta$ ,  $\xi$  such that  $\{\zeta, \xi, \overline{\zeta}, \overline{\xi}\}$  is the set of all roots of the equation f(X)=0 and T is isogenous to

$$T'(\zeta, \xi) = C^2 / \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \zeta & \zeta^2 & \zeta^3 \\ 1 & \xi & \xi^2 & \xi^3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Conversely let f(X) be an irreducible polynomial of degree 4 and  $\zeta$ ,  $\xi$  two complex numbers such that  $\{\zeta, \xi, \overline{\zeta}, \overline{\xi}\}$  is the set of all roots of the equation f(X)=0. Then  $T'(\zeta, \xi)$  is a complex torus such that  $\operatorname{End}^{q}(T'(\zeta, \xi))$  contains a division algebra  $Q(\zeta)$  of dimension 4. If  $T'(\zeta, \xi)$  is not simple, by Theorems 3-3,  $T'(\zeta, \xi)$  is isogenous to the direct sum of two copies of an eliptic curve C=C/(1, z). In other words there exist  $\omega \in GL(2, C)$  and  $\Omega \in GL(4, Q)$  such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \zeta & \zeta^2 & \zeta^3 \\ 1 & \xi & \xi^2 & \xi^3 \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{Q} = \omega \begin{pmatrix} 1 & z & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & z \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (1)

Let F be the minimal Galois extension of Q containing  $Q(\zeta)$ , G<sup>\*</sup> its Galois group

and  $\sigma$  one of elements of  $G^*$  such that  $\zeta^{\sigma} = \xi$ . Put  $\omega = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}$  and (1) implies that  $\alpha, \beta, \alpha z$  and  $\beta z$  are all contained in  $Q(\zeta)$  and  $\gamma, \delta, \gamma z$  and  $\delta z$  are in  $Q(\xi)$  and moreover  $\alpha^{\sigma} = \gamma, (\alpha z)^{\sigma} = \gamma z, \beta^{\sigma} = \delta, (\beta z)^{\sigma} = \delta z$ . So z is contained in both  $Q(\zeta)$  and  $Q(\xi)$ , and  $z^{\sigma} = z$ . We put K' = Q(z), then  $Q(\zeta)$  is a quadratic extension of K' and  $\xi$  is the conjugate of  $\zeta$  over K'. Therefore  $Q(\zeta) = Q(\xi)$  and  $Q(\xi) = Q(\xi)$ . By the way there exist only four distinct elements in all  $\zeta^{\rho}$  ( $\rho \in G^*$ ), and there exist at most two elements  $\rho$  of  $G^*$  such that  $\zeta^{\rho} = \zeta$ . In fact if  $\zeta^{\rho} = \zeta, \xi^{\rho} = \xi$ , so  $\xi^{\rho}$  must be  $\xi$  or  $\xi$ . Hence the order of  $G^*$  is 4 or 8. Making  $\zeta, \xi, \xi, \xi$  correspond to 1, 2, 3, 4 respectively we consider  $G^*$  to be a subgroup of the symmetric group  $S_4$ . Then  $G^* = V_4 = \{id, (12)(34), (13)(23), (14)(23)\}$  or  $G^* = V_4 \cup (12)V_4 = \{id, (12), (12)(34), (34), (13)(24), (1423), (1324), (14)(23)\}$  where "id" means the unit element of the group.

Conversely if  $G^*$  is one of those subgroups, putting  $z=\zeta+\xi$ , it is easily seen that  $T'(\zeta, \xi)$  is not simple.

b) The case of d=2.

In this case K is isomorphic to a quadratic field  $Q(\sqrt{m})$  where m is a square-free integer. By Theorem 2-3 T is isogenous to

$$C^2 / \begin{pmatrix} a \sqrt{m} a & b \sqrt{m} b \\ c & \sqrt{m} c & d & \sqrt{m} d \end{pmatrix}$$
 or  $C^2 / \begin{pmatrix} a & \sqrt{m} a & b & \sqrt{m} b \\ c & -\sqrt{m} c & d & -\sqrt{m} d \end{pmatrix}$ 

for some complex numbers a, b, c, d. Since T is simple,  $abcd \neq 0$ , so we may assume a=c=1. But  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \sqrt{m} & b & \sqrt{m} & b \\ 1 & \sqrt{m} & d & \sqrt{m} & d \end{pmatrix}$  cannot be a period matrix of a simple torus. Hence T is isogenous to a complex torus

$$T_{1}(m; b, d) = C^{2} / \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \sqrt{m} & b & b\sqrt{m} \\ 1 & -\sqrt{m} & d & -d\sqrt{m} \end{pmatrix}$$

where b, d are complex numbers such that b,  $d \in \mathbf{R}$  if m > 0 and  $b \neq \overline{d}$  if m < 0. Conversely if such m, b, d are given,  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \sqrt{m} & b & b\sqrt{m} \\ 1 & -\sqrt{m} & d & -d\sqrt{m} \end{pmatrix}$  is certainly a period matrix of some complex torus  $T_1(m; b, d)$ .

LEMMA 4-1.  $T_1(m; b, d)$  defined above is not simple if and only if the following condition  $i^*$  is satisfied.

i\*) There exist rational numbers x, y and an element z of  $Q(\sqrt{m})$  with are not all zero and satisfy

- (†)  $2xbd+zb+z^{\sigma}d+2y=0$  (where  $z^{\sigma}$  means the conjugate of z).
- (††)  $N(z/2)+xy \in N(Q(\sqrt{m}))$  (where  $N(z)=zz^{\sigma}$  for  $z \in Q(\sqrt{m})$ ).

PROOF. Let x, y,  $z_1$ ,  $z_2$ ,  $b_1$ ,  $b_2$ ,  $b_3$ ,  $b_4$  are given rational numbers such that

 $(x, y, z_1, z_2) \neq (0, 0, 0, 0)$  and  $(b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4) \neq (0, 0, 0, 0)$  and consider simultaneous equations with unknowns  $X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4$ ,

(1)  
$$\begin{cases} x = b_3 X_4 - b_4 X_3 \\ y = b_1 X_2 - b_2 X_1 \\ z_1 = b_1 X_4 - b_2 X_3 - b_4 X_1 + b_3 X_2 \\ z_2 = b_1 X_3 - m b_2 X_4 - b_3 X_1 + m b_4 X_2 , \end{cases}$$

that is,

Put  $z=z_1+\sqrt{m}^{-1}z_2$ . If x, y, z satisfy (†) and (1) has a solution  $X_i=a_i$  (i=1, 2, 3, 4),  $T_1(m; b, d)$  is not simple. In fact let  $\mathcal{Q}$  be an element of GL(4, Q) such that

$$\Omega = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ a_2 & b_2 \\ a_3 & b_3 \\ a_4 & b_4 \end{pmatrix}$$

and  $\omega$  an element of  $GL(2, \mathbb{C})$  such that

$$\omega = \begin{pmatrix} -\alpha & \beta \\ & & \\ & * & * \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $\alpha = b_1 - b_2 \sqrt{m} + b_3 d - b_4 d \sqrt{m}$ ,  $\beta = b_1 + b_2 \sqrt{m} + b_3 b + b_4 b \sqrt{m}$ . Then we have by (1) and (†)

$$\omega \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \sqrt{m} & b & b\sqrt{m} \\ 1 & -\sqrt{m} & d & -d\sqrt{m} \end{pmatrix} \Omega = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \end{pmatrix}.$$

Conversely if  $T_1(m; b, d)$  is not simple, there exist such an  $\omega$  and an  $\Omega$ . Therefore there exist x, y, z which satisfy (†) and  $b_1$ ,  $b_2$ ,  $b_3$ ,  $b_4$  such that (1) has a solution.

On the other hand (1) has a solution if and only if

$$\operatorname{rank}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -b_4 & b_3 & x \\ -b_2 & b_1 & 0 & 0 & y \\ -b_4 & b_3 & -b_2 & b_1 & z_1 \\ -b_3 & mb_4 & b_1 & -mb_2 & z_2 \end{pmatrix} = \operatorname{rank}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -b_4 & b_3 \\ -b_2 & b_1 & 0 & 0 \\ -b_4 & b_3 & -b_2 & b_1 \\ -b_3 & mb_4 & b_1 & -mb_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

It is easily seen that this equation is equivalent to the following equation (2);

(2) 
$$x(b_1^2 - mb_2^2) + y(b_3^2 - mb_4^2) + z_2(b_1b_4 - b_2b_3) - z_1(b_1b_3 - mb_2b_4) = 0.$$

Put  $\varepsilon = b_1 + \sqrt{m} b_2$  and  $\eta = b_3 + \sqrt{m} b_4$ , and (2) implies

(3) 
$$\varepsilon \varepsilon^{\sigma} x + \eta \eta^{\sigma} y - (\varepsilon \eta^{\sigma} z + \varepsilon^{\sigma} \eta z^{\sigma})/2 = 0.$$

There exist  $\varepsilon$  and  $\eta$  which are not both zero and satisfy (3) if and only if (††) is satisfied. In fact, put  $\nu = 2y\eta - z\varepsilon$ , and (3) implies

$$(N(z/2)-xy)\varepsilon\varepsilon^{\sigma}=\nu v^{\sigma}/4 \in N(Q(\sqrt{m})).$$

Hence the proof is completed.

Let R be a commutative ring and  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  elements of R. We denote by  $(\alpha, \beta)_R$  the quaternion over R which is generated as a R-module by  $\{1, e_1, e_2, e_3\}$  where 1 is the unit and  $e_1^2 = \alpha$ ,  $e_2^2 = \beta$ ,  $e_1e_2 = -e_2e_1 = e_3$ .

We will call a complex torus of dimension 2 which is isogenous to  $T_1(m; b, d)$  such that there exist x, y, z which satisfy (†) but there exist no x, y, z which satisfy both (†) and (††) of a quaternion type. By the above lemma a complex torus of a quaternion type is simple.

THEOKEM 4-2. Let T be a simple complex torus of dimension 2. End(T) is a non-commutative ring of rank 4 if and only if T is of a quatenion type. In this case, T is isogenous to  $T_1(m; b, d)$  such that bd=q is a rational number and End<sup>q</sup>(T) is isomorphic to  $(m, q)_q$ .

PROOF. First assume that T is of a quaterion type. Then we may assume that  $T=T_1(m; b, d)$  and there exist x, y, z such that  $2xbd+zb+z^{\sigma}d+2y=0$ . Since  $(\dagger\dagger)$  is not satisfied,  $xy \neq 0$  and we may assume x=1. If we put  $b'=b-z^{\sigma}$ , d'=d-z and  $q=zz^{\sigma}-y\in Q$ , then b'd'=q and  $T=T_1(m; b, d)$  is isogenous to  $T_1(m; b', d')$  by an isogeny the rational representation of which is

$$M \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -z_1 & mz_2 \\ 0 & 1 & z_2 & -z_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $z=z_1+z_2\sqrt{m}$  and M is an integer which is large enough to make coefficients integral. It can be easily seen that  $\operatorname{End}^{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{T}_1(m; b', d'))$  is a quatenion generated as a  $\mathbf{Q}$ -module by four elements whose analytic representations are

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{m} & 0 \\ 0 & -\sqrt{m} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b' \\ d' & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{m}b' \\ -\sqrt{m}d' & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

That implies the "if" part of the theorem, so we next prove the "only if" part of the theorem. If  $\operatorname{End}(T)$  is a non-commutative ring of rank 4, T is clearly isogenous to  $T_1(m; b, d)$  for some complex numbers b, d, and we may assume that  $T = T_1(m; b, d)$ . We denote by  $\phi$  the endomorphism whose analytic representation is  $\binom{\sqrt{m} \ 0}{0 - \sqrt{m}}$ . Let  $\psi$  be an endomorphism which is not commutative with  $\phi$  and  $\binom{s \ u}{v \ t}$  its analytic representation. Since

$$\binom{\sqrt{m}}{0} \binom{s}{v} \binom{s}{v} \binom{\sqrt{m}}{0} \binom{-2u}{1} \binom{\sqrt{m}}{0} \binom{-2u}{-2v} \binom{-2u}{0},$$

There exists an endomorphism  $\phi'$  whose rational representation is  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & u' \\ v' & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  for some u', v'. Since End(T) is not commutative, the degree of  $\phi'$  over Q is 2, so there exist rational numbers  $a_1, a_2$  such that  $\phi'^2 + a_1\phi' + a_2 = 0$ . Hence

$$\binom{u'v' \quad 0}{0 \quad u'v'} + a_1 \binom{0 \quad u'}{v' \quad 0} + a_2 = 0$$

That implies  $a_1=0$  and u'v' is a rational number. Let  $\Omega = (\Omega_{ij})$  be the rational representation of  $\phi'$ , and

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & u' \\ v' & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \sqrt{m} & b & b\sqrt{m} \\ 1 & -\sqrt{m} & d & -d\sqrt{m} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \sqrt{m} & b & b\sqrt{m} \\ 1 & -\sqrt{m} & d & -d\sqrt{m} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{Q}_{11} & \mathcal{Q}_{12} & \mathcal{Q}_{13} & \mathcal{Q}_{14} \\ \mathcal{Q}_{21} & \mathcal{Q}_{22} & \mathcal{Q}_{23} & \mathcal{Q}_{24} \\ \mathcal{Q}_{31} & \mathcal{Q}_{32} & \mathcal{Q}_{33} & \mathcal{Q}_{34} \\ \mathcal{Q}_{41} & \mathcal{Q}_{42} & \mathcal{Q}_{43} & \mathcal{Q}_{44} \end{pmatrix}$$

Put  $\alpha_1 = \Omega_{11} + \sqrt{m} \Omega_{21}$  and  $\alpha_2 = \Omega_{31} + \sqrt{m} \Omega_{41}$ , and  $u' = \alpha_1 + b\alpha_2$  and  $v' = \alpha_1^{\alpha} + d\alpha_2^{\alpha}$ where  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  are not both zero. Since u'v' is a rational number, putting  $x = \alpha_2 \alpha_2^{\alpha}/2$ ,  $y = (\alpha_1 \alpha_1^{\alpha} - u'v')/2$  and  $z = \alpha_2 \alpha_2^{\alpha}$ , the equation (†) is satisfied. In fact

$$0 = (\alpha_1 + b\alpha_2)(\alpha_1^{\sigma} + d\alpha_2^{\sigma}) - u'v' = \alpha_2 \alpha_2^{\sigma} b d + \alpha_2 \alpha_1^{\sigma} b + \alpha_2^{\sigma} \alpha_1 d + \alpha_1 \alpha_1^{\sigma} - u'v'. \quad (q. e. d.)$$

(2) The case that T is not simple nor isogenous to the direct sum of two elliptic curves.

If T has a subtorus of dimension 1, we may assume the period matrix of T is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & z_1 & 0 & w \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & z_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

for some complex numbers  $z_1$ ,  $z_2$ , w.

LEMMA 4-3. The complex torus  $T = C^2 / \begin{pmatrix} 1 & z_1 & 0 & w \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & z_2 \end{pmatrix}$  is isogenous to the direct sum of two elliptic curves if and only if  $w = q_0 + q_1 z_1 + q_2 z_2 + q_3 z_1 z_2$  for some rational

numbers  $q_0$ ,  $q_1$ ,  $q_2$ ,  $q_3$ .

PROOF. If  $w=q_0+q_1z_1+q_2z_2+q_3z_1z_2$ , it is easy to transform  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & z_1 & 0 & w \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & z_2 \end{pmatrix}$  by some isogeny into  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & z_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & z_2 \end{pmatrix}$ . Conversely if **T** is isogenous to the direct sum of elliptic curves, there exist an element  $\omega = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$  of GL(2, C) and an element  $\Omega = (a_{ij})$  of GL(4, Q) and complex numbers x, y such that

$$\omega \begin{pmatrix} 1 & z_1 & 0 & w \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & z_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & y \end{pmatrix} \Omega$$

that is,

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & az_1 & b & aw+bz_2 \\ c & cz_1 & d & cw+dz_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}+a_{21}x & a_{12}+a_{22}x & a_{13}+a_{23}x & a_{14}+a_{24}x \\ a_{31}+a_{41}y & a_{32}+a_{42}y & a_{33}+a_{43}y & a_{34}+a_{44}y \end{pmatrix}.$$

Eliminating x from the equation of the first line, we have

$$\begin{array}{l} (a_{11}a_{22}-a_{21}a_{12})w = (a_{22}a_{14}-a_{24}a_{11}) + (a_{24}a_{11}-a_{12}a_{21})z_1 + (a_{12}a_{23}-a_{22}a_{13})z_2 \\ \\ + (a_{21}a_{13}-a_{23}a_{11})z_1z_2 \, . \end{array}$$

Considering the second line, if necessary, we may assume  $a=a_{11}+a_{21}x\neq 0$ . Since  $z_1$  is not a rational number,  $a=a_{11}+a_{21}x$  and  $az_1=a_{12}+a_{22}x$  are linearly independent over Q, hence  $a_{11}a_{22}-a_{21}a_{12}\neq 0$ . Therefore w is a linear combination of 1,  $z_1$ ,  $z_2$ ,  $z_1z_2$  with coefficients in Q. (q. e. d.)

LEMMA 4-4. Let T be a complex torus which is not simple nor isogenous to the direct sum of two elliptic curves. Then T has the unique subtorus T' of dimension 1, which is invariant. If  $\operatorname{End}^{q}(T) \neq Q$ , T' is isogenous to the factor torus T/T'. Therefore T is isogenous to a complex torus of the following type;

$$T_2(z; w) = C^2 / \begin{pmatrix} 1 & z & 0 & w \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & z \end{pmatrix}.$$

PROOF. Of course T has a subtorus T' of dimension 1. If there exists another subtorus T'' of dimension 1, T is isogenous to  $T' \oplus T''$ . Hence T' is the unique subtorus of dimension 1. Now assume that  $\operatorname{End}^{q}(T) \neq Q$ . If there exists an endomorphism  $\phi$  such that  $\phi(T) = T'$ , T' is contained in the kernel of  $\phi$ , so  $\phi$  induces an isogeny of T/T' to T'. If there does not exist such a  $\phi$ ,  $\operatorname{End}^{q}(T)$  is division algebra. We have seen in §3 that  $\operatorname{End}^{q}(T) \neq Q$ , we have  $\operatorname{End}^{q}(T') \cong \operatorname{End}^{q}(T') \cong \operatorname{End}^{q}(T/T')$ . So T' is isogenous to T/T'. (q. e. d.)

Now to study the endomorphism ring of  $T_2(z; w)$  we prepare a lemma.

LEMMA 4-5. Let T = E/G be a complex torus of dimension n and T' an invariant subtorus of dimension r. If  $(1_r T')$  and  $(1_s T'')$  are the period matrices of T' and T/T' respectively where r+s=n, then we can choose a C-base of E and a Z-base of G such that the period matrix is of the following type;

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1_r & 0 & T' & * \\ 0 & 1_s & 0 & T'' \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then the analytic representation  $\omega$  and the rational representation  $\Omega$  of any element of  $\operatorname{End}^{q}(T)$  are matrices of the following types;

PROOF. Putting T = E/G, T' = E'/G' ( $E \subset E'$ ), E' is invariant by the linear extension of any endomorphism. The lemma follows immediately.

We now pass on to the consideration on a complex torus

$$T_2 = T_2(z; w) = C^2 / \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & z & w \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & z \end{pmatrix}$$

and  $\operatorname{End}^{q}(T_2)$ . Let

$$\omega = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \Omega = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & b_{11} & b_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & b_{21} & b_{22} \\ c_{11} & c_{12} & d_{11} & d_{12} \\ c_{21} & c_{22} & d_{21} & d_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

be the analytic representation and the rational representation of an endomorphism of  $T_2$ .  $\gamma = a_{21} = b_{21} = c_{21} = d_{21} = 0$  by lemma 4-5. Since

$$\omega \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & z & w \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & z & w \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & z \end{pmatrix} \Omega,$$

we have

i) 
$$c_{11}z^2 + (a_{11}-d_{11})z - b_{11} = 0$$

ii) 
$$c_{22}z^2 + (a_{22}-d_{22})z - b_{22} = 0$$

iii)  $\{(a_{11}-d_{22})+(c_{11}+c_{22})z\}w=b_{12}+(d_{12}-a_{12})z-c_{12}z^2$ .

a) The case of  $[Q(z): Q] \ge 3$ .

Then i) and ii) imply that  $a_{11}=d_{11}$ ,  $a_{22}=d_{22}$ ,  $c_{11}=b_{11}=c_{22}=b_{22}=0$ , and hence iii) implies

$$(a_{11}-d_{22})w=b_{12}+(d_{12}-a_{12})z-c_{12}z^2$$

If  $a_{11} \neq d_{22}$ ,  $T_2$  is isogenous to the direct sum of two elliptic curves. Therefore  $a_{11}=d_{22}$  and  $b_{12}=c_{12}=0$ ,  $d_{12}=a_{12}$ . Hence the rational representation of End<sup>9</sup>( $T_2$ ) is

$$\left\{ egin{pmatrix} a & b & 0 & 0 \ 0 & a & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & a & b \ 0 & 0 & 0 & a \end{pmatrix} 
ight| a, \ b \in oldsymbol{Q} 
ight\}.$$

The dimension of  $\operatorname{End}^{q}(T_2)$  over Q is 2, and the analytic representation of a base is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
,  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ .

End<sup>q</sup>( $T_2$ ) is isomorphic to  $Q[X]/(X^2)$ .

b) The case of [Q(z):Q]=2.

Then we may assume that  $z=\sqrt{m}$  where *m* is a square-free integer. i) and ii) imply  $a_{11}=d_{11}$ ,  $mc_{11}=b_{11}$ ,  $a_{22}=d_{22}$ ,  $mc_{22}=b_{22}$ . If  $(a_{11}-d_{22})+(c_{11}+c_{22})z\neq 0$ , *w* is an element of Q(z) and hence  $T_2$  is isogenous to the direct sum of two elliptic curves. Therefore  $(a_{11}-d_{22})+(c_{11}+c_{22})z=0$ . This equation implies  $a_{11}=d_{22}$ ,  $c_{11}+c_{22}=0$  and  $b_{12}=mc_{12}$ ,  $d_{12}=a_{12}$ . It follows that the rational representation of End<sup>*Q*</sup>( $T_2$ ) is

(a	b	тс	d	
0	a	0	-mc	$a, b, c, d \in \mathbf{Q}$ .
$\int c$	d	а	-mc b	
((0	- <i>c</i>	0	a	)

The dimension of  $\operatorname{End}^{q}(T)$  over Q is 4 and the analytic representation of a base is

$$l_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, e_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{m} & -w \\ 0 & -\sqrt{m} \end{pmatrix}, e_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, e_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{m} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

There are the following equation among those four elements;

 $e_1 1_2 = e_1$ ,  $e_2 1_2 = e_2$ ,  $e_1^2 = m 1_2$ ,  $e_2^2 = 0$ ,  $e_1 e_2 = -e_2 e_1 = e_3$ . Hence End<sup>Q</sup>(T) is isomorphic to  $(m, 0)_{Q}$ .

(3) The case that T is isogenous to the direct sum of two elliptic curves.

There is no difficulty in this case. We may assume that  $T = T' \oplus T''$  for some elliptic curves T' and T''. If T' is isogenous to T'',  $\operatorname{End}^{q}(T) \cong$  $M(2, \operatorname{End}^{q}(T'))$ . And if T' is not isogenous to T'',  $\operatorname{End}^{q}(T) \cong \operatorname{End}^{q}(T') \oplus \operatorname{End}^{q}(T'')$ .

Now we will summarize the facts we have seen in this section. Let m, m' be integers which are square-free and z, z' complex numbers which are not contained in R nor any quadratic field over Q. Consider complex tori of the following types.

I)

$$T'(\zeta, \hat{\xi}) = C^2 / \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \zeta & \zeta^2 & \zeta^3 \\ 1 & \xi & \xi^2 & \xi^3 \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $\zeta$ ,  $\xi$  are algebraic numbers of degree 4 over Q such that  $\{\zeta, \xi, \bar{\zeta}, \bar{\xi}\}$  is the set of all conjugates of  $\zeta$  over Q. Moreover if we consider the Galois group  $G^*$  of  $F = Q(\zeta, \xi, \bar{\zeta}, \bar{\xi})$  to be a subgroup of  $S_4$  by the correspondence  $1 \leftrightarrow \zeta$ ,  $2 \leftrightarrow \xi$ ,  $3 \leftrightarrow \bar{\zeta}$ ,  $4 \leftrightarrow \bar{\xi}$ , G is not  $V_4$  nor  $V_4 \cup (12)V_4$ .

II) (complex tori of quatenion types)

$$\boldsymbol{T}_{1}(m; b, d) = \boldsymbol{C}^{2} / \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \sqrt{m} & b & b\sqrt{m} \\ 1 & -\sqrt{m} & d & -d\sqrt{m} \end{pmatrix}$$

where b, d are complex numbers which are not contained in  $Q(\sqrt{m})$ , and bd=q is a rational number which is not contained in  $N(Q(\sqrt{m}))$ . And there is no element  $\alpha$  of  $Q(\sqrt{m})$  but zero such that  $\alpha b + \alpha^{\sigma} d$  is a rational number. Moreover if m>0, b, d are not real number, and if m<0,  $b\neq \bar{d}$ .

III) Simple complex tori of the following type

$$T_1(m; b, d) = C^2 / \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \sqrt{m} & b & b\sqrt{m} \\ 1 & -\sqrt{m} & d & -d\sqrt{m} \end{pmatrix}$$

which are not isogenous to any complex torus of the type (I) nor the type (II). If m>0, b, d are not contained in  $\mathbf{R}$ , and if m<0,  $b\neq \overline{d}$ . IV)

$$T_{2}(\sqrt{m}; w) = C^{2} / \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \sqrt{m} & 0 & w \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \sqrt{m} \end{pmatrix}$$

where m < 0, and w is not contained in  $Q(\sqrt{m})$ . V)

$$T_2(z; w) = C^2 / \begin{pmatrix} 1 & z & 0 & w \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & z \end{pmatrix}$$

where w is not contained in  $Q+Qz+Qz^2$ .

VI) 
$$T_3(\sqrt{m}, \sqrt{m}) = C/(1 \sqrt{m}) \oplus C/(1 \sqrt{m})$$

where m < 0.

$$\mathbf{VI} \qquad \mathbf{T}_{3}(\sqrt{m}, \sqrt{m'}) = \mathbf{C}/(1 \sqrt{m}) \oplus \mathbf{C}/(1 \sqrt{m'})$$

where m, m' < 0 and  $m \neq m'$ .

$$T_{3}(\sqrt{m}, z) = C/(1 \sqrt{m}) \oplus C/(1 z)$$

where m < 0.

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{IX} & T_{3}(z,\,z) = C/(1\,\,z) \oplus C/(1\,\,z) \,. \\ \text{X} & T_{3}(z,\,z') = C/(1\,\,z) \oplus C/(1\,\,z') \end{array}$ 

where  $z' \oplus Q(z)$ .

Then a complex torus T of dimension 2 is isogenous to a complex torus of one of the above types if and only if  $\operatorname{End}^{q}(T)$  is isomorphic to a Q-algebra of the following corresponding type.

- 1) Algebraic fields  $Q(\zeta)$  of degree 4 over Q.
- II) Quatenions  $(m, q)_q$  such that q is not contained in  $N(Q(\sqrt{m}))$ .
- III) Quadratic fields  $Q(\sqrt{m})$ .
- IV) Quatenions  $(m, 0)_q$ .
- V)  $Q[X]/(X^2)$ .
- VI)  $M(2, Q(\sqrt{m}))$  where m < 0.
- VII)  $Q(\sqrt{m}) \oplus Q(\sqrt{m'})$  where  $m, m' < 0, m \neq m'$ .
- VII)  $Q(\sqrt{m}) \oplus Q$  where m < 0.
- IX)  $M(2, \mathbf{Q})$ .
- X)  $Q \oplus Q$ .

# §5. Abelian varietis of dimension 2.

A complex torus T is called an abelian variety if T can be embedded in some projective space, in other words, if there exists an ample Riemann form on T. A complex torus of dimension 2 of the type VI), VII), VII), IX) or X) is an abelian variety. And a complex torus of the type IV) or V) is not an abelian variety. Then we will study complex tori of types I), II) and III), that is, simple tori.

Let T = E/G be a complex torus of dimension n where E is C-vector space and G is its lattice subgroup. Fix bases of E and G, and let G be the period matrix of T with respect to those bases. Put  $(C \ \overline{C}) = \left(\frac{G}{G}\right)^{-1}$  where  $C \in$  $M(2n \times n, C)$ . There exists a one-to-one correspondence between the set of hermitian forms on T (namely the set of hermitian forms H on  $E \times E$  such

that H(g, g') is integral for any  $g, g' \in G$ ) and the set of skew-symmetric matrices M of degree 2n with coefficients in Z which satisfy

(1)  ${}^{t}CMC = 0.$ 

In this correspondence an ample Riemann form on T corresponds to an M which satisfies (1) and

(2)  $\sqrt{-1} \overline{C} MC > 0$  (namely  $\sqrt{-1} \overline{C} MC$  is positive definite.)

T is an abelian variety if and only if there exists a skew-symmetric matrix M which satisfies (1) and (2). If  $G = (1_n T)$ ,  $C = \begin{pmatrix} -\overline{T} \\ 1_n \end{pmatrix} (T - \overline{T})^{-1}$ . Put  $M = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ \iota B & D \end{pmatrix}$  where  $A, B, D \in M(n, \mathbb{Z})$  and  $\iota A = -A, \iota D = -D$ . Then (1), (2) imply respectively

$$(1') \quad {}^{t}TAT - {}^{t}TB + {}^{t}BT + D = 0,$$

$$(2') \quad \sqrt{-1}({}^{t}TA\overline{T} - {}^{t}TB + {}^{t}B\overline{T} + D) > 0.$$

When (1') is satisfied, (2') is equivalent to the following condition;

 $(2'') \quad \sqrt{-1}({}^tTA \! + \! {}^tB)(\overline{T} \! - \! T) \! > \! 0 \, .$ 

When n=2, put  $T=\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $A=\begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ -x & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $B=\begin{pmatrix} p & q \\ r & s \end{pmatrix}$  and  $D=\begin{pmatrix} 0 & y \\ -y & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , and (1') implies

i) 
$$x(\alpha\delta - \gamma\beta) - (q\alpha + s\gamma) + (p\beta + r\delta) + y = 0$$

and (2'') implies

$$\sqrt{-1} \begin{pmatrix} p - x\gamma & r + xlpha \\ q - x\delta & s + xeta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} ar{lpha} - lpha & ar{eta} - eta \\ ar{\gamma} - \gamma & ar{\delta} - \delta \end{pmatrix} > 0,$$

which is equivalent to the following two conditions;

a) 
$$\sqrt{-1} \{ p(\bar{\alpha}-\alpha) + q(\bar{\gamma}-\gamma) + x(\alpha\bar{\gamma}-\bar{\alpha}\gamma) \} > 0 ,$$
  
b)  $(-1) \{ (p-x\gamma)(s+x\beta) - (r+x\alpha)(q-x\delta) \} \{ (\bar{\alpha}-\alpha)(\bar{\delta}-\delta) - (\bar{\gamma}-\gamma)(\bar{\beta}-\beta) \} > 0 .$ 

When i) is satisfied b) is equivalent to the following;

c)  $\{-xy+(ps-rq)\} \{(\bar{\alpha}-\alpha)(\bar{\delta}-\delta)-(\bar{\gamma}-\gamma)(\bar{\beta}-\beta)\} < 0.$ 

Now let T be a simple torus of dimension 2 with non-trivial endomorphisms. First we prove that if T is an abelian variety  $\operatorname{End}^{Q}(T)$  contains some quadratic field over Q. In fact, if it does not, T is isogenous to a complex torus of the type

é

where the Galois group  $G^*$  of  $Q(\zeta, \xi, \overline{\zeta}, \overline{\xi})$  over Q is isomorphic to the alternative group  $A_4$  or the symmetric group  $S_4$ . T is isogenous to

$$T' = C^2 / \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -\xi\zeta & -\xi\zeta(\xi+\zeta) \\ 0 & 1 & \xi+\zeta & \xi^2+\xi\zeta+\zeta^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

If T is an abelian variety, so is T', hence there exist integers x, y, p, q, r, s which are not all zero and satisfy i), that is,

$$\begin{split} 0 &= x(\zeta^2 \xi^2) - \{q(-\xi\zeta) + s(\zeta + \xi)\} + \{p(-\xi\zeta(\zeta + \xi)) + r(\xi^2 + \xi\zeta + \zeta^2)\} + y \\ &= (x\xi^2 - p\xi + r)\zeta^2 + (-p\xi^2 + q\xi + r\xi - s)\zeta + (r\xi^2 - s\xi + y) \,. \end{split}$$

But if  $G^* = A_4$  or  $S_4$ , this is impossible. Therefore if T is an abelian variety,  $\operatorname{End}^{\mathbf{q}}(T)$  contains a quadratic field  $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{m})$ . Then T is isogenous to a complex torus

$$\boldsymbol{T}_{1}(m; b, d) = C^{2} / \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \sqrt{m} & b & b\sqrt{m} \\ 1 & -\sqrt{m} & d & -d\sqrt{m} \end{pmatrix}$$

for some complex numbers b, d. Since this is isomorphism to

$$T_{1}^{\prime} = C^{2} / \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & u & mv \\ 0 & 1 & v & u \end{pmatrix}$$

where u=(b+d)/2 and  $v=(b-d)/2\sqrt{m}$ , **T** is an abelian variety if and only if there exist integers x, y, p, q, r, s which satisfy the following i'), a') and c').

i')  $bdx+zb+z^{\sigma}d+y=0$  (where  $z=z_1+z_2/\sqrt{m}$ ,  $z_1=(r-q)/2$  and z=(pm-s)/2.)

a') 
$$\sqrt{-1} \{ p(u-\bar{u}) + q(v-\bar{v}) + x(u\bar{v}-v\bar{u}) \} > 0$$

c')  $\{-xy+(ps-rq)\}F(b, d) < 0 \text{ (where } F(b, d) = \begin{cases} (b-\bar{b})(d-\bar{d}) & \text{if } m > 0 \\ (b-\bar{d})(d-\bar{b}) & \text{if } m < 0. \end{cases}$ 

LEMMA 5-1. If m>0, there exist x, y, p, q, r, s which satisfy i') and a'), c'). Therefore **T** is an abelian variety.

**PROOF.** Put x=y=0, r=q, s=mp, and i') is of course satisfied and a'), c') imply

a") 
$$\sqrt{-1} \{ (p+q/\sqrt{m})(b-\bar{b}) + (p-q/\sqrt{m})(d-\bar{d}) \} > 0$$

c") 
$$(mp^2-q^2)(b-\bar{b})(d-\bar{d})<0.$$

Put  $X=(p+q/\sqrt{m})\sqrt{-1}(b-\bar{b})$ ,  $Y=(p-q/\sqrt{m})\sqrt{-1}(d-\bar{d})$ , and a"), c") imply X+Y>0 and XY>0. We only have to take p, q which make X and Y positive. (q. e. d.)

LEMMA 5-2. If m < 0 and T is not of a quaternion type, T is not an abelian

variety.

PROOF. Since T is not quaternion type, x, y, z which satisfy i') are all zero, so x=y=0, mp=s, r=q. Then if m<0, c') implies

$$(mp^2-q^2)(b-\bar{d})(d-\bar{b}) = -(mp^2-q^2)|b-\bar{d}|^2 < 0.$$

But since m < 0, this is impossible. Hence **T** cannot be an abelian variety. (q. e. d.)

Now we assume that T is of a quaterian type. There exist an integer  $q_0$  which is not contained in  $N(Q(\sqrt{m}))$  such that T is isogenous to

$$T'' = C^{2} / \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \sqrt{m} & b & b\sqrt{m} \\ 1 & -\sqrt{m} & d & -d\sqrt{m} \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $bd=q_0$ . If m>0 or  $q_0>0$ , T'' is an abelian variety by Lemma 5-1. So we assume m<0 and  $q_0<0$ . If there exists an element z of  $Q(\sqrt{m})$  such that  $zb+z^{\sigma}d$  is a rational number  $r_0$ , putting x=0,  $y=-r_0$ , the condition i\*) of Lemma 4-1 is satisfied. Therefore since  $bd=q_0$  is a rational number, there exists no z but zero which satisfies i') with some x, y. Hence if T' is an abelian variety,  $y=-x_0$ , r=q, s=pm and

$$-(x^2q_0+mp^2-q^2)|b-\bar{d}|^2<0.$$

But this is impossible. Therefore we have proved the following lemma.

LEMMA 5-3. Let T be a complex torus of a quaternion type such that  $\operatorname{End}^{q}(T) \cong (m, q)_{q}$ . If m > 0 or q > 0, T is an abelian variety. If m < 0 and q < 0, T is not abelian variety.

And the following theorem has been proved.

THEOREM 5-4. Let T be a simple complex torus of dimension 2 with nontrivial endomorphisms. Then T is an abelian variety if and only if  $\operatorname{End}^{q}(T)$ contains a real quadratic field over Q as a sub-Q-algebra.

REMARK. Let  $\rho(T)$  be the rank of the additive group of all hermitian forms on T, which is equal to the Picard number of T. When T is a simple torus of dimension 2 such that  $\operatorname{End}(T) \neq Z$ , we have seen above that if  $\operatorname{End}^{Q}(T)$  contains no quadratic field over Q,  $\rho(T)=0$ , if  $\operatorname{End}^{Q}(T)$  contains a quadratic field but Tis not of a quatenion type,  $\rho(T)=2$ , and if T is of a quatenion type,  $\rho(T)=3$ .

### Atsushi Shimizu

### References

- [1] Albert, A. A., A solution of the principal problem in the theory of Riemann matrices. Ann. Math., 35 (1934), 500-515.
- [2] -----, On the construction of Riemann matrices, I, II, Ann. Math., 35 (1934), 1-28, 36 (1935), 376-394.
- [3] Koizumi S., Theta function (in Japanese), Kinokuniya, Tokyo (1982).
- [4] Munford, D., Abelian varieties, Tata Inst. Studies in Math., Oxford Univ. press, London and New York (1961).
- [5] Shimura, G. & Taniyama, Y., Complex multiplication of abelian varieties, Math. Soc. Japan (1961).
- [6] Yoshihara, H., Structure of complex thri with the endomorphisms of maximal degree, Tsukuba J. Math. 4 (1980), 303-311.