

A CLASS OF SPACES WHOSE COUNTABLE PRODUCT WITH A PERFECT PARACOMPACT SPACE IS PARACOMPACT

By

Hidenori TANAKA

1. Introduction.

All spaces are assumed to be T_1 -spaces. In particular, paracompact spaces are assumed to be T_2 . The letter ω denotes the set of natural numbers.

Let us denote by $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{L})$ the class of all spaces (regular spaces) whose product with every paracompact (regular Lindelöf) space is paracompact (Lindelöf). On the other hand, let \mathcal{L}' be the class of regular spaces whose product with every regular hereditarily Lindelöf space is Lindelöf. Then it is clear that $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{L}'$. A general problem is to characterize $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{L})$ (Tamano). T. Przymusiński [13] posed the following problem: If $X \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{L})$, then is X^ω paracompact (Lindelöf)? Furthermore, E. Michael asked whether \mathcal{L}' is closed with respect to countable products. K. Alster [2], [3] gave a negative answer to E. Michael's problem. He showed that there are a separable metric space M and a regular Lindelöf space X such that for every regular Lindelöf space Y and $n \in \omega$, the products $Y \times X^n$ and X^ω are Lindelöf but $M \times X^\omega$ is not. However, if X is a separable metric space or X is a regular Čech-complete Lindelöf space or X is a regular C -scattered Lindelöf space, then $X^\omega \in \mathcal{L}'$. The first result is due to E. Michael (cf. [10]), the second one is due to Z. Frolík [7] and the third one is due to K. Alster [1].

Let \mathcal{DC} be the class of all T_2 -spaces which have a discrete cover by compact sets. The topological game $G(\mathcal{DC}, X)$ was introduced and studied by R. Telgársky [16]. The games are played by two persons called Players I and II. Players I and II choose closed subsets of II's previous play (or of X , if $n=0$): Player I's choice must be in the class \mathcal{DC} and II's choice must be disjoint from I's. We say that Player I *wins* if the intersection of II's choices is empty. Recall from [16] that a space X is said to be a *DC-like space* if Player I has a winning strategy in $G(\mathcal{DC}, X)$. The class of \mathcal{DC} -like spaces includes all spaces which admit a σ -closure-preserving closed cover by compact sets, and

paracompact, σ - C -scattered spaces. R. Telgársky proved that if X is a paracompact (regular Lindelöf) \mathcal{DC} -like space, then $X \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{L})$. M.E. Rudin and S. Watson [14] proved that the product of countably many scattered paracompact spaces is paracompact. Furthermore, A. Hohti and J. Pelant [9] showed that the product of countably many paracompact, σ - C -scattered spaces is paracompact (cf. [6]). K. Alster [4] also proved that if Y is a perfect paracompact space and X_n is a scattered paracompact space for each $n \in \omega$, then $Y \times \prod_{n \in \omega} X_n$ is paracompact.

In this paper, we discuss paracompact (regular Lindelöf) \mathcal{DC} -like spaces and generalize K. Alster's results. More precisely, we show that if Z is a perfect paracompact (regular hereditarily Lindelöf) space and Y_i is a paracompact (regular Lindelöf) \mathcal{DC} -like space for each $i \in \omega$, then $Z \times \prod_{i \in \omega} Y_i$ is paracompact (Lindelöf). Therefore, if X is a regular Lindelöf \mathcal{DC} -like space, then $X^\omega \in \mathcal{L}'$.

2. Topological games.

The topological game $G(\mathcal{DC}, X)$ is described in the introduction. F. Galvin and R. Telgársky showed that if Player I has a winning strategy in $G(\mathcal{DC}, X)$, then he has a stationary winning strategy in $G(\mathcal{DC}, X)$, i. e., a winning strategy which depends only on II's previous move. More precisely,

LEMMA 2.1. ([8]). *Player I has a winning strategy in $G(\mathcal{DC}, X)$ if and only if there is a function s from 2^X into $2^X \cap \mathcal{DC}$, where 2^X denotes the set of all closed subsets of X , satisfying*

- (i) $s(F) \subset F$ for each $F \in 2^X$,
- (ii) if $\{F_n : n \in \omega\}$ is a decreasing sequence of closed subsets of X such that $s(F_n) \cap F_{n+1} = \emptyset$ for each $n \in \omega$, then $\bigcap_{n \in \omega} F_n = \emptyset$.

The following results are well known.

LEMMA 2.2 (R. Telgársky [16]). *Let X and Y be spaces, and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a perfect mapping from X onto Y . If Y is a \mathcal{DC} -like space, then X is also a \mathcal{DC} -like space.*

LEMMA 2.3 (R. Telgársky [16]). *If a space X has a countable closed cover by \mathcal{DC} -like sets, then X is a \mathcal{DC} -like space.*

Recall that a space X is *scattered* if every non-empty subset A of X has an isolated point of A , and *C -scattered* if for every non-empty closed subset A of X , there is a point of A which has a compact neighborhood in A . Clearly

scattered spaces and locally compact T_2 -spaces are C -scattered. Let X be a space. For each $F \in 2^X$, let

$$F^{(1)} = \{x \in F : x \text{ has no compact neighborhood in } F\}.$$

Let $X^{(0)} = X$. For each successor ordinal α , let $X^{(\alpha)} = (X^{(\alpha-1)})^{(1)}$. If α is a limit ordinal, let $X^{(\alpha)} = \bigcap_{\beta < \alpha} X^{(\beta)}$. Notice that a space X is C -scattered if and only if $X^{(\alpha)} = \emptyset$ for some ordinal α . If X is C -scattered, let $\lambda = \inf\{\alpha : X^{(\alpha)} = \emptyset\}$. We say that λ is the C -scattered height of X . A space X is said to be σ -scattered (σ - C -scattered) if X is the union of countably many closed scattered (C -scattered) subspaces.

LEMMA 2.4. (R. Telgársky [16]). (a) *If a space X has a σ -closure-preserving closed cover by compact sets, then X is a \mathcal{DC} -like space.*

(b) *If X is a paracompact, σ - C -scattered space, then X is a \mathcal{DC} -like space.*

LEMMA 2.5. (R. Telgársky [16]). *If X is a paracompact (regular Lindelöf) \mathcal{DC} -like space, then $X \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{L})$.*

For topological games, the reader is referred to R. Telgársky [16], [17] and Y. Yajima [18].

3. Paracompactness and Lindelöf property.

LEMMA 3.1 (K. Nagami [11]). *For a paracompact (regular Lindelöf) space X , there are a paracompact (regular Lindelöf) space X_0 with $\dim X_0 \leq 0$ and a perfect mapping $f_X : X_0 \rightarrow X$ from X_0 onto X .*

Let A be a set. We denote by $A^{<\omega}$ the set of all finite sequences of elements of A . If $\tau = (a_0, \dots, a_n) \in A^{<\omega}$ and $a \in A$, then $\tau \oplus a$ denotes the sequence (a_0, \dots, a_n, a) .

The following is the main result in this paper.

THEOREM 3.2. *If Z is a perfect paracompact space and Y_i is a paracompact \mathcal{DC} -like space for each $i \in \omega$, then $Z \times \prod_{i \in \omega} Y_i$ is paracompact.*

PROOF. By Lemma 3.1, for each $i \in \omega$, there are a paracompact space $Y_{i,0}$ with $\dim Y_{i,0} \leq 0$ and a perfect mapping $f_i : Y_{i,0} \rightarrow Y_i$ from $Y_{i,0}$ onto Y_i . Let $X = \bigoplus_{i \in \omega} Y_{i,0} \cup \{a\}$, where $a \notin \bigcup_{i \in \omega} Y_{i,0}$. The topology of X is as follows: Every $Y_{i,0}$ is an open-and-closed subspace of X and a is isolated in X . Then X is a paracompact space with $\dim X \leq 0$. It follows from Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3 that

X is a \mathcal{DC} -like space. Define $f: \prod_{i \in \omega} Y_{i,0} \rightarrow \prod_{i \in \omega} Y_i$ by $f(y) = (f_i(y_i))_{i \in \omega}$ for $y = (y_i)_{i \in \omega} \in \prod_{i \in \omega} Y_{i,0}$. Then $id_Z \times f: Z \times \prod_{i \in \omega} Y_{i,0} \rightarrow Z \times \prod_{i \in \omega} Y_i$ is a perfect mapping from $Z \times \prod_{i \in \omega} Y_{i,0}$ onto $Z \times \prod_{i \in \omega} Y_i$. Since $Z \times \prod_{i \in \omega} Y_{i,0}$ is a closed subspace of $Z \times X^\omega$, in order to prove this theorem, it suffices to prove that $Z \times X^\omega$ is paracompact.

Let us denote by \mathcal{B} the base of $Z \times X^\omega$ consisting of sets of the form $B = U_B \times \prod_{i \in \omega} B_i$, where U_B is an open subset of Z and there is an $n \in \omega$ such that for each $i \leq n$, B_i is an open-and-closed subset of X and for each $i > n$, $B_i = X$. For each $B = U_B \times \prod_{i \in \omega} B_i \in \mathcal{B}$, let $n(B) = \inf\{i \in \omega: B_j = X \text{ for each } j \geq i\}$.

Let \mathcal{O} be an open covering of $Z \times X^\omega$ and let \mathcal{O}^F be the set of all finite unions of elements of \mathcal{O} . Put $\mathcal{O}' = \{B \in \mathcal{B}: B \subset O \text{ for some } O \in \mathcal{O}^F\}$. Let $\mathcal{K} = \{\prod_{i \in \omega} K_i: K_i \text{ is a compact subset of } X \text{ for each } i \in \omega\}$. For each $z \in Z$ and $K \in \mathcal{K}$, let $K_{(z,K)} = \{z\} \times K$. Then there is an $O \in \mathcal{O}^F$ such that $K_{(z,K)} \subset O$. By Wallace theorem in R. Engelking [5], there is a $B \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $K_{(z,K)} \subset B \subset O$. Thus we have $B \in \mathcal{O}'$. Define $n(K_{(z,K)}) = \inf\{n(O): O \in \mathcal{O}' \text{ and } K_{(z,K)} \subset O\}$. It suffices to prove that \mathcal{O}' has a σ -locally finite open refinement.

Let s be a stationary winning strategy for Player I in $G(\mathcal{DC}, X)$. Let $B = U_B \times \prod_{i \in \omega} B_i \in \mathcal{B}$ such that for each $i \leq n(B)$, we have already obtained a compact set $C_{\lambda(B,i)}$ of B_i ($C_{\lambda(B,i)} = \emptyset$ may be occur for $i < n(B)$). We define $\mathcal{G}_{m,j}(B)$ and $\mathcal{B}_{m,j}(B)$ of collections of elements of \mathcal{B} for each $m, j \in \omega$. Fix $i \leq n(B)$. If $C_{\lambda(B,i)} \neq \emptyset$, let $W_{\gamma(B,i)} = B_i$. Put $A(B,i) = \{\lambda(B,i)\}$ and $\Gamma(B,i) = \{\gamma(B,i)\}$. Let $\mathcal{C}(B,i) = \{C_\lambda: \lambda \in A(B,i)\} = \{C_{\lambda(B,i)}\}$, and $\mathcal{W}(B,i) = \{W_\gamma: \gamma \in \Gamma(B,i)\} = \{W_{\gamma(B,i)}\}$. Assume that $C_{\lambda(B,i)} = \emptyset$. Then there is a discrete collection $\mathcal{C}(B,i) = \{C_\lambda: \lambda \in A(B,i)\}$ of compact subsets of X such that $s(B_i) = \cup \mathcal{C}(B,i)$. Since B_i is an open-and-closed subspace of X , B_i is a paracompact space with $\dim B_i \leq 0$. Then there is a pairwise disjoint collection $\mathcal{W}(B,i) = \{W_\gamma: \gamma \in \Gamma(B,i)\}$ of open subsets in B_i (and hence, in X), satisfying

- (i) $\mathcal{W}(B,i)$ covers B_i ,
- (ii) Every member of $\mathcal{W}(B,i)$ meets at most one member of $\mathcal{C}(B,i)$.

In each case, for $\gamma \in \Gamma(B,i)$, $K_\gamma = W_\gamma \cap C_\lambda$ if W_γ meets some (unique) C_λ . If $W_\gamma \cap (\cup \mathcal{C}(B,i)) = \emptyset$, then we take a point $p_\gamma \in W_\gamma$ and let $K_\gamma = \{p_\gamma\}$. Thus, if $C_{\lambda(B,i)} \neq \emptyset$, then $K_{\gamma(B,i)} = W_{\gamma(B,i)} \cap C_{\lambda(B,i)} = C_{\lambda(B,i)}$. Put $\mathcal{A}_B = \Gamma(B,0) \times \cdots \times \Gamma(B,n(B))$. For each $\delta = (\gamma(\delta,0), \dots, \gamma(\delta,n(B))) \in \mathcal{A}_B$, let $K(\delta) = K_{\gamma(\delta,0)} \times \cdots \times K_{\gamma(\delta,n(B))} \times \{a\} \times \cdots \times \{a\} \times \cdots$, and let $\mathcal{K}_B = \{K(\delta): \delta \in \mathcal{A}_B\}$. Then $\mathcal{K}_B \subset \mathcal{K}$. For each $z \in U_B$ and $\delta = (\gamma(\delta,0), \dots, \gamma(\delta,n(B))) \in \mathcal{A}_B$, let $r(K_{(z,K(\delta))}) = \max\{n(K_{(z,K(\delta))}), n(B)\}$. Fix $z \in U_B$ and $\delta = (\gamma(\delta,0), \dots, \gamma(\delta,n(B))) \in \mathcal{A}_B$. Take an $O_{z,\delta} = U_{z,\delta} \times \prod_{i \in \omega} O_{z,\delta,i} \in \mathcal{O}'$ such that $K_{(z,K(\delta))} \subset O_{z,\delta}$ and $n(K_{(z,K(\delta))}) = n(O_{z,\delta})$. Then we can

take an $H_{(z, K(\delta))} = H_{z, \delta} \times \prod_{i \in \omega} H_{(z, K(\delta)), i} \in \mathcal{B}$ such that:

$$(iii) \quad H_{z, \delta} \times \prod_{i=0}^{n(K_{(z, K(\delta))})-1} H_{(z, K(\delta)), i} \times X \times \cdots \times X \times \cdots \subset O_{z, \delta}$$

$$\text{and } z \in H_{z, \delta} \subset U_B \cap U_{z, \delta},$$

(iv-1) For each i with $n(K_{(z, K(\delta))}) \leq i \leq r(K_{(z, K(\delta))})$, let $H_{(z, K(\delta)), i} = W_{\gamma(\delta, i)}$,

(iv-2) For each $i < n(K_{(z, K(\delta))})$ with $i \leq n(B)$, let $H_{(z, K(\delta)), i}$ be an open-and-closed subset of $W_{\gamma(\delta, i)}$ such that $K_{\gamma(\delta, i)} \subset H_{(z, K(\delta)), i} \subset O_{z, \delta, i}$,

(iv-3) For each i with $n(B) < i < n(K_{(z, K(\delta))})$, let $H_{(z, K(\delta)), i} = \{a\}$,

(iv-4) In case of that $r(K_{(z, K(\delta))}) = n(B)$, let $H_{(z, K(\delta)), i} = X$ for $n(B) < i$. In case of that $r(K_{(z, K(\delta))}) = n(K_{(z, K(\delta))}) > n(B)$, let $H_{(z, K(\delta)), i} = X$ for $n(K_{(z, K(\delta))}) \leq i$.

Then we have $K_{(z, K(\delta))} \subset H_{(z, K(\delta))}$. Fix $m \in \omega$ and let $V_m(K(\delta)) = \{z \in U_B : n(K_{(z, K(\delta))}) \leq m\}$. Then $V_m(K(\delta)) = \cup \{H_{z, \delta} : n(K_{(z, K(\delta))}) \leq m\}$. Since Z is a perfect paracompact space, there is a family $\mathcal{V}_{\delta, m} = \bigcup_{j \in \omega} \mathcal{V}_{\delta, m, j}$, where $\mathcal{V}_{\delta, m, j} = \{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathcal{E}_{\delta, m, j}\}$, of collections of open sets in $V_m(K(\delta))$ (and hence, in Z) satisfying

(v) Every member of $\mathcal{V}_{\delta, m}$ is contained in some member of $\{H_{z, \delta} : n(K_{(z, K(\delta))}) \leq m\}$,

(vi) $\mathcal{V}_{\delta, m}$ covers $V_m(K(\delta))$,

(vii) $\mathcal{V}_{\delta, m, j}$ is discrete in Z for each $j \in \omega$.

For $j \in \omega$ and $\alpha \in \mathcal{E}_{\delta, m, j}$, take a $z(\alpha) \in V_m(K(\delta))$ such that $V_\alpha \subset H_{z(\alpha), \delta}$. Put $W_\delta = \prod_{i=0}^{n(B)} W_{\gamma(\delta, i)} \times X \times \cdots \times X \times \cdots$ and $V_{\alpha, \delta} = V_\alpha \times W_\delta$. Then $\{V_{\alpha, \delta} : \delta \in \mathcal{A}_B, m, j \in \omega \text{ and } \alpha \in \mathcal{E}_{\delta, m, j}\}$ is a collection of elements of \mathcal{B} such that for each $\delta \in \mathcal{A}_B, m, j \in \omega$ and $\alpha \in \mathcal{E}_{\delta, m, j}$, $V_{\alpha, \delta} \subset B$ and $\{V_{\alpha, \delta} : \delta \in \mathcal{A}_B, m, j \in \omega \text{ and } \alpha \in \mathcal{E}_{\delta, m, j}\}$ covers B .

(viii) For each $m, j \in \omega$, $\{V_{\alpha, \delta} : \delta \in \mathcal{A}_B \text{ and } \alpha \in \mathcal{E}_{\delta, m, j}\}$ is discrete in $Z \times X^\omega$.

Fix $m, j \in \omega$. Let $(z, x) \in Z \times X^\omega$ and $x = (x_i)_{i \in \omega}$. For each $i \leq n(B)$, since B_i is an open-and-closed subset of X , we may assume that $x_i \in B_i$. There is a unique $\delta = (\gamma(\delta, 0), \dots, \gamma(\delta, n(B))) \in \mathcal{A}_B$ such that $x \in W_\delta$. Since $\mathcal{V}_{\delta, m, j}$ is discrete in Z , there is an open neighborhood U of z in Z such that U meets at most one member of $\mathcal{V}_{\delta, m, j}$. Then $U \times W_\delta \in \mathcal{B}$ and $U \times W_\delta$ meets at most one member of $\{V_{\alpha, \delta'} : \delta' \in \mathcal{A}_B \text{ and } \alpha \in \mathcal{E}_{\delta', m, j}\}$. Thus $\{V_{\alpha, \delta} : \delta \in \mathcal{A}_B \text{ and } \alpha \in \mathcal{E}_{\delta, m, j}\}$ is discrete in $Z \times X^\omega$.

For each $\delta \in \mathcal{A}_B, m, j \in \omega$ and $\alpha \in \mathcal{E}_{\delta, m, j}$, let $G_{\alpha, \delta} = V_\alpha \times \prod_{i \in \omega} H_{(z(\alpha), K(\delta)), i} \subset V_{\alpha, \delta}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{\delta, m, j}(B) = \{G_{\alpha, \delta} : \alpha \in \mathcal{E}_{\delta, m, j}\}$. Define $\mathcal{G}_{m, j}(B) = \cup \{\mathcal{G}_{\delta, m, j}(B) : \delta \in \mathcal{A}_B\}$. Then we have

(ix) For each $m, j \in \omega$, every member of $\mathcal{G}_{m, j}(B)$ is contained in some member of \mathcal{O}' .

(x) For each $m, j \in \omega$, $\mathcal{G}_{m,j}(B)$ is discrete in $Z \times X^\omega$.

This is clear from (viii).

Fix $\delta = (\gamma(\delta, 0), \dots, \gamma(\delta, n(B))) \in \mathcal{A}_B$, $m, j \in \omega$ and $\alpha \in \mathcal{E}_{\delta, m, j}$. Let $A \subset \{0, 1, \dots, r(K_{\langle z(\alpha), K(\delta) \rangle})\}$. In case of that $r(K_{\langle z(\alpha), K(\delta) \rangle}) = n(B)$. For each $i \in A$, let $B_{\alpha, A, i} = W_{\gamma(\delta, i)} - H_{\langle z(\alpha), K(\delta) \rangle, i}$. For each $i \notin A$ with $i \leq n(B)$, let $B_{\alpha, A, i} = H_{\langle z(\alpha), K(\delta) \rangle, i}$. For each $i > n(B)$, let $B_{\alpha, A, i} = X$. Put $B_{\alpha, A} = V_\alpha \times \prod_{i \in \omega} B_{\alpha, A, i}$. In case of that $r(K_{\langle z(\alpha), K(\delta) \rangle}) = n(K_{\langle z(\alpha), K(\delta) \rangle}) > n(B)$. For each $i \in A$ with $i \leq n(B)$, let $B_{\alpha, A, i} = W_{\gamma(\delta, i)} - H_{\langle z(\alpha), K(\delta) \rangle, i}$. For each $i \notin A$ with $i \leq n(B)$, let $B_{\alpha, A, i} = H_{\langle z(\alpha), K(\delta) \rangle, i}$. Let $n(B) < i < n(K_{\langle z(\alpha), K(\delta) \rangle})$. If $i \in A$, let $B_{\alpha, A, i} = X - H_{\langle z(\alpha), K(\delta) \rangle, i} = \bigoplus_{i \in \omega} Y_{i, 0}$. If $i \notin A$, let $B_{\alpha, A, i} = H_{\langle z(\alpha), K(\delta) \rangle, i} = \{a\}$. For $i \geq n(K_{\langle z(\alpha), K(\delta) \rangle})$, let $B_{\alpha, A, i} = X$. Put $B_{\alpha, A} = V_\alpha \times \prod_{i \in \omega} B_{\alpha, A, i}$. In each case, $B_{\alpha, A, i} \subset B_i$ for each $i \in \omega$. Notice that if $B_{\alpha, A} \neq \emptyset$, then $n(B) < n(B_{\alpha, A})$. By the definition, $V_{\alpha, \delta} = G_{\alpha, \delta} \cup (\cup \{B_{\alpha, A} : A \subset \{0, 1, \dots, r(K_{\langle z(\alpha), K(\delta) \rangle})\}\})$. Since $n(K_{\langle z(\alpha), K(\delta) \rangle}) \leq m$, for a subset $A \subset \{0, 1, \dots, \max\{m, n(B)\}\}$, let $\mathcal{B}_{\delta, m, j, A}(B) = \{B_{\alpha, A} : \alpha \in \mathcal{E}_{\delta, m, j}, B_{\alpha, A} \text{ is defined and } B_{\alpha, A} \neq \emptyset\}$. For $m, j \in \omega$ and $A \subset \{0, 1, \dots, \max\{m, n(B)\}\}$, define $\mathcal{B}_{m, j, A}(B) = \cup \{\mathcal{B}_{\delta, m, j, A}(B) : \delta \in \mathcal{A}_B\}$. Then, by (viii), we have

(xi) Every $\mathcal{B}_{m, j, A}(B)$ is discrete in $Z \times X^\omega$.

Let $\mathcal{B}_{m, j}(B) = \cup \{\mathcal{B}_{m, j, A}(B) : A \subset \{0, 1, \dots, \max\{m, n(B)\}\}\}$. Then, by (xi),

(xii) For each $m, j \in \omega$, $\mathcal{B}_{m, j}(B)$ is locally finite in $Z \times X^\omega$.

Fix a $B_{\alpha, A} = V_\alpha \times \prod_{i \in \omega} B_{\alpha, A, i} \in \mathcal{B}_{\delta, m, j, A}(B)$ for $\delta = (\gamma(\delta, 0), \dots, \gamma(\delta, n(B))) \in \mathcal{A}_B$, $m, j \in \omega$, $\alpha \in \mathcal{E}_{\delta, m, j}$ and $A \subset \{0, 1, \dots, \max\{m, n(B)\}\}$.

(xiii) For each $i \in A$ with $i \leq n(B)$ such that $C_{\lambda(B, i)} = \emptyset$, $s(B_i) \cap B_{\alpha, A, i} = \emptyset$.

Since $B_{\alpha, A, i} = W_{\gamma(\delta, i)} - H_{\langle z(\alpha), K(\delta) \rangle, i}$, $s(B_i) \cap B_{\alpha, A, i} = (\cup \mathcal{C}(B, i)) \cap (W_{\gamma(\delta, i)} - H_{\langle z(\alpha), K(\delta) \rangle, i}) = K_{\gamma(\delta, i)} - H_{\langle z(\alpha), K(\delta) \rangle, i} = \emptyset$.

For each $i \notin A$ with $i \leq n(B)$, a compact set $K_{\gamma(\delta, i)}$ is contained in $B_{\alpha, A, i} = H_{\langle z(\alpha), K(\delta) \rangle, i}$. Let $C_{\lambda(B_{\alpha, A, i})} = K_{\gamma(\delta, i)}$. For each $i \notin A$ with $n(B) < i < n(K_{\langle z(\alpha), K(\delta) \rangle})$, let $C_{\lambda(B_{\alpha, A, i})} = \{a\}$. For each $i \in A$, let $C_{\lambda(B_{\alpha, A, i})} = \emptyset$.

Now we define \mathcal{G}_τ and \mathcal{B}_τ for each $\tau \in (\omega \times \omega)^{<\omega}$ with $\tau \neq \emptyset$. For each $m, j \in \omega$, let $\mathcal{G}_{\langle m, j \rangle} = \mathcal{G}_{\langle m, j \rangle}(Z \times X^\omega) = \mathcal{G}_{m, j}(Z \times X^\omega)$ and $\mathcal{B}_{\langle m, j \rangle} = \mathcal{B}_{\langle m, j \rangle}(Z \times X^\omega) = \mathcal{B}_{m, j}(Z \times X^\omega)$. Assume that for $\tau \in (\omega \times \omega)^{<\omega}$ with $\tau \neq \emptyset$, we have already obtained \mathcal{G}_τ and \mathcal{B}_τ . For each $B \in \mathcal{B}_\tau$ and $m, j \in \omega$, we denote $\mathcal{G}_{m, j}(B)$ and $\mathcal{B}_{m, j}(B)$ by $\mathcal{G}_{\tau \oplus \langle m, j \rangle}(B)$ and $\mathcal{B}_{\tau \oplus \langle m, j \rangle}(B)$ respectively. Define $\mathcal{G}_{\tau \oplus \langle m, j \rangle} = \cup \{\mathcal{G}_{\tau \oplus \langle m, j \rangle}(B) : B \in \mathcal{B}_\tau\}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{\tau \oplus \langle m, j \rangle} = \cup \{\mathcal{B}_{\tau \oplus \langle m, j \rangle}(B) : B \in \mathcal{B}_\tau\}$.

Our proof is complete if we show

CLAIM. $\cup \{\mathcal{G}_\tau : \tau \in (\omega \times \omega)^{<\omega} \text{ and } \tau \neq \emptyset\}$ is a σ -locally finite open refinement of \mathcal{O}' .

PROOF OF CLAIM. Let $\tau \in (\omega \times \omega)^{<\omega}$ and $\tau \neq \emptyset$. By the construction, $\mathcal{G}_\tau \subset \mathcal{B}$. By (ix), every member of \mathcal{G}_τ is contained in some member of \mathcal{O}' . By (x), (xii) and induction, \mathcal{G}_τ is locally finite in $Z \times X^\omega$. Assume that $\cup \{\mathcal{G}_\tau: \tau \in (\omega \times \omega)^{<\omega} \text{ and } \tau \neq \emptyset\}$ does not cover $Z \times X^\omega$. Take a point $(z, x) \in Z \times X^\omega - \cup \{\cup \mathcal{G}_\tau: \tau \in (\omega \times \omega)^{<\omega} \text{ and } \tau \neq \emptyset\}$. Let $x = (x_i)_{i \in \omega}$. Take a unique $\delta(0) = (\gamma(\delta(0), 0)) \in \mathcal{A}_{Z \times X^\omega} = \Gamma(Z \times X^\omega, 0)$ such that $x \in W_{\delta(0)}$. Let $K(0) = K(\delta(0)) \in \mathcal{K}_{Z \times X^\omega}$ and let $m(0) = n(K_{(z, K(0))})$. Choose a $j(0) \in \omega$ such that $(z, x) \in \cup \mathcal{G}_{m(0), j(0)}(Z \times X^\omega) \cup (\cup \mathcal{B}_{m(0), j(0)}(Z \times X^\omega))$. Let $\tau(0) = (m(0), j(0)) \in \omega \times \omega$. Since $(z, x) \notin \cup \mathcal{G}_{\tau(0)}$, there are an $\alpha(0) \in \mathcal{E}_{\delta(0), m(0), j(0)}$ and $A(0) \subset \{0, 1, \dots, m(0)\}$ such that $(z, x) \in B_{\alpha(0), A(0)}$ and $B_{\alpha(0), A(0)} \in \mathcal{B}_{\tau(0)}(Z \times X^\omega)$. We have $0 = n(Z \times X^\omega) < n(B_{\alpha(0), A(0)})$. For $B_{\alpha(0), A(0)}$, take a unique $\delta(1) = (\gamma(\delta(1), 0), \dots, \gamma(\delta(1), n(B_{\alpha(0), A(0)}))) \in \mathcal{A}_{B_{\alpha(0), A(0)}}$ such that $x \in W_{\delta(1)}$. Let $K(1) = K(\delta(1)) \in \mathcal{K}_{B_{\alpha(0), A(0)}}$ and $m(1) = n(K_{(z, K(1))})$. Take a $j(1) \in \omega$ such that $(z, x) \in \cup \mathcal{G}_{m(1), j(1)}(B_{\alpha(0), A(0)}) \cup (\cup \mathcal{B}_{m(1), j(1)}(B_{\alpha(0), A(0)}))$. Let $\tau(1) = ((m(0), j(0)), (m(1), j(1))) \in (\omega \times \omega)^{<\omega}$. Since $(z, x) \notin \cup \mathcal{G}_{\tau(1)}$, there are an $\alpha(1) \in \mathcal{E}_{\delta(1), m(1), j(1)}$ and $A(1) \subset \{0, 1, \dots, \max\{m(1), n(B_{\alpha(0), A(0)})\}\}$ such that $(z, x) \in B_{\alpha(1), A(1)}$ and $B_{\alpha(1), A(1)} \in \mathcal{B}_{\tau(1)}(B_{\alpha(0), A(0)})$. We have $n(B_{\alpha(0), A(0)}) < n(B_{\alpha(1), A(1)})$. Continuing this matter, we can choose a sequence $\{\delta(k): k \in \omega\}$, a sequence $\{K(k): k \in \omega\}$ of compact subsets of X^ω , where $K(k) = \prod_{i \in \omega} K(k)_i \in \mathcal{K}$, sequences $\{m(k): k \in \omega\}$, $\{j(k): k \in \omega\}$ of natural numbers, a sequence $\{\tau(k): k \in \omega\}$ of elements of $(\omega \times \omega)^{<\omega}$, where $\tau(k) = ((m(0), j(0)), \dots, (m(k), j(k)))$, a sequence $\{\alpha(k): k \in \omega\}$, a sequence $\{A(k): k \in \omega\}$ of finite subsets of ω , a sequence $\{B_{\alpha(k), A(k)}: k \in \omega\}$ of elements of \mathcal{B} containing (z, x) , where $B_{\alpha(k), A(k)} = V_{\alpha(k)} \times \prod_{i \in \omega} B_{\alpha(k), A(k), i}$, satisfying the following: Let $k \in \omega$. Assume that we have already obtained sequences $\{\delta(i): i \leq k\}$, $\{K(i): i \leq k\}$, $\{m(i): i \leq k\}$, $\{j(i): i \leq k\}$, $\{\tau(i): i \leq k\}$, $\{\alpha(i): i \leq k\}$, $\{A(i): i \leq k\}$ and $\{B_{\alpha(i), A(i)}: i \leq k\}$. Then

(xiv) $\delta(k+1) = (\gamma(\delta(k+1), 0), \dots, \gamma(\delta(k+1), n(B_{\alpha(k), A(k)}))) \in \mathcal{A}_{B_{\alpha(k), A(k)}}$. $W_{\delta(k+1)}$ is a unique element of $\{W_\delta: \delta \in \mathcal{A}_{B_{\alpha(k), A(k)}}\}$ containing x ,

(xv) $K(k+1) = K(\delta(k+1)) \in \mathcal{K}_{B_{\alpha(k), A(k)}}$,

(xvi) $m(k+1) = n(K_{(z, K(k+1))})$, and $j(k+1) \in \omega$. Let $\tau(k+1) = ((m(0), j(0)), \dots, (m(k+1), j(k+1)))$,

(xvii) $\alpha(k+1) \in \mathcal{E}_{\delta(k+1), m(k+1), j(k+1)}$ and $A(k+1) \subset \{0, 1, \dots, \max\{m(k+1), n(B_{\alpha(k), A(k)})\}\}$,

(xviii) $(z, x) \in B_{\alpha(k+1), A(k+1)} = V_{\alpha(k+1)} \times \prod_{i \in \omega} B_{\alpha(k+1), A(k+1), i}$, $B_{\alpha(k+1), A(k+1)} \in \mathcal{B}_{\tau(k+1)}(B_{\alpha(k), A(k)})$, and $n(B_{\alpha(k), A(k)}) < n(B_{\alpha(k+1), A(k+1)})$,

(xix) For each $i \leq n(B_{\alpha(k), A(k)})$ with $i \in A(k+1)$ such that $C_{\lambda(B_{\alpha(k), A(k)}, i)} = \emptyset$, $s(B_{\alpha(k), A(k), i}) \cap B_{\alpha(k+1), A(k+1), i} = \emptyset$,

(xx) For each $i \leq n(B_{\alpha(k), A(k)})$ with $i \notin A(k+1)$ such that $C_{\lambda(B_{\alpha(k), A(k)}, i)} \neq \emptyset$, $K(k+1)_i = C_{\lambda(B_{\alpha(k), A(k)}, i)}$.

Assume that for each $i \in \omega$, $|\{k \in \omega : i \in A(k)\}| < \omega$, where $|A|$ denotes the cardinality of a set A . Then for each $i \in \omega$, there is a $k_i \in \omega$ such that $i \leq k_i$ and if $k \geq k_i$, then $i \notin A(k)$. Then, by (xx),

(xxi) For each $i \in \omega$ and $k \geq k_i$, $K(k)_i = K(k_i)_i$.

Let $K = \prod_{i \in \omega} K(k_i)_i \in \mathcal{K}$. There is an $O \in \mathcal{O}'$ such that $K_{(z, K)} \subset O$. By (xviii) and (xxi), take a $k \geq 1$ such that $n(O) \leq n(B_{\alpha(k-1), A(k-1)})$ and if $i \leq n(O)$, then $K(k)_i = K(k_i)_i$. Then we have $K_{(z, K(k))} \subset O$ and hence, $m(k) = n(K_{(z, K(k))}) \leq n(O)$. Since $\alpha(k) \in \mathcal{E}_{\delta(k), m(k), j(k)}$, $n(K_{(z(\alpha(k)), K(k))}) \leq m(k)$. For i with $n(O) \leq i \leq n(B_{\alpha(k-1), A(k-1)})$, by the definition, $H_{(z(\alpha(k)), K(k)), i} = W_{\gamma(\delta(k), i)}$. Hence $A_k \cap \{n(O), \dots, n(B_{\alpha(k-1), A(k-1)})\} = \emptyset$. Since $(z, x) \in B_{\alpha(k), A(k)}$ and $B_{\alpha(k), A(k)} \in \mathcal{B}_{\tau(k)}(B_{\alpha(k-1), A(k-1)})$, there is an $i \in A(k)$ such that $x_i \notin H_{(z(\alpha(k)), K(k)), i}$. Thus $i < n(O)$ and $x_i \in B_{\alpha(k), A(k), i} = W_{\gamma(\delta(k), i)} - H_{(z(\alpha(k)), K(k)), i}$. Since $i \in A(k)$, $k < k_i$. For each $k' > k$, $K(k')_i \subset B_{\alpha(k), A(k), i}$. Thus $K(k_i)_i \subset B_{\alpha(k), A(k), i}$. Since $K(k)_i \subset H_{(z(\alpha(k)), K(k)), i}$, we have $K(k)_i \neq K(k_i)_i$. This is a contradiction. Therefore there is an $i \in \omega$ such that $|\{k \in \omega : i \in A(k)\}| = \omega$. Let $\{k \in \omega : i \in A(k) \text{ and } i \leq n(B_{\alpha(k), A(k)})\} = \{k_t : t \in \omega\}$. Let $t \in \omega$. Since $C_{\lambda(B_{\alpha(k_t), A(k_t)}, i)} = \emptyset$, if $k_{t+1} = k_t + 1$, then, by (xix), $s(B_{\alpha(k_t), A(k_t), i}) \cap B_{\alpha(k_{t+1}), A(k_{t+1}), i} = \emptyset$. Assume that $k_{t+1} > k_t + 1$. Since $K_{\gamma(\delta(k_{t+1}), i)} = C_{\lambda(B_{\alpha(k_{t+1}), A(k_{t+1}), i})} = C_{\lambda(B_{\alpha(k_{t+1}-1), A(k_{t+1}-1), i)} \subset H_{(z(\alpha(k_{t+1}), K(k_{t+1})), i)}$, we have $s(B_{\alpha(k_t), A(k_t), i}) \cap B_{\alpha(k_{t+1}), A(k_{t+1}), i} = \emptyset$. Since s is a stationary winning strategy for Player I in $G(\mathcal{DC}, X)$, $\bigcap_{t \in \omega} B_{\alpha(k_t), A(k_t), i} = \emptyset$. But $x_i \in \bigcap_{t \in \omega} B_{\alpha(k_t), A(k_t), i}$, which is a contradiction. It follows that $\cup \{\mathcal{Q}_\tau : \tau \in (\omega \times \omega)^{<\omega} \text{ and } \tau \neq \emptyset\}$ is a covering of $Z \times X^\omega$. The proof is completed.

REMARK 3.3. Let M be the Michael line and let \mathbf{P} be the space of irrational numbers. It is well known that $M \times \mathbf{P}$ is not normal. M is a hereditarily paracompact space. But M is not perfect. Since \mathbf{P} is homeomorphic to ω^ω , we cannot omit the condition “ Z is perfect” in Theorem 3.2. Furthermore we cannot replace “ Z is a perfect paracompact space” by “ Z is a hereditarily paracompact space” in Theorem 3.2.

THEOREM 3.4. *If Z is a perfect paracompact space and Y_i is a paracompact space with a σ -closure-preserving cover by compact sets for each $i \in \omega$, then $Z \times \prod_{i \in \omega} Y_i$ is paracompact.*

PROOF. This follows from Theorem 3.2 and Lemma 2.4 (a).

Similarly, by Theorem 3.2 and Lemma 2.4 (b),

THEOREM 3.5. *If Z is a perfect paracompact space and Y_i is a paracompact,*

σ -C-scattered space for each $i \in \omega$, then $Z \times \prod_{i \in \omega} Y_i$ is paracompact.

For a space X , let $\mathcal{F}[X]$ denote the Pixley-Roy hyperspace of X . Every Pixley-Roy hyperspace has a closure-preserving cover by finite sets and is σ -scattered. For a space X , the following are equivalent (see H. Tanaka [15]): (a) $\mathcal{F}[X]$ is paracompact; (b) $\mathcal{F}[X^2]$ is paracompact; (c) $\mathcal{F}[X^n]$ is paracompact for each $n \in \omega$ and (d) $\mathcal{F}[X^n]^m$ is paracompact for each $n, m \in \omega$. T. Przytycki [12] posed the following problem: If $\mathcal{F}[X]$ is paracompact, then is $\mathcal{F}[X]^\omega$ paracompact? We have

THEOREM 3.6. *If Z is a perfect paracompact space and Y_i is a space such that $\mathcal{F}[Y_i]$ is paracompact for each $i \in \omega$, then $Z \times \prod_{i \in \omega} \mathcal{F}[Y_i]$ is paracompact.*

It is well known that Z is a regular hereditarily Lindelöf space if and only if Z is a regular perfect Lindelöf space (R. Engelking [5]).

THEOREM 3.7. *If Z is a regular hereditarily Lindelöf space and Y_i is a regular Lindelöf \mathcal{DC} -like space for each $i \in \omega$, then $Z \times \prod_{i \in \omega} Y_i$ is Lindelöf. Hence, if X is a regular Lindelöf \mathcal{DC} -like space, then $X^\omega \in \mathcal{L}'$.*

PROOF. By Lemmas 2.2 and 3.1, we may assume that for each $i \in \omega$, Y_i is a regular Lindelöf \mathcal{DC} -like space with $\dim Y_i \leq 0$. Let $X = \bigoplus_{i \in \omega} Y_i \cup \{a\}$, where $a \notin \cup Y_i$. Define the topology of X as the proof of Theorem 3.2. It suffices to prove that $Z \times X^\omega$ is Lindelöf.

Let \mathcal{B} be the base of $Z \times X^\omega$ defined in the proof of Theorem 3.2 and let \mathcal{O} be an open covering of $Z \times X^\omega$. Define \mathcal{O}' and $n(B)$ for each $B \in \mathcal{B}$ as before. We show that \mathcal{O}' has a countable open refinement. By the proof of Theorem 3.2, \mathcal{O}' has a σ -locally finite refinement $\mathcal{G} = \cup \{\mathcal{G}_n : n \in \omega\}$ such that $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{B}$. For each $m \in \omega$, let $p_m : Z \times X^\omega \rightarrow Z \times X^m$ be the projection from $Z \times X^\omega$ onto $Z \times X^m$. For $n, m \in \omega$, let $\mathcal{G}_{n,m} = \{G \in \mathcal{G}_n : n(G) \leq m\}$. Then $\mathcal{G}_n = \cup \{\mathcal{G}_{n,m} : m \in \omega\}$ for each $n \in \omega$. Put $\mathcal{H}_{n,m} = p_m(\mathcal{G}_{n,m}) = \{p_m(G) : G \in \mathcal{G}_{n,m}\}$ for $n, m \in \omega$. Then every $\mathcal{H}_{n,m}$ is locally finite in $Z \times X^m$. By Lemma 2.5, every $Z \times X^m$ is Lindelöf. Then for each $n, m \in \omega$, $\mathcal{H}_{n,m}$ is countable. Hence every $\mathcal{G}_{n,m}$ is countable. Thus $\mathcal{G} = \cup \{\mathcal{G}_n : n \in \omega\} = \cup \{\mathcal{G}_{n,m} : n, m \in \omega\}$ is countable. It follows that $Z \times X^\omega$ is Lindelöf. The proof is completed.

THEOREM 3.8. *If Z is a regular hereditarily Lindelöf space and Y_i is a regular Lindelöf space with a σ -closure-preserving cover by compact sets for each $i \in \omega$, then $Z \times \prod_{i \in \omega} Y_i$ is Lindelöf.*

THEOREM 3.9. *If Z is a regular hereditarily Lindelöf space and Y_i is a regular Lindelöf, σ -C-scattered space for each $i \in \omega$, then $Z \times \prod_{i \in \omega} Y_i$ is Lindelöf.*

References

- [1] Alster, K., A class of spaces whose Cartesian product with every hereditarily Lindelöf space is Lindelöf, *Fund. Math.* **114** (1981), 173-181.
- [2] ———, A note on Michael's problem concerning the Lindelöf property in the Cartesian products, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **278** (1983), 369-375.
- [3] ———, On Michael's problem concerning the Lindelöf property in the Cartesian products, *Fund. Math.* **121** (1984), 149-167.
- [4] ———, On the product of a perfect paracompact space and a countable product of scattered paracompact spaces, *Fund. Math.* **127** (1987), 241-246.
- [5] Engelking, R., *General Topology*, Heldermann Berlin, 1989.
- [6] Friedler, L. M., Martin, H. W. and Williams, S. W., Paracompact C-scattered spaces, *Pacific J. Math.* **129** (1987), 277-298.
- [7] Frolík, Z., On the topological product of paracompact spaces, *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci.* **8** (1960), 747-750.
- [8] Galvin, F. and Telgársky, R., Stationary strategies in topological games, *Topology Appl.* **22** (1986), 51-69.
- [9] Hohti, A. and Pelant, J., On supercomplete uniform spaces IV: Countable products, *Fund. Math.* **136** (1990), 115-120.
- [10] Michael, E., Paracompactness and the Lindelöf property in finite and countable Cartesian products, *Comp. Math.* **23** (1971), 199-214.
- [11] Nagami, K., A note on Hausdorff spaces with the star-finite property II, *Proc. Japan Acad.* **37** (1961), 189-192.
- [12] Przymusiński, T., Normality and paracompactness of Pixley-Roy hyperspaces, *Fund. Math.* **113** (1981), 201-219.
- [13] ———, Products of normal spaces, in *Handbook of Set-Theoretic Topology*, ed. by K. Kunen and J. Vaughan, North-Holland, 1984, 783-826.
- [14] Rudin, M. E. and Watson, S., Countable products of scattered paracompact spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **89** (1983), 551-552.
- [15] Tanaka, H., Paracompactness of Pixley-Roy hyperspaces II, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **85** (1982), 113-118.
- [16] Telgársky, R., Spaces defined by topological games, *Fund. Math.* **88** (1975), 193-223.
- [17] ———, Topological games: On the 50th Anniversary of the Banach-Mazur game, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* **17** (1987), 227-276.
- [18] Yajima, Y., Topological games and applications, in *Topics in General Topology*, ed. by K. Morita and J. Nagata, North-Holland, 1989, 523-562.

Department of Mathematics
Osaka Kyoiku University
Tennoji, Osaka, 543
Japan