

MAXIMAL FUNCTIONS OF PLURISUBHARMONIC FUNCTIONS

By

Hong Oh KIM and Yeon Yong PARK

Abstract. We show that for nonnegative plurisubharmonic functions on the unit ball of C^n the admissible maximal functions are dominated by the radial maximal functions in L^p -mean. This gives another characterization of the class M^p of holomorphic functions and its invariance under the compositions by automorphisms of the unit ball. As a consequence of the invariance all onto endomorphisms of M^1 ($n=1$) are characterized.

1. Introduction.

Let B be the unit ball of C^n ($n \geq 1$) and let σ denote the Lebesgue measure on $S = \partial B$, normalized so that $\sigma(S) = 1$. For a function $u: B \rightarrow C$, the radial maximal function $\mathcal{M}u$ on S is defined by

$$\mathcal{M}u(\eta) = \sup\{|u(r\eta)| : 0 \leq r < 1\}, \quad \eta \in S.$$

For $\alpha > 1$ and $\eta \in S$, we let

$$D_\alpha(\eta) = \left\{ z \in B : |1 - \langle z, \eta \rangle| < \frac{\alpha}{2}(1 - |z|^2) \right\}.$$

The admissible maximal function $\mathcal{M}_\alpha u$ on S is defined by

$$\mathcal{M}_\alpha u(\eta) = \sup\{|u(z)| : z \in D_\alpha(\eta)\}.$$

We prove the following theorem.

THEOREM I. For $0 < p < \infty$, there is a positive constant $C = C(n, p, \alpha)$ such that if $u \geq 0$ is plurisubharmonic in B then

$$\int_S \mathcal{M}_\alpha u(\eta)^p d\sigma(\eta) \leq C \int_S \mathcal{M}u(\eta)^p d\sigma(\eta).$$

For $n=1$, the corresponding theorem for harmonic functions on the upper half plane appears in [3, Theorem 3.6].

1980 Mathematics Subject Classification (1985 Revision). 31C10, 32A35.

Key words and phrases. plurisubharmonic function, maximal function; class M^p .

Received March 4, 1991, Revised June 4, 1991.

For an application of Theorem I, we consider the class $M^p(B)$ ($0 < p < \infty$) of holomorphic functions f on B for which

$$\int_S (\log^+ \mathcal{M}f(\eta))^p d\sigma(\eta) < \infty.$$

For $n=1$, these classes as topological algebras have been studied in [7, 10] for $p > 1$ and in [2, 5, 6] for $p=1$. For $n \geq 1$, it is shown in [2] that

$$\bigcup_{p>0} H^p \subsetneq \bigcap_{p>1} M^p \subsetneq M^1 \subsetneq N^+,$$

where H^p is the usual Hardy space and N^+ is the Smirnov class on B . The main theorem of [2] concerns with the boundary behavior of functions in the class M^p ($p \geq 1$), with its application to outer factors of functions in M^1 when $n=1$.

If we take $u = \log^+ |f|$ with holomorphic functions f on B in Theorem I, we get the following characterization of M^p immediately.

THEOREM II. *A holomorphic function f on B belongs to M^p if and only if*

$$\int_S (\log^+ \mathcal{M}_\alpha f(\eta))^p d\sigma(\eta) < \infty.$$

Since every automorphism of B maps any radius into a curve which approaches the boundary nontangentially, the following corollary is immediate.

COROLLARY III. *The class M^p ($0 < p < \infty$) is invariant under the compositions of automorphisms of B .*

When $p > 1$, this fact is not new because M^p ($p > 1$) can be defined by means of boundary functions. See [2, 7]. As a consequence of this corollary we can characterize all onto algebra endomorphisms of M^1 for the case $n=1$. For the case $p > 1$, see [7].

THEOREM IV. *Let $n=1$. Then $\Gamma: M^1 \rightarrow M^1$ is an onto algebra endomorphism if and only if*

$$\Gamma(f) = f \circ \varphi, \quad f \in M^1$$

for some automorphism φ of the unit disc U of C^1 . In particular, Γ is invertible in this case and $\Gamma^{-1}(f) = f \circ \varphi^{-1}$, $f \in M^1$.

The proof will be given in the last section. The theorem might be true for $n > 1$ but we do not have a proof.

2. An inequality of Hardy and Littlewood.

The following lemma is due to Hardy and Littlewood. It is stated in [3, 4] for $|u|$ with harmonic functions u but the proof is exactly the same for non-negative subharmonic functions.

2.1. LEMMA. *If $u \geq 0$ is subharmonic on the disc $D(z_0, R)$ with center at z_0 and radius $R > 0$ in the complex plane C and if $0 < p < \infty$, then*

$$u(z_0) \leq K \left(\frac{1}{\pi R^2} \iint_{D(z_0, R)} u(z)^p dx dy \right)^{1/p},$$

where $K = K(p)$ is a positive constant independent of u .

The next lemma will be a polydisc version of the above inequality. Its statement is suitably adapted for the proof of Theorem I.

Let $z = r\zeta \in B$ and $R > 0$. Let $\zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_n \in S$ be such that $\zeta, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_n$ form an orthonormal basis for C^n . Define a polydisc $\Delta(z, R)$ with respect to the basis $\zeta, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_n$ at z as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(z, R) &\equiv \Delta(z, R; \zeta, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_n) \\ &= \left\{ w = z + \lambda\zeta + \sum_{j=2}^n \lambda_j \zeta_j : |\lambda| < R, |\lambda_j| < R^{1/2}, 2 \leq j \leq n \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

2.2. LEMMA. *Let $\Delta = \Delta(z, R) \subset B$. If $u \geq 0$ is plurisubharmonic in B and $0 < p < \infty$, then*

$$u(z)^p \leq K \frac{1}{m_n(\Delta)} \int_{\Delta} u(w)^p dm_n(w),$$

where $K = K(n, p)$ is a positive constant independent of u and dm_n is the Lebesgue measure on C^n .

PROOF. We define

$$v(\lambda, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) = u(z + \lambda\zeta + \lambda_2\zeta_2 + \dots + \lambda_n\zeta_n).$$

Since u is plurisubharmonic in B , v is an n -subharmonic function for $|\lambda| < R$, $|\lambda_j| < R^{1/2}$ ($2 \leq j \leq n$). We now apply Lemma 2.1 n times to v . The positive constants K 's in the following are not the same in each occurrence but are independent of v .

$$\begin{aligned} v(0, \dots, 0)^p &\leq K \frac{1}{R} \int_{|\lambda_n| < R^{1/2}} v(0, \dots, 0, \lambda_n) dm_1(\lambda_n) \\ &\leq \dots \\ &\leq K \frac{1}{R^{n-1}} \int \dots \int_{|\lambda_j| < R^{1/2} (2 \leq j \leq n)} v(0, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)^p dm_1(\lambda_2) \dots dm_1(\lambda_n) \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq K \frac{1}{R^{n+1}} \int \cdots \int_{|\lambda_1| < R, |\lambda_j| < R^{1/2} (\exists 2 \leq j \leq n)} v(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)^p dm_1(\lambda_1) \cdots dm_1(\lambda_n).$$

Therefore, we have

$$u(z)^p \leq K \frac{1}{m_n(\Delta)} \int_{\Delta} u(w)^p dm_n(w). \quad \text{Q. E. D.}$$

3. Geometric lemmas.

3.1. LEMMA. *Let $z = r\zeta \in B$ and let $\Delta(z, \varepsilon(1-r^2)) \subset B$ for a choice of $\zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_n \in S$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. If $r > 1/2$ and $w \in \Delta(z, \varepsilon(1-r^2))$ then*

$$r - \delta(1-r^2) < |w| < r + \delta(1-r^2)$$

for some choice of a positive constant $\delta = \delta(n, \varepsilon)$ independent of z and ζ 's.

PROOF. Suppose $w = z + \lambda\zeta + \sum_{j=2}^n \lambda_j \zeta_j \in \Delta(z, \varepsilon(1-r^2))$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |w|^2 &= |r + \lambda|^2 + \sum_{j=2}^n |\lambda_j|^2 \leq r^2 + |\lambda|^2 + 2|\lambda| + (n-1)\varepsilon(1-r^2) \\ &\leq r^2 + (n+2)\varepsilon(1-r^2). \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} |w|^2 &\geq (r - |\lambda|)^2 = r^2 - 2r|\lambda| + |\lambda|^2 \\ &\geq r^2 - 2|\lambda| \geq r^2 - 2\varepsilon(1-r^2). \end{aligned}$$

If $r > 1/2$ then

$$||w| - r| \leq 2||w|^2 - r^2| \leq 2(n+2)\varepsilon(1-r^2).$$

So we can take $\delta = 2(n+2)\varepsilon$.

Q. E. D.

The following lemma appears in [1] but its proof is included for the sake of completeness.

3.2. LEMMA. *Let $\beta > \alpha > 1$ and $z = r\zeta \in D_\alpha(\eta)$. Then there is a positive constant $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(n, \alpha, \beta)$ such that*

$$\Delta(z, \varepsilon(1-r^2)) \subset D_\beta(\eta)$$

for any choice of $\zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_n \in S$.

PROOF. Suppose $w = z + \lambda\zeta + \sum_{j=2}^n \lambda_j \zeta_j \in \Delta(z, \varepsilon(1-r^2))$. Then $|\lambda| < \varepsilon(1-r^2)$ and $|\lambda_j| < \{\varepsilon(1-r^2)\}^{1/2}$. By the orthogonality of ζ and ζ_j , the Schwarz lemma and the hypothesis $z \in D_\alpha(\eta)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \zeta_j, \eta \rangle| &= |\langle \zeta_j, \eta - r\zeta \rangle| \\ &\leq |\eta - r\zeta| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \sqrt{2} |1 - \langle r\zeta, \eta \rangle|^{1/2} \\ &\leq \{\alpha(1-r^2)\}^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

We compute

$$\begin{aligned} |1 - \langle w, \eta \rangle| &= \left| 1 - \left(\langle r\zeta, \eta \rangle + \lambda \langle \zeta, \eta \rangle + \sum_{\frac{n}{2}}^n \lambda_j \langle \zeta_j, \eta \rangle \right) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\alpha}{2} (1-r^2) + \varepsilon (1-r^2) + \sum_{\frac{n}{2}}^n \{\varepsilon(1-r^2)\}^{1/2} |\langle \zeta_j, \eta \rangle| \\ &\leq \left\{ \frac{\alpha}{2} + \varepsilon + (n-1)\varepsilon^{1/2}\alpha^{1/2} \right\} (1-r^2) \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, from the proof of Lemma 3.1, we have

$$1 - |w|^2 \geq \{1 - (n+2)\varepsilon\} (1-r^2).$$

Therefore we can choose $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(n, \alpha, \beta) > 0$ so small that

$$|1 - \langle w, \eta \rangle| < \frac{\beta}{2} (1 - |w|^2),$$

for any $w \in \Delta(z, \varepsilon(1-r^2))$. Therefore $\Delta(z, \varepsilon(1-r^2)) \subset D_\beta(\eta)$. Q. E. D.

We define the radial projection π from $B \setminus \{0\}$ onto S as

$$\pi(w) = w/|w|, \quad w \in B \setminus \{0\}.$$

For $\eta \in S$ and $\delta > 0$,

$$Q(\eta, \delta) = \{\zeta \in S : |1 - \langle \zeta, \eta \rangle| < \delta\}$$

is the nonisotropic “ball” of radius $\delta^{1/2}$ around η . The volume $\sigma(Q(\eta, \delta))$ is roughly propotional to δ^n , i.e., $\sigma(Q(\eta, \delta)) \approx \delta^n$. See [9, Proposition 5.1.4].

33. LEMMA. *Let $z = r\zeta \in D_\alpha(\eta)$, $r > 0$ and $\beta > \alpha > 1$. Then there is a positive constant $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(n, \alpha, \beta)$ so small that*

$$\pi(\Delta(z, \varepsilon(1-r^2))) \subset Q\left(\eta, \left(\frac{\beta}{2} + 1\right)(1-r^2)\right)$$

for any choice of ζ_2, \dots, ζ_n .

PROOF. Choose β' so that $\beta > \beta' > \alpha$. Let $w = \rho w \in \Delta(z, \varepsilon(1-r^2))$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |1 - \langle w, \eta \rangle| &= |1 - \langle \rho w, \eta \rangle - (1-\rho)\langle w, \eta \rangle| \\ &\leq |1 - \langle w, \eta \rangle| + (1-\rho^2). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.2, we can choose $\varepsilon > 0$ so small that

$$|1 - \langle w, \eta \rangle| < \frac{\beta'}{2} (1-r^2).$$

From the proof of Lemma 3.1, we have

$$1 - \rho^2 \leq (1 + 2\varepsilon)(1 - r^2).$$

Therefore we have

$$|1 - \langle \omega, \eta \rangle| < \left(\frac{\beta'}{2} + 1 + 2\varepsilon \right) (1 - r^2).$$

If we choose $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(n, \alpha, \beta) > 0$ even smaller so that $\beta'/2 + 1 + 2\varepsilon < \beta/2 + 1$, we have

$$|1 - \langle \omega, \eta \rangle| < \left(\frac{\beta}{2} + 1 \right) (1 - r^2);$$

so that $\omega \in Q(\eta, (\beta/2 + 1)(1 - r^2))$.

Q. E. D.

4. Proof of Theorem I.

It suffices to prove the theorem for a modified admissible maximal function (with the same notation) as

$$\mathcal{M}_\alpha u(\eta) = \sup \left\{ |u(z)| : |z| \geq \frac{1}{2}, z \in D_\alpha(\eta) \right\}.$$

Let $z = r\zeta \in D_\alpha(\eta)$, $r \geq 1/2$ and $\beta > \alpha$. By Lemmas 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3, we can choose positive constants $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(n, \alpha, \beta)$ and $\delta = \delta(n, \varepsilon) = \delta(n, \alpha, \beta)$ so that

- (i) $\Delta = \Delta(z, \varepsilon(1 - r^2)) \subset D_\beta(\eta)$ for a choice of ζ_2, \dots, ζ_n ,
- (ii) $\pi(\Delta) \subset Q(\eta, (\beta/2 + 1)(1 - r^2))$,
- (iii) $r - \delta(1 - r^2) < |w| < r + \delta(1 - r^2)$ if $w \in \Delta$.

Using Lemma 2.2, we have the following computation in which the constants $K = K(n, p, \delta)$ are not the same in each occurrence, but are independent of u .

$$\begin{aligned} u(z)^{p/2} &\leq K \frac{1}{(1 - r^2)^{n+1}} \int_\Delta u(w)^{p/2} dm_n(w) \\ &\leq K \frac{1}{(1 - r^2)^{n+1}} \int_{r - \delta(1 - r^2)}^{r + \delta(1 - r^2)} \rho^{2n-1} d\rho \int_{Q(\eta, (\beta/2 + 1)(1 - r^2))} \mathcal{M}u(\omega)^{p/2} d\sigma(\omega) \\ &\leq K \frac{1}{(1 - r^2)^n} \int_Q \mathcal{M}u(\omega)^{p/2} d\sigma(\omega) \\ &\leq K \frac{1}{\sigma(Q)} \int_Q \mathcal{M}u(\omega)^{p/2} d\sigma(\omega) \\ &\leq KM \{(\mathcal{M}u)^{p/2}\}(\eta), \end{aligned}$$

where M is the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function operator on S . Therefore we have

$$\{\mathcal{M}_\alpha u(\eta)\}^{p/2} \leq KM \{(\mathcal{M}u)^{p/2}\}(\eta).$$

We note that the constant K is eventually dependent on n, p, α from the choice

of β and δ . By the Hardy-Littlewood maximal theorem [9, Theorem 5.2.6], we have

$$\int_S \mathcal{M}_\alpha u(\eta)^p d\sigma(\eta) \leq C \int_S \mathcal{M}u(\eta)^p d\sigma(\eta).$$

for some positive constant $C=C(n, p, \alpha)$ independent of u .

Q. E. D.

5. Proof of Theorem IV.

By corollary III, every automorphism φ of U defines an algebra isomorphism $\Gamma(f)=f \circ \varphi$, $\varphi \in M^1$. Conversely, let Γ be any onto endomorphism of M^1 . We will follow the corresponding proof for the case N^+ [8]. Let $\varphi=\Gamma(z)$ and let $\lambda=U$. (z denotes the identity function on U .) Define $\gamma(f)=\Gamma(f)(\lambda)$, $f \in M^1$. Since γ is a multiplicative linear functional on M^1 , γ corresponds to the point evaluation at some $\beta \in U$ by Theorem 6.4 of [6]. Thus $\beta=\gamma(z)=\Gamma(z)(\lambda)=\varphi(\lambda)$. Hence $\varphi(\lambda) \in U$ for all $\lambda \in U$ and $\Gamma(f)(\lambda)=f(\varphi(\lambda))$, $f \in M^1$, $\lambda \in U$. Since Γ is onto, φ is not constant. Thus $\varphi(U)$ is open in U . Therefore Γ is one-to-one (and onto). Thus Γ^{-1} is also an onto endomorphism, so $\Gamma^{-1}(f)=f \circ \phi$, $f \in M^1$, for some holomorphic self-map ϕ of U . But then $z=\Gamma\Gamma^{-1}(z)=\Gamma(\phi)=\phi \circ \varphi$ and $\varphi \circ \phi=z$. Therefore φ is an automorphism of U .

Q. E. D.

Acknowledgement.

The first author wishes to express his gratitude to Professor P. Ahern for the valuable discussions. He is in part supported by TGRC (KOSEF).

References

- [1] P.R. Ahern and J. Bruna, Maximal and area integral characterization of Hardy-Sobolev space in the unit ball of C^n , *Rivista Matematica Iberoamericana*, 4 (1988), 123-153.
- [2] B.R. Choe and H.O. Kim, On the boundary behavior of functions holomorphic on the ball, to appear in *Complex Variables*.
- [3] J.B. Garnett, *Bounded analytic functions*, Academic Press, New York, 1981.
- [4] G.H. Hardy and J.E. Littlewood, Some properties of conjugate functions, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 167 (1932), 405-423.
- [5] H.O. Kim, On closed maximal ideals of M , *Proc. Japan Academy*, 62A (1986), 343-346.
- [6] H.O. Kim, On an F -algebra of holomorphic functions, *Can. J. Math.*, 40 (1988), 718-741.
- [7] N. Mochizuki, Algebras of holomorphic functions between H^p and N_* , *Proc. AMS*, 105 (1989), 898-902.
- [8] J.W. Roberts and M. Stoll, Prime and principal ideals in the algebra N^+ , *Arch. Math.*, 27 (1976), 387-393.
- [9] W. Rudin, *Function theory in the unit ball of C^n* , Springer-Verlag, New York, 1980.

- [10] M. Stoll, Mean growth and Taylor coefficients of some topological algebras of analytic functions, *Ann. Polon. Math.*, **35** (1977), 139-158.

Department of Mathematics
Korea Advanced Institute
of Science and Technology
Taejon 305-701, Korea