

**A DIRECT PROOF THAT EACH PEANO CONTINUUM
WITH A FREE ARC ADMITS NO
EXPANSIVE HOMEOMORPHISMS**

By

Kazuhiro KAWAMURA

A homeomorphism $f: X \rightarrow X$ of a compact metric space X is said to be *expansive* if there exists a constant $c > 0$ (called *expansive constant*) such that

(*) for each pair x, y of distinct points of X , there exists an integer n such that $d(f^n(x), f^n(y)) > c$, where d is a metric for X . Expansiveness does not depend on the choice of metrics for compact metric spaces.

A compact connected metric space is called a *continuum*. A *Peano continuum* means a locally connected continuum. An arc A in a continuum X with end points $\{a, b\}$ is denoted by $[a, b]$. $bd A$ means $\{a, b\}$ and $\text{int } A = A - bd A$. An arc A in X is called a *free arc* if $\text{int } A$ is open in X . Let (X, d) be a continuum. For a point $x \in X$ and $\epsilon > 0$, $U(x, \epsilon)$ denotes the ϵ -neighbourhood of x . The Hausdorff metric is denoted by d_H .

In this paper, we give a direct proof of the following theorem, which is a consequence of Proposition C in Hiraide [2].

THEOREM. *Let X be a Peano continuum with a free arc. Then there does not exist expansive homeomorphisms of X .*

The author benefits from reading Proposition C in [2] and wishes to thank to Professor K. Sakai for his helpful suggestions.

First we list known results which are necessary for the proof of Theorem.

LEMMA 1 ([3] p. 257, theorem 4). *Let (X, d) be a Peano continuum. For each $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that each pair of points $x, y \in X$ with $d(x, y) < \delta$ can be joined by an arc whose diameter is less than ϵ .*

LEMMA 2 ([3] p. 179, theorem 1). *A continuum X is homeomorphic to an arc if and only if there exist two points a and b of X such that*

- 1) $X - a$ and $X - b$ are connected and
- 2) for each $x \in X$ with $a \neq x \neq b$, $X - x$ is not connected.

LEMMA 3 ([1] p. 63-68). *Let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be an expansive homeomorphism of a compact metric space X .*

- 1) *For each integer k , f^k is also expansive.*
- 2) *Suppose a closed subset A of X satisfies $f(A) = A$. Then $f|_A$ is also expansive.*
- 3) *There exist no expansive homeomorphisms of arcs and simple closed curves.*

To prove Theorem, we first show the following.

(A) Let (L_n) be an increasing sequence of free arcs in X and $M = \text{Lim } L_n$ (Lim means the limit by the Hausdorff metric).

Then M is either a free arc or

a simple closed curve such that $M \cap \text{cl}(X - M)$ is a point.

Let $L_n = [p_n, q_n]$. It is easy to see that $M = \text{cl}(\cup L_n)$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that there exist two points p and q of M such that $p = \lim p_n$ and $q = \lim q_n$. We consider two cases.

Case a) $p \neq q$. In this case, M is a free arc. To see this, we show

- 1) $M = \text{cl}(\cup L_n) = \cup L_n \cup \{p, q\}$.

Suppose that there exists a point $u \in \text{cl}(\cup L_n) - \cup L_n \cup \{p, q\}$. We can choose a sequence u_n 's of points in L_n 's which converges to u . Since $p \neq u \neq q$, we may assume that $u_n \in \text{int } L_n$. By Lemma 1, there exists a sequence A_n 's of arcs joining u and u_n and $\text{diam } A_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. On the other hand, $u_n \in \text{int } L_n$ and $u \notin L_n$, and so $A_n \cap \text{bd } L_n \neq \emptyset$. Therefore there exists an integer $N > 0$ such that for each $n > N$, $\text{diam } A_n > \min\{d(u, p), d(u, q)\}/2 > 0$, which is a contradiction. Hence $\text{cl}(\cup L_n) \subset \cup L_n \cup \{p, q\}$. Clearly $\text{cl}(\cup L_n) \supset \cup L_n \cup \{p, q\}$, and therefore $M = \cup L_n \cup \{p, q\}$. It is easy to see that $M - p$ and $M - q$ are connected and $M - x$ is not connected for each $x \in M - \{p, q\}$. By Lemma 2, M is an arc. $M - \{p, q\}$ is open in X , so M is a free arc.

Case b) $p = q$. In this case, M is a simple closed curve and $M \cap \text{cl}(X - M)$ is a point. To prove this, take $c \in \text{int } L_1$ and let $A_n = [p_n, c]$ and $B_n = [q_n, c]$. Since L_n 's are free arcs, $p = q \neq c$. Applying the argument of Case a), we see that $A = \text{Lim } A_n$ and $B = \text{Lim } B_n$ are free arcs with end points $\{p, c\}$ and $\{q, c\}$ respectively. Clearly $M = A \cup B$ and since $A_n \cap B_n = \{c\}$, $A = \cup A_n \cup \{p\}$ and $B = \cup B_n \cup \{q\}$, we have $A \cap B = \{c, p = q\}$. Therefore M is a simple closed curve. Since M is a limit of free arcs, $M \cap \text{cl}(X - M)$ is a point.

Let \mathcal{F} be the collection defined by

$$\mathcal{F} = \left\{ K \mid \begin{array}{l} K \text{ is a subcontinuum of } X \text{ and there exists an increasing} \\ \text{sequence of free arcs which converges to } K \end{array} \right\}.$$

\mathcal{F} is a partially ordered set by the usual inclusions. We show

(B) Each totally ordered subset of \mathcal{F} has an upper bound.

Let \mathcal{K} be a totally ordered subset of \mathcal{F} and $K_0 = cl(\cup \mathcal{K})$. We must find an increasing sequence of free arcs which converges to K_0 . Notice that each $K \in \mathcal{F}$ is either a free arc or a simple closed curve by (A). We consider two cases.

Case a) Each $K \in \mathcal{F}$ is a free arc. Let $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subset K_0$ be a finite set such that $K_0 \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n U(x_i, 1/2)$. For each $i=1, \dots, n$, there exist $K_{a_i} \in \mathcal{F}$ and a point $p_i \in K_{a_i}$ such that $d(p_i, x_i) < 1/2$. Take a $K_1 \in \mathcal{F}$ which contains all of K_{a_1}, \dots, K_{a_n} . Then it is easy to see that $d_H(K_1, K_0) < 1$.

Take a finite set $\{y_1, \dots, y_m\} \subset K_0$ such that $K_0 \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^m U(y_i, 1/4)$. For each $i=1, \dots, m$, there exist K_{b_i} and a point $q_i \in K_{b_i}$ such that $d(q_i, y_i) < 1/4$. Take a $K_2 \in \mathcal{K}$ which contains all of $K_1, K_{b_1}, \dots, K_{b_m}$. Then $d_H(K_2, K_0) < 1/2 \dots$. Continuing this processes, we can take an increasing sequence of free arcs which converges to K_0 .

Case b) There exists an $L \in \mathcal{K}$ which is a simple closed curve. Each $N \in \mathcal{K}$ which contains L is a simple closed curve. Hence $K_0 = L$ which is the limit of an increasing sequence of free arcs. Therefore K_0 is an upper bound of \mathcal{K} . This proves (B).

Using Zorn's lemma, we can find a maximal element M of \mathcal{F} .

Now suppose that $f: X \rightarrow X$ is an expansive homeomorphism with expansive constant $c > 0$. If $f^n(M) = M$ for some integer $n \neq 0$, we have a contradiction by Lemma 3, 2) and 3). Thus we have $f^n(M) \neq M$ for each $n \neq 0$. Then the following holds.

(C) C-1) $\text{diam } f^n(M) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and

C-2) $\text{diam } f^{-n}(M) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

We prove C-1). Suppose that there exist an $\epsilon > 0$ and a subsequence (n_i) such that $\text{diam } f^{n_i}(M) > \epsilon$. Taking a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that $f^{n_i}(M)$ converges to a continuum M_0 . Set $M_i = f^{n_i}(M)$. Again, we consider two cases.

Case a) M is a free arc. By the maximality of M , $M_i \cap M_j \subset \text{bd } M_i \cap \text{bd } M_j$ for each $i \neq j$. For each i , take a point $x_i \in M_i$ such that $d(x_i, \text{bd } M_i) \geq \epsilon/2$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that x_i 's converge to a point

$x \in M_0$. By Lemma 1, there exists a sequence (A_i) of arcs joining x and x_i such that $\text{diam } A_i \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. If $x \notin M_i$ for each i , then $A_i \cap \text{bd } M_i \neq \emptyset$ for each i . If $x \in M_i$ for some i , then for each $j \neq i$, either $x \notin M_j$ or $x \in \text{bd } M_j \cap \text{bd } M_i$. Therefore $A_j \cap \text{bd } M_j \neq \emptyset$ for each j . In any case, $\text{diam } A_k \geq \varepsilon/2$ for each k , which is a contradiction.

Case b) M is a simple closed curve. Let $M \cap \text{cl}(X - M) = \{b\}$ and $b_i = f^{n_i}(b)$. In this case, $M_i \cap M_j = \emptyset$ or $\{b_i = b_j\} = M_i \cap M_j$ for each $i \neq j$. For each i , take a point $x_i \in M_i$ such that $d(x_i, b_i) \geq \varepsilon/2$. Using the same argument as in Case a), we have a contradiction.

The proof of C-2) is similar, so we omit it.

Finally we take an integer m such that for each $n > m$, $\text{diam } f^n(M) < c/2$ and $\text{diam } f^{-n}(M) < c/2$. There exists a $\delta > 0$ such that if $d(x, y) < \delta$, $x, y \in M$, then $\max_{i=1, \dots, m} d(f^i(x), f^i(y)) < c$. Then, for distinct points x, y of M with $d(x, y) < \delta$, $d(f^i(x), f^i(y)) < c$ for each integer i . This contradiction completes the proof.

References

- [1] Aoki, N. and Shiraiwa, K., *Rikigaku-kei to entropy* (Dynamical systems and entropy), Kyoritsu Shuppan (1985), 1st ed., in Japanese.
- [2] Hiraide, K., Expansive homeomorphisms on compact surfaces are pseudo-Anosov, preprint.
- [3] Kuratowski, K., *Topology II*, Academic Press (1968).

Institute of Mathematics
University of Tsukuba
Ibaraki 305, Japan