

ON THE NILPOTENCY INDICES OF THE RADICALS OF  
GROUP ALGEBRAS OF  $p$ -GROUPS WHICH HAVE  
CYCLIC SUBGROUPS OF INDEX  $p$

By

Shigeo KOSHITANI

Let  $K$  be a field with characteristic  $p > 0$ ,  $G$  a finite group,  $KG$  the group algebra of  $G$  over  $K$  and  $J(KG)$  the radical of  $KG$ . We are interested in relations between ring-theoretical properties of  $KG$  and the structure of  $G$ . Particularly, in the present paper we shall study the nilpotency index  $t(G)$  of  $J(KG)$ , which is the least positive integer  $t(G)$  such that  $J(KG)^{t(G)} = 0$ .

For a finite  $p$ -group  $P$  of order  $p^r$ , S. A. Jennings [3] showed that  $r(p-1)+1 \leq t(P) \leq p^r$ . Recently K. Motose and Y. Ninomiya [7] determined all  $p$ -groups  $P$  of order  $p^r$  such that  $t(P)$  are the lower bound  $r(p-1)+1$  or the upper bound  $p^r$ . In fact they proved that for a  $p$ -group  $P$  of order  $p^r$  with  $r \geq 1$ ,  $t(P) = r(p-1)+1$  if and only if  $P$  is elementary abelian and that  $t(P) = p^r$  if and only if  $P$  is cyclic. So in this paper we shall investigate  $p$ -groups  $P$  of order  $p^r$  such that  $t(P)$  are not necessarily equal to the lower bound  $r(p-1)+1$  or the upper bound  $p^r$ . By the results of K. Motose [6, Theorem], K. Motose and Y. Ninomiya [7, Theorem 1] it follows that when  $P$  is an abelian  $p$ -group of order  $p^r$  with  $r \geq 2$ , the secondarily highest nilpotency index  $t(P)$  of  $J(KP)$  is  $p^{r-1}+p-1$  and in this case  $P$  is not cyclic and has a cyclic subgroup of index  $p$ . Our main result of §1 is a generalization of the above fact. This can be stated as follows: For an arbitrary  $p$ -group  $P$  of order  $p^r$  with  $r \geq 2$ , the next conditions are equivalent;

- (i)  $t(P) = p^{r-1} + p - 1$ .
- (ii)  $p^{r-1} < t(P) < p^r$ .
- (iii)  $P$  is not cyclic and has a cyclic subgroup of index  $p$ .

There is a problem that when the value of  $t(G)$  is given, what type is  $G$ ? About this there are some solutions ([9], [7]). D. A. R. Wallace [9] determined all finite groups  $G$  with the property  $t(G) = 2$ . Further, K. Motose and Y. Ninomiya [7] determined all finite  $p$ -solvable groups  $G$  such that  $t(G) = 3$ . In connection with this in §2 we shall have all  $p$ -groups  $P$  such that  $t(P) = 4, 5$  or  $6$  by calculating

$t(Q)$  for all  $p$ -groups  $Q$  of orders at most  $p^4$ .

**1.  $p$ -Groups which have cyclic subgroups of index  $p$**

To begin with we shall study  $t(P)$  for metacyclic  $p$ -groups  $P$ .

LEMMA 1.1. *Let  $P$  be a metacyclic  $p$ -group containing a cyclic normal subgroup  $Q = \langle b \rangle$  of order  $p^n$  and with a cyclic factor group  $P/Q = \langle aQ \rangle$  ( $a \in P$ ) of order  $p^m$ . Put  $x = a - 1$  and  $y = b - 1$  in  $KP$ . Then*

$$y^t x^s \in \sum_{\substack{i+j \geq s+t \\ 0 \leq i \leq s}} Kx^i y^j, \quad \text{for all } s, t \geq 0.$$

PROOF. We may assume  $n \geq 1$ . There is a positive integer  $h$  such that

$$(1) \quad a^{-1}ba = b^h.$$

Since  $a^{p^m} \in Q$ ,  $h^{p^m} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^n}$ , and so

$$(1') \quad h \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

At first we shall prove this lemma for  $s = 1$  and  $t = 1$  (cf. the proof of [4, Lemma]).

Put  $\binom{i}{j} = 0$  if  $i < j$ . By (1) and (1'),

$$\begin{aligned} yx &= ab^h - a - b + 1 = (x+1) \left( \sum_{j \geq 2} \binom{h}{j} y^j + y + 1 \right) - x - y - 1 \\ &= xy + \sum_{j \geq 2} \binom{h}{j} (x+1) y^j. \end{aligned}$$

This shows

$$(2) \quad yx \in \sum_{\substack{i+j \geq 2 \\ 0 \leq i \leq 1}} Kx^i y^j.$$

From (2), we can prove

$$(3) \quad y^t x \in \sum_{\substack{i+j \geq t+1 \\ 0 \leq i \leq 1}} Kx^i y^j, \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0$$

by induction on  $t$ . Using (3) we can verify this lemma by induction on  $s$ .

Put  $J(KP)^0 = KP$  for a  $p$ -group  $P$ .

THEOREM 1.2. *Let  $P$  be a metacyclic  $p$ -group containing a cyclic normal subgroup  $Q$  of order  $p^n$  and with a cyclic factor group  $P/Q = \langle aQ \rangle$  ( $a \in P$ ) of order  $p^m$  and  $k$  an integer such that  $|a| = p^{m+n-k}$ . Put*

$$h = \begin{cases} m, & \text{if } m \leq k \\ k, & \text{if } m > k. \end{cases}$$

Then we have  $t(P) = p^{m+n-h} + p^h - 1$ .

PROOF. Put  $Q = \langle b \rangle$ . We can assume  $a^{p^m} = b^{p^k}$ . Set  $x$  and  $y$  as in Lemma 1.1.

Case 1.  $m \leq k$ : We shall claim that  $C_i = \{x^s y^t \mid 0 \leq s \leq p^m - 1, 0 \leq t \leq p^n - 1, s + t \geq i\}$  is a  $K$ -basis of  $J(KP)^i$  by induction on  $i$ . Every  $g \in P$  can be written as  $g = a^s b^t$ ,  $0 \leq s \leq p^m - 1, 0 \leq t \leq p^n - 1$  and the number of elements of  $C_0$  is  $p^{m+n}$ . Thus  $C_0$  is a  $K$ -basis of  $KP$ . By [3, Theorem 1.2],  $C_1$  is a  $K$ -basis of  $J(KP)$ . Assume  $i \geq 2$ . Since  $x, y \in J(KP)$ , we have  $C_i \subseteq J(KP)^i$ . Since  $J(KP)^i = J(KP)J(KP)^{i-1}$ , it suffices to prove that if  $0 \leq s, s' \leq p^m - 1, 0 \leq t, t' \leq p^n - 1, s + t \geq 1$  and  $s' + t' \geq i - 1$ , then  $(x^s y^t)(x^{s'} y^{t'})$  can be written as a  $K$ -linear combination of  $C_i$ . From Lemma 1.1,

$$(4) \quad (x^s y^t)(x^{s'} y^{t'}) = \sum_{\substack{i'+j' \leq s'+t \\ 0 \leq i' \leq s'}} a_{i',j'} x^{s-i'} y^{j'+t'-i'}, \quad a_{i',j'} \in K.$$

Consider each term of (4). Put  $s + i' = up^m + u'$ , where  $u, u'$  are integers with  $0 \leq u' \leq p^m - 1$ . Since  $x^{p^m} = y^{p^k}$ , it is seen that  $x^{s-i'} y^{j'+t'-i'} = x^{u'} (x^{p^m})^u y^{j'+t'-i'} = x^{u'} y^{up^k + j'+t'-i'}$ . Since  $y^{p^n} = 0$ , we can put  $up^k + j' + t' \leq p^n - 1$ . We also have  $u' + (up^k + j' + t') \geq i$  since  $k \geq m$  and  $i' + j' \geq s' + t$ . Hence (4) can be written as a  $K$ -linear combination of  $C_i$ . This shows  $J(KP)^{p^{m+n-2}}$  is of  $K$ -dimension one, and so  $t(P) = p^m + p^n - 1$ .

Case 2.  $m > k$ : As in Case 1 we can show that  $C_i = \{x^s y^t \mid 0 \leq s \leq p^{m-n-k} - 1, 0 \leq t \leq p^k - 1, s + t \geq i\}$  is a  $K$ -basis of  $J(KP)^i$ . Thus  $t(P) = p^{m-n-k} + p^k - 1$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Put that

$$D_r = \langle a, b \mid a^2 = b^{2^{r-1}} = 1, a^{-1}ba = b^{-1} \rangle \quad \text{for } r \geq 3,$$

$$Q_r = \langle a, b \mid a^2 = b^{2^{r-2}}, a^4 = 1, a^{-1}ba = b^{-1} \rangle \quad \text{for } r \geq 3,$$

$$S_r = \langle a, b \mid a^2 = b^{2^{r-1}}, a^{-1}ba = b^{2^{r-2}-1} \rangle \quad \text{for } r \geq 4,$$

$$M_r(p) = \langle a, b \mid a^p = b^{p^{r-1}} = 1, a^{-1}ba = b^{p^{r-2}+1} \rangle \\ \text{for } r \geq 4 \text{ if } p = 2, \quad \text{and for } r \geq 3 \text{ if } p \geq 3,$$

$$M(p) = \langle a, b, c \mid a^p = b^p = c^p = 1, a^{-1}ba = bc, a^{-1}ca = c, b^{-1}cb = c \rangle \quad \text{for } p \geq 3.$$

LEMMA 1.3. Let  $P$  be a  $p$ -group of order  $p^r$ . If  $P$  is not cyclic and has a cyclic subgroup of index  $p$ ,  $t(P) = p^{r-1} + p - 1$ .

PROOF. This follows from [2, I 14.9 Satz] and Theorem 1.2.

Next, we shall compute  $t(M(p))$  whose calculation is very fundamental in calculating  $t(P)$  for the other  $p$ -groups  $P$ .

LEMMA 1.4. For  $p \geq 3$ ,  $t(M(p)) = 4p - 3$ .

PROOF. Put  $P = M(p)$ . As in Lemma 1.1 set that  $x = a - 1, y = b - 1$  and  $z =$

$c-1$  in  $KP$ . Note that  $x^p=y^p=z^p=0$  and  $x, y, z \in J(KP)$ . We have  $zx=xz, zy=yz$  and  $yx=xyz+xy+yz+xz+z$ . Hence we know

$$(5) \quad z \in J(KP)^2,$$

$$(6) \quad yx \in \sum_{\substack{i+j+2k \geq 2 \\ 0 \leq i \leq 1}} Kx^i y^j z^k.$$

Using (6) we can show

$$(7) \quad y^t x \in \sum_{\substack{i+j+2k \geq t+1 \\ 0 \leq i \leq 1}} Kx^i y^j z^k, \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0$$

by induction on  $t$  as in the proof of (3). From (7) we obtain

$$(8) \quad y^t x^s \in \sum_{\substack{i+j+2k \geq s+t \\ 0 \leq i \leq s}} Kx^i y^j z^k, \quad \text{for all } s, t \geq 0$$

by induction on  $s$ . Next, we shall show that  $C_i = \{x^s y^t z^u \mid 0 \leq s, t, u \leq p-1, s+t+2u \geq i\}$  is a  $K$ -basis of  $J(KP)^i$  by induction on  $i$ . For  $i=0$  or  $1$ , it is easy as in the proof of Theorem 1.2. Assume  $i \geq 2$ . By (5),  $C_i \subseteq J(KP)^i$ . As in the proof of Theorem 1.2 it is sufficient to prove that  $(x^s y^t z^u)(x^{s'} y^{t'} z^{u'})$  can be written as a  $K$ -linear combination of  $C_i$  when  $0 \leq s, s', t, t', u, u' \leq p-1, s+t+2u \geq 1$  and  $s'+t'+2u' \geq i-1$ . By (8),

$$(*) \quad (x^s y^t z^u)(x^{s'} y^{t'} z^{u'}) = \sum_{\substack{i'+j'+2k' \geq s'+t' \\ 0 \leq i' \leq s'}} \alpha_{i',j',k'} x^{s-i'} y^{t+j'} z^{u+k'},$$

$\alpha_{i',j',k'} \in K$ . Since  $x^p=y^p=z^p=0$ , we can assume that  $0 \leq s+i', j'+t', k'+u+u' \leq p-1$ . We have  $(s+i')+(j'+t')+2(k'+u+u') \geq i$ . Thus  $C_i$  is a  $K$ -basis of  $J(KP)^i$ , and so  $t(P) = 2(p-1) + (p-1) + 2(p-1) + 1 = 4p-3$ .

LEMMA 1.5. *Let  $P$  be a  $p$ -group of order  $p^r$  with  $r \geq 1$ . If  $t(P) > p^{r-1}$ , then  $P$  has an element of order  $p^{r-1}$ .*

PROOF. We use induction on  $r$ . It is clear for  $r=1$  or  $2$ . Assume  $r=3$ . When  $P$  is abelian, it follows from [6, Theorem]. When  $P$  is nonabelian, by [2, I 14.10 Satz],  $P$  is one of the following types;

- (i)  $p=2$  and  $P \cong D_3$  or  $Q_3$ ,
- (ii)  $p \geq 3$  and  $P \cong M_3(p)$  or  $M(p)$ .

By Lemma 1.4 and  $t(P) > p^2$ ,  $P \not\cong M(p)$ . Thus the assertion is proved for  $r=3$ . Assume  $r \geq 4$ . There is an element  $c \in Z(P)$  of order  $p$ , where  $Z(P)$  is the center of  $P$ .  $C = \langle c \rangle$  is normal in  $P$ . By [10, Theorem 2.4] and  $t(P) > p^{r-1}$ , it follows that  $t(P/C) > p^{r-2}$ . Thus, from the hypothesis of induction,  $P/C$  has an element  $bC$  ( $b \in P$ ) of order  $p^{r-2}$ . Now, suppose that  $P$  has no elements of order  $p^{r-1}$ . Hence  $B = \langle b \rangle$

has order  $p^{r-2}$ . By [2, I 14.9 Satz],  $P/C$  is one of the following types;

Case 1.  $P/C$  is an abelian group of type  $(p^{r-2}, p)$ ,

Case 2.  $p=2$  and  $P/C \cong D_{r-1}$ ,

Case 3.  $p=2$  and  $P/C \cong Q_{r-1}$ ,

Case 4.  $p=2, r \geq 5$  and  $P/C \cong S_{r-1}$ ,

Case 5.  $r \geq 5$  if  $p=2$ , and  $P/C \cong M_{r-1}(p)$ .

Case 1: Put  $P/C = \langle aC, bC | (aC)^p = (bC)^{p^{r-2}} = C, abC = baC \rangle$  and  $A = \langle a \rangle$ . Clearly  $|a| = p$  or  $p^2$ . If  $|a| = p^2$ , we may put  $a^p = c$ . Since  $P/C$  is abelian,  $A$  is normal in  $P$ . Thus  $P$  is a semi-direct product of  $A$  by  $B$ , and so  $t(P) = p^{r-2} + p^2 - 1$  from Theorem 1.2. This is a contradiction, and so  $|a| = p$ . If  $b^{-1}a^{-1}ba = 1$ ,  $P$  is an abelian group of type  $(p^{r-2}, p, p)$ . Hence, by [6, Theorem],  $t(P) = p^{r-2} + 2p - 2$ , a contradiction. This shows that  $b^{-1}a^{-1}ba \neq 1$ , and so we may put  $b^{-1}a^{-1}ba = c$ . Thus  $P = \langle a, b, c | a^p = b^{p^{r-2}} = c^p = 1, a^{-1}ba = bc, a^{-1}ca = c, b^{-1}cb = c \rangle$ . Just as in the proof of Lemma 1.4, it is seen  $t(P) = (p-1) + (p^{r-2}-1) + 2(p-1) + 1 = p^{r-2} + 3p - 3$ , a contradiction.

Case 2: Put  $p=2, P/C = \langle aC, bC | (aC)^2 = (bC)^{2^{r-2}} = C, a^{-1}baC = b^{-1}C \rangle$  and  $A = \langle a \rangle$ . We know  $|a| = 2$  or  $4$ . Put  $x, y$  and  $z$  as in the proof of Lemma 1.4.

(i) Put  $|a| = 2$  and  $ba^{-1}ba = 1$ . Then  $P$  is a direct product of  $AB \cong D_{r-1}$  and a cyclic group of order 2. It follows from [6, Theorem] and Lemma 1.3 that  $t(P) = 2^{r-2} + 2$ , a contradiction.

(ii) Put  $|a| = 4$  and  $ba^{-1}ba = 1$ . Since  $a^2 = c, P = \langle a, b | a^4 = b^{2^{r-2}} = 1, a^{-1}ba = b^{-1} \rangle$ . Thus, by Theorem 1.2,  $t(P) = 2^{r-2} + 3$ . This is a contradiction.

(iii) Put  $|a| = 2$  and  $ba^{-1}ba \neq 1$ . Then  $ba^{-1}ba = c$ . So  $P = \langle a, b, c | a^2 = b^{2^{r-2}} = c^2 = 1, a^{-1}ba = b^{-1}c, a^{-1}ca = c, b^{-1}cb = c \rangle$ . We have  $zx = xz$  and  $zy = yz$ . Set  $f = 2^{r-2} - 1$ . Since  $f \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ ,  $yx = (x+1)(y+1)^f(z+1) - x - y - 1 = (x+1) \{ \sum_{j=0}^f \binom{f}{j} y^j \} (z+1) + xyz + xy + yz + xz + z$ . Hence we have (5) and (6), and so we have (7) and

$$(8') \quad y^t x^s \in \sum_{\substack{i+j+2k \geq s+t \\ 0 \leq i \leq s}} Kx^i y^j z^k, \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0 \text{ and } s = 0, 1.$$

As in the proof of Lemma 1.4,  $t(P) = 1 + (2^{r-2} - 1) + 2 + 1 = 2^{r-2} + 3$ , a contradiction.

(iv) Put  $|a| = 4$  and  $ba^{-1}ba \neq 1$ . Then  $a^2 = c$  and  $ba^{-1}ba = c$ . Hence  $P = \langle a, b, c | a^4 = c, b^{2^{r-2}} = c^2 = 1, a^{-1}ba = b^{-1}c, a^{-1}ca = c, b^{-1}cb = c \rangle$ . Note  $x^2 = z \neq 0$  and  $y^{2^{r-2}} = z^2 = 0$ . As (iii) we obtain (5) and (6), and so (7) and (8') hold. We shall show that  $C_i = \{x^s y^t z^u | 0 \leq s, u \leq 1, 0 \leq t \leq 2^{r-2} - 1, s+t+2u \geq i\}$  is a  $K$ -basis of  $J(KP)^i$  by induction on  $i$ . It is clear for  $i=0$  or  $1$ . Assume  $i \geq 2$ . By (5),  $C_i \subseteq J(KP)^i$ . As usual it suffices to show that  $(x^s y^t z^u)(x^{s'} y^{t'} z^{u'})$  can be written as a  $K$ -linear combination of  $C_i$  if  $0 \leq s, s', u, u' \leq 1, 0 \leq t, t' \leq 2^{r-2} - 1, s+t+2u \geq 1$  and  $s'+t'+2u' \geq i-1$ . From (8'), we have (\*). Consider each term of (\*). Put  $s+i' = 2v+v'$ , where  $v, v'$  are integers with  $0 \leq v' \leq 1$ . Since  $x^2 = z, x^{s+i'} y^{j'+t'} z^{k'+u+u'} = x^{v'} y^{j'+t'} z^{v+k'+u+u'}$ . We may assume  $j'+t' \leq 2^{r-2} - 1$  and

$v+k'+u+u' \leq 1$  since  $y^{2r-2}=z^2=0$ . We also have  $v'+(j'+l')+2(v+k'+u+u') \geq i$ . This implies that  $C_i$  is a  $K$ -basis of  $J(KP)^i$ , and so  $t(P)=1+(2^{r-2}-1)+2+1=2^{r-2}+3$ , a contradiction.

*Case 3:* Put  $p=2$ ,  $P|C=\langle aC, bC|(aC)^3=(bC)^{2^{r-3}}, (aC)^4=C, a^{-1}baC=b^{-1}C \rangle$  and  $A=\langle a \rangle$ . We can put  $a^2=b^{2^{r-3}}c^i$  for some  $i$ , and so  $a^4=1$ . This implies  $|a|=4$ . Put  $x, y$  and  $z$  as in the proof of Lemma 1.4.

(i) Put  $ba^{-1}ba=1$  and  $a^2=b^{2^{r-3}}$ . Then  $P$  is a direct product of  $AB \cong Q_{r-1}$  and a cyclic group of order 2. Thus we have a contradiction as in (i) of Case 2.

(ii) Put  $ba^{-1}ba=1$  and  $a^2 \neq b^{2^{r-3}}$ . Then  $A \cap B=1$ . Hence  $P=AB=\langle a, b|a^4=b^{2^{r-2}}=1, a^{-1}ba=b^{-1} \rangle$ , and so we have a contradiction as in (ii) of Case 2.

(iii) Put  $ba^{-1}ba \neq 1$  and  $a^2=b^{2^{r-3}}$ . Since  $ba^{-1}ba=c$ ,  $P=\langle a, b, c|a^2=b^{2^{r-3}}, b^{2^{r-2}}=c^2=1, a^{-1}ba=b^{-1}c, a^{-1}ca=c, b^{-1}cb=c \rangle$ . As in (iii) of Case 2 we have (5), (6), (7) and (8'). Note  $0 \neq x^2=y^{2^{r-3}}$ . By  $2^{r-3} \geq 2$ , it is seen that  $C_i=\{x^s y^t z^u | 0 \leq s, u \leq 1, 0 \leq t \leq 2^{r-2}-1, s+t+2u \geq i\}$  is a  $K$ -basis of  $J(KP)^i$  as in (iv) of Case 2. Thus  $t(P)=2^{r-2}+3$ , a contradiction.

(iv) Put  $ba^{-1}ba \neq 1$  and  $a^2 \neq b^{2^{r-3}}$ . Hence  $ba^{-1}ba=c$  and  $a^2=b^{2^{r-3}}c$ . Thus  $P=\langle a, b, c|a^2=b^{2^{r-3}}c, a^4=b^{2^{r-2}}=c^2=1, a^{-1}ba=b^{-1}c, a^{-1}ca=c, b^{-1}cb=c \rangle$ . We have  $x^2=y^{2^{r-3}}(z+1)+z$ . This implies (5) and

$$(9) \quad x^2 \in \sum_{j+2k \geq 2} K y^j z^k.$$

As in (iii) of Case 2 we also have (6), (7) and (8'). Note  $x^2 \neq 0$ . By (9), as in (iv) of Case 2, we know that  $C_i=\{x^s y^t z^u | 0 \leq s, u \leq 1, 0 \leq t \leq 2^{r-2}-1, s+t+2u \geq i\}$  is a  $K$ -basis of  $J(KP)^i$ , and so we have a contradiction.

*Case 4:* As in Case 2 we have a contradiction.

*Case 5:* Put  $r \geq 5$  if  $p=2$ , and put  $P|C=\langle aC, bC|(aC)^p=(bC)^{p^{r-2}}=C, a^{-1}baC=b^{p^{r-3}+1}C \rangle$  and  $A=\langle a \rangle$ . Set  $x, y$  and  $z$  as in the proof of Lemma 1.4. Put  $f=p^{r-3}+1$ , and so  $f \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ .

(i) Assume  $|a|=p$  and  $b^{-f}a^{-1}ba=1$ . So  $P$  is a direct product of  $AB \cong M_{r-1}(p)$  and a cyclic group of order  $p$ , and so we have a contradiction by [6, Theorem] and Lemma 1.3.

(ii) Assume  $|a|=p^2$  and  $b^{-f}a^{-1}ba=1$ . We may put  $a^p=c$ . So  $P=\langle a, b|a^{p^2}=b^{p^{r-2}}=1, a^{-1}ba=b^f \rangle$ , hence  $t(P)=p^{r-2}+p^2-1$ , by Theorem 1.2. This is a contradiction.

(iii) Assume  $|a|=p$  and  $b^{-f}a^{-1}ba \neq 1$ . We can put  $b^{-f}a^{-1}ba=c$ . Hence  $P=\langle a, b, c|a^p=b^{p^{r-2}}=c^p=1, a^{-1}ba=b^f c, a^{-1}ca=c, b^{-1}cb=c \rangle$ . Since  $f \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ , as (iii) of Case 2, we have (5), (6), (7) and (8). As in the proof of Lemma 1.4,  $C_i=\{x^s y^t z^u | 0 \leq s, u \leq p-1, 0 \leq t \leq p^{r-2}-1, s+t+2u \geq i\}$  is a  $K$ -basis of  $J(KP)^i$ , and so  $t(P)=(p-1)+(p^{r-2}-1)+2(p-1)+1=p^{r-2}+3p-3$ , a contradiction.

(iv) Assume  $|a|=p^2$  and  $b^{-1}a^{-1}ba \neq 1$ . We may put  $a^p=c$ . Since  $1 \neq b^{-1}a^{-1}ba \in C$ ,  $b^{-1}a^{-1}ba=c^h$  for some  $h$  with  $1 \leq h \leq p-1$ . Thus  $P=\langle a, b, c \mid a^p=c, b^{p^{r-2}}=c^p=1, a^{-1}ba=b^h c^h, a^{-1}ca=c, b^{-1}cb=c \rangle$ . From  $x^p=z$ ,

$$(10) \quad z \in J(KP)^p.$$

Since  $f \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ ,  $yx = \sum_{\substack{i+j+k \geq 2 \\ 0 \leq i \leq 1}} a_{ijk} x^i y^j z^k + hz$ ,  $a_{ijk} \in K$ .

Hence

$$(11) \quad yx \in \sum_{\substack{i+j+k \geq 2 \\ 0 \leq i \leq 1}} Kx^i y^j z^k.$$

Using this, as in the proof of Lemma 1.1, by induction we have

$$(12) \quad y^t x \in \sum_{\substack{i+j+k \geq t+1 \\ 0 \leq i \leq 1}} Kx^i y^j z^k, \text{ for all } t \geq 0,$$

$$(13) \quad y^t x^s \in \sum_{\substack{i+j+k \geq s+t \\ 0 \leq i \leq s}} Kx^i y^j z^k, \text{ for all } s, t \geq 0.$$

Note  $0 \neq x^p=z$ . It follows from (10) and (13) that  $C_i = \{x^s y^t z^u \mid 0 \leq s, u \leq p-1, 0 \leq t \leq p^{r-2} - 1, s+t+pu \geq i\}$  is a  $K$ -basis of  $J(KP)^i$ , and so  $t(P) = (p-1) + (p^{r-2}-1) + p(p-1) + 1 = p^{r-2} + p^2 - 1$ , a contradiction. This completes the proof of Lemma 1.5.

**THEOREM 1.6.** *Let  $P$  be a  $p$ -group of order  $p^r$ . If  $r \geq 2$ , then the next four conditions (i)-(iv) are equivalent.*

- (i)  $t(P) = p^{r-1} + p - 1$ .
- (ii)  $p^{r-1} < t(P) < p^r$ .
- (iii)  $P$  is not cyclic and has a cyclic subgroup of index  $p$ .
- (iv)  $P$  is one of the following types;
  - (a)  $P$  is an abelian group of type  $(p^{r-1}, p)$ ,
  - (b)  $p=2, r=3$  and  $P \cong D_8$  or  $Q_8$ ,
  - (c)  $p=2, r \geq 4$  and  $P \cong D_r, Q_r, S_r$  or  $M_r(2)$ ,
  - (d)  $p \geq 3, r \geq 3$  and  $P \cong M_r(p)$ .

**PROOF.** (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) is clear. (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) is obtained from [7, Theorem 1] and Lemma 1.5. (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv) follows from [2, I 14.9 Satz]. (iv) $\Rightarrow$ (i) is easy from Lemma 1.3.

**COROLLARY 1.7.** *Let  $G$  be a finite group with a  $p$ -Sylow subgroup  $P$ . If  $G$  is a  $p$ -solvable group of  $p$ -length 1 and  $P$  has order  $p^r$  with  $r \geq 2$ , then the next four conditions are equivalent.*

- (i)  $t(G) = p^{r-1} + p - 1$ .
- (ii)  $p^{r-1} < t(G) < p^r$ .

- (iii) Same as (iii) of Theorem 1.6.
- (iv) Same as (iv) of Theorem 1.6.

PROOF. It follows from [5, Theorems 2 and 7] (or [1, Theorem 2]) and [8, Lemma 2] that  $t(G)=t(P)$ . Thus this corollary is clear by Theorem 1.6.

REMARK 1. For a  $p$ -solvable group  $G$  of  $p$ -length  $\geq 2$ , the same statement as Corollary 1.7 does not necessarily hold. Let  $G$  be the symmetric group of degree 4 and  $p=2$ . Then  $G$  is a 2-solvable group of 2-length 2 of order 24 with a dihedral 2-Sylow subgroup of order 8. On the other hand, by [7, Proposition],  $t(G)=4 \neq 2^2 + 2 - 1$ .

## 2. $p$ -Groups $P$ with $t(P)=4, 5$ or $6$

In this section, firstly, we shall compute  $t(P)$  for all  $p$ -groups  $P$  of orders at most  $p^4$ . Using this we shall have all  $p$ -groups  $P$  such that  $t(P)=4, 5$  or  $6$ . All  $p$ -groups of order  $p^3$  are found in [2, I 14.10 Satz] and all  $p$ -groups of order  $p^4$  are found in [2, III 12.6 Satz] and [2, III §12 Aufgaben (29), (30)].

THEOREM 2.1. *Let  $P$  be a nonabelian  $p$ -group of order  $p^r$ . Then we have the followings.*

- (I)  $r=3, p \geq 3$ . There are two nonisomorphic nonabelian groups of order  $p^3$ .
  - (i) If  $P=M_3(p), t(P)=p^2+p-1$ .
  - (ii) If  $P=M(p), t(P)=4p-3$ .
- (II)  $r=3, p=2$ . There are two nonisomorphic nonabelian groups of order  $2^3$ .
  - (i)-(ii) If  $P=D_8$  or  $Q_8, t(P)=5$ .
- (III)  $r=4, p \geq 5$ . There are ten nonisomorphic nonabelian groups of order  $p^4$ .
  - (i) If  $P=M_4(p), t(P)=p^3+p-1$ .
  - (ii) If  $P$  is a direct product of  $M_3(p)$  and a cyclic group of order  $p, t(P)=p^2+2p-2$ .
  - (iii) If  $P$  is a direct product of  $M(p)$  and a cyclic group of order  $p, t(P)=5p-4$ .
  - (iv) If  $P=\langle a, b \mid a^{p^2}=b^{p^2}=1, a^{-1}ba=b^{p+1} \rangle, t(P)=2p^2-1$ .
  - (v) If  $P=\langle a, b, c \mid a^p=b^p=c^p=1, a^{-1}ba=bc^p, a^{-1}ca=c, b^{-1}cb=c \rangle, t(P)=p^2+2p-2$ .
  - (vi) If  $P=\langle a, b, c \mid a^p=b^p=c^p=1, a^{-1}ba=b, a^{-1}ca=bc, b^{-1}cb=c \rangle, t(P)=p^2+3p-3$ .
  - (vii) If  $P=\langle a, b, c \mid a^p=b^p=c^p=1, a^{-1}ba=bc^p, a^{-1}ca=bc, b^{-1}cb=c \rangle, t(P)=p^2+3p-3$ .
  - (viii) If  $P=\langle a, b, c \mid a^p=b^p=c^p=1, a^{-1}ba=bc^f, a^{-1}ca=bc, b^{-1}cb=c \rangle$ , where  $f$  is a quadratic nonresidue modulo  $p, t(P)=p^2+3p-3$ .

(ix) If  $P = \langle a, b, c, d \mid a^p = b^p = c^p = d^p = 1, b^{-1}cb = c, c^{-1}dc = d, b^{-1}db = d, a^{-1}ba = b, a^{-1}ca = bc, a^{-1}da = cd \rangle, t(P) = 7p - 6.$

(x) If  $P = \langle a, b, c, d \mid a^p = b, b^p = c^p = d^p = 1, b^{-1}cb = c, c^{-1}dc = d, b^{-1}db = d, a^{-1}ca = bc, a^{-1}da = cd \rangle, t(P) = p^2 + 3p - 3.$

(IV)  $r = 4, p = 3.$  There are ten nonisomorphic nonabelian groups of order  $3^4.$

(i) If  $P = \langle a, b, c \mid a^3 = b^3, b^3 = c^3 = 1, a^{-1}ba = bc, a^{-1}ca = b^3c, b^{-1}cb = c \rangle, t(P) = 15.$

(ii)-(x) For the other nine groups  $P$  of order  $3^4,$  we can know  $t(P)$  by putting  $p = 3$  in (III), where (ix) of (III) and (x) of (III) are isomorphic.

(V)  $r = 4, p = 2.$  There are nine nonisomorphic nonabelian groups of order  $2^4.$

(i)-(iv) If  $P = D_4, Q_4, S_4$  or  $M_4(2), t(P) = 9.$

(v) If  $P$  is a direct product of  $D_3$  and a cyclic group of order 2,  $t(P) = 6.$

(vi) If  $P$  is a direct product of  $Q_3$  and a cyclic group of order 2,  $t(P) = 6.$

(vii) If  $P = \langle a, b \mid a^4 = b^4 = 1, a^{-1}ba = b^3 \rangle, t(P) = 7.$

(viii) If  $P = \langle a, b, c \mid a^2 = b^2 = c^4 = 1, a^{-1}ba = bc^2, a^{-1}ca = c, b^{-1}cb = c \rangle, t(P) = 6.$

(ix) If  $P = \langle a, b, c \mid a^2 = b^2 = c^4 = 1, a^{-1}ba = b, a^{-1}ca = bc, b^{-1}cb = c \rangle, t(P) = 7.$

PROOF. Put  $x = a - 1, y = b - 1, z = c - 1$  and  $w = d - 1$  in  $KP$  if they exist.

(I) (i) and (ii) are verified by Theorem 1.6 and Lemma 1.4, respectively.

(II) Clear from Theorem 1.6.

(III) (i) Trivial by Theorem 1.6.

(ii)-(iii) These follow from [6, Theorem] and (I).

(iv) Easy from Theorem 1.2.

(v) Since  $yx = xyz^p + xz^p + yz^p + z^p + xy,$  we have

$$(14) \quad yx \in \sum_{\substack{i+j+k \geq 2 \\ 0 \leq i \leq 1}} Kx^i y^j z^k.$$

Using this, as in the proof of Lemma 1.1, we know

$$(15) \quad y^t x \in \sum_{\substack{i+j+k \geq t+1 \\ 0 \leq i \leq 1}} Kx^i y^j z^k, \text{ for all } t \geq 0,$$

$$(16) \quad y^t x^s \in \sum_{\substack{i+j+k \geq s+t \\ 0 \leq i \leq s}} Kx^i y^j z^k, \text{ for all } s, t \geq 0.$$

By (16), it is seen that  $C_i = \{x^s y^t z^u \mid 0 \leq s, t \leq p-1, 0 \leq u \leq p^2-1, s+t+u \geq i\}$  is a  $K$ -basis of  $J(KP)^i.$  Hence  $t(P) = p^2 + 2p - 2.$

(vi) As in Lemma 1.4,  $t(P) = (p-1) + 2(p-1) + (p^2-1) + 1 = p^2 + 3p - 3.$

(vii) Since  $yx = xyz^p + xz^p + yz^p + z^p + xy,$

$$(17) \quad yx \in \sum_{\substack{i+2j+k \geq 3 \\ 0 \leq i \leq 1}} Kx^i y^j z^k.$$

By induction it follows from (17) that

$$(18) \quad y^t x \in \sum_{\substack{i+2j+k \geq 2t+1 \\ 0 \leq i \leq 1}} Kx^i y^j z^k, \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0.$$

On the other hand, since  $zx = xyz + xz + yz + xy + y$ , we have

$$(19) \quad y \in J(KP)^2,$$

$$(20) \quad zx \in \sum_{\substack{i+2j+k \geq 2 \\ 0 \leq i \leq 1}} Kx^i y^j z^k.$$

Using (20), as (18), it is seen that

$$(21) \quad z^u x \in \sum_{\substack{i+2j+k \geq u+1 \\ 0 \leq i \leq 1}} Kx^i y^j z^k, \quad \text{for all } u \geq 0.$$

From (21) and (18), we can show

$$(22) \quad y^t x^s \in \sum_{\substack{i+2j+k \geq s+2t \\ 0 \leq i \leq s}} Kx^i y^j z^k, \quad \text{for all } s, t \geq 0$$

by induction on  $s$ . Similarly, from (21) and (18),

$$(23) \quad z^u x^s \in \sum_{\substack{i+2j+k \geq s+u \\ 0 \leq i \leq s}} Kx^i y^j z^k, \quad \text{for all } s, u \geq 0.$$

Now, we shall prove that  $C_i = \{x^s y^t z^u \mid 0 \leq s, t \leq p-1, 0 \leq u \leq p^2-1, s+2t+u \geq i\}$  is a  $K$ -basis of  $J(KP)^i$  by induction on  $i$ . Put  $i \geq 2$ . By (19),  $C_i \subseteq J(KP)^i$ . As usual it is sufficient to show that  $(x^s y^t z^u)(x^{s'} y^{t'} z^{u'})$  can be written as a  $K$ -linear combination of  $C_i$  if  $0 \leq s, s', t, t' \leq p-1, 0 \leq u, u' \leq p^2-1, s+2t+u \geq 1$  and  $s'+2t'+u' \geq i-1$ . Using (23) and (22) we can show this. Hence  $t(P) = (p-1) + 2(p-1) + (p^2-1) + 1 = p^2 + 3p - 3$ .

(viii) We can put  $2 \leq f \leq p-1$ . Hence we have (17). Thus, just as in (vii), we obtain  $t(P) = p^2 + 3p - 3$ .

(ix) It is clear that

$$(24) \quad zy = yz, wz = zw, wy = yw \quad \text{and} \quad yx = xy.$$

Since

$$(25) \quad zx = xyz + xz + yz + xy + y,$$

$y \in J(KP)^2$ . Similarly, since

$$(26) \quad wx = xzw + xw + zw + xz + z,$$

$$(27) \quad z \in J(KP)^2.$$

From (25), (27) and  $y \in J(KP)^2$ , we have

$$(28) \quad y \in J(KP)^3.$$

It follows from (25) and (26) that

$$(29) \quad zx \in \sum_{\substack{i+3j+2k \geq 3 \\ 0 \leq i \leq 1}} Kx^i y^j z^k,$$

and

$$(30) \quad wx \in \sum_{\substack{i+2k+h \geq 2 \\ 0 \leq i \leq 1}} Kx^i z^k w^h,$$

respectively. From (24) and (29),

$$(31) \quad z^u x \in \sum_{\substack{i+3j+2k \geq 2u+1 \\ 0 \leq i \leq 1}} Kx^i y^j z^k, \text{ for all } u \geq 0.$$

Similarly, from (24) and (30), we have

$$(32) \quad w^v x \in \sum_{\substack{i+2k+h \geq v+1 \\ 0 \leq i \leq 1}} Kx^i z^k w^h, \text{ for all } v \geq 0.$$

By (31) and (24),

$$(33) \quad z^u x^s \in \sum_{\substack{i+3j+2k \geq s+2u \\ 0 \leq i \leq s}} Kx^i y^j z^k, \text{ for all } s, u \geq 0.$$

By (32), (31) and (24), we also have

$$(34) \quad w^v x^s \in \sum_{\substack{i+3j+2k+h \geq s+v \\ 0 \leq i \leq s}} Kx^i y^j z^k w^h, \text{ for all } s, v \geq 0.$$

As usual, by (24), (27), (28), (33) and (34), we can show that  $C_i = \{x^s y^t z^u w^v \mid 0 \leq s, t, u, v \leq p-1, s+3t+2u+v \geq i\}$  is a  $K$ -basis of  $J(KP)^i$ . So  $t(P) = (p-1) + 3(p-1) + 2(p-1) + (p-1) + 1 = 7p-6$ .

(x) Since  $x^p = y$ , it follows

$$(28') \quad y \in J(KP)^p.$$

Using (28') instead of (28), as in (ix), we can show that  $C_i = \{x^s y^t z^u w^v \mid 0 \leq s, t, u, v \leq p-1, s+pt+2u+v \geq i\}$  is a  $K$ -basis of  $J(KP)^i$ . Thus  $t(P) = (p-1) + p(p-1) + 2(p-1) + (p-1) + 1 = p^2 + 3p - 3$ .

(IV) (i)  $C_i = \{x^s y^t z^u \mid 0 \leq s, u \leq 2, 0 \leq t \leq 8, s+t+2u \geq i\}$  is a  $K$ -basis of  $J(KP)^i$ . Hence  $t(P) = 15$ .

(V) (i)-(iv) are easy by Theorem 1.6. (v) and (vi) are obtained from [6, Theorem] and (II). (vii), (viii) and (ix) follow from (iv) of (III), (v) of (III) and (vi) of (III), respectively.

**COROLLARY 2.2.** *For a  $p$ -group  $P$ , we have the followings.*

(I)  $t(P) = 4$  if and only if  $P$  is one of the following types;

(i)  $p = 2$  and  $P$  is a cyclic group of order  $2^3$ ,

(ii)  $p = 2$  and  $P$  is an elementary abelian group of order  $2^3$ .

- (II)  $t(P)=5$  if and only if  $P$  is one of the following types ;
- (i)  $p=2$  and  $P$  is an abelian group of type  $(2^2, 2)$ ,
  - (ii)  $p=2$  and  $P \cong D_8$ ,
  - (iii)  $p=2$  and  $P \cong Q_8$ ,
  - (iv)  $p=2$  and  $P$  is an elementary abelian group of order  $2^4$ ,
  - (v)  $p=3$  and  $P$  is an elementary abelian group of order  $3^2$ ,
  - (vi)  $p=5$  and  $P$  is a cyclic group of order 5.
- (III)  $t(P)=6$  if and only if  $P$  is one of the following types ;
- (i)  $p=2$  and  $P$  is an abelian group of type  $(2^2, 2, 2)$ ,
  - (ii)  $p=2$  and  $P$  is a direct product of  $D_8$  and a cyclic group of order 2,
  - (iii)  $p=2$  and  $P$  is a direct product of  $Q_8$  and a cyclic group of order 2,
  - (iv)  $p=2$  and  $P \cong \langle a, b, c \mid a^2 = b^2 = c^4 = 1, a^{-1}ba = bc^2, a^{-1}ca = c, b^{-1}cb = c \rangle$ ,
  - (v)  $p=2$  and  $P$  is an elementary abelian group of order  $2^5$ .

PROOF. The assertions are proved by [3, Theorem 3.7] (cf. [10, Lemma 2.3]), [7, Theorem 1], [6, Theorem] and Theorem 2.1.

REMARK 2. As noting in the proof of Corollary 1.7 it is seen that  $t(G)=t(P)$  for a  $p$ -solvable group  $G$  of  $p$ -length 1 with a  $p$ -Sylow subgroup  $P$ . Thus, by Corollary 2.2, we can have all  $p$ -solvable groups  $G$  of  $p$ -length 1 with  $t(G)=4, 5$  or 6.

### References

- [1] Clarke, R.J.: On the radical of the group algebra of a  $p$ -nilpotent group. J. Australian Math. Soc. **13** (1972), 119-123.
- [2] Huppert, B.: Endliche Gruppen I. Springer, Berlin, 1967.
- [3] Jennings, S.A.: The structure of the group ring of a  $p$ -group over a modular field. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **50** (1941), 175-185.
- [4] Koshitani, S.: Group rings of metacyclic  $p$ -groups. Proc. Japan Acad. **52** (1976), 269-272.
- [5] Morita, K.: On group rings over a modular field which possess radicals expressible as principal ideals. Sci. Rep. of Tokyo Bunrika Daigaku A4 (1951), 177-194.
- [6] Motose, K.: On C. Loncour's results. Proc. Japan Acad. **50** (1974), 570-571.
- [7] Motose, K. and Ninomiya, Y.: On the nilpotency index of the radical of a group algebra. Hokkaido Math. J. **4** (1975), 261-264.
- [8] Villamayor, O.E.: On the semisimplicity of group algebras II. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **10** (1959), 27-31.
- [9] Wallace, D.A.R.: Group algebras with radicals of square zero. Proc. Glasgow Math. Assoc. **5** (1962), 158-159.
- [10] Wallace, D.A.R.: Lower bounds for the radical of the group algebra of a finite  $p$ -soluble group. Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. **16** (1968/69), 127-134.