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A pulsed proton beam is capable of generating an acoustic wave when it is absorbed by a medium. This phenomenon suggests that the acoustic waveform produced may well include information on the three-dimensional (3D) dose distribution of the proton beam. We simulated acoustic waveforms by using a transmission model based on the Green function and the 3D dose distribution. There was reasonable agreement between the calculated and measured results. The results obtained confirm that the acoustic waveform includes information on the dose distribution. © 2007 American Association of Physicists in Medicine. [DOI: 10.1118/1.2767985]
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I. INTRODUCTION

Proton beam therapy is becoming an increasingly important tool because it is capable of producing a spatially localized maximum-dose region that can be utilized for the selective irradiation of a tumor. The depth of the peak dose depends on the beam energy and the tissue composition along the beam path. To ensure that the peak dose region is centered on the tumor in a patient, high accuracy is required in obtaining computed tomography images, in the treatment planning system, and irradiation equipment that are used and also in patient setup. Any errors might result in delivering an excessive dose to healthy tissue or an insufficient dose to the tumor. The most reliable verification for accurate irradiation is real-time in vivo imaging of the dose distribution in the patient during treatment. This is the goal of quality assurance for the proton beam therapy.

Some incident radiation beams, especially pulsed proton beams, generate an acoustic wave inside a medium.\textsuperscript{1-8} It has been reported that the amplitude of the acoustic signal is proportional to the beam current from a macroscopic viewpoint. Sulak et al.\textsuperscript{1} investigated the dependence of the signal amplitude on the beam diameter. However, from their results it is not possible to fully characterize the acoustic waveform, since the depth-dose distribution of protons (known as the Bragg peak) is too complex to be characterized only by a beam current or a beam diameter. We approached the problem from a microscopic rather than a macroscopic viewpoint and assumed that the acoustic pressure generated in a local region is proportional to the local energy deposited by the proton dose distribution. Based on this assumption, it is reasonable to consider that the acoustic waveform contains information on the three-dimensional (3D) dose distribution. The shape of the acoustic wave can be calculated by summing the pressures which propagated from each local point to the measurement point. To verify the validity of this assumption, we calculated the acoustic waveform by using a transmission model which uses the Green function and the 3D dose distribution. We then compared the results obtained using this model with actual experimental measurements.

II. METHODS AND MATERIALS

II.A. Detection of the acoustic wave

Detection of the acoustic waves was carried out in the vertical beam line at the branch facility of the Proton Medical Research Center, University of Tsukuba in the High Energy Accelerator Research Organization (KEK). The proton beam delivered from a 500 MeV booster-synchrotron was degraded down to 230 MeV by passing through a carbon energy degrader. Therefore, the energy distribution of protons and the width of the Bragg peak spread more than a
monochromatic beam having the same range. The width and interval of the beam pulses were 50 ns and 50 ms, respectively. To obtain up to 200 mm × 200 mm irradiation field of uniform intensity at therapy table, proper combinations of the scatterer and the ring scatterer were selected. The field uniformity of the open beam was controlled within ±2.5% error for treatment. In this experiment, the positions of the Bragg peak in water were variable over the range of 5–65 mm using a binary range shifter made of ABS resin. The absorbed dose rate in water at the Bragg peak was approximately 4 mGy/pulse.

Figure 1 shows a schematic diagram of experimental setup. A Langevin type hydrophone (model ST-8005, Oki Electric industry Co., Ltd.) was used to detect a train of acoustic pulses generated by the pulsed proton beams. The shape of the hydrophone is a cylinder of 15 mm in length and 6 mm in diameter (embedded in a rubber sheath of 10 mm in diameter). The sensitivity of the hydrophone is axially homogeneous, and is −200 dB in the frequency range between 100 Hz and 70 kHz, where the sensitivity 0 dB corresponds to 1 V/mPa. The hydrophone was placed at depths of 90 or 140 mm in water. Detected signals were amplified 10 000 times and filtered by a low-pass filter (LPF) for noise cut, with a cutoff frequency of 83 kHz, before being accumulated 1000 times and averaged in a digital synchroscope (type 9400, LeCroy Co., Ltd.). The synchroscope was triggered by the “kicker pulses” of the proton synchrotron which were synchronized with the time of beam extraction.

II.B. Calculation of 3D dose distribution from measured 2D dose distribution

Since the time resolution of acoustic detection (1 μs) results in a limited spatial resolution (estimated to be 1.5 mm by taking the speed of sound in water to be 1500 m/s), we need to achieve 3D dose measurements having at least the same resolution. Scanning a pin-point detector was judged to be a very time-consuming method for measuring the approximately 135 million points in a 200 mm × 200 mm × 100 mm field. Instead, we chose to use an imaging plate (IP) which is widely used to detect two-dimensional (2D) images formed by ionizing radiation. By creating an axially symmetric dose distribution about the beam axis using a collimator having a 100 mm × 100 mm aperture, it was possible to detect a 2D dose distribution using the IP and then calculate the 3D dose distribution from it.

The setup for the IP measurement is shown in Fig. 2. An IP (BAS-III 2025, Fuji Film Co., Ltd.) having dimensions 200 mm × 250 mm was sandwiched between two slanted (θ=10°) polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA) holders to prevent the IP from affecting the proton range. The stopping power of PMMA relative to water is 1.16. The equivalent depth in water $Z_{\text{water}}$ can be calculated using the following equation:

$$Z_{\text{water}} = 1.16Z_{\text{IP}} \cos \theta,$$

(1)

where $Z_{\text{IP}}$ is the depth in the slanted plane of the PMMA obtained by measuring the profile on the IP.

A Cartesian coordinate system $(x, y, z)$ was used in this study. The $x$ and $y$ directions are perpendicular to the beam axis while the $z$ coordinate is the depth in water in the direction of beam. Although the measured dose image of the slanted IP $D_{2D}(x, z_{\text{IP}})$ did not lie in the $x-z$ plane, the image $D_{2D}(x, z_{\text{water}})$ could be regarded as lying in the $x-z$ plane since the distribution was laterally almost uniform over the area of the IP. The uniformity of the collimated 100 mm × 100 mm field was estimated within about ±1.25% error by the uniformity of the open beam. Therefore, in this axially symmetric distribution case, the 3D dose matrix $D_{3D}(x, y, z)$ was calculated from the following equation:

$$D_{3D}(x, y, z) = \frac{D_{2D}(x, z)D_{2D}(y, z)}{D_{2D}(b, z)},$$

(2)

where $b$ is the point corresponding to the position of the beam axis and is equal to $x/2$. 
II.C. Acoustic wave transmission model

The acoustic pressure \( p(r', t) \) propagated from an inhomogeneous source is given by the following equation which means summation of the Green function:\textsuperscript{1,3,7}

\[
p(r', t) = \frac{\alpha}{4\pi C_p} \int \frac{dV}{R} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} q \left( r, t - \frac{R}{c} \right),
\]

where \( q(r, t) \) is the excess heat per unit volume at the source point \( r(x, y, z) \), \( c \) is the speed of sound, \( \alpha \) is the thermal expansion coefficient, \( C_p \) is the specific heat capacity of the medium, and

\[
R = \sqrt{(x - x')^2 + (y - y')^2 + (z - z')^2}
\]

is the distance from the source to an observation point \( r'(x', y', z') \).

Since the beam pulse width \((50 \times 10^{-9} \text{ s})\) and the stopping time for MeV protons in a medium \((\sim 10^{-10} \text{ s})\) are much shorter than the acquisition time for an acoustic wave \((\sim 10^{-5} \text{ s})\), the energy deposition can be considered to be instantaneous. Thus, the heating rate can be regarded as being proportional to the dose deposition\textsuperscript{7}

\[
\dot{q}(r, t) \propto D(r) \delta(t),
\]

where \( D(r) \) is the dose deposition and \( \delta \) is the Dirac delta function. Therefore, Eq. (3) is proportional to the expression given below and we can calculate the waveform using the 3D dose data

\[
p(r', t) \approx \frac{\alpha}{4\pi C_p} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int \frac{dV}{R} D(r) \delta \left( t - \frac{R}{c} \right).
\]

The attenuation effect of sound in water is neglected in Eq. (6) since the \(1/e\) attenuation length is estimated to be over 400 km for the frequency range of acoustic waves.

The wave that was reflected at the water surface was observed in the experiment. To reproduce the acoustic waveform, a hypothetical negative 3D dose distribution was introduced above the surface (see Fig. 3), since the sign of the pressure is reversed on reflection at the free surface.\textsuperscript{14} The reflected wave at the wall of tank was ignored because the distance from hydrophone to the wall (300 mm) was over two times longer than that to the water surface (90 or 140 mm). Therefore, two waves from both boundaries were separated perfectly. We were not concerned with observing the waves reflected from the wall in this study.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

III.A. Calculation of 3D dose distribution from measured 2D dose distribution

Two-dimensional dose distributions for several proton energies were measured to a spatial resolution of 0.80 mm spatial resolution using an IP. The resolution in the \( z \) direction was 0.92 mm when the measurement depth in PMMA was converted into a water-equivalent depth using Eq. (1).

Photostimulated luminescence (PSL) signal detected by an IP is not proportional to a depth-dose profile of a proton beam detected by an ionization chamber in the high linear-energy-transfer region due to quenching effects.\textsuperscript{11,12} The quenching factor which is defined as a decrease ratio of PSL signal to the dose is depth-dependent obviously.\textsuperscript{11} The quenching factor depends on the depth-dependent energy distribution of protons. Thus modulating a quenching factor measured for a monochromatic proton beam makes it possible to calculate briefly the depth-dose curve for the energy-spread proton beam at KEK. A 125 MeV proton beam, which range is 87 mm at the proton synchrotron in the hospital of university of Tsukuba, was selected as a monochromatic proton beam. The depth-dependent quenching factor was measured by the IPs and a parallel-plate ionization chamber (PPIC). The quenching factor at the Bragg peak is 11.9%. As shown in Fig. 4, several PSL curves, which have different ranges, were calculated by shifting and modulating the PSL curve for 125 MeV protons. The modulation weights of PSL curves were optimized by the least square method to fit the stacked PSL curve to the PSL curve measured at KEK. These modulation weights and several range-shifted depth-dose curves for the 125 MeV proton beam provided the virtual depth-dose curve, corresponding to the depth-dose curve.
measured by PPIC at KEK, and the quenching factor for energy-spread proton beam. Figure 4 shows the result. The quenching factor calculated at the Bragg peak is 8.0% in the error of 1%. However, it must be reminded that this correction is an approximation.

The 3D dose distributions were calculated by the 2D dose distributions. Figures 5(a) and 5(b) show the results obtained.

III.B. Calculation of the acoustic waveforms

As shown in Fig. 3, the imaginary components of the 3D dose distributions were added above the water surface of the original 3D dose distributions in order to calculate the effect of pressure reflection at the water surface. The dimensions of the 3D matrices for $D_{3D}(x,y,z)$ are $256 \times 256 \times 240$. 

Fig. 4. Depth dose and quenching factor. The amount of the modulation weights of range-shifted PSL curves and depth doses from 125 MeV proton beam are relative. As a reference, the quenching factor, which was simply scaled down from Ref. 11, and the calculated dose by 10% quenching factor at the Bragg peak were shown.

Fig. 5. Calculated 3D dose distribution for the Bragg curve: (a) Image in the $x-z$ plane and depth dose profile on beam axis and (b) image in the $x-y$ plane and lateral dose profile at the Bragg peak. Profiles before and after 3D calculation are represented by solid lines and dotted lines, respectively.
At first, using these 3D dose data, the integral part of Eq. (6) was calculated by summing it by the Green function proportional to the local dose as a function of the traveling time to the hydrophone position (see Fig. 6). Secondary, the waveform was obtained by taking the derivative of the integral. The effect of the LPF for noise cut was simulated by multiplying the Fourier-transformed waveform by the frequency function of the LPF and carrying out the inverse Fourier transform.

To calculate the acoustic waveform strictly in the processes mentioned above, the influence of the point-detector assumption on the calculation should be considered. Three waveforms are shown in Fig. 7 for (a) one point at the center of the detector, (b) five distributed points in axis of the detector and averaged them, and (c) 300 distributed points and averaged them, in which the size of each element is equal to the voxel size of 3D dose matrix. Since there are no significant differences, we adopted method (b).

Figure 8 shows influence of the correction for the PSL quenching on the waveform. The influence of the estimation error for the correction is as small as 1%.

Calculation results with measured waveforms are shown in Fig. 9. Figures 9(a)–9(c) show the influence of the proton range. Figures 9(d)–9(f) show the influence of off-axis measurement on the waveform. Figures 9(a) and 9(d) show the influence of detector position also. The calculated results are in good agreement to the experimental results in all cases. It is proven that the timing structure of acoustic wave can be calculated very well from the 3D dose distributions. There is validity in our assumption that the acoustic pressure generated in a local region is proportional to the local energy deposited. Thus the acoustic waveform contains information on the 3D dose distribution.

However, a quantitative discussion is difficult from these results. If a perfect 3D reconstruction method was applied to this inverse problem, the quantitative comparison would be performed. However, in general, it is necessary to position a very large number of detectors in an enclosed 3D space since the acoustic signal is emitted in all directions and this is far from straightforward to do.
Hayakawa et al.\(^8\) have reported that the acoustic signal could also be measured at the surface of the patient’s body when it is irradiated by the pulsed proton beam during treatment. This holds out the possibility of verifying the dose distribution during treatment, although several difficulties (such as the scattering and the absorption of the acoustic wave \textit{in vivo}) would need to be overcome before this could become a reality.

**IV. CONCLUSION**

Acoustic waveforms have been calculated from 3D dose distributions using a transmission model based on the Green function. In all cases, the calculated results agreed well with the experimental results. These results confirm that the acoustic waveform includes information on 3D dose distribution.

---
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