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One of the interesting features of the photoemission spectra of the high temperature cuprate superconductors is the presence of a large signal (referred to as the “background”) in the unoccupied region of the Brillouin zone. Here we present data indicating that the background signal is a component of the photocurrent that is separate from the spectral function and is most likely due to strong scattering of the photoelectrons. We also present an analytical method that can be used to subtract the background signal.
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Angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES) has provided a unique insight into the electronic structure of the high temperature superconductors.1 Information from ARPES about the Fermi surface, the symmetry of the superconducting gap and pseudogap, as well as the many-body interactions and their energy scales, have stimulated a number of theoretical papers. As a result of recent technological advances in electron optics, even more precise information is now being obtained. In order to proceed with a detailed analysis of such data, however, one needs to understand some subtle properties of the ARPES spectra and be able to separate intrinsic features from extrinsic ones.

Early ARPES experiments on high temperature superconductors revealed an unusually large signal outside of the occupied region of the Brillouin zone, sometimes referred to as the “background.” Its origin has been debated for a long time, with some suggesting it to be an intrinsic property2,3 (incoherent part of the spectral function), while others regard it as an extrinsic effect.4–7 If the background signal is extrinsic (e.g., due to photoelectron scattering), it would be a source of contamination of the signal inside of the Fermi surface as well. Answering this question is thus quite important, since it impacts our understanding of the nature of the many-body interactions in the cuprates as well as a quantitative analysis of ARPES data.

Here we present ARPES data collected at the Synchrotron Radiation Center undulator 4m NIM beamline using both Scienta SES200 and SES50 analyzers. The optimally doped thin-film samples of Bi2212 were grown using an rf sputtering technique, while the optimally doped single-crystal samples were grown in a floating zone furnace. To obtain quantitative information about the intensity, we divide each spectrum by the acquisition time and the photon flux, measured by a Ni mesh at the entrance of the experimental chamber.

We show data illustrating the background signal in Fig. 1. Panels (a) and (c) display ARPES data going from the occupied states (top) to well beyond the Fermi momentum \(k_F\) along the \((1,0)\)–\((1,1)\) direction and a parallel cut through the nodal Fermi point \((0.38,0.38)\), respectively (momentum values are in \(\pi/a\) units). The spectra far beyond \(k_F\) show very little momentum dependence, yet they retain quite a significant intensity compared to the spectra inside the Fermi surface. This can easily be seen by examining panels (b) and (d), where we show the bottom 20 energy distribution curves (EDCs) from each cut. The background lineshape strongly resembles that of the Fermi function, including its temperature dependence. The data in panel (b) were taken at 200 K, while data in panel (d) were measured at 50 K. One can easily see that the width of the background leading edge increases with temperature. Interestingly, the midpoint of this leading edge in the superconducting state is shifted from the chemical potential towards higher binding energy by approximately the same amount as the midpoint shift of the antinode (maximum superconducting gap) spectra at the Fermi momentum. This occurs even along the diagonal direction where the gap in the spectral function at \(k_F\) (the node) is zero [Fig. 1(e)].

To test whether the observed background is an intrinsic part of the spectral function, we utilize the fact that spectra representing a single spectral function scale in exactly the same way at different \(k\) points as a function of photon energy. We have therefore performed measurements at various photon energies and compare spectra measured inside the Fermi surface with the ones well outside, which represent the background. If the background is an intrinsic part of the spectral function, then the intensities of both should scale the same way with photon energy for two sufficiently close values of momenta. This conclusion is easily drawn from inspecting the intrinsic part of the ARPES intensity, given as
\[ I = M(hv,k)A(k,\omega)f(\omega), \]  

(1)  

\[ I = M_1(hv,k)A(k,\omega)f(\omega) + M_2(hv,k)B(k,\omega)f(\omega), \]  

(2)

where \( M(hv,k) \) is the dipole matrix element, \( A(k,\omega) \) the spectral function, and \( f(\omega) \) the Fermi function. This form is valid if there is only one energy band present along the \((0,0)-(1,1)\) direction, as expected for the cuprates. In this case, the matrix element is expected to vary slowly as a function of momentum, and the lineshape of the EDCs at all \( k \) points to scale the same way with photon energy, since the spectral function itself does not depend on photon energy. If, on the other hand, the background is due to an extrinsic effect, spectra inside the Fermi surface do not scale with photon energy, since in this case, the ARPES intensity is given by

In Fig. 2(a), we plot the spectra obtained at various photon energies both inside and outside the Fermi surface along the \((0,0)-(1,1)\) direction of optimally doped Bi2212. From these data, we conclude that the weight of an EDC is much larger inside \( k_F \) than outside at a photon energy of 18 eV, whereas they become comparable at 15 or 20 eV. In order to obtain a quantitative comparison, in Fig. 2(b) we plot directly the energy integrated intensity for both curves at each photon energy. Here one can see that the integrated intensity
both inside and outside $k_F$ peaks at about 18 eV, indicating that the two are related; however, they are not proportional to each other. The occurrence of the maximum in the intensity at 18 eV is known to be due to matrix elements and is in good agreement with theoretical calculations.\(^5\) To examine the relation between the two intensities in more detail, we plot the ratio of signal to background, $k$ labels in panel (a) are in $\pi/a$ units. (d) Photon energy dependence of the momentum distribution curves along the nodal direction at $\omega = -30$ meV normalized at the $k$ point, indicated by the arrow. (e) Same data as in panel (d), after background subtraction and normalization to the area under the curve.

The insets of panel (a). This can only be explained if the ARPES intensity is a sum of two independent components, as in Eq. (2).

Similar conclusions can be reached by analyzing momentum distribution curves (MDCs). In panel (d), we plot MDC data at a binding energy of 30 eV obtained at the same photon energies as in panel (a). These data were normalized outside the Fermi surface, at the momentum indicated by the arrow, and it is easy to see that the data do not scale close to the MDC peak position. When the background is subtracted and data normalized to the area under the curves (panel (e)), then the MDC peak lineshape becomes independent of the photon energy, suggesting that this part of the data is indeed due to a single-component spectral function.

The next question we should try to answer is whether the origin of the background signal. At this stage, we do not have a definite answer. However, we believe that scattering of photoelectrons is the most likely cause. These compounds have very short escape depths of 3–5 Å.\(^9\) The CuO plane, from which electrons with energies close to the chemical potential originate, is located some 12 Å below the sample surface. One would therefore expect a large number of photoelectrons to undergo scattering, leading to a loss of momentum information. In contrast, in the case of simple metals, the photoelectrons originate from the topmost layer. Therefore, even if the escape depth is the same, fewer electrons will undergo scattering, leading to a much smaller background signal. This hypothesis is supported by two properties of the background: the existence of the shift of the background leading edge towards higher binding energies from the chemical potential in the superconducting state [Fig. 1(e)], and the fact that the maximum intensity of the background occurs at the same photon energy (18 eV) as the maximum intensity of the intrinsic signal [Fig. 2(b)]. These observations are consistent with the scattering scenario, which suggests that the background will result from a momentum averaging of all the photoelectrons, and all electrons near the Fermi energy have roughly the same characteristics. Therefore, if a maximum in the intensity occurs at some photon energy in a specific part of the Brillouin zone due to the matrix elements, a much smaller maximum in the background intensity will be observed, as the latter involves some type of zone averaging. Moreover, the Fermi-function-like behavior of the background signal reveals that the scattering that gives rise to it is likely elastic in origin. The fact that the background is not due to secondaries was discussed in our earlier paper.\(^9\)

In the last part of the paper, we will concentrate on a method for subtracting the background from the data. Previously proposed methods, each with its own advantages and disadvantages, were all based on analyzing EDCs. Some involve subtracting a curve located outside and far from $k_F$ from all EDCs, while others treat the background as a fitting parameter.\(^6,7\) Here we present a method that takes advantage of analyzing the data in terms of MDCs.\(^10\) In this method, the MDC lineshape is fit by a Lorentzian plus a linear component of the form $a + bk$. The Lorentzian is, of course, part of the spectral function and can be used for further analysis. Here we are interested in the linear component that constitutes the background. The method is illustrated in Fig. 3. The
MDC lineshape at various binding energies is fit by a Lorentzian plus a line. Then, for a given \( k \) point, the magnitude of the linear component is plotted as a function of energy, revealing the shape of the background at momentum vector 0.65 \( \pi/a \).

Moreover, our MDC analysis shines additional light on the question surrounding the nature of the background. We define the background in Fig. 3 as the flat, nearly \( k \)-independent part of the spectra from which the Lorentzian — due to the spectral function — arises. We note that theoretical calculations based on fractionalized electrons\(^1\) also show such a Lorentzian for the MDC profile, but with no flat background, as we find in the actual data. This behavior is expected from the kinematic constraints imposed on the photohole by the dispersion relations of the spinons and holons, which again argues in favor of an extrinsic origin for the background. On the other hand, it has been proposed in Ref. 3 that a \( k \)-independent piece of the spectral function exists in spin-charge separated systems if the holons are strongly scattered. More theoretical work will be necessary to determine the precise origin of the background signal.

In summary, we have shown that the background present in the ARPES spectra of high temperature cuprate superconductors is a component of the photocurrent that is separate from the spectral function and most likely due to elastic scattering of the photoelectrons. We have also proposed a method for background subtraction that is more accurate than previously used methods.
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