

Complications Associated With Spine Surgery in Patients Aged 80 Years or Older: Japan Association of Spine Surgeons with Ambition (JASA) Multicenter Study

著者別名	安部 哲哉, 山崎 正志
journal or publication title	Global spine journal
volume	7
number	7
page range	636-641
year	2017-07
権利	(C)The Author(s) 2017 Reprints and permission: sagepub.com/journalsPermissions.nav This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 License (http://www.creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work as published without adaptation or alteration, without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and Open Access pages (https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage).
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2241/00150616

doi: 10.1177/2192568217716144

Complications Associated With Spine Surgery in Patients Aged 80 Years or Older: Japan Association of Spine Surgeons with Ambition (JASA) Multicenter Study

Global Spine Journal
2017, Vol. 7(7) 636-641
© The Author(s) 2017
Reprints and permission:
sagepub.com/journalsPermissions.nav
DOI: 10.1177/2192568217716144
journals.sagepub.com/home/gsj



Kazuyoshi Kobayashi, MD¹, Shiro Imagama, MD¹, Kei Ando, MD¹, Naoki Ishiguro, MD¹, Masaomi Yamashita, MD², Yawara Eguchi, MD³, Morio Matsumoto, MD⁴, Ken Ishii, MD⁴, Tomohiro Hikata, MD⁴, Shoji Seki, MD⁵, Hidetomi Terai, MD⁶, Akinobu Suzuki, MD⁶, Koji Tamai, MD⁶, Masaaki Aramomi, MD⁷, Tetsuhiro Ishikawa, MD⁷, Atsushi Kimura, MD⁸, Hirokazu Inoue, MD⁸, Gen Inoue, MD⁹, Masayuki Miyagi, MD⁹, Wataru Saito, MD⁹, Kei Yamada, MD¹⁰, Michio Hongo, MD¹¹, Hirosuke Nishimura, MD¹², Hidekazu Suzuki, MD¹², Atsushi Nakano, MD¹³, Kazuyuki Watanabe, MD¹⁴, Hirotaka Chikuda, MD¹⁵, Junichi Ohya, MD¹⁵, Yasuchika Aoki, MD¹⁶, Masayuki Shimizu, MD¹⁷, Toshimasa Futatsugi, MD¹⁷, Keiji Mukaiyama, MD¹⁷, Masaichi Hasegawa, MD¹⁸, Katsuhito Kiyasu, MD¹⁹, Haku Iizuka, MD²⁰, Yoichi Iizuka, MD²⁰, Ryoichi Kobayashi, MD²⁰, Kotaro Nishida, MD²¹, Kenichiro Kakutani, MD²¹, Hideaki Nakajima, MD²², Hideki Murakami, MD²³, Satoru Demura, MD²³, Satoshi Kato, MD²³, Katsuhito Yoshioka, MD²³, Takashi Namikawa, MD²⁴, Kei Watanabe, MD^{25,26}, Kazuyoshi Nakanishi, MD²⁷, Yukihiro Nakagawa, MD²⁸, Mitsunori Yoshimoto, MD²⁹, Hiroyasu Fujiwara, MD³⁰, Norihiro Nishida, MD³¹, Yasuaki Imajo, MD³¹, Masashi Yamazaki, MD³², Masataka Sakane, MD³², Tetsuya Abe, MD³², Kengo Fujii, MD³², Takashi Kaito, MD³³, Takeo Furuya, MD³⁴, Sumihisa Orita, MD³⁴, and Seiji Ohtori, MD³⁴

¹ Nagoya University, Nagoya, Japan

² Japan Community Health Care Organization Funabashi Central Hospital, Chiba, Japan

³ Shimoshizu National Hospital, Chiba, Japan

⁴ Keio University School of Medicine, Tokyo, Japan

⁵ University of Toyama, Toyama, Japan

⁶ Osaka City University, Osaka, Japan

⁷ Sanmu Medical Center, Chiba, Japan

⁸ Jichi Medical University, Tochigi, Japan

⁹ Kitasato University, Kanagawa, Japan

¹⁰ Kurume University, Fukuoka, Japan

¹¹ Akita University, Akita, Japan

¹² Tokyo Medical University, Tokyo, Japan

¹³ Osaka Medical College, Osaka, Japan

¹⁴ Fukushima Medical University, Fukushima, Japan

¹⁵ The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan

¹⁶ Eastern Chiba Medical Center, Togane, Japan

¹⁷ Shinshu University, Nagano, Japan

¹⁸ Kyorin University, Tokyo, Japan

¹⁹ Kochi University, Kochi, Japan

²⁰ Gunma University Graduate School of Medicine, Gunma, Japan

²¹ Kobe University, Hyogo, Japan

²² University of Fukui, Fukui, Japan

²³ Kanazawa University, Kanazawa, Ishikawa, Japan

²⁴ Osaka City General Hospital, Osaka, Japan

²⁵ Niigata University, Niigata, Japan

²⁶ Sado General Hospital, Niigata, Japan

²⁷ Hiroshima University, Hiroshima, Japan

²⁸ Wakayama Medical University, Wakayama, Japan

²⁹ Sapporo Medical University, Hokkaido, Japan

³⁰ Osaka-Minami Medical Center, Osaka, Japan

³¹ Yamaguchi University Graduate School of Medicine, Yamaguchi, Japan

³² University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Ibaraki, Japan

³³ Osaka University Graduate School, Osaka, Japan

³⁴ Chiba University, Chiba, Japan

Corresponding Author:

Shiro Imagama, Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Nagoya University Graduate School of Medicine, 65 Tsurumai Showa-ward, Aichi 466-8550, Japan.
Email: imagama@med.nagoya-u.ac.jp



Abstract

Study Design: Retrospective study of registry data.

Objectives: Aging of society and recent advances in surgical techniques and general anesthesia have increased the demand for spinal surgery in elderly patients. Many complications have been described in elderly patients, but a multicenter study of perioperative complications in spinal surgery in patients aged 80 years or older has not been reported. Therefore, the goal of the study was to analyze complications associated with spine surgery in patients aged 80 years or older with cervical, thoracic, or lumbar lesions.

Methods: A multicenter study was performed in patients aged 80 years or older who underwent 262 spinal surgeries at 35 facilities. The frequency and severity of complications were examined for perioperative complications, including intraoperative and postoperative complications, and for major postoperative complications that were potentially life threatening, required reoperation in the perioperative period, or left a permanent injury.

Results: Perioperative complications occurred in 75 of the 262 surgeries (29%) and 33 were major complications (13%). In multivariate logistic regression, age over 85 years (hazard ratio [HR] = 1.007, $P = 0.025$) and estimated blood loss ≥ 500 g (HR = 3.076, $P = .004$) were significantly associated with perioperative complications, and an operative time ≥ 180 min (HR = 2.78, $P = .007$) was significantly associated with major complications.

Conclusions: Elderly patients aged 80 years or older with comorbidities are at higher risk for complications. Increased surgical invasion, and particularly a long operative time, can cause serious complications that may be life threatening. Therefore, careful decisions are required with regard to the surgical indication and procedure in elderly patients.

Keywords

elderly, complications, spine surgery, risk factor

Introduction

Aging of society and recent advances in surgical techniques and general anesthesia have increased the demand for spinal surgery in elderly patients. However, many perioperative complications occur in these patients. Some studies have indicated a concern of increased morbidity and have cautioned against spinal surgery in the elderly,¹⁻⁵ whereas others have reported low complication rates in this population and provided support for spinal surgery.⁶⁻⁸ In general, the term “elderly” is accepted to mean age ≥ 65 years,⁹⁻¹¹ but with rapid aging of society, extremely elderly patients aged over 80 years now undergo spinal surgery,¹²⁻¹⁵ mostly for lumbar lesions. However, a multicenter study of perioperative complications has not been reported in such patients, including those with cervical, thoracic, and lumbar lesions.

Complications range from mild to severe, and many are resolved by appropriate treatment without leaving sequelae, but some are life threatening. Thus, in this study, we classified complications as those that required reoperation or left permanent injury as major complications, and all perioperative complications, including those that did not significantly alter the course of treatment, as minor complications. All perioperative complications in all lesions for patients older than 80 years were identified through a retrospective review of a multicenter database. The frequency and severity of complications were analyzed and the risk for complications was examined using patient-specific and surgical factors.

Methods

Demographic Data


The study was performed by JASA (Japan Association of Spine Surgeons with Ambition) using a retrospective analysis of patients aged ≥ 80 years treated with spinal surgery at 35 facilities in 2014. The surgery data was included for the period from January 2010 to December 2013. A total of 262 such patients were identified, including 122 males and 140 females. The characteristics of the patients are shown in Table 1. Age ranged from 80 to 91 years, with a mean of 83 years (Figure 1). Lesions were cervical in 74 patients (28%), thoracic in 13 (5%), and lumbar in 175 (67%) (Table 2). Diagnoses included lumbar spinal canal stenosis (LSCS) due to spondylosis in 132 patients, cervical spondylotic myelopathy in 56, LSCS due to spondylolisthesis in 21, thoracic-lumbar compression fracture in 19, cervical ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament (OPLL) in 6, pyogenic spondylitis in 5, and others in 23 (Table 2). The average length of hospital stay (LOS) was 38 ± 19 days. The mean operative time was 171 minutes, mean estimated blood loss (EBL) was 289 mL, and 87 patients underwent fusion surgeries with instrumentation (Table 1). The institutional review board approved the study.

Definitions of Risk Factors and Medical Comorbidities

The risk for postoperative complications was examined by comparing background factors in patients with and without

Table 1. Characteristics of the 262 Cases.

Item	Value (Range)
Demographic	
Age (years)	83 (80-91)
Sex, male/female (n/n)	122/140
Previous spinal surgery (n)	47
Body mass index (kg/m ²)	23.3 (14-33)
Disease duration (years)	3.6 (0.1-55)
Smoking status (n)	4
Drug use	
Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs)	122
Opioids	18
Osteoporosis agents	68
Anticoagulants	58
Comorbidity	
Hypertension	107
Preexisting neoplasm	45
Diabetes	40
Cerebrovascular disease	20
Operative factor	
Operative time (minutes)	171 (34-615)
Estimated blood loss (mL)	289 (5-6500)
Fusion with instrumentation (n)	87

**Figure 1.** Bar graph showing the population stratified by age.

complications using univariate and multivariate analysis. These factors included age, gender, previous spinal surgery (resurgery at the same index level), body mass index (BMI), disease duration, smoking status, drug use (nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs [NSAIDs], opioids, osteoporosis agents, and anticoagulants), comorbidities (hypertension, preexisting neoplasm, diabetes, and cerebrovascular disease), and operative factors (operative time, EBL, and fusion with instrumentation).

Outcome Variables

Two types of complications were defined—those related to surgery and all postoperative major and minor complications, which were collectively defined as perioperative complications; and postoperative major complications only. Major complications were defined as those that were potentially life

Table 2. Diseases and Lesions in 262 Cases.

Disease and surgical lesion	n (%)
LSCS (lumbar spondylosis)	132 (50)
Cervical spondylotic myelopathy	56 (21)
LSCS (spondylolisthesis)	21 (8)
Thoracic-lumbar compression fracture	19 (7.5)
Cervical OPLL	6 (2)
Pyogenic spondylitis	5 (2)
Others	23 (9)
Cervical	74 (28)
Thoracic	13 (5)
Lumbar	175 (67)

Abbreviations: LSCS, lumbar spinal canal stenosis; OPLL, ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament.

threatening, required reoperation in the perioperative period, or left a permanent injury. These included cardiac, urinary, renal, and wound-related complications, and hemorrhage or hematoma complicating a procedure. Minor complications were those events that required additional intervention, but did not significantly alter the course of treatment.¹⁶ A simple questionnaire was given to all patients after hospital discharge to examine patient satisfaction after surgery, using a 5-point scale: 1 = not satisfied at all, 2 = not much satisfaction, 3 = neither satisfied nor dissatisfied, 4 = some satisfaction, and 5 = major satisfaction.

Statistical Analysis

Descriptive statistics were calculated for demographic variables. Differences between 2 groups were analyzed by Mann-Whitney *U* test or Student's *t* test, and those between 3 groups by Kruskal-Wallis test. A multivariable logistic regression model was constructed for multivariate analysis using variables with $P < .05$ in univariate analysis. Multivariate hazard ratios (HRs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) are reported. All analyses were conducted using SPSS version 22 for Windows (IBM, Armonk, NY). $P < .05$ was considered to be significant in all analyses.

Results

Perioperative complications, including major and minor complications, occurred in 75 of the 262 cases (29%). These included 16 intraoperative and 59 postoperative complications. The 5 common perioperative complications were delirium, screw malposition, epidural hemorrhage, surgical site infection (SSI), and urinary infection (Table 3). There were 33 major complications (13%) that were potentially life threatening, required reoperation, or required an extended hospitalization period, with the 3 most common being epidural hemorrhage, SSI, and urinary infection (Table 3). There was no perioperative mortality. The rates of complications for cervical and thoracic lesion were higher than that for lumbar lesions, but the incidence for each lesion did not differ significantly (Table 4). All complications occurred during the period of initial hospitalization, and there were no readmission cases. The LOSs were

Table 3. Details of Perioperative Complications (n = 75) and Major Complications (n = 33).

Complication	No. of Cases
Intraoperative complication (n = 16)	
Screw malposition	8
Dural tear	5
Root injury	2
Peritoneal injury	1
Postoperative complication (n = 59)	
Delirium	15
Epidural hemorrhage ^a	7
Surgical site infection ^a	7
Urinary infection ^a	6
Cerebral infarction ^a	3
Transient muscle weakness	3
Renal dysfunction ^a	2
C5 palsy	2
Systemic edema ^a	2
Liver dysfunction ^a	2
Respiration disorder ^a	2
Acute myocardial infarction ^a	1
Drug rash	1
Arrhythmia	1
Angina ^a	1
Hypotension	1
Infected with flu virus	1
Crural neuralgia	1
Adjacent vertebral fracture	1

^aIndicates a major complication (n=33).

36 ± 19 days without complication, 38 ± 20 days with complication, and 48 ± 17 days with major complication, with a significant difference between the patients with major complications compared with the other 2 groups ($P < .01$). For patient satisfaction after surgery, the rates of scores 4 or 5 (indicating satisfaction) were 55% (41/75) in the complication group and 89% (166/187) in the no complication group, with a significant difference between these groups ($P < .01$).

The results of univariate and multivariate analyses are shown in Tables 5 and 6, respectively. In univariate analysis, age >85 years ($P = .027$), cerebrovascular disease ($P = .018$), longer operation time ($P = .046$), and greater EBL ≥500 g ($P = .001$) were significantly related to all perioperative complications (Table 5); and anticoagulant use ($P = .028$), diabetes ($P = .026$), and operative time >180 minutes ($P = .015$) were significantly related to major complications (Table 5). In multivariate logistic regression, age >85 years (HR = 1.007, 95% CI = 1.001-1.009; $P = .025$) and EBL ≥500 g (HR = 3.076, 95% CI = 1.425-6.641; $P = .004$) were significantly associated with perioperative complications; and operative time >180 minutes (HR = 2.78, 95% CI = 1.317-5.917; $P = .007$) was significantly associated with major complications (Table 6).

Discussion

Demographic data indicates that there are 9.3 million people in Japan who are more than 80 years old, equivalent to 7.3% of the

total population, and this cohort is projected to increase to 14.5% by 2035.¹⁷ In ultra-aging countries, the demand for spinal surgery in elderly patients has grown in recent years.^{14,15}

Complications in lumbar surgeries for patients over 65 years of age have been reported to occur at rates of 3% to 29%,^{1,2,4,6,18-21} and older age, comorbidities, blood loss, operative time, and number of levels increase the rate of complications.^{1,3,15,22} For patients over 80 years of age, 2 studies of lumbar surgeries have found complication rates of 20% with a relationship with length of intensive care unit stay.²³ In 26% of lumbar decompression cases in patients aged over 80 years, a general state of mental confusion was observed after surgery for several days, but was fully reversible, and there was no permanent morbidity or perioperative death.²⁴ These studies focused on lumbar surgeries, and there has been no multicenter study in extremely elderly patients after cervical and thoracic surgeries. In our series with all lesions, the rates were 29% for perioperative complications and 13% for major complications, similar to previous reports of lumbar surgeries. In a Japanese multicenter study, Yone et al⁸ found a complication rate of 10.4% in patients with a mean age of 59.3 years in more than 30 000 spinal surgeries. In comparison, extremely elderly patients over 80 years are at higher risk for complications, with the rate increased by 2.7 times compared with patients of all ages. In our series, this could be a reason for the relatively low patient satisfaction postoperatively.

We classified complications into minor and major events. Minor perioperative complications were included because it is important for doctors to recognize all adverse events after surgery to avoid more serious problems in the postoperative course and prevent major complications. This is likely to lead to an improved outcome and patient satisfaction. Major complications were defined as those that were potentially life threatening, required reoperation in the perioperative period or left a permanent injury. Perioperative death was also included, but there were no such cases in our series. Among postoperative complications, delirium was the most common, and had features of acute onset and a fluctuating course, inattention, and disorganized thinking in our series. Postoperative delirium is common in extremely elderly patients and is associated with a significant increase in mortality, complications, length of hospital stay, and admission to a long-term care facility.²⁵ In patients older than 70 years, 20% may develop postoperative delirium.²⁶ Cerebrovascular disease was also a significant perioperative complication, and it has been reported that this condition has a strong association with postoperative delirium.²⁷

Risk factors for perioperative complications were age >85 years (HR = 1.007) and EBL ≥500 g (HR = 3.076), and that for major complications was operative time ≥180 minutes (HR = 2.78). Surgical invasion such as operative time and EBL have previously been shown to be significant risk factors,^{4,5,11,26} but HRs were not determined. Thus, to prevent these complications, care is required regarding the surgical extent, indication, and procedure, including the invasiveness of surgery, such as use of instrumentation. If instrumentation is used, the fixation range should be carefully determined in extremely elderly patients.

Table 4. Relationships Between Surgical Lesions and Complications.

Surgical Lesion	Perioperative Complications (n = 75)			Major Complications (n = 33)		
	Patients	Rate (%)	P	Patients	Rate (%)	P
Cervical	24	35	n.s.	12	17	n.s.
Thoracic	6	43		2	14	
Lumbar	45	25		19	11	

Abbreviation: n.s., not significant.

Table 5. Univariate Analysis of Risk for Perioperative and Major Complication (n = 75).

Variable	Perioperative Complication (n = 75)		Major Complication (n = 33)	
	HR (95% CI)	P	HR (95% CI)	P
Demographic				
>85 years of age	1.159 (1.017-1.320)	.027 ^a	1.153 (0.974-1.366)	.099
Female	0.848 (0.428-1.679)	.636	0.783 (0.316-1.939)	.597
Previous spinal surgery	0.913 (0.397-2.096)	.829	0.942 (0.324-2.736)	.912
Body mass index	1.006 (0.946-1.070)	.854	0.952 (0.883-1.026)	.198
Disease duration	1.008 (0.945-1.074)	.816	0.991 (0.892-1.100)	.858
Smoking status	0.624 (0.048-8.113)	.719	0.345 (0.019-6.271)	.472
Drug use				
NSAIDs	0.732 (0.384-1.396)	.343	0.662 (0.281-1.560)	.345
Opioids	0.852 (0.233-3.116)	.808	0.283 (0.069-1.159)	.079
Osteoporosis agents	0.553 (0.258-1.183)	.127	0.956 (0.358-2.551)	.928
Anti-coagulants	2.138 (0.910-5.020)	.081	4.338 (1.173-16.035)	.028 ^a
Comorbidity				
Hypertension	1.025 (0.540-1.948)	.939	1.028 (0.437-2.421)	.950
Preexisting neoplasm	0.605 (0.270-1.354)	.221	0.545 (0.192-1.548)	.254
Diabetes	0.463 (0.198-1.082)	.076	3.246 (1.149-9.174)	.026 ^a
Cerebrovascular disease	0.258 (0.084-1.082)	.018 ^a	0.334 (0.086-1.299)	.113
Intraoperative factor				
Operative time (≥ 180 min)	1.005 (1.000-1.009)	.046 ^a	4.329 (1.329-14.08)	.015 ^a
Estimated blood loss (≥ 500 g)	5.029 (2.438-10.18)	.001 ^a	1.599 (0.642-3.982)	.313
Use instrumentation	0.783 (0.355-1.725)	.544	0.397 (0.128-1.001)	.109

Abbreviations: HR, hazard ratio; NSAIDs, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs.

^aIndicates significant difference ($P < .05$).

Table 6. Multivariate Analysis of Risk for Perioperative and Major Complication (n = 33).

Variable	Perioperative Complication (n = 75)		Major Complication (n = 33)	
	HR (95% CI)	P	HR (95% CI)	P
Demographic				
>85 years of age	1.007 (1.001-1.009)	.025 ^a		
Intraoperative factor				
Operative time (≥ 180 min)			2.780 (1.317-5.917)	.007 ^a
Estimated blood loss (≥ 500 g)	3.076 (1.425-6.641)	.004 ^a		

Abbreviation: HR, hazard ratio.

^aIndicates significant difference ($P < .05$).

This study has the limitation of a retrospective design based on data review, which did not allow evaluation of preoperative severity and detailed evaluation of postoperative course. Despite this limitation, the results provide important estimates of inpatient morbidity and mortality after spinal surgery in extremely elderly patients.

Conclusions

Perioperative and major complications after spine surgery in the extremely elderly occurred at rates of 29% and 13%, respectively, in our patients. Increased surgical invasion, and especially an operative time of more than 3 hours, was a strong risk factor for potentially life-threatening complications.

Therefore, for extremely elderly patients, particular care is required in the choice of the surgical procedure.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

References

- Carreon LY, Puno RM, Dimar JR 2nd, Glassman SD, Johnson JR. Perioperative complications of posterior lumbar decompression and arthrodesis in older adults. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2003; 85:2089-2092.
- Deyo RA, Cherkin DC, Loeser JD, Bigos SJ, Ciol MA. Morbidity and mortality in association with operations on the lumbar spine. The influence of age, diagnosis, and procedure. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 1992;74:536-543.
- Imagama S, Kawakami N, Tsuji T, et al. Perioperative complications and adverse events after lumbar spinal surgery: evaluation of 1012 operations at a single center. *J Orthop Sci.* 2011;16: 510-515.
- Katz JN, Lipson SJ, Larson MG, McInnes JM, Fossel AH, Liang MH. The outcome of decompressive laminectomy for degenerative lumbar stenosis. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 1991;73:809-816.
- Lee MJ, Konodi MA, Cizik AM, et al. Risk factors for medical complication after cervical spine surgery: a multivariate analysis of 582 patients. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976).* 2013;38:223-228.
- Best NM, Sasso RC. Outpatient lumbar spine decompression in 233 patients 65 years of age or older. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976).* 2007; 32:1135-1139.
- Rosen DS, O'Toole JE, Eichholz KM, et al. Minimally invasive lumbar spinal decompression in the elderly: outcomes of 50 patients aged 75 years and older. *Neurosurgery.* 2007;60:503-509.
- Yone K, Imajo Y, Iguchi T, et al. Nationwide survey on complications of spine surgery in Japan. *J Spine Res.* 2013;4:462.
- Gorman M. Development and the rights of older people. In: Randal J, German T, Ewing D. eds. *The Ageing and Development Report: Poverty, Independence and the World's Older People.* London, England: Earthscan; 1999:3-21.
- Roebuck J. When does "old age" begin? The evolution of the English definition. *J Soc History.* 1979;12:416-428.
- Thane P. History and the sociology of ageing. *Soc History Med.* 1989;2:93-96.
- Bydon M, Abt NB, De la Garza-Ramos R, et al. Impact of age on short-term outcomes after lumbar fusion: an analysis of 1395 patients stratified by decade cohorts. *Neurosurgery.* 2015;77: 347-353.
- Li G, Patil CG, Lad SP, Ho C, Tian W, Boakye M. Effects of age and comorbidities on complication rates and adverse outcomes after lumbar laminectomy in elderly patients. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976).* 2008;33:1250-1255.
- Sciubba DM, Scheer JK, Yurter A, et al. Patients with spinal deformity over the age of 75: a retrospective analysis of operative versus non-operative management. The International Spine Study Group (ISSG). *Eur Spine J.* 2016;25:2433-2441.
- Wang MY, Green BA, Shah S, Vanni S, Levi AD. Complications associated with lumbar stenosis surgery in patients older than 75 years of age. *Neurosurg Focus.* 2003;14:e7.
- Glassman SD, Alegre G, Carreon L, Dimar JR, Johnson JR. Perioperative complications of lumbar instrumentation and fusion in patients with diabetes mellitus. *Spine J.* 2003;3:496-501.
- Statistics Bureau. *The 64th Japan Statistical Yearbook.* Tokyo, Japan: Statistics Bureau; 2015.
- Benz RJ, Ibrahim ZG, Afshar P, Garfin SR. Predicting complications in elderly patients undergoing lumbar decompression. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2001;384:116-121.
- Johnsson KE, Rosén I, Udén A. The natural course of lumbar spinal stenosis. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 1992;279:82-86.
- Quigley MR, Kortyna R, Goodwin C, Maroon JC. Lumbar surgery in the elderly. *Neurosurgery.* 1992;30:672-674.
- Smith EB, Hanigan WC. Surgical results and complications in elderly patients with benign lesions of the spinal canal. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 1992;40:867-870.
- Cassinelli EH, Eubanks J, Vogt M, Furey C, Yoo J, Bohlman HH. Risk factors for the development of perioperative complications in elderly patients undergoing lumbar decompression and arthrodesis for spinal stenosis: an analysis of 166 patients. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976).* 2007;32:230-235.
- Raffo CS, Laueran WC. Predicting morbidity and mortality of lumbar spine arthrodesis in patients in their ninth decade. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976).* 2006;31:99-103.
- Galiano K, Obwegeser AA, Gabl MV, Bauer R, Twerdy K. Long-term outcome of laminectomy for spinal stenosis in octogenarians. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976).* 2005;30:332-335.
- Mouchoux C, Rippert P, Duclos A, et al. Impact of a multifaceted program to prevent postoperative delirium in the elderly: the CONFUCIUS stepped wedge protocol. *BMC Geriatr.* 2011;11: 25.
- Kratz T, Heinrich M, Schlauß E, Diefenbacher A. Preventing postoperative delirium. *Dtsch Arztebl Int.* 2015;112:289-296.
- Gosselt AN, Slooter AJ, Boere PR, Zaai IJ. Risk factors for delirium after on-pump cardiac surgery: a systematic review. *Crit Care.* 2015;19:346.