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Abstract. Currently, sorafenib is the only available chemotherapeutic agent for advanced hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), but it cannot be used in patients with liver cirrhosis (LC) or thrombocytopenia. In these cases, sorafenib is likely effective if given in combination with treatments that increase the number of platelets, such as thrombopoietin (TPO) receptor agonists. Increasing the platelet count via TPO treatment resulted in reduction of LC. Eltrombopag (EP), a TPO receptor agonist, has been reported to have antitumor effects against certain cancers, despite their lack of TPO receptor expression. We hypothesized that EP may possess antitumor activity against HCC in addition to its ability to suppress hepatic fibrosis by increasing the platelet count. In the present study, the antitumor activity of EP was examined by assessing the inhibition of cell proliferation and then ascertaining the ability of iron supplementation to reverse these effects in HepG2, Hep3B and Huh7 cells. In addition, a cell cycle assay was performed using flow cytometry, and signal transduction was evaluated by analyzing cell cycle-related protein expression. The results of EP were compared with those of the most common iron chelator, deferoxamine (DFO). The combined effect of EP and sorafenib was also assessed. The results revealed that EP exerts antitumor activity in HCC that is mediated by the modulation of intracellular iron content. EP suppressed the expression of the cell cycle-related protein cyclin D1 and elicited cell cycle arrest in the G0/G1 phase. The activity of EP was comparable to that of DFO in HCC, and EP did not compete with sorafenib at low concentrations. In conclusion, our findings suggest that EP is a good candidate chemotherapeutic agent for the treatment of HCC in patients with LC and thrombocytopenia.

Introduction

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the most common disease that causes primary liver tumors and the fifth most common malignant disease in the world (1). HCC develops frequently in patients with liver cirrhosis (LC) (2). HCC has a poor prognosis and a high rate of recurrence, even after treatment. Currently, sorafenib is the only available chemotherapeutic agent for advanced HCC, but it cannot be used to treat patients with LC and thrombocytopenia due to the risk of bleeding (3-5). Therefore, there is an urgent need for the development of effective therapeutic agents for HCC.

Thrombopoietin (TPO) is the most important growth factor in the regulation of megakaryocyte development and platelet production (6). TPO is the endogenous ligand of the TPO receptor [(TPO-R, also known as myeloproliferative leukemia virus oncogene (MPL)], which is expressed on the surface of megakaryocytes, megakaryocyte precursors and platelets (7-10).

Eltrombopag (EP) is an oral, nonpeptide, small molecule TPO-R agonist that has proven efficacy in treating chronic immune thrombocytopenic purpura (ITP) and hepatitis C-related thrombocytopenia (11,12).

Despite concerns that some leukemia blast cells express TPO-R, EP has been reported to have no proliferative effects in patients with myelodysplastic syndromes or AML (13); instead, EP inhibits the proliferation of leukemia cell lines (14).

Additionally, recent studies have demonstrated that the antileukemic effect of EP is not related to the TPO-R pathway (15) and that EP inhibits the growth of breast, lung, and ovarian tumor cells (16). EP has been reported to increase platelet counts in a short-term clinical study that included patients with HCC (17). However, it remains unclear whether EP has an antitumor effect in HCC.

The purpose of this study was to clarify whether EP affects the growth of human HCC cells in vitro.

Materials and methods

Reagents. EP (SB-497115; Selleck Chemicals, Houston, TX, USA) was dissolved as a 1 mg/ml stock solution in distilled water and was stored in the dark at room temperature for up to 2 weeks. Deferoxamine mesylate (DFO) and ferrous ammonium citrate (FAC) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich.
Cell culture. Human hepatoblastoma cell lines (HepG2 and Hep3B) and a well-differentiated human HCC cell line (Huh7) were used in these experiments. HepG2, Hep3B and Huh7 cells were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM; Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Ltd., Osaka, Japan) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; HyClone, Logan, UT, USA) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Invitrogen).

Cell proliferation. Following cell incubation at 37°C for 24 h, the medium was changed to 100 µl of DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS, and different concentrations (0.1, 0.4, 1, 4, 10, 40 and 100 µg/ml) of EP were added to each well. A recent double-blind, placebo-controlled, randomized dose-escalation study showed that maximum EP serum concentrations of >20 µg/ml are clinically achievable with minimal toxicity (18). The reported IC50 values of EP in other cancer cell lines range from 4.8 to 49.7 µg/ml (16). Additionally, 0.4 µg/ml EP is equivalent to 1 µM of EP. Therefore, we selected the concentrations indicated above. After a 72-h incubation at 37°C, the cells were counted based on DNA content using a bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU) assay kit (Roche Diagnostics, Penzberg, Germany) and Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8) (Dojindo Laboratories, Kumamoto, Japan) according to the instructions of the manufacturers.

The mechanism by which EP inhibits HCC cell growth has not been well characterized. EP reduces intracellular iron concentrations, and iron chelators exhibit anti-proliferative effects in myeloid leukemia cells (15). We investigated whether the antitumor effect of EP is secondary to its ability to deplete intracellular iron. Iron was pre-loaded into cells by treatment with 500 µg/ml FAC for 24 h prior to EP addition.

Cell cycle analysis. Changes in the cell cycle after EP treatment were assessed using a Muse™ cell cycle kit (EMD Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA). The cells were cultured in 10-cm dishes with various concentrations of EP (0-100 µg/ml) for 72 h. After treatment, the cells were collected in DMEM supplemented with 1% FBS, and the Muse™ cell cycle test reagent was added. The cells were mixed by vortexing, and the reaction was allowed to proceed for 30 min at room temperature in the dark. Then, the cells were stained to determine the proportions of cells in the G0/G1, S and G2/M phases using a Muse™ cell analyzer (EMD Millipore).

Evaluation of the combined effects of EP and sorafenib. Huh7 cells were exposed to combinations of EP (0-40 µg/ml) and sorafenib (4 µM) for 72 h. The effect on cell proliferation was assessed using the CCK-8 assay, and the rate of growth inhibition was calculated using the combination index (CI) according to the method reported by Chou (19). The CI was obtained using Biosoft CalcuSyn software (Biosoft, Cambridge, UK): CI=1, cumulative effect; CI<1, synergistic effect; and CI>1, antagonistic effect.

Statistical analysis. The data are presented as the mean and standard deviation. Statistical analyses were performed using the Mann-Whitney U test or one-way ANOVA, and significant results were analyzed using the Bonferroni-Dunn multiple comparisons post hoc test. In all cases, P<0.05 was considered significant.

Results

Effect of EP on human HCC cell lines. HCC cells were treated with various doses of EP for 72 h, and cell viability was assessed using the BrdU assay (Fig. 1A-C). As the dose of EP increased from 0.1 to 100 µg/ml, cell growth was inhibited in a dose-dependent manner in Huh7, HepG2 and Hep3B cells. Compared with the non-treated cells, EP significantly inhibited cell proliferation at concentrations of 40-100 µg/ml based on the BrdU assay results.

Preloading HCC cell lines with iron diminishes the anti-proliferative effects of EP. Huh7, HepG2 and Hep3B cells were preloaded with iron (500 µg/ml FAC) for 24 h before EP addition to clarify the antitumor mechanism of action of EP. CCK-8 cell proliferation assays were performed after 72 h. The IC50 values of EP in the HCC cell lines were 5.7 µg/ml for Huh7, 5.4 µg/ml for HepG2, and 4.7 µg/ml for Hep3B. Iron-loaded Huh7 cells were non-treated (control), or treated with EP. Preloading Huh7 cells with iron nearly abolished the anti-proliferative effects of EP (Fig. 2A-C).

EP elicited G1 arrest in a human HCC cell line. Huh7 cells were treated with EP (0 or 10 µg/ml) for 72 h, and the cells were monitored during the transition from the G0/G1 phase to S phase. Treatment with 10 µg/ml EP significantly induced G0/G1 phase arrest compared with control treatment (Fig. 3A).

Effects of EP on cell cycle-related protein expression in an HCC cell line. Treatment with iron chelators significantly decreases CCND1 levels in various cancer cell types (20).
Therefore, we measured the protein levels of CCND1 and p21/CDKN1A using western blot analysis. Treatment with EP (0, 1, or 10 µg/ml) for 72 h downregulated the protein levels of CCND1 and p21/CDKN1A in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 3B).

Comparison of the antitumor effects of DFO and EP. Huh7 cells were treated with various doses of DFO for 72 h, and cell viability was analyzed using the CCK-8 assay. Cell proliferation was inhibited in a dose-dependent manner in Huh7 cells treated with DFO (0-100 µg/ml) (Fig. 4). EP was nearly equivalent to the most common iron chelator DFO, in terms of the inhibition of cell proliferation.

Combined effect of sorafenib and EP on HCC cells. Compared with untreated cells, EP (4-100 µg/ml) significantly inhibited Huh7 cell proliferation in a dose-dependent manner, as evidenced by the CCK-8 assay results, in the presence of the antitumor agent 4 µM of sorafenib (Fig. 5A). The CI values were ~1 at 0.1-10 µg/ml EP (Fig. 5B). EP clearly did not antagonize sorafenib.

Discussion

Patients with advanced HCC and LC often present with thrombocytopenia. Therefore, it is difficult to use sorafenib, which currently is the only recognized effective treatment for advanced HCC (4).

EP, a second-generation TPO-R agonist that has the ability to increase platelet count, has been reported to have antitumor effects in several types of cancer (16).
In the present study, EP exhibited strong antitumor activity in HCC by eliciting cell cycle arrest through iron chelation rather than through TPO-R. We concluded that EP represents a novel treatment for HCC.

TPO-R, also known as myeloproliferative leukemia virus oncogene (MPL), has been reported to be expressed in liver sinusoidal endothelial cells (LSEC) in mice and in liver progenitor cells in rats, and TPO promotes the proliferation of both of these cell types (21,22).

However, we have already reported that TPO has no proliferative effect on HCC in vitro or in vivo (23).

In contrast to TPO, the second-generation small molecule TPO-R agonist EP does not induce the production of neutralizing antibodies (24-28).

Recent studies have demonstrated that EP inhibits leukemia cell growth by depleting intracellular iron (15) and inhibits the growth of breast, lung and ovarian tumor cells (16).

These findings of tumor cell growth inhibition by EP in vitro and in vivo demonstrate that these effects of EP are TPO-R-independent.

The reported IC50 values of EP in various cell lines are 9.6-19.0 µg/ml for breast, 3.7-10.3 µg/ml for lung, and 4.8-49.7 µg/ml for ovarian cancer. These results in our study supported the previous studies that indicated IC50 of EP in tumor cells.

The observed median Cmax for EP in patients with ITP is 11.4 µg/ml at a 75-mg dose (29). The efficacy of EP in hepatitis C virus-infected patients with thrombocytopenia before the initiation of pegylated interferon and ribavirin therapy has been reported (12). A recent double-blind, placebo-controlled, randomized dose-escalation study showed that maximum serum concentrations of EP of >20 µg/ml are clinically achievable with minimal toxicity (18).

EP has three primary features: a lipophilic end, an acidic end, and a chelator backbone (30,31). These elements enable EP to reduce intracellular iron as well as possibly other polyvalent cations (24,32).

Iron (Fe) is a metal that is vital for the sustenance of life (33-35). It is an essential component of many proteins and enzymes that are involved in cell growth and replication (33,35). Cellular Fe depletion typically results in G1/S arrest (36,37), which indicates that this metal is essential for cell cycle progression as well as cell growth and division (38,39).

Compared with normal cells, neoplastic cells require a greater amount of Fe because they generally proliferate at a higher rate than their normal counterparts (39,40). This is reflected by the upregulated expression of the transferrin receptor protein 1 (TfR1) (41) and the higher rate of Fe uptake from transferrin (Tf) in cancer cells (42,43). Furthermore, neoplastic cells express high levels of ribonucleotide reductase (RR) (44,45), rendering them more susceptible to Fe chelators than normal cells (39,46). Early studies showed that the clinically utilized Fe chelator, deferoxamine (DFO), exerts some inhibitory effects on the growth of neuroblastoma and leukemia cells in culture and in clinical trials (47-51).

One mechanism by which Fe chelators exert anti-proliferative effects on tumors is through targeting molecules that are critical for regulating cell cycle progression (52,53). Importantly, the effects of Fe chelators on CCND1 expression were determined to be due to Fe depletion, as Fe supplementation reversed these effects (20).

Furthermore, Fe depletion appears to have similar effects on both CCND1 and p21CIP1/WAF1 protein expression: it induces the ubiquitin-independent degradation of these proteins (20,54).
The following important findings were also obtained via our experiments.

In addition to DFO, the most common iron chelator (55), which is used in the clinic, recent studies have characterized new iron chelators, such as deferasirox, Dp44mT (56) and O-Trensox (57). These iron chelators induce growth inhibition in breast cancer cells and neuroblastoma (37,58). Although DFO, which exhibited a similar antitumor effect as EP in our study, is commonly used in patients, its poor membrane permeability and inability to permit redox cycling of iron are disadvantageous in terms of eliciting an anti-proliferative effect (55). Additionally, DFO is poorly absorbed in the intestine, and it must be administered via an intravenous route; furthermore, DFO has a very short plasma half-life (55). These disadvantages prompted the search for more effective chelators that are easier to administer to patients. From the results of our study, EP would be a candidate for drug as effective chelator in a clinical study.

It is well known that patients with the congenital iron overload disease hemochromatosis are more likely to develop HCC compared with the general population (2). A review by Gangaidzo and Gordeuk suggest that iron overload may contribute to the high incidence of HCC in Africa (59). Furthermore, iron chelators have been reported to be useful for HCC treatment in vitro, in vivo and in the clinic (57,60,61). Iron reduction therapy, such as phlebotomy or a low iron diet, is used to improve liver function and to prevent carcinogenesis in patients with chronic hepatitis C (62,63).

Conversely, it has been reported that the iron chelator DFO protects against the cytotoxic effects of sorafenib in HCC cells (64). The findings suggest that sorafenib can induce ferroptosis, which is a novel form of programmed cell death.

Thus, a potential method to attenuate the effects of sorafenib is to reduce intracellular iron levels. The cytotoxic effect of sorafenib is markedly offset when the concentration of EP increases, which is consistent with our results (Fig. 5).

Drug repositioning, in which existing drugs are used for new purposes, is a cost-effective strategy for identifying new treatments for existing conditions, and finding use for drugs for which development has ceased; this strategy has been used to identify new usage for several drugs, including thalidomide and plerixafor (65,66). In recent years, significant progress in drug discovery technology and bioinformatics has facilitated drug repositioning, and new drug discovery tools are eliminating the innovation gap (67). This study identified EP as a candidate for drug repositioning efforts, which should lead to the novel clinical use of EP in patients with HCC and LC (Fig. 6).

EP interacts with the transmembrane domain of TPO-R. This interaction and subsequent TPO-R downstream signaling is highly species-specific and occurs only in humans and primates, not in murine cells (68).

Therefore, it is difficult to conduct an in vivo study on EP, and the lack of in vivo data represents a limitation of this study.

However, short-term EP treatment is useful and safe in combination with radio-frequency ablation in HCC patients with LC and severe thrombocytopenia, as the risk of bleeding is mitigated by the increase in platelets (69); moreover, EP does not have a proliferative effect on HCC (69). This option should be considered in clinical trials.

In conclusion, the present study demonstrated that EP is a promising and safe chemotherapeutic agent for the treatment of HCC in patients with LC and thrombocytopenia.
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