Tulips R University of Tsukuba Repository

Development of Nuclear and Chloroplast Microsatellite Markers for the Endangered Conifer Callitris sulcata (Cupressaceae)

著者別名	津村 義彦
journal or publication title	Applications in Plant Sciences
volume	3
number	8
page range	150045
year	2015-08
権利	(C) 2015 Sakaguchi et al. Published by the Botanical Society of America. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution License (CC-BY-NC-SA).
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2241/00129880

doi: 10.3732/apps.1500045

Creative Commons : 表示 - 非営利 - 継承
http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/deed.ja

BioOne RESEARCH EVOLVED

Development of Nuclear and Chloroplast Microsatellite Markers for the Endangered Conifer *Callitris sulcata* (Cupressaceae)

Author(s): Shota Sakaguchi, Guillaume Lannuzel, Bruno Fogliani, Adrien S. Wulff, Laurent L'Huillier, Seikan Kurata, Saneyoshi Ueno, Yuji Isagi, Yoshihiko Tsumura, and Motomi Ito
Source: Applications in Plant Sciences, 3(8)
Published By: Botanical Society of America
DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.3732/apps.1500045
URL: http://www.bioone.org/doi/full/10.3732/apps.1500045

BioOne (www.bioone.org) is a nonprofit, online aggregation of core research in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences. BioOne provides a sustainable online platform for over 170 journals and books published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Web site, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at www.bioone.org/page/terms_of_use.

Usage of BioOne content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non-commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

Applications in Plant Sciences 2015 3(8): 1500045

Primer Note

Development of nuclear and chloroplast microsatellite markers for the endangered conifer *Callitris sulcata* (Cupressaceae)[1]

Shota Sakaguchi[2,8], Guillaume Lannuzel[3], Bruno Fogliani[3], Adrien S. Wulff[3,4], Laurent L'Huillier[3], Seikan Kurata[2], Saneyoshi Ueno[5], Yuji Isagi[6], Yoshihiko Tsumura[7], and Motomi Ito[2]

[2]Laboratory of Plant Evolution and Biodiversity, Department of General Systems Studies, Graduate School of Arts and Sciences, University of Tokyo, Komaba 1538902, Japan; [3]Institut Agronomique néo-Calédonien (IAC), Diversités biologique et fonctionnelle des écosystèmes terrestres, BP 73, Port Laguerre, 98890 Païta, New Caledonia; [4]SoREco-NC, 57 Route de l'Anse Vata, 98800 Nouméa, New Caledonia; [5]Department of Forest Genetics, Forestry, and Forest Products Research Institute, 1 Matsunosato, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 3058687, Japan; [6]Division of Forest and Biomaterials Science, Graduate School of Agriculture, Kyoto University, Kyoto 6068502, Japan; and [7]Faculty of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 3058577, Japan

- *Premise of the study:* Microsatellite markers were developed for *Callitris sulcata* (Cupressaceae), an endangered conifer species in New Caledonia.
- *Methods and Results:* Using sequencing by synthesis (SBS) of an RNA-Seq library, 15 polymorphic nuclear and chloroplast microsatellite markers were developed. When evaluated with 48 individuals, these markers showed genetic variations ranging from two to 15 alleles and expected heterozygosity ranging from 0 to 0.881.
- *Conclusions:* These markers will be useful for examining the genetic diversity and structure of remaining wild populations and improving the genetic status of ex situ populations.

Key words: *Callitris sulcata*; Cupressaceae; microsatellites; New Caledonia; sequencing by synthesis.

Callitris sulcata (Parl.) Schltr. (Cupressaceae) is a conifer species endemic to the ultramafic massif of southern New Caledonia. This species occurs on lowland gallery rainforests, usually close to rivers, and grows as thickets or scattered with other tree species (Farjon, 2005). Total population size is estimated to be less than 2500 individuals, and the species is recognized as endangered by the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) Red List (Thomas, 2010). An ex situ propagation program is being undertaken to secure the wild populations, but there is no genetic information on how much species-wide genetic diversity the ex situ populations can capture and how one can manage plants derived from different river systems. Thus, there is an urgent need for development of genetic markers useful for conservation genetics.

METHODS AND RESULTS

Total RNA was extracted from a one-year-old seedling derived from the Comboui River (21°28′24″S, 166°14′35″E) using the Agilent Plant RNA Isolation Mini Kit (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, California, USA). Sequencing by synthesis of the normalized cDNA library was performed with a genome sequencer HiSeq 2000 (Illumina, San Diego, California, USA; sequencing performed by BGI, Shenzhen, China), which produced 44,159,690 cleaned 100-bp reads. CLC Genomics Workbench 7.5.1 (CLC bio, Aarhus, Denmark) was used to run de novo assembly (parameters used: mismatch cost 3, insertion and deletion cost 2, length fraction 0.5, similarity fraction 0.9), resulting in 63,448 contigs with an N50 length of 1348 bp. Using MSATCOMMANDER (Faircloth, 2008), we screened the contigs including microsatellite regions for ≥6 dinucleotide repeats and ≥4 tri- to hexanucleotide repeats. A total of 138 primer pairs were designed using Primer3 (Rozen and Skaletsky, 1999) with an optimal annealing temperature of 60(±1)°C, GC content 30–70%, and product size ranges of 100–500 bp, 49 of which were selected based on microsatellite repeat number for the first PCR amplification trials using three individuals from each of the three known populations (n = 9; collected from Comboui River [21°47′33″S, 166°22′20″E], Dumbea River [22°7′15″S, 166°31′2″E], and Tontouta River [21°52′52″S, 166°19′12″E]). The sequence data for the remaining primer loci are available from the authors upon request. For all the loci, the forward primer was synthesized with one of three different M13 sequences

[1]Manuscript received 22 April 2015; revision accepted 8 May 2015.

This study was undertaken by the Institut Agronomique néo-Calédonien (IAC) as part of the action plan developed by the tripartite partnership (Convention no. 2012/49) between Thio Council, Noé Conservation, and the IAC to improve the conservation status of *Callitris sulcata*. The authors thank the traditional owners of the study area for supporting this research program. The South Province of New Caledonia gave financial support as a part of the work IAC dedicated to rare and endangered species, and authorized by exception the collection of *C. sulcata* material. Funding was also provided by a Japan Society for the Promotion of Science Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (JSPS KAKENHI 26850098, 13J06059) and the Environment Research and Technology Development Fund of the Ministry of the Environment (4-1403).

[8]Author for correspondence: sakaguci54@gmail.com

doi:10.3732/apps.1500045

Applications in Plant Sciences 2015 3(8): 1500045; http://www.bioone.org/loi/apps © 2015 Sakaguchi et al. Published by the Botanical Society of America. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution License (CC-BY-NC-SA).

Table 1. Characteristics of 24 microsatellite markers developed for *Callitris sulcata*.

Locus[a]	Primer sequences (5′–3′)[b]	Repeat motif	Allele size range (bp)	Fluorescent dye[c]	Multiplex PCR set[d]	BLASTX top hit description [species][e]	*E*-value	GenBank accession no.
Csul_257*	F: CACGACGTTGTAAAACGACGCCAGATTGAGCAGAGGAAG R: GTTTCTTGGTGAAGGGATGCTTGAACC	$(AT)_{12}$	206	2	3	No hit	—	FX982972
Csul_1067	F: CTATAGGGCACGCGTGGTGAACAATACGTCCCGCCATG R: GTTTCTTTCGGAGTCCTTCATTTGCCC	$(AT)_{9}$	440–444	4	3	Chloroplast genome [*Callitropsis nootkatensis*]	0	FX982962
Csul_1298*	F: CTATAGGGCACGCGTGGTAGGGCTTGCTATGTACAGGG R: GTTTCTTAGCGGAGAACAAACAAACGC	$(AG)_{10}$	393	4	2	No hit	—	FX982964
Csul_1566*	F: CACGACGTTGTAAAACGACCCCGAATCTTCTCACACCAC R: GTTTCTTTGGTAGAGCGTTGTGGAGAG	$(AG)_{11}$	506	2	2	No hit	—	FX982968
Csul_3143*	F: CTATAGGGCACGCGTGGTTCCCATGGAGATTTGGCATG R: GTTTCTTAACCAATATCGGCATGCGTG	$(AT)_{12}$	358	4	1	Unknown protein [*Picea glauca*]	0	FX982973
Csul_3144*	F: CTATAGGGCACGCGTGGTTCTCGCGGATCACATAGACG R: GTTTCTTGCATGGTAGGTACAGGGACC	$(AG)_{13}$	373	4	3	No hit	—	FX982974
Csul_3288	F: CTATAGGGCACGCGTGGTGTCTATTGTGCTGCGCTCTC R: GTTTCTTCAATCGCGAAGGATGAGGTG	$(AG)_{15}$	316–346	4	3	No hit	9.00E-42	FX982975
Csul_3325	F: CACGACGTTGTAAAACGACACTGTGCCTCTTTAATGGGC R: GTTTCTTTCTGCTCAGCTGCTCCATTC	$(AG)_{18}$	238–266	2	1	No hit	—	FX982976
Csul_3584	F: TGTGGAATTGTGAGCGGAACATAATGGGCAGGTCTCC R: GTTTCTTTTCCCATTCGGCTGTCAATG	$(AG)_{14}$	188–206	3	2	Ion protease homolog [*Nelumbo nucifera*]	0	FX982977
Csul_4758	F: CTATAGGGCACGCGTGGTCGCTATGCAACACTCACCTG R: GTTTCTTCATTGTGCAGTGAGACCTGG	$(AT)_{11}$	198–228	4	1	No hit	—	FX982979
Csul_6899	F: CACGACGTTGTAAAACGACTTCTCCAGTTTCGCAGCAAC R: GTTTCTTCACGGGTCATGGTTAAGTGC	$(AT)_{15}$	408–432	2	3	Casein kinase I isoform delta-like [*Nelumbo nucifera*]	1.00E-130	FX982981
Csul_7561*	F: CACGACGTTGTAAAACGACTGAGTTGTAGAGCAGCCAGG R: GTTTCTTGGTACAAATTCCACCCTGCAG	$(AG)_{13}$	351	2	2	No hit	—	FX982982
Csul_8090*	F: TGTGGAATTGTGAGCGGAAACATGCCATGATCTGCCG R: GTTTCTTTGCACCATTTACAGCGGTTC	$(AGC)_{10}$	494	3	1	No hit	—	FX982983
Csul_10000	F: CACGACGTTGTAAAACGACCCCACTGTCAAGAATGTTCCC R: GTTTCTTGCTGAGGGTGCATCTGTTTC	$(AG)_{11}$	217–227	2	2	Serine/threonine-protein kinase PBS1-like [*Citrus sinensis*]	4.00E-145	FX982960
Csul_10591	F: CTATAGGGCACGCGTGGTGGCCACAAGTTCTTTCTGCC R: GTTTCTTTGAAGGCTCAAGTTTGCACG	$(AG)_{14}$	246–286	4	1	Unknown protein [*Picea glauca*]	0	FX982961
Csul_12276	F: CACGACGTTGTAAAACGACGAGGCAGCAATTGATAGCGG R: GTTTCTTTCTTCGTCTGTTTCAATCCCTG	$(AAG)_{10}$	132–153	2	1	No hit	—	FX982963
Csul_13471	F: CTATAGGGCACGCGTGGTCCTATGCATTGGGAAGAGCC R: GTTTCTTCACAACTGTCCAGCTTGCTC	$(AG)_{13}$	290–310	4	2	No hit	—	FX982965
Csul_13965	F: TGTGGAATTGTGAGCGGTCGCGTTTGAGTGATATGCC R: GTTTCTTAGTACGAGATATGGCGGCTC	$(AG)_{22}$	319–339	3	2	No hit	—	FX982966
Csul_14454	F: CACGACGTTGTAAAACGACTGAGGGTTTGGGTTCATGTG R: GTTTCTTTGAAATGACCTTGAGCAGTGC	$(AG)_{13}$	293–319	2	2	No hit	—	FX982967
Csul_18188*	F: CACGACGTTGTAAAACGACCTGGAAATCGCTGACCATGG R: GTTTCTTTGTGAAGATGACGTTGCTGC	$(AT)_{11}$	362	2	3	No hit	—	FX982969
Csul_22047	F: TGTGGAATTGTGAGCGGCGGAATGATCGGATGAACGG R: GTTTCTTTCCGCTTCTTGTTTCGACAC	$(AG)_{15}$	176–216	3	1	No hit	—	FX982970
Csul_23874	F: TGTGGAATTGTGAGCGGCATGTGAATGGAAGGCGGAG R: GTTTCTTGCTTCTGATGCTGAGCCATG	$(AT)_{14}$	320–338	3	3	RNA-binding protein 24 [*Sesamum indicum*]	3.00E-47	FX982971
Csul_42274*	F: CTATAGGGCACGCGTGGTGACTCTAATCTTGAGGCGTGTG R: GTTTCTTGATTTCTTCCAGGTGCCAGC	$(AC)_{11}$	301	4	3	No hit		FX982978
Csul_58499	F: CTATAGGGCACGCGTGGTTGGGAGTGAAGGCCATGAAG R: GTTTCTTGATGGCCCAGAAATTCCCAG	$(AG)_{11}$	217–253	4	2	No hit	—	FX982980

[a] Monomorphic loci are marked with an asterisk.

[b] Forward and reverse primer sequence with tag sequence. One of the M13 sequences (5′-CACGACGTTGTAAAACGAC-3′, 5′-TGTGGAATTGTGAGCGG-3′, or 5′-CTATAGGGCACGCGTGGT-3′) was added to the forward primer, and the reverse primer was tagged with a PIG-tail sequence (5′-GTTTCTT-3′).

[c] Fluorescent label used for the M13 sequence.

[d] Annealing temperature in PCRs is 60°C for all loci.

[e] Putative functional annotation by the NCBI nr database search.

Table 2. Genetic diversity of the 15 polymorphic microsatellite markers for *Callitris sulcata*.[a]

Locus	Comboui River (n = 24)			Dumbea River (n = 24)			Total (n = 48)		
	A	H_e	H_o	A	H_e	H_o[b]	A	H_e	H_o[b]
Csul_1067[c]	2	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0
Csul_3288	7	0.675	0.625	5	0.717	0.625	8	0.778	0.625
Csul_3325	5	0.444	0.458	5	0.588	0.542	7	0.536	0.500
Csul_3584	5	0.671	0.583	5	0.677	0.750	7	0.720	0.667
Csul_4758	4	0.539	0.583	11	0.837	0.792	11	0.758	0.688
Csul_6899	6	0.612	0.542	8	0.695	0.458*	10	0.670	0.500*
Csul_10000	4	0.157	0.167	4	0.636	0.667	5	0.471	0.417
Csul_10591	5	0.664	0.667	10	0.731	0.625	12	0.771	0.646
Csul_12276	2	0.375	0.333	4	0.584	0.625	4	0.581	0.479
Csul_13471	6	0.652	0.875	7	0.745	0.542	8	0.745	0.708
Csul_13965	6	0.735	0.875	5	0.473	0.500	8	0.650	0.688
Csul_14454	8	0.802	0.875	9	0.786	0.750	11	0.847	0.813
Csul_22047	6	0.332	0.375	12	0.803	0.875	15	0.748	0.625
Csul_23874	7	0.804	0.833	9	0.859	0.792	10	0.881	0.813
Csul_58499	8	0.793	0.792	11	0.841	0.792	13	0.850	0.792
Average[d]	5.4	0.590	0.613	7.1	0.712	0.683	8.7	0.715	0.651

Note: A = number of alleles per locus; H_e = expected heterozygosity; H_o = observed heterozygosity; n = number of individuals genotyped.

[a] The vouchers representing the two populations are deposited at Kyoto University herbarium (accession no. KYO 00020000 for the Comboui River population and KYO 00019999 for the Dumbea River population).

[b] Asterisk denotes significant departure from Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium ($P < 0.05$).

[c] A locus located on the chloroplast genome.

[d] Average values were calculated based on nuclear markers.

(5′-CACGACGTTGTAAAACGAC-3′, 5′-TGTGGAATTGTGAGCGG-3′, or 5′-CTATAGGGCACGCGTGGT-3′), and the reverse primer was tagged with a PIG-tail sequence (5′-GTTTCTT-3′). A similarity search of the contigs against the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) nr database was conducted by BLASTX algorithm (Altschul et al., 1990) with an E-value cutoff of 1.0E-5. A PCR reaction was performed following the protocol of the QIAGEN Multiplex PCR Kit (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany), in a final volume of 10 μL, containing approximately 5 ng of DNA, 5 μL of 2× Multiplex PCR Master Mix, 0.01 μM of forward primer, 0.2 μM of reverse primer, and 0.1 μM of fluorescently labeled M13 primer. The PCR thermal profile was as follows: denaturation at 95°C for 3 min; followed by 35 cycles of 95°C for 30 s, 60°C for 3 min, 68°C for 1 min; and a final 20-min extension step at 68°C. PCR product was loaded with DNA Size Standard 600 (Beckman Coulter, Brea, California, USA) onto the GenomeLab GeXP Genetic Analysis System (Beckman Coulter), and fragment size was determined by CEQ Fragment Analysis Software (Beckman Coulter). For the 24 primer pairs that showed clear microsatellite peaks (Table 1), the DNA of each of 24 individuals from Comboui and Dumbea rivers (n = 48) was used for multiplex PCR amplification to evaluate genetic polymorphism. Due to the limited number of samples currently available, we did not use the Tontouta River population sample for the second PCR trials. To characterize each marker, the following summary statistics were calculated using FSTAT 2.9.3 (Goudet, 1995): number of alleles per locus (A), expected heterozygosity (H_e), and observed heterozygosity (H_o). The significance of Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium and genotypic equilibrium were tested by randomization procedures using FSTAT 2.9.3.

Among the 24 loci tested in the second PCR trials, genetic polymorphism was detected in 15, which included 14 nuclear markers and one chloroplast marker. Identification of a chloroplast locus (Csul_1067) was based on significantly high similarity with chloroplast genome sequences of multiple conifer species. The allelic variation in 14 nuclear microsatellite loci ranged from four to 15 alleles, while H_e and H_o ranged from 0.471 to 0.881 and 0.417 to 0.813, respectively (Table 2). No significant departures from Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium were detected in each population, except for one locus (Csul_6899) in the Dumbea River population. No evidence for genotypic equilibrium for any pair of loci was detected ($P > 0.01$). In the chloroplast locus (Csul_1067), which is known to be paternally inherited in this genus (Sakaguchi et al., 2014), two alleles were detected across two populations (Table 2).

CONCLUSIONS

The markers developed in this study will be useful for population genetic research to investigate effective population size and levels of gene flow between the geographically isolated populations. Genotyping will be performed also for ex situ populations to evaluate whether they represent genetic diversity retained in each population. Understanding the genetic diversity and structure in both wild and ex situ populations will help to prioritize conservation efforts and improve genetic status of ex situ populations of this endangered species.

LITERATURE CITED

Altschul, S. F., W. Gish, W. Miller, E. W. Myers, and D. J. Lipman. 1990. Basic Local Alignment Search Tool. *Journal of Molecular Biology* 215: 403–410.

Faircloth, B. C. 2008. MSATCOMMANDER: Detection of microsatellite repeat arrays and automated, locus-specific primer design. *Molecular Ecology Resources* 8: 92–94.

Farjon, A. 2005. A monograph of Cupressaceae and *Sciadopitys*. Kew Publishing, Richmond, Surrey, United Kingdom.

Goudet, J. 1995. FSTAT (Version 1.2): A computer program to calculate *F*-statistics. *Journal of Heredity* 86: 485–486.

Rozen, S., and H. Skaletsky. 1999. Primer3 on the WWW for general users and for biologist programmers. *In* S. Misener and S. A. Krawetz [eds.], Methods in molecular biology, vol. 132: Bioinformatics methods and protocols, 365–386. Humana Press, Totowa, New Jersey, USA.

Sakaguchi, S., Y. Tsumura, M. Crisp, D. J. S. Bowman, and Y. Isagi. 2014. Genetic evidence for paternal inheritance of the chloroplast in four Australian *Callitris* species (Cupressaceae). *Journal of Forest Research* 19: 244–248.

Thomas, P. 2010. *Callitris sulcata*. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2014.3. Website http://www.iucnredlist.org/details/30993/0 [accessed 6 April 2015].