

× R-BIALGEBRAS ASSOCIATED WITH ITERATIVE q-DIFFERENCE RINGS

著者別名	増岡 彰
journal or publication title	International journal of mathematics
volume	24
number	4
page range	1350030
year	2013-04
権利	(C)World Scientific Publishing Company. This is an electronic version of an article published as AKIRA MASUOKA and MAKOTO YANAGAWA, Int. J. Math. 24, 1350030 (2013) [27 pages] DOI: 10.1142/S0129167X13500304, available at http://www.worldscientific.com/worldscinet/ijm .
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2241/119461

doi: 10.1142/S0129167X13500304

\times_R -BIALGEBRAS ASSOCIATED WITH ITERATIVE q -DIFFERENCE RINGS

AKIRA MASUOKA AND MAKOTO YANAGAWA

ABSTRACT. Realizing the possibility suggested by Hardouin [6], we show that her own Picard-Vessiot Theory for iterative q -difference rings is covered by the (consequently, more general) framework, settled by Amano and Masuoka [2], of artinian simple module algebras over a cocommutative pointed Hopf algebra. An essential point is to represent iterative q -difference modules over an iterative q -difference ring R , by modules over a certain cocommutative \times_R -bialgebra. Recall that the notion of \times_R -bialgebras was defined by Sweedler [17], as a generalization of bialgebras.

KEY WORDS: Picard-Vessiot theory, iterative q -difference ring, Hopf algebra, affine group scheme, \times_R -bialgebra.

MATHEMATICS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION (2000): 12H05, 12H10, 16T05.

INTRODUCTION

Picard-Vessiot Theory is Galois Theory for linear differential equations; see van der Put and Singer [12] (2003). There were recognized some analogous theories in which a single differential operator is replaced by a family of such operators or iterative differential operators, for example. Takeuchi [18] (1989) reconstructed and unified those theories by characteristic-free, Hopf algebraic approach. Amano and Masuoka [2] (2005) extended Takeuchi's theory, to absorb as well Picard-Vessiot Theory for difference equations such as developed by van der Put and Singer [11] (1997); see also the expository article [3] (2009) based on [18, 2]. Such a unification of differential and difference Picard-Vessiot Theories was done earlier by André [4] (2001) from the different standpoint of non-commutative geometry. In the framework of [2], (i) differential or difference operators, (ii) differential fields or difference total rings, (iii) differential or difference equations, and (iv) linear algebraic groups of differential or difference automorphisms, all in the classical situation are replaced by (i) actions by an appropriately chosen, cocommutative pointed Hopf algebra D , (ii) artinian simple or AS D -module algebras R , (iii) modules over the smash-product algebra $R\#D$, and (iv) affine group schemes (or equivalently, commutative Hopf algebras) of D -module algebra automorphisms, respectively.

One feature of the Hopf-algebraic approach is first to define Picard-Vessiot extensions abstractly, and then to characterize them as minimal splitting fields or algebras of equations (or of module objects); recall that such fields or algebras are chosen as the definition of Picard-Vessiot extensions by the classical approach. As a benefit, the Galois correspondence turns to be

just “a dictionary between Hopf ideals and intermediate artinian simple D -modules algebras,” as was expressed by Bertrand [5] (2011).

Hardouin [6] (2010) developed Picard-Vessiot Theory for iterative q -difference operators, using not results, but ideas from Matzat and van der Put [9] (2003), who developed, probably without knowing [18], the theory for iterative differential operators. In the introduction of the paper, Hardouin says “This analogy between iterative differential Galois theory and iterative difference Galois theory could perhaps be explained in a more theoretical way, as it is done in the paper of Y. André [4] for classical theories.” The objective of this paper is to realize this suggestion. In fact, we will show that the main results of [6], which will be reproduced as Theorems 4.2–4.4, follow from results of [2]; this is formulated as Claim 4.1. Suppose that R is a commutative ring which includes the field $C(t)$ of rational functions over a field C . Given an element $q \in C \setminus \{0, 1\}$ and an automorphism σ_q on R which extends the q -difference operator $f(t) \mapsto f(qt)$ on $C(t)$, the ring R is called an *iterative q -difference ring* if it is given an *iterative q -difference operator*, i.e., an ∞ -sequence $\delta_R^{(0)} = \text{id}_R, \delta_R^{(1)}, \delta_R^{(2)}, \dots$ of operators which satisfy some conditions that include

$$\delta_R^{(k)}(xy) = \sum_{i+j=k} \sigma_q^i \circ \delta_R^{(j)}(x) \delta_R^{(i)}(y), \quad x, y \in R.$$

This last condition is obviously equivalent to

$$\delta_R^{(k)}(xy) = \sum_{i+j=k} \delta_R^{(i)}(x) \sigma_q^i \circ \delta_R^{(j)}(y), \quad x, y \in R.$$

An essential point for us is to refine this equivalence into the cocommutativity of a certain \times_R -bialgebra, say \mathcal{H} ; recall that the notion of \times_R -bialgebras was defined by Sweedler [17] (1974), as a generalization of bialgebras. The module objects, i.e., iterative q -difference modules, over R are identified with \mathcal{H} -modules. Consequently, operations, such as tensor products and taking duals, on iterative q -difference modules can be well controlled by structures, such as the coproduct and an analogue of antipodes, on \mathcal{H} . As a final step of proving Claim 4.1 in characteristic zero, \mathcal{H} -modules are identified with $R\#D$ -modules for an appropriate cocommutative pointed Hopf algebra D . In positive characteristic, the \mathcal{H} -modules are embedded into the category of $R\#D$ -modules for a distinct D .

The contents of this paper are as follows. Section 1 reproduces some results from [2] that will be needed later, partially in reformulated form. Section 2 is devoted to preliminaries on \times_R -bialgebras. In Section 3, we associate to each iterative q -difference ring R , a cocommutative \times_R -bialgebra \mathcal{H} such as explained above; see Theorem 3.15. This \mathcal{H} is naturally characterized in the endomorphism algebra $\text{End}(R)$; see Proposition 3.19. In Section 4, we prove the desired Claim 4.1. The argument will show that some additional results on AS D -module algebras, such as given in [2, 1], as well can apply to iterative q -difference rings in a generalized (and hopefully, more natural) situation; see Section 4.3. The final Section 5 gives the remark that the results on iterative q -difference rings shown in Section 4 are directly generalized to rings given q -skew iterative σ -derivations such as Heiderich [7] (2010) defines.

As a remarkable new direction of relevant research, Saito and Umemura [14] (preprint, 2012) explore a quantized world associated with non-linear differential-difference equations including those defined by iterative q -difference operators.

1. QUICK REVIEW ON PICARD-VESSIOT THEORY OF ARTINIAN SIMPLE MODULE ALGEBRAS

In this section we work over a fixed field \mathbb{k} . Let D be a Hopf algebra with coproduct $\Delta : D \rightarrow D \otimes D$ and counit $\varepsilon : D \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$. For this and any other coproducts we use the sigma notation [16, Section 1.2]

$$\Delta(d) = \sum d_1 \otimes d_2.$$

We assume that D is cocommutative and pointed. Thus, D equals a smash product $D^1 \# kG$ of a cocommutative irreducible Hopf algebra D^1 by a group Hopf algebra kG ; see [16, Section 8.1]. If the characteristic $\text{char } \mathbb{k}$ of \mathbb{k} is zero, then D^1 equals the universal envelope of the Lie algebra consisting of all primitives in D . We assume in addition

$$(1.1) \quad D^1 \text{ is Birkhoff-Witt as a coalgebra.}$$

This means that D^1 is the tensor product of (possibly, infinitely many) copies of the coalgebra spanned by an ∞ -divided power sequence. This is always satisfied if $\text{char } \mathbb{k} = 0$. If $\text{char } \mathbb{k} = p > 0$, the assumption is equivalent to saying that the Verschiebung map $D^1 \rightarrow D^1 \otimes \mathbb{k}^{1/p}$ is surjective.

Recall from [16, p.153] the definition of D -module algebras, by which we mean left D -module algebras that are non-zero and commutative; the action will be written as $d \dashv, d \in D$. An algebra given a family of differential operators (in characteristic zero), iterative differential operators or/and a family of inversive difference operators are presented as a D -module algebra for an appropriate D ; see [2, Introduction].

Given a D -module algebra A , the subalgebra of D -invariants in A is given by

$$A^D = \{a \in A \mid d \dashv a = \varepsilon(d)a, d \in D\}.$$

If A is simple, i.e., contains no non-trivial D -stable ideal, then A^D is a field. A D -module algebra is said to be *artinian simple* or *AS* [2, Definition 2.6], if it is artinian (as a commutative ring) and simple. An AS D -module algebra is the direct product of mutually isomorphic, finitely many fields on which the group G in D acts transitively, whence it is *total*, i.e., every non-zero divisor is invertible [2, Corollary 2.5]. In an AS D -module algebra, a D -module subalgebra is AS if and only if it is total [2, Lemma 2.8].

An inclusion $K \subset L$ of AS D -module algebras is said to be a *Picard-Vessiot* or *PV extension* [2, Definition 3.3],

- (i) if their D -invariants coincide, $K^D = L^D$, and
- (ii) if there exists (necessarily, uniquely [2, Proposition 3.4(iii)]) an intermediate D -module algebra $K \subset A \subset L$ such that

- (a) the D -invariants $H := (A \otimes_K A)^D$ in $A \otimes_K A$, on which D acts diagonally, i.e., through Δ , generates the left (or right) A -module $A \otimes_K A$, and

- (b) the total quotient ring $Q(A)$ (i.e., the localization by all non-zero divisors) of A coincides with L .

Suppose that this is the case. According to traditional notation, the field $K^D (= L^D)$ will be denoted by C . Obviously, $A^D = C$. As a D -module algebra, A is simple [2, Corollary 3.12]. Moreover, it contains all primitive idempotents in L , so that A is the direct product $A_1 \times \cdots \times A_r$ of mutually isomorphic integral domains A_1, \dots, A_r , and L is the direct product $L_1 \times \cdots \times L_r$, where L_i is the quotient field of A_i . The map $\mu : A \otimes_C H \rightarrow A \otimes_K A$, $\mu(a \otimes h) = (a \otimes 1)h$ is necessarily bijective. The commutative C -algebra H has a unique C -Hopf algebra structure such that

$$\theta : A \rightarrow A \otimes_C H, \theta(a) = \mu^{-1}(1 \otimes a)$$

makes A into a right H -comodule (algebra) over C . We call A (resp., H) the *principal D -module algebra* (resp., the *Hopf algebra*) for L/K , and often refer to the triple $(L/K, A, H)$ as a PV extension. We let $\mathbf{G}(L/K) = \mathrm{Spec}_C H$ denote the affine group scheme over C which corresponds to H , and call it the *PV group scheme* for L/K . This is naturally isomorphic to the group-valued functor $\mathbf{Aut}_{D, K\text{-alg}}(A)$ which associates to each commutative C -algebra T , the group of all D -linear $K \otimes_C T$ -algebra automorphisms on $A \otimes_C T$ [2, Remark 3.11]. The affine scheme $\mathrm{Spec}_C A$ is a $\mathbf{G}(L/K)$ -torsor over $\mathrm{Spec}_C K$, or in other words, A/K is an H -Galois extension. This means that the A -algebra map ${}_A\theta : A \otimes_K A \rightarrow A \otimes_C H$, ${}_A\theta(a \otimes b) = (a \otimes 1)\theta(b)$ is an isomorphism [2, Proposition 3.4].

We remark that $\mathbf{G}(L/K)$ is not necessarily algebraic since we do not assume that L is finitely generated over K ; see Lemma 1.4 below.

Theorem 1.1 (Galois correspondence–[2], Theorem 3.9). *Let $(L/K, A, H)$ be a PV extension of AS D -module algebras.*

- (1) *If $K \subset M \subset L$ is an intermediate AS (or equivalently, total) D -module algebra, then L/M is a PV extension which has AM as its principal D -module algebra. The correspondence $M \mapsto \mathbf{G}(L/M)$ gives a bijection from the set of all intermediate AS D -module algebras $K \subset M \subset L$ to the set of all closed subgroup schemes in $\mathbf{G}(L/K)$.*
- (2) *An intermediate AS D -module algebra M is a PV extension over K if and only if the corresponding $\mathbf{G}(L/M)$ is normal in $\mathbf{G}(L/K)$. In this case, $\mathbf{G}(M/K)$ is naturally isomorphic to the quotient group sheaf $\mathbf{G}(L/K)/\tilde{\mathbf{G}}(L/M)$ in the fpqf topology.*

Remark 1.2. In the situation of the theorem above, let M be an intermediate AS D -module algebra in L/K . The closed subgroup scheme $\mathbf{G}(L/M)$ of $\mathbf{G}(L/K)$ is of the form $\mathrm{Spec}_C(H/\mathfrak{a})$, where \mathfrak{a} is a Hopf ideal of H .

- (1) (cf. [6, Lemma 4.18]) By [2, Theorem 3.9(1)], M recovers from \mathfrak{a} as the set consisting of all elements $x \in L$ such that

$$x \otimes 1 \equiv 1 \otimes x \pmod{\mathfrak{a}(L \otimes_K L)} \quad \text{in } L \otimes_K L.$$

Suppose that $x = a/b$, where $a, b \in A$ with b a non-zero divisor. Then one sees that the last condition is equivalent to

$$(a \otimes 1)\theta(b) \equiv (b \otimes 1)\theta(a) \pmod{A \otimes_C \mathfrak{a}} \quad \text{in } A \otimes_C H.$$

- (2) Assume that M/K is a PV extension, or equivalently, $\mathbf{G}(L/M)$ is normal in $\mathbf{G}(L/K)$. Then one sees from [2, p.756, line -12] that the principal D -module algebra for M/K consists of all elements $a \in A$ such that

$$\theta(a) \equiv a \otimes 1 \pmod{A \otimes_C \mathfrak{a}} \text{ in } A \otimes_C H.$$

We will see that the theorem and the remark above imply Parts 1, 2 of Theorem 4.20 of [6], which will be reproduced as Theorem 4.4. For the remaining Part 3, we prove the following.

Proposition 1.3. *Let $(L/K, A, H)$ be a PV extension of AS D -module algebras. Assume that K is a field, and the field C is perfect. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (a) H is reduced;
- (b) $A \otimes_K \tilde{K}$ is reduced for any field extension \tilde{K}/K ;
- (c) $L \otimes_K \tilde{K}$ is reduced for any field extension \tilde{K}/K .

Proof. The equivalence (a) \Leftrightarrow (b) follows from the A -algebra isomorphism $A\theta : A \otimes_K A \xrightarrow{\cong} A \otimes_C H$. Obviously, (c) \Rightarrow (b). The converse holds true since $L \otimes_K \tilde{K}$ is a localization of $A \otimes_K \tilde{K}$ by non-zero divisors. \square

Lemma 1.4 ([2], Corollary 4.8). *Given a PV extension $(L/K, A, H)$ of AS D -module algebras, the following are equivalent:*

- (a) L is the smallest AS D -module subalgebra in L that includes K and some finitely many elements in L ;
- (b) L is the total quotient ring of some finitely generated K -subalgebra of L ;
- (c) A is finitely generated as a K -algebra;
- (d) H is finitely generated as a C -algebra, or in other words, $\mathbf{G}(L/K)$ is algebraic.

If the equivalent conditions above are satisfied, we say that the PV extension is *finitely generated*.

Let K be a D -module algebra. Then one constructs the smash-product algebra $K\#D$; recall from [16, p. 153] that it is generated by K, D subject to the relation $dx = \sum(d_1 \rightharpoonup x)d_2$, $d \in D, x \in K$. One sees that K is a left $K\#D$ -module by

$$(1.2) \quad (x\#d) \rightharpoonup y := x(d \rightharpoonup y), \quad d \in D, x, y \in K.$$

If V is a left $K\#D$ -module and if L is a D -module algebra including K , then $L \otimes_K V$ is naturally a left $L\#D$ -module, on which D acts diagonally.

Assume that K is AS. Then every left $K\#D$ -module V is free as a left K -module [2, Corollary 2.5]. Assume that V has a finite K -free rank, say n . Let L be an AS D -module algebra including K . We call L a *splitting algebra* for V [2, Definition 4.1], if there is an isomorphism $L \otimes_K V \simeq L^n$ of left $L\#D$ -modules, where L^n denotes the direct sum of n copies of L . Choose a K -free basis v_1, \dots, v_n of V , and set $\mathbf{v} = {}^t(v_1, \dots, v_n)$. Then the D -module structure on V is represented uniquely by $n \times n$ matrices $\mathbf{M}(d)$, $d \in D$, with entries in K , so that

$$(1.3) \quad d \rightharpoonup \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{M}(d) \mathbf{v}, \quad d \in D.$$

The set $\text{Hom}_{K\#D}(V, L)$ of all $K\#D$ -linear maps $V \rightarrow L$ naturally forms a vector space over the field L^D , whose dimension is at most n . This is embedded into L^n via $f \mapsto {}^t(f(v_1), \dots, f(v_n))$, where $f \in \text{Hom}_{K\#D}(V, L)$. An element $\mathbf{x} = {}^t(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in L^n$ is in $\text{Hom}_{K\#D}(V, L)$ if and only if \mathbf{x} is a solution of the equation (1.3), i.e., $d \cdot \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{M}(d)\mathbf{x}$, $d \in D$. Thus, $\text{Hom}_{K\#D}(V, L)$ is the solution space for (1.3). By [2, Lemma 4.2], L is a splitting algebra for V if and only if the L^D -dimension of $\text{Hom}_{K\#D}(V, L)$ is the largest possible, i.e., equals n . If this is the case, the splitting algebra L for V is said to be *minimal* [2, Definition 4.3] provided L is the total quotient ring of the D -module K -subalgebra generated by all $f(V)$, $f \in \text{Hom}_{K\#D}(V, L)$.

Theorem 1.5 (Characterization–[2], Theorem 4.6). *Let $K \subset L$ be an inclusion of AS D -module algebras, and assume $K^D = L^D$. Then L/K be a finitely generated PV extension if and only if L is a minimal splitting algebra for some $K\#D$ -module with finite K -free rank.*

Suppose that we are in the situation of the theorem above. As the proof of the theorem shows, if L is a minimal splitting algebra for V as above, then L^D -linearly independent n solutions $\mathbf{x}_j = {}^t(x_{1j}, \dots, x_{nj})$, $1 \leq j \leq n$, of (1.3) in L^n give an invertible $n \times n$ matrix $X = (x_{ij})$ with entries in L , and the K -subalgebra A of L generated by all entries x_{ij} in X together with $1/\det X$, turns into the principal D -module algebra for the PV extension L/K . Thus, in terminology of the standard Picard-Vessiot theories including Hardouin's, L/K is a *Picard-Vessiot extension* for V or for (1.3), with a *fundamental solution matrix* X and a *Picard-Vessiot ring* A . Conversely, every Picard-Vessiot extension or ring in the standard sense arises in this manner.

Theorem 1.6 (Unique existence–[2], Theorem 4.11). *Let K be an AS D -module algebra. Assume that the field K^D is algebraically closed. Then for every left $K\#D$ -module V with finite K -free rank, there exists uniquely (up to D -module algebra isomorphism over K) a minimal splitting algebra for V .*

2. PRELIMINARIES ON \times_R -BIALGEBRAS

We continue to work over a fixed field \mathbb{k} . Let $R \neq 0$ be a commutative algebra. By an R -ring we mean an algebra given an algebra map from R . We recall from [17] the definition of \times_R -bialgebras with some mild restriction. Let \mathcal{A} be an R -ring. Regard \mathcal{A} as a left (resp., right) R -module by the left (resp., right) multiplication by R . Let $\mathcal{A} \otimes_R \mathcal{A}$ denote the tensor product of the left R -module \mathcal{A} with itself, and let

$$\mathcal{A} \times_R \mathcal{A} = \left\{ \sum_i a_i \otimes b_i \in \mathcal{A} \otimes_R \mathcal{A} \mid \sum_i a_i x \otimes b_i = \sum_i a_i \otimes b_i x, \forall x \in R \right\}$$

denote the R -centralizers of the two right R -module structures on $\mathcal{A} \otimes_R \mathcal{A}$. Then $\mathcal{A} \times_R \mathcal{A}$ is naturally an R -ring [17, p. 101] with respect to the product

$$\left(\sum_i a_i \otimes b_i \right) \left(\sum_j c_j \otimes d_j \right) = \sum_{i,j} a_i c_j \otimes b_i d_j$$

and the map $x \mapsto x \otimes 1 (= 1 \otimes x)$ from R . As our mild restriction we pose the assumption that \mathcal{A} is projective as a left R -module, which ensures the associativity

$$(2.1) \quad (\mathcal{A} \times_R \mathcal{A}) \times_R \mathcal{A} \simeq \mathcal{A} \times_R (\mathcal{A} \times_R \mathcal{A})$$

in the sense of [17, Definition 2.6, p. 94]. Suppose that the left R -module \mathcal{A} has an R -coalgebra structure $\Delta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \otimes_R \mathcal{A}$, $\varepsilon : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow R$.

Definition 2.1 (Sweedler [17]). We say that \mathcal{A} is a \times_R -bialgebra if the following are satisfied.

- (a) $\Delta(\mathcal{A}) \subset \mathcal{A} \times_R \mathcal{A}$;
- (b) $\Delta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \times_R \mathcal{A}$ is an algebra map;
- (c) $\varepsilon(1) = 1$;
- (d) $\varepsilon(ab) = \varepsilon(a\varepsilon(b))$ for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$.

Let \mathcal{A} be a \times_R -bialgebra. Given left \mathcal{A} -modules M, N , the action

$$a \rightharpoonup (m \otimes n) = \sum (a_1 \rightharpoonup m) \otimes (a_2 \rightharpoonup n), \quad a \in \mathcal{A}, m \in M, n \in N$$

by \mathcal{A} on the tensor product $M \otimes_R N$ over R is well defined by Condition (a) above. By (b), $M \otimes_R N$ is a left \mathcal{A} -module with respect to this action. It follows by (c), (d) that R is a left \mathcal{A} -module by

$$a \rightharpoonup x = \varepsilon(ax), \quad a \in \mathcal{A}, x \in R.$$

Notice that the corresponding representation

$$(2.2) \quad \alpha : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{End}(R), \quad \alpha(a)(x) = a \rightharpoonup x$$

coincides with the \mathcal{I} map in [17].

Proposition 2.2. *The left \mathcal{A} -modules form a tensor category, $\mathcal{A}\text{-Mod}$, with respect the tensor product $M \otimes_R N$, the unit object R as above, and the obvious associativity and unit-constraints. If \mathcal{A} is cocommutative as an R -coalgebra, this tensor category is symmetric with respect to the obvious symmetry.*

Proof. This follows if one notices that the associativity (2.1) ensures that the obvious R -linear isomorphism $(M \otimes_R N) \otimes_R P \simeq M \otimes_R (N \otimes_R P)$ is \mathcal{A} -linear. \square

To give examples of \times_R -bialgebras, let H be a bialgebra (over \mathbb{k}), and let R be an H -module algebra; this last does and will mean a left H -module algebra which is non-zero and commutative, as before. Then we have the smash-product algebra $R\#H$ [16, p. 153]. Regard this $R\#H$ as an R -ring by the natural embedding $R = R \otimes \mathbb{k} \rightarrow R\#H$, and as an R -coalgebra by base extension of the \mathbb{k} -coalgebra H along $\mathbb{k} \rightarrow R$.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $I \subset R\#H$ be an ideal and coideal, and set $\mathcal{A} = R\#H/I$; this is an R -ring and R -coalgebra. If \mathcal{A} is projective as a left R -module and is cocommutative as an R -coalgebra, then it is a \times_R -bialgebra.*

Proof. The cocommutativity assumption implies that if $h \in H$, $x \in R$, then

$$(1\#h)x = \sum \varepsilon(h_1x)\#h_2 \equiv \sum \varepsilon(h_2x)\#h_1 = \sum (h_2 \rightharpoonup x)\#h_1$$

modulo I in $R\#H$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum (1\#h_1)x \otimes (1\#h_2) &\equiv \sum ((h_2 \rightharpoonup x)\#h_1) \otimes (1\#h_3) \\ &= \sum (1\#h_1) \otimes ((h_2 \rightharpoonup x)\#h_3) = \sum (1\#h_1) \otimes (1\#h_2)x \end{aligned}$$

modulo $I \otimes_R (R\#H) + (R\#H) \otimes_R I$ in $(R\#H) \otimes_R (R\#H)$. This ensures Condition (a) of Definition 2.1. The remaining conditions are easily verified. \square

Corollary 2.4 ([17], p. 117). *If the bialgebra H is cocommutative, $R\#H$ is a \times_R -bialgebra.*

The following example will be used in the proofs of Proposition 3.19 and of Lemma 5.2. Suppose that $R (\neq 0)$ is a commutative algebra.

Example 2.5. Regard $R^e := R \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} R$ as a (commutative) R -algebra by $x \mapsto x \otimes 1, R \rightarrow R \otimes R$. The R -linear dual of R^e is naturally identified with the R -module $\text{End}(R)$ consisting of all \mathbb{k} -linear endomorphisms on R . Assume that R is a field. Then we have the dual (cocommutative) R -coalgebra $(R^e)^\circ$ of R^e , which is now supposed to be included in $\text{End}(R)$; see [16, Section 6.0]. Note that $\text{End}(R)$ is an algebra, and is, moreover, an R -ring, given the algebra map from R which sends each $x \in R$ to the multiplication by x . It is known that the R -coalgebra $(R^e)^\circ$ is an R -subring of $\text{End}(R)$, and is indeed a cocommutative \times_R -bialgebra.

3. ITERATIVE q -DIFFERENCE RINGS AND ASSOCIATED \times_R -BIALGEBRAS

3.1. We let $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ be the set of non-negative integers.

Throughout in this section, C denotes a field, and $C(t)$ denotes the field of rational functions over C . Choose arbitrarily an element $q \in C \setminus \{0, 1\}$. Let \mathbb{k}_0 denote the prime field included in C , and set $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{k}_0(q)$, the subfield of C generated by q over \mathbb{k}_0 . Following [6] we denote the q -integer, the q -factorial and the q -binomial, respectively by

$$\begin{aligned} [k]_q &= \frac{q^k - 1}{q - 1}, \quad [0]_q = 1, \\ [k]_q! &= [k]_q [k-1]_q \dots [1]_q, \quad [0]_q! = 1, \\ \binom{r}{s}_q &= \frac{[r]_q!}{[s]_q! [r-s]_q!}, \end{aligned}$$

where $k, r, s \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k > 0, r \geq s$.

In what follows we fix a commutative ring R including $C(t)$, and such an automorphism $\sigma_q : R \xrightarrow{\cong} R$ that extends the q -difference operator $f(t) \mapsto f(qt)$ on $C(t)$.

Definition 3.1 (Hardouin [6], Definition 2.4). *An iterative q -difference operator on R is a sequence $\delta_R^* = (\delta_R^{(k)})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of maps $\delta_R^{(k)} : R \rightarrow R$ such that*

- (1) $\delta_R^{(0)} = \text{id}_R$, the identity map on R ,
- (2) $\delta_R^{(1)} = \frac{1}{(q-1)t}(\sigma_q - \text{id}_R)$,
- (3) $\delta_R^{(k)}(x+y) = \delta_R^{(k)}(x) + \delta_R^{(k)}(y)$, $x, y \in R$,

$$(4) \quad \delta_R^{(k)}(xy) = \sum_{i+j=k} \sigma_q^i \circ \delta_R^{(j)}(x) \delta_R^{(i)}(y), \quad x, y \in R,$$

$$(5) \quad \delta_R^{(i)} \circ \delta_R^{(j)} = \binom{i+j}{i}_q \delta_R^{(i+j)}.$$

An *iterative q -difference ring* is a commutative ring $R \supset C(t)$ given σ_q, δ_R^* such as above.

Assume that q is not a root of unity. Then, $[k]_q \neq 0$ for all k . If $\delta_R^* = (\delta_R^{(k)})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an iterative q -difference operator on R , Conditions (1), (2) and (5) above require

$$(3.1) \quad \delta_R^{(1)} = \frac{1}{(q-1)t}(\sigma_q - \text{id}_R), \quad \delta_R^{(k)} = \frac{1}{[k]_q!}(\delta_R^{(1)})^k, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Conversely, if we define $\delta_R^{(k)}$ by (3.1), then $\delta_R^* = (\delta_R^{(k)})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ forms an iterative q -difference operator on R ; especially, Condition (4) is satisfied since one sees $\delta_R^{(1)} \circ \sigma_q = q \sigma_q \circ \delta_R^{(1)}$. Therefore under the assumption, an iterative q -difference ring is nothing but such a pair (R, σ_q) as above. In this case the results obtained by Hardouin [6] are specialized from the difference Picard-Vessiot Theory as developed in [11].

In what follows we assume that q is a root of unity, and let $N (> 1)$ denote its order, i.e., the least positive integer such that $q^N = 1$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\delta_R^* = (\delta_R^{(k)})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an iterative q -difference operator on R .*

- (a) $\sigma_q^N = \text{id}_R$.
- (b) Each $\delta_R^{(k)}$ is \mathbb{k} -linear.
- (c) $\delta_R^{(1)}(t) = 1$.
- (d) $\delta_R^{(k)}(t) = 0$, $1 < k \in \mathbb{N}$.
- (e) [6, Lemma 2.6] $\delta_R^{(k)} \circ \sigma_q = q^k \sigma_q \circ \delta_R^{(k)}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. (a) It follows from Conditions (2), (5) above that $(\delta_R^{(1)})^N = 0$, and so $(\frac{1}{t}(\sigma_q - \text{id}_R))^N = 0$. This implies the desired result, since we see by using $(\frac{1}{q^i} \sigma_q - \text{id}_R) \frac{1}{t} = \frac{1}{t} (\frac{1}{q^{i+1}} \sigma_q - \text{id}_R)$ that

$$\left(\frac{1}{t}(\sigma_q - \text{id}_R)\right)^N = \frac{1}{t^N} \prod_{i=0}^{N-1} \left(\frac{1}{q^i} \sigma_q - \text{id}_R\right) = \frac{1}{t^N} (\sigma_q^N - \text{id}_R).$$

(b) By using Condition (4), one sees by induction on k

$$(3.2) \quad \delta_R^{(k)}(1) = 0, \quad 0 < k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

By (3), this proves the desired result if $N = 2$ or $q = -1$. So, we may suppose $N > 2$. Compute $\delta_R^{(k)} \circ \delta_R^{(1)} \circ \delta_R^{(1)}$ in two ways, using (5). Then one sees by using (3.2) that $\delta_R^{(k)}(q) \delta_R^{(2)} = 0$. Since $\delta_R^{(2)}(t^2) = \frac{1}{[2]_q} \delta_R^{(1)} \circ \delta_R^{(1)}(t^2) = 1$, we have

$$\delta_R^{(k)}(q) = 0, \quad 0 < k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

By (3) and (4), this together with (3.2) implies the desired result.

(c) This follows immediately from (2).

(d) By Condition (5), the operators $\delta_R^{(k)}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, commute with each other.

Let $k > 0$. One sees from (3.2) and (c) above that

$$(3.3) \quad \delta_R^{(1)} \circ \delta_R^{(k)}(t) = \delta_R^{(k)} \circ \delta_R^{(1)}(t) = 0,$$

which together with (2) proves

$$(3.4) \quad \sigma_q \circ \delta_R^{(k)}(t) = \delta_R^{(k)}(t).$$

By (2), we have

$$(3.5) \quad (q+1)\delta_R^{(k)}(t) = \delta_R^{(k)} \circ \delta_R^{(1)}(t^2) = \delta_R^{(1)} \circ \delta_R^{(k)}(t^2).$$

We prove the desired equation by induction on $k > 1$. Suppose $k = 2$. By using (3.3), (3.4) and Condition (4), one deduces from (3.5)

$$(q+1)\delta_R^{(2)}(t) = \delta_R^{(1)}(2t\delta_R^{(2)}(t) + 1) = 2\delta_R^{(2)}(t),$$

which implies the desired equation for $k = 2$, since $q \neq 1$. If $k > 2$, one deduces from (3.5) and the desired equations for smaller k

$$(q+1)\delta_R^{(k)}(t) = \delta_R^{(1)}(2t\delta_R^{(k)}(t)) = 2\delta_R^{(k)}(t),$$

which implies the desired equation for k . \square

It seems that (d) above is implicitly used in the proof of [6, Lemma 2.6], to prove Eq. (5) on Page 106, line 4; the lemma is reproduced above as (e).

Corollary 3.3. *Let $\delta_R^* = (\delta_R^{(k)})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an iterative q -difference operator on R . Then each $\delta_R^{(k)}$ as well as σ_q stabilizes $\mathbb{k}(t)$. The restricted operators $\delta_R^{(k)}|_{\mathbb{k}(t)}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, give the unique iterative q -difference operator on $\mathbb{k}(t)$, as defined by Definition 3.1 when $C = \mathbb{k}$, $R = \mathbb{k}(t)$, and they extend the \mathbb{k} -linear operators on $\mathbb{k}[t]$ determined by*

$$(3.6) \quad \delta_R^{(k)}(t^n) = \begin{cases} \binom{n}{k}_q t^{n-k}, & n \geq k, \\ 0, & 0 \leq n < k. \end{cases}$$

Proof. The first assertion on stability follows from Lemma 3.2 (b)–(d). By inductions first on n and then on k , we see that Condition (4) uniquely determines the values $\delta_R^{(k)}(t^n)$ in $\mathbb{k}[t]$. By the same condition the extension of the operators to $\mathbb{k}(t)$ is unique. As is essentially shown by [6, Proposition 2.9], the \mathbb{k} -linear operators on $\mathbb{k}[t]$ defined by (3.6) uniquely extend to an iterative q -difference operator on $\mathbb{k}(t)$. This proves the second assertion. \square

Remark 3.4. Let $\delta_R^* = (\delta_R^{(k)})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an iterative q -difference operator on R . Assume that

$$(3.7) \quad \delta_R^* \text{ is constant on } C, \text{ or } \delta_R^{(k)}(c) = 0, \ c \in C, \ k > 1.$$

Then one sees as proving the last corollary that $\delta_R^{(k)}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, stabilize $C(t)$, and the restricted operators $\delta_R^{(k)}|_{C(t)}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, give the unique iterative q -difference operator on $C(t)$ that consists of C -linear operators. They extend the C -linear operators on $C[t]$ determined by (3.6), and coincide with those given by [6, Proposition 2.10] as a main example of iterative q -difference operators. Since δ_R^* may not stabilize $C(t)$ in general, the tensor products

in [6, Lemma 2.12, Proposition 2.12] should be taken over $\mathbb{k}(t)$, not over $C(t)$, as far as the authors understand.

3.2. We are going to construct a Hopf algebra H over $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{k}_0(q)$ which is closely related with iterative q -difference operators. In what follows we suppose that $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{k}_0(q)$ is our ground field, and let \otimes denote the tensor product over \mathbb{k} . Vector spaces and (Hopf) algebras mean those over \mathbb{k} .

Let $G = \langle \sigma \mid \sigma^N = 1 \rangle$ denote the cyclic group of order N generated by an element σ . As usual, the group algebra $\mathbb{k}G$ is regarded as a Hopf algebra with σ grouplike, i.e., $\Delta(\sigma) = \sigma \otimes \sigma$, $\varepsilon(\sigma) = 1$. Let

$$B = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{k}\delta^{(i)}$$

denote a vector space with basis $\delta^{(i)}$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

Recall the definition of braided tensor category $\mathcal{YD}_{\mathbb{k}G}^{\mathbb{k}G}$ of the Yetter-Drinfeld modules over $\mathbb{k}G$; see [10, Section 10.6]. The Yetter-Drinfeld modules with which we shall treat here are, as the opposite-sided version of those defined by [10, Definition 10.6.10], supposed to be right $\mathbb{k}G$ -modules and right $\mathbb{k}G$ -comodules. The following is directly verified.

Lemma 3.5. *B is an object in $\mathcal{YD}_{\mathbb{k}G}^{\mathbb{k}G}$ with respect to the structure*

$$\delta^{(i)} \leftarrow \sigma = q^i \delta^{(i)},$$

$$\delta^{(i)} \mapsto \delta^{(i)} \otimes \sigma^i, \quad B \rightarrow B \otimes \mathbb{k}G,$$

where $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, B is a braided Hopf algebra in $\mathcal{YD}_{\mathbb{k}G}^{\mathbb{k}G}$ with respect to the algebra structure

$$(3.8) \quad \delta^{(i)} \delta^{(j)} = \binom{i+j}{i}_q \delta^{(i+j)}, \quad \delta^{(0)} = 1,$$

and the coalgebra structure

$$\Delta(\delta^{(k)}) = \sum_{i+j=k} \delta^{(i)} \otimes \delta^{(j)}, \quad \varepsilon(\delta^{(k)}) = \delta_{k,0},$$

where $i, j, k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Radford's biproduct (or bozonization) [13] constructs from B a Hopf algebra, $\mathbb{k}G \star B$. Let

$$H = (\mathbb{k}G \star B)^{cop}$$

denote the Hopf algebra obtained from $\mathbb{k}G \star B$ by replacing the coproduct with its opposite. We see easily the following.

Proposition 3.6. *The Hopf algebra H is characterized by the following properties:*

- (a) H includes $\mathbb{k}G$ as a Hopf subalgebra;
- (b) $H = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} (\mathbb{k}G)\delta^{(i)}$, a free left $\mathbb{k}G$ -module with basis $\delta^{(i)}$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$;
- (c) The algebra structure on H is determined by (3.8) and

$$\delta^{(i)} \sigma = q^i \sigma \delta^{(i)}, \quad i \in \mathbb{N};$$

(d) *The coalgebra structure on H is determined by*

$$\Delta(\delta^{(k)}) = \sum_{i+j=k} \sigma^j \delta^{(i)} \otimes \delta^{(j)}, \quad \varepsilon(\delta^{(k)}) = \delta_{k,0}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Remark 3.7. As a braided Hopf algebra, $B = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} B(i)$ is strictly graded if we set $B(i) = \mathbb{k}\delta^{(i)}$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$. It follows that as a Hopf algebra, $H = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} H(i)$ is coradically graded if we set $H(i) = (\mathbb{k}G)\delta^{(i)}$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular, H is a pointed Hopf algebra, which is neither commutative nor cocommutative, as is easily seen.

We set $\delta = \delta^{(1)}$. Since for every $0 \leq i < N$, $\delta^{(i)}$ is a multiple of δ^i by $[i]_q^{-1} \neq 0$, and $\delta^N = 0$, it follows that

$$J := \bigoplus_{0 \leq i < N} (\mathbb{k}G)\delta^{(i)} \subset H$$

is a Hopf subalgebra which is generated by G , δ . Set

$$d_n = \delta^{(nN)}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then it follows by $\binom{rN}{sN}_q = \binom{r}{s}$ (see [6, Eq. (1)]) that

$$d_m d_n = \binom{m+n}{m} d_{m+n}, \quad m, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since for every $0 \leq i < N$, $\delta^{(nN+i)}$ is a multiple of $\delta^{(i)}d_n$ by $\binom{n+i}{i}_q^{-1} \neq 0$, one sees that H is a free left (and right) J -module with basis d_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus,

$$H = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} Jd_n.$$

3.3. Keep R , σ_q as above. We see the following from Lemma 3.2 and Proposition 3.6.

Proposition 3.8. *There is a one-to-one correspondence between*

- the set of iterative q -difference operators δ_R^* on R , and
- the set of left H -module structures $\dashv: H \otimes R \rightarrow R$ on R such that
 - (i) $h \dashv xy = \sum (h_1 \dashv x)(h_2 \dashv y)$, $h \dashv 1 = \varepsilon(h)1$, $h \in H$, $x, y \in R$,
 - (ii) $\sigma \dashv x = \sigma_q(x)$, $x \in R$, and
 - (iii) $\delta \dashv x = \frac{1}{(q-1)t}(\sigma_q(x) - x)$, $x \in R$.

Given a left H -module structure \dashv from the second set, the corresponding iterative q -difference operator $\delta_R^* = (\delta_R^{(k)})$ is given by

$$\delta_R^{(k)}(x) = \delta^{(k)} \dashv x, \quad x \in R.$$

Assume that we are given a left H -module structure $\dashv: H \otimes R \rightarrow R$ on R which satisfies Conditions (i)–(iii) above. By (i), R is an H -module algebra so that we can construct the smash-product algebra $R\#H$; this is

regarded as before, as an R -ring and R -coalgebra. Given an element $h \in H$, we denote the element $1\#h$ in $R\#H$ simply by h . Let

$$I = \left(\delta - \frac{1}{(q-1)t}(\sigma - 1) \right)$$

denote the ideal of $R\#H$ generated by the one element, and define

$$(3.9) \quad \mathcal{H} = R\#H / I.$$

The semi-direct product $R \rtimes G (= R\#\mathbb{k}G)$ arises from the restricted action by G on R , and we have natural R -ring maps $R \rtimes G \hookrightarrow R\#H \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$.

Lemma 3.9. *We have the following.*

- (1) I is a coideal of $R\#H$, so that \mathcal{H} is an R -coalgebra.
- (2) The natural images of d_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, form a left $R \rtimes G$ -free basis in \mathcal{H} .

Proof. Set

$$u := \frac{1}{(q-1)t} \in R, \quad \xi := \delta - u(\sigma - 1) \in R\#H.$$

Then I is generated by ξ . Note that the coproduct $\Delta(\xi)$ on $R\#H$ is given by

$$(3.10) \quad \Delta(\xi) = \sigma \otimes \xi + \xi \otimes 1.$$

Note that $\sigma \rightharpoonup u = \frac{1}{q}u$, $\delta \rightharpoonup u = -\frac{q-1}{q}u^2$, and $\xi \rightharpoonup x = 0$ for all $x \in R$. Then we compute in $R\#H$,

$$(3.11) \quad \xi \sigma = q \sigma \xi, \quad \xi \delta = \delta \xi + \frac{q-1}{q}u \xi, \quad \xi x = (\sigma \rightharpoonup x)\xi, \quad x \in R.$$

With the action by H restricted to J , R is a J -module algebra. The associated smash product $R\#J$ is an R -subring and R -subcoalgebra of $R\#H$ which contains ξ . Let I_0 denote the ideal of $R\#J$ generated by ξ . We see from (3.11) that $I_0 = R\xi J$. This together with (3.10) proves that I_0 is a coideal. The natural embedding composed with the canonical projection

$$(3.12) \quad R \rtimes G \hookrightarrow R\#J \rightarrow R\#J / I_0$$

is an isomorphism, since we see that the R -ring map $R\#J \rightarrow R \rtimes G$ well defined by $\sigma \mapsto \sigma$, $\delta \mapsto u(\sigma - 1)$ induces an inverse.

We see that

$$I = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} I_0 d_n,$$

since the direct sum on the right-hand side is an ideal of $R\#H$, as is seen from the fact that each d_n commutes with ξ . The last equation together with the isomorphism given in (3.12) concludes the proof. \square

We denote still by d_n its natural image in \mathcal{H} . Then we have

$$(3.13) \quad \mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} R G d_n = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} R d_n G$$

by Part 2 above, and since each d_n commutes with σ . Since by the right multiplication, G acts on \mathcal{H} as R -coalgebra automorphisms, \mathcal{H} is a module R -coalgebra over the group R -Hopf algebra RG . Here, we emphasize that

each element in $R(\subset RG)$ is supposed to act on \mathcal{H} by the *left* multiplication. Let $(RG)^+$ denote the augmentation ideal of RG , i.e., the kernel of the counit $\varepsilon : RG \rightarrow R$, and set

$$Z = \mathcal{H}/\mathcal{H}(RG)^+.$$

This is a quotient R -coalgebra of \mathcal{H} . Let $\pi : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow Z$ denote the quotient map, and set $\bar{d}_n = \pi(d_n)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Lemma 3.10. *Z is free as an R -module,*

$$Z = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} R\bar{d}_n,$$

with basis \bar{d}_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The R -coalgebra structure on Z is determined by

$$(3.14) \quad \Delta(\bar{d}_n) = \sum_{l+m=n} \bar{d}_l \otimes \bar{d}_m, \quad \varepsilon(\bar{d}_n) = \delta_{n,0}.$$

Proof. This is verified directly. \square

Let

$$\gamma : \mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} Rd_nG \rightarrow RG$$

denote the projection onto the 0-th component.

Lemma 3.11. *γ is RG -linear, and is invertible with respect to the convolution product.*

Proof. Obviously, γ is RG -linear. Note that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(3.15) \quad \mathcal{H}_n := \bigoplus_{k \leq n} Rd_kG$$

is an R -subcoalgebra of \mathcal{H} , and \mathcal{H} is a union of all \mathcal{H}_n . To see that γ is invertible, it suffices to show that the restriction $\gamma|_{\mathcal{H}_n}$ is invertible in the R -algebra $\text{Hom}_R(\mathcal{H}_n, RG)$ of all R -linear maps $\mathcal{H}_n \rightarrow RG$. Since the R -coalgebra $\mathcal{H} = \bigcup_n \mathcal{H}_n$ is filtered so that $\Delta(\mathcal{H}_n) \subset \sum_{l+m=n} \mathcal{H}_l \otimes_R \mathcal{H}_m$ (see Remark 3.7), we see that the kernel of the restriction map $\text{Hom}_R(\mathcal{H}_n, RG) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(\mathcal{H}_0, RG)$ is a nilpotent ideal. The desired invertibility follows since $\gamma|_{\mathcal{H}_n}$ is restricted to the invertible, identity map on $\mathcal{H}_0 = RG$. \square

By the dual result of [10, Theorem 7.2.2], it follows from the lemma above that the right RG -module R -coalgebra \mathcal{H} is isomorphic to the crossed coproduct which is constructed on $Z \otimes RG$ by the coaction

$$\rho : Z \rightarrow RG \otimes_R Z, \quad \rho(\bar{d}_n) = (\gamma \otimes \pi) \circ \Delta(d_n)$$

and the (dual) cocycle

$$\tau : Z \rightarrow RG \otimes_R RG, \quad \tau(\bar{d}_n) = (\gamma \otimes \gamma) \circ \Delta(d_n),$$

where $\Delta(d_n)$ denotes the coproduct on \mathcal{H} . We see from $\sigma^N = 1$ that ρ is trivial, i.e., $\rho(\bar{d}_n) = 1 \otimes \bar{d}_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$; this is equivalent to saying that $\pi : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow Z$ is co-central. By definition each $\tau(\bar{d}_n)$ is contained in $\mathbb{k}(t)G \otimes_{\mathbb{k}(t)} \mathbb{k}(t)G$. Let G act on \mathcal{H} , RG and $\mathcal{H} \otimes_R \mathcal{H}$ by the conjugations

$$x \mapsto gxg^{-1}, \quad x \otimes y \mapsto gxg^{-1} \otimes gyg^{-1}.$$

Since Δ, γ are G -equivariant (or preserve the conjugation), and each \bar{d}_n is G -invariant, it follows that $\tau(\bar{d}_n)$ is G -invariant. If we denote the field of G -invariants in $\mathbb{k}(t)$ by

$$K = \mathbb{k}(t^N),$$

it follows that $\tau(\bar{d}_n) \in KG \otimes_K KG, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let

$$Z_K = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} K\bar{d}_n$$

denote the obvious K -form of Z . Let

$$1 \rightarrow \text{Reg}(Z_K, K) \rightarrow \text{Reg}(Z_K, KG) \rightarrow \text{Reg}(Z_K, KG \otimes_K KG) \rightarrow \dots$$

denote the complex for computing the dual Sweedler cohomology (see [15]) of the K -Hopf algebra KG with coefficients in the KG -comodule K -coalgebra Z_K , where the KG -comodule structure on Z_K is trivial. Here, $\text{Reg}(Z_K, A)$ denote the the abelian group of all convolution-invertible K -linear maps $f : Z_K \rightarrow A$, where $A = (KG)^{\otimes n}$; it is identified with the group $A[[T]]^\times$ of all invertible power series over A , via $f \mapsto \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f(\bar{d}_n)T^n$. We may suppose that τ is a 2-cocycle in the last complex. Note that the restriction $\tau|_{K\bar{d}_0}$ is trivial. Since the K -Hopf algebra KG is cosemisimple, and the K -coalgebra Z_K includes $K\bar{d}_0$ as a unique simple K -subcoalgebra, it follows by [8, Theorem 4.1] that τ is the coboundary $\partial\nu$ of some 1-cochain $\nu \in \text{Reg}(Z_K, KG)$, i.e.,

$$\tau(\bar{d}_n) = \sum_{k+l+m=n} (\nu(\bar{d}_k) \otimes 1) \Delta(\nu^{-1}(\bar{d}_l))(1 \otimes \nu(\bar{d}_m)), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since $(\varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon) \circ \tau = \varepsilon$, we have $\varepsilon \circ \nu = \varepsilon$. Since $\tau(\bar{d}_0) = 1 \otimes 1$, we have $\nu(\bar{d}_0) \in G$. By replacing ν with

$$\bar{d}_n \mapsto \nu(\bar{d}_n) \nu(\bar{d}_0)^{-1}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

we may suppose $\nu(\bar{d}_0) = 1$. Define elements d'_n in \mathcal{H} by

$$d'_n = \sum_{l+m=n} \nu(\bar{d}_l) d_m, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Proposition 3.12. *Keep the notation as above.*

(1) *We have*

$$\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} R d'_n G = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} R G d'_n.$$

(2) *The following hold in \mathcal{H} :*

$$d'_0 = 1, \quad d'_n \sigma = \sigma d'_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

(3) *We have*

$$\Delta(d'_n) = \sum_{l+m=n} d'_l \otimes d'_m, \quad \varepsilon(d'_n) = \delta_{n,0}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}$$

on \mathcal{H} . It follows that the R -coalgebra \mathcal{H} is cocommutative.

Proof. The first equation of Part 2 holds since $\nu(\bar{d}_0) = 1$, while the second holds since σ commutes with each element in K . The remaining parts follow from well-known results on crossed coproducts. \square

Recall that R is naturally a left $R\#H$ -module; see (1.2). Since I annihilates R , there is induced a left \mathcal{H} -module structure on R .

Proposition 3.13. *We have the following.*

(1) *The induced structure satisfies*

$$\sigma \rightharpoonup x = \sigma_q(x), \quad d'_n \rightharpoonup xy = \sum_{l+m=n} (d'_l \rightharpoonup x)(d'_m \rightharpoonup y), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}$$

for all $x, y \in R$.

(2) *The following relations hold in \mathcal{H} :*

$$\sigma x = (\sigma \rightharpoonup x)\sigma, \quad d'_n x = \sum_{l+m=n} (d'_l \rightharpoonup x) d'_m$$

for all $x \in R, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. This follows easily from Part 3 of Proposition 3.12. \square

Remark 3.14. By direct computations we see that the following hold in \mathcal{H} .

(1) For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\bigoplus_{k \leq n} Rd_k G = \bigoplus_{k \leq n} Rd'_k G.$$

(2) For every $l, m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$d'_l d'_m \equiv \binom{l+m}{l} d'_{l+m} \text{ modulo } \bigoplus_{n < l+m} Rd'_n G.$$

Now, Lemma 2.3 and Proposition 3.12(3) prove the first assertion of the following key result of ours.

Theorem 3.15. *\mathcal{H} is a cocommutative \times_R -bialgebra, whence the left \mathcal{H} -modules $\mathcal{H}\text{-Mod} = (\mathcal{H}\text{-Mod}, \otimes_R, R)$ form a symmetric tensor category. An object in $\mathcal{H}\text{-Mod}$ has its dual, if it is finitely generated projective as an R -module.*

Note that the \mathcal{H} -module structure on R given by (2.2) coincides with the action corresponding to the initially given σ_q and iterative q -difference operator δ_R^* on R ; see the paragraph following Proposition 3.8.

To prove the remaining assertion on duality, we translate the argument by Hardouin [6, p.119] into the language of \times_R -bialgebras, constructing a variation, Φ , of the Ess map in [17]; see Lemma 3.16(3) below. Let $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{H} \otimes_R \mathcal{H}$ denote the tensor product of the right R -module \mathcal{H} and the left R -module \mathcal{H} , in which we thus have $ax \otimes b = a \otimes xb$, where $x \in R, a, b \in \mathcal{H}$. The vector space

$$\mathcal{E}^R = \left\{ \sum_i a_i \otimes b_i \in \mathcal{E} \mid \sum_i xa_i \otimes b_i = \sum_i a_i \otimes b_i x, \forall x \in R \right\}$$

of all R -centralizers in \mathcal{E} forms an R -ring with respect to the product

$$\left(\sum_i a_i \otimes b_i \right) \left(\sum_j c_j \otimes d_j \right) = \sum_{i,j} a_i c_j \otimes d_j b_i$$

and the map $x \mapsto x \otimes 1 (= 1 \otimes x)$ from R .

Recall from (3.9) the Hopf algebra H and the smash product $R\#H$ which define \mathcal{H} . Let \mathcal{S} denote the antipode of H .

Lemma 3.16. *We have the following.*

- (1) *Given $h \in H$, the natural image of $\sum(1\#h_1) \otimes (1\#\mathcal{S}(h_2))$ in \mathcal{E} lies in \mathcal{E}^R .*
- (2) *$h \mapsto \sum(1\#h_1) \otimes (1\#\mathcal{S}(h_2))$ gives rise to an R -ring map $R\#H \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^R$.*
- (3) *The map just obtained factors through \mathcal{H} , so that we have an R -ring map,*

$$\Phi : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^R.$$

Proof. Let $h \in H$, $x \in R$. We write h for $1\#h$, as before. Set $\varphi(h) = \sum h_1 \otimes \mathcal{S}(h_2)$ in \mathcal{E} .

(1) By the cocommutativity of \mathcal{H} , we have $hx = \sum(h_2 \rightharpoonup x)h_1$ in \mathcal{H} . Using this twice we have

$$\varphi(h)x = \sum h_1(\mathcal{S}(h_2) \rightharpoonup x) \otimes \mathcal{S}(h_3) = x\varphi(h)$$

in \mathcal{E} , which proves Part 1.

(2) One sees that $h \mapsto \varphi(h)$ gives an algebra map $H \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^R$. This extends to an R -ring map from $R\#H$, since we have $\varphi(h)(x \otimes 1) = \sum((h_1 \rightharpoonup x) \otimes 1)\varphi(h_2)$ in \mathcal{E}^R .

(3) Indeed, one sees that the R -ring map annihilates $\delta - \frac{1}{(q-1)t}(\sigma - 1)$. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.15. Let $M, N \in \mathcal{H}\text{-Mod}$. The R -module $\text{Hom}_R(M, N)$ of all R -linear maps $M \rightarrow N$ turns into a left \mathcal{E}^R -module by defining

$$\left(\sum_i a_i \otimes b_i \right) \rightharpoonup f : m \mapsto \sum_i a_i \rightharpoonup f(b_i \rightharpoonup m),$$

where $\sum_i a_i \otimes b_i \in \mathcal{E}^R$, $f \in \text{Hom}_R(M, N)$. This turns, moreover, into a left \mathcal{H} -module through the R -ring map Φ . Suppose that M is finitely generated projective as an R -module and $N = R$. Then the left \mathcal{H} -module $\text{Hom}_R(M, R)$ together with the canonical evaluation and co-evaluation maps give a dual object of M , as is easily seen. \square

Hardouin [6, Definition 3.1] defines the notion of *iterative q -difference modules*.

Proposition 3.17. *An iterative q -difference module over R , as defined by [6, Definition 3.1], is precisely such a left \mathcal{H} -module that is finitely generated free as an R -module. An extension $S \supset R$ of iterative q -difference rings is precisely a commutative algebra object S in $\mathcal{H}\text{-Mod}$ such that the canonical map $R \rightarrow S$ is injective.*

Proof. This is easy to see. We only remark that σ_q^N acts on any iterative q -difference module as zero, as is seen just as proving Lemma 3.2(a). \square

Remark 3.18. Note that C plays a very minor role, which we may, and we do in this remark, assume to be \mathbb{k} . Notice from Corollary 3.3 that $\mathbb{k}(t)$ is uniquely an iterative q -difference ring. We denote the associated cocommutative $\times_{\mathbb{k}(t)}$ -bialgebra by $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{k}(t)}$. The authors prefer to define an iterative q -difference ring to be a commutative algebra object in $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{k}(t)}\text{-Mod}$, though the original definition requires in addition the object to include $C(t)$.

3.4. We return to the situation before the last remark. Recall that the iterative q -difference ring R is naturally a left \mathcal{H} -module.

Proposition 3.19. *The corresponding representation $\alpha : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \text{End}(R)$ is an injection, and its image is generated by $\sigma_q, \delta_R^{(k)}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and the multiplications by all elements in R .*

Proof. The assertion on the image follows since the R -ring \mathcal{H} is generated by $\sigma, \delta^{(k)}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

To prove the injectivity of α , we use $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{k}(t)}$ given in Remark 3.18. We see from (3.13) that $\mathcal{H} = R \otimes_{\mathbb{k}(t)} \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{k}(t)}$. Moreover, the composite $\mathcal{H} \rightarrow \text{End}(R) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathbb{k}(t), R)$ of α with the restriction map coincides with the composite $R \otimes_{\mathbb{k}(t)} \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{k}(t)} \rightarrow R \otimes_{\mathbb{k}(t)} \text{End}(\mathbb{k}(t)) \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathbb{k}(t), R)$ of the base extension of the representation $\alpha_{\mathbb{k}(t)} : \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{k}(t)} \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathbb{k}(t))$ with the natural embedding. It follows that the desired injectivity will follow from the injectivity of $\alpha_{\mathbb{k}(t)}$. Therefore, by replacing R with $\mathbb{k}(t)$, we may suppose that R is a field. In that case we have the cocommutative \times_R -bialgebra $(R^e)^\circ (\subset \text{End}(R))$ given in Example 2.5. We see from [16, Proposition 6.0.3] that σ_q and all $\delta_R^{(k)}$ are contained in $(R^e)^\circ$. Moreover, α gives an R -coalgebra map $\mathcal{H} \rightarrow (R^e)^\circ$; this is indeed a \times_R -bialgebra map.

Notice from Proposition 3.12 that the first term \mathcal{H}_1 of the coradical filtration [16, p.185] on \mathcal{H} is given by

$$\mathcal{H}_1 = RG \oplus Rd'_1G = \bigoplus_{0 \leq i < N} \mathcal{H}_1^{(i)},$$

where we have set $\mathcal{H}_1^{(i)} = R\sigma^i \oplus Rd'_1\sigma^i$, $0 \leq i < N$; these are R -subcoalgebras of \mathcal{H}_1 . (Moreover, by Remark 3.14(1), the n -th term coincides with the \mathcal{H}_n given by (3.15).) By [10, Theorem 5.3.1], the desired injectivity will follow if we prove that the restriction $\alpha|_{\mathcal{H}_1} : \mathcal{H}_1 \rightarrow (R^e)^\circ$ is injective. This last injectivity is equivalent to

- (i) for every $0 \leq i < N$, $\alpha|_{\mathcal{H}_1^{(i)}}$ is injective, and
- (ii) if $i \neq j$, then $\alpha(\mathcal{H}_1^{(i)}) \cap \alpha(\mathcal{H}_1^{(j)}) = 0$.

To prove (i), we may suppose $i = 0$, since the result in $i = 0$ implies the result in the remaining cases, as is easily seen. Since $\alpha(d'_1)$ is primitive, the desired result is equivalent to $\alpha(d'_1) \neq 0$; this will follow by definition of d'_1 , if one sees $(\alpha(d_1) =) \delta_R^{(N)} \notin \sum_{0 \leq i < N} R\sigma_q^i$. On the contrary, suppose $\delta_R^{(N)} \in \sum_{0 \leq i < N} R\sigma_q^i$. Then $\delta_R^{(N)}(t^N) = \delta_R^{(N)}(1)t^N$, which contradicts (3.6).

We see that (ii) holds, since if $i \neq j$, the coradicals $R\sigma_q^i, R\sigma_q^j$ of $\alpha(\mathcal{H}_1^{(i)})$, $\alpha(\mathcal{H}_1^{(j)})$ trivially intersect, or $\sigma_q^i \neq \sigma_q^j$. \square

4. HOW COCOMMUTATIVE POINTED HOPF ALGEBRAS COME IN

The main objective of this paper is to show the following.

Claim 4.1. *The main theorems of Hardouin [6], given below (with notation partially changed), follow from our results in Section 1 that are reproduced from [2].*

Theorem 4.2 (Hardouin [6], Theorem 4.7). *Let K be an iterative q -difference field such that the field $C(K)$ of constants in K is algebraically closed. Let V be an iterative q -difference module over K . Then there exists an iterative q -difference Picard-Vessiot ring for V , which is unique up to isomorphism of iterative q -difference rings.*

Theorem 4.3 (Hardouin [6], Theorem 4.12). *Let K be as above, and set $C = C(K)$. Let A be an iterative q -difference Picard-Vessiot ring over K . Then the group-valued functor $\underline{\text{Aut}}(A/K)$, which associates to each commutative C -algebra T , the group of all iterative q -difference $K \otimes_C T$ -algebra automorphisms on $A \otimes_C T$, is an affine algebraic group scheme over C , and is represented by the C -algebra $C(A \otimes_K A)$ of constants in $A \otimes_K A$ which is indeed finitely generated.*

Following the traditional notation we have set $C = C(K)$, but this overlaps with the symbol used to denote the field with which we started at the beginning of Section 3.1. An excuse is that we may replace this last field with $C(K)$, as is seen from Remark 3.18.

Theorem 4.4 (Hardouin [6], Theorem 4.20). *Let K, A be as above. Let $\mathbf{G} = \underline{\text{Aut}}(A/K)$ be the affine algebraic group scheme as given above. Let L denote the total quotient ring of A ; then it uniquely turns into an iterative q -difference ring extension of A , and is called the total iterative q -difference Picard-Vessiot extension of A .*

- (1) *Given an intermediate total iterative q -difference ring $K \subset M \subset L$, AM is an iterative q -difference Picard-Vessiot ring over M . The correspondence $M \mapsto \underline{\text{Aut}}(AM/M)$ gives an inclusion-reversing bijection from the set of all intermediate total iterative q -difference rings $K \subset M \subset L$ to the set of all closed subgroup schemes \mathbf{H} in \mathbf{G} . The inverse is given by $\mathbf{H} \mapsto L^{\mathbf{H}}$, where $L^{\mathbf{H}}$ consists of the \mathbf{H} -invariants in L as defined in [6, p.134, lines 18–20].*
- (2) *If $\mathbf{H} \subset \mathbf{G}$ is a normal closed subgroup scheme, then the \mathbf{H} -invariants $A^{\mathbf{H}}$ in A form an iterative q -difference Picard-Vessiot ring over K , and $L^{\mathbf{H}}$ is its total iterative q -difference Picard-Vessiot extension. Moreover, $\underline{\text{Aut}}(A^{\mathbf{H}}/K)$ is naturally isomorphic to $\mathbf{G}/\tilde{\mathbf{H}}$.*
- (3) *Let $\mathbf{H} \subset \mathbf{G}$ is a closed subgroup scheme. Then the ring extension $L/L^{\mathbf{H}}$ is separable if and only if \mathbf{H} is reduced.*

To prove Claim 4.1, we work in the same situation as in the last section, using the same notation. Thus, R denotes an iterative q -difference ring, where q is a root of unity of order $N (> 1)$, and \mathcal{H} denotes the associated cocommutative \times_R -bialgebra. Our main task is to present R as a module algebra over an appropriate cocommutative pointed Hopf algebra D which satisfies the assumption (1.1). Because this D is distinct according to whether $\text{char } \mathbb{k}$ is zero or positive, we will discuss in the two cases, separately.

4.1. Case in characteristic zero. Assume $\text{char } \mathbb{k} = 0$, or in other words, $\mathbb{k}_0 = \mathbb{Q}$. In this case we define a Hopf algebra D over \mathbb{k} by

$$D = \mathbb{k}[d'_1] \otimes \mathbb{k}G.$$

This is the tensor product of the polynomial Hopf algebra $\mathbb{k}[d'_1]$ and the group Hopf algebra $\mathbb{k}G$, where d'_1 is primitive, i.e., $\Delta(d'_1) = 1 \otimes d'_1 + d'_1 \otimes 1$, $\varepsilon(d'_1) = 0$, and $G = \langle \sigma \mid \sigma^N = 1 \rangle$, as before. This D is a cocommutative pointed Hopf algebra, which necessarily satisfies the assumption (1.1) since $\text{char } \mathbb{k} = 0$. The left \mathcal{H} -module action on R given before, restricted to σ , d'_1 , makes R into a D -module algebra by Proposition 3.13(1). The associated smash product $R\#D$ is a cocommutative \times_R -bialgebra by Corollary 2.4.

Proposition 4.5. *The R -ring map $R\#D \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ well defined by $\sigma \mapsto \sigma$, $d'_1 \mapsto d'_1$ is an isomorphism of \times_R -bialgebras. It follows that $\mathcal{H}\text{-Mod}$ coincides with the symmetric tensor category $R\#D\text{-Mod}$ of left $R\#D$ -modules.*

Proof. One sees from Proposition 3.12(2), (3) and Proposition 3.13(2) that the map is a well-defined \times_R -bialgebra map. It follows from Remark 3.14 that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$d'_n \equiv \frac{(d'_1)^n}{n!} \text{ modulo } \bigoplus_{k < n} R d'_k G,$$

whence $\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} R(d'_1)^n G$. This proves that the map is an isomorphism. \square

Recall the results and the notation from Section 1.

Proof of Claim 4.1 (in characteristic zero). Let K be an iterative q -difference field [6, p.107]; this is the same as an AS D -module algebra that is connected, i.e., contains no non-trivial idempotent. Assume that the field $C(K)$ of constants [6, p.105] (or equivalently, of D -invariants) in K is algebraically closed. Let V be an iterative q -difference module over K ; this is the same as a left $K\#D$ -module of finite K -dimension, see Proposition 3.17. By Theorem 1.6, there exists uniquely (up to isomorphism) a minimal splitting algebra L for V . By Theorem 1.5, L/K is a finitely generated PV extension of AS D -module algebras. As is seen from the paragraph following Theorem 1.5, the principal D -module algebra A for L/K is an iterative q -difference Picard-Vessiot ring for V , as Hardouin [6, p.128] defines, and conversely, the total quotient ring of such a ring is a minimal splitting algebra for V including the ring as a principal D -module algebra. Such a ring is unique (up to isomorphism) as for V , as follows from our uniqueness of L/K as for V , and of A as for L/K . Thus obtained is Theorem 4.2.

The group-valued functor $\underline{\text{Aut}}(A/K)$ given in Theorem 4.3 is the same as our $\mathbf{Aut}_{D,K\text{-alg}}(A)$, which we know is represented by the Hopf algebra H for L/K ; this H is now finitely generated by Lemma 1.4. Theorem 4.3 follows since $C(A \otimes_K A)$ coincides with our $H = (A \otimes_K A)^D$.

Our Theorem 1.1 together with Remark 1.2 are now specialized to Parts 1, 2 of Theorem 4.4. (Part 1 refers to “an iterative q -difference Picard-Vessiot extension AM over M ,” where M may not be a field. But Hardouin [6, Definition 4.3] defines the notion only over an iterative q -difference field. Therefore, to justify the statement of Part 1, one has to re-define the notion over such an iterative q -difference ring that is artinian and simple, just as we worked over an AS D -module algebra to define the notion of principal D -module algebras.) Our Proposition 1.3 is specialized to Part 3 of Theorem 4.4. \square

4.2. Case in positive characteristic. We start with proving the following for later use.

Proposition 4.6 (cf. [6], Proposition 2.20). *Suppose that $\text{char } \mathbb{k}$ is arbitrary. Given a multiplicative set S in R such that*

$$(4.1) \quad \text{for every } s \in S, \sigma_q \rightarrow s \text{ is invertible in } S^{-1}R,$$

the localization $S^{-1}R$ uniquely turns into an iterative q -difference ring so that $R \rightarrow S^{-1}R$ preserves the structure.

Proof. The R -linear maps $\mathcal{H} \rightarrow S^{-1}R$ form an R -algebra $\text{Hom}_R(\mathcal{H}, S^{-1}R)$ with respect to the convolution product. We see analogously to the proof of [2, Lemma 2.7] that the \mathbb{k} -algebra map

$$R \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(\mathcal{H}, S^{-1}R), \quad x \mapsto [h \mapsto (h \rightarrow x)]$$

is localized by S , which proves the proposition. In fact, the image of each element of S is invertible in $\text{Hom}_R(\mathcal{H}, S^{-1}R)$, since its restriction to the R -subcoalgebra $\mathcal{H}_0 \subset \mathcal{H}$ is invertible by (4.1), and so is the restriction to each \mathcal{H}_n , $n \geq 0$; here, recall from the proof of Lemma 3.11, the filtration $\mathcal{H} = \bigcup_n \mathcal{H}_n$, and note that the kernel of $\text{Hom}_R(\mathcal{H}_n, S^{-1}R) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(\mathcal{H}_0, S^{-1}R)$ is nilpotent, here too. \square

Now, assume $\text{char } \mathbb{k} = p > 0$, or $\mathbb{k}_0 = \mathbb{F}_p$. Let

$$Z_{\mathbb{k}} = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{k}d'_n$$

denote the obvious \mathbb{k} -form of the R -coalgebra Z ; thus, $\Delta(d'_n) = \sum_{l+m=n} d'_l \otimes d'_m$, $\varepsilon(d'_n) = \delta_{n,0}$ on $Z_{\mathbb{k}}$. The tensor algebra $T(Z_{\mathbb{k}})/(d'_0 - 1)$ of $Z_{\mathbb{k}}$ divided by the relation $d'_0 = 1$ has a unique Hopf algebra structure that extends the coalgebra structure on $Z_{\mathbb{k}}$. We remark that the thus obtained Hopf algebra is Birkhoff-Witt; see (1.1). For the Verschiebung map on it is surjective since the map on the coalgebra $Z_{\mathbb{k}}$, which is spanned by an ∞ -divided power sequence, is so; see [18, Page 504]. In the present case we define D by the tensor product

$$(4.2) \quad D = T(Z_{\mathbb{k}})/(d'_0 - 1) \otimes \mathbb{k}G$$

of the two Hopf algebras, where $G = \langle \sigma \mid \sigma^N = 1 \rangle$, as before. This D is a cocommutative pointed Hopf algebra which satisfies (1.1) by the remark above. By extending uniquely the actions by σ , d'_n in \mathcal{H} on R , we can define a left D -module structure on R . With the thus defined structure, R is in fact a D -module algebra, and the associated smash product $R\#D$ is a cocommutative \times_R -bialgebra by Corollary 2.4.

Proposition 4.7. *The R -ring map $R\#D \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ well defined by $\sigma \mapsto \sigma$, $d'_n \mapsto d'_n$, $0 < n \in \mathbb{N}$, is a surjection of \times_R -bialgebras. It follows that $\mathcal{H}\text{-Mod}$ is a tensor full subcategory of $R\#D\text{-Mod}$.*

Proof. Similar to the first half of the proof of Proposition 4.5. The surjectivity follows by Proposition 3.12(1). \square

Proof of Claim 4.1 (in positive characteristic). We choose D as above. Let K be an iterative q -difference field with an algebraically closed field of constants. Choose this K as the R above. Then \mathcal{H} is a \times_K -bialgebra, and $K \in \mathcal{H}\text{-Mod}$. By Proposition 4.7, the objects in $\mathcal{H}\text{-Mod}$ are precisely those objects in $K\#D\text{-Mod}$ which are annihilated by the kernel of $K\#D \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$. A $K\#D$ -submodule of an object in $\mathcal{H}\text{-Mod}$ is again in $\mathcal{H}\text{-Mod}$. Therefore, working in $K\#D\text{-Mod}$, we can discuss as in the proof in the zero-characteristic case, except as for the existence of a minimal splitting algebra L for V over K , where $V \in \mathcal{H}\text{-Mod}$ with $\dim_K V < \infty$. The question is whether the L , constructed in $K\#D\text{-Mod}$, is indeed in $\mathcal{H}\text{-Mod}$.

As is seen from the proof of [2, Theorem 4.11], L is the total quotient ring of a simple D -module algebra A including K with the following property: A is a commutative K -algebra $K[x_{ij}]_{\det X}$ generated by all entries in $X = (x_{ij})$, and then localized at the determinant $\det X$, where X is a square matrix which is a solution of the equation, such as (1.3), associated with V . We see that $K[x_{ij}]$ is in $\mathcal{H}\text{-Mod}$. Since one sees that $\sigma_q \rightarrow \det X$ is a multiply of $\det X$ by some invertible element in K , it follows by Proposition 4.6 that A and so L are in $\mathcal{H}\text{-Mod}$, as desired. \square

4.3. The proofs in the preceding two subsections are valid in the generalized situation that the iterative q -difference field K over which everything is constructed is replaced by a simple iterative q -difference ring which is artinian as a ring. This generalization seems natural, amending the failure that given an intermediate iterated q -difference total ring M in a Picard-Vessiot extension L/K , one cannot call L/M a PV extension according to the definition given in [6, Proposition 4.16(2)]; see also the last paragraph of the proof of Claim 4.1 in characteristic zero.

As further results on AS D -module algebras K , where D is as in Section 1, let us recall the following:

- Tannaka-Type Theorem [2, Theorem 4.10]; it gives an equivalence of symmetric tensor categories, $\{\{V\}\} \approx \mathbf{G}(L/K)\text{-mod}$, between the abelian rigid tensor category $\{\{V\}\}$ generated by a finite K -free object V in $K\#D\text{-Mod}$ and the category $\mathbf{G}(L/K)\text{-mod}$ of finite-dimensional modules over the PV group scheme $\mathbf{G}(L/K)$, where L/K is a minimal splitting algebra for V ;
- Solvability Criteria [1, Theorem 2.10]; it formulates and characterizes the solvability of such V as above, in terms of $\mathbf{G}(L/K)$.

By the same observations as in the preceding two subsections, we see that these results hold true for finitely generated PV extensions L/K of simple iterative q -difference rings which are artinian. For that variation of the Tannaka-Type Theorem in positive characteristic, one should notice from the uniqueness of dual objects that the dual object of V constructed in $K\#D\text{-Mod}$, where D is as in (4.2), is necessarily an iterative q -difference module dual to V , if V is such a module.

5. GENERALIZATION

Suppose that \mathbb{k} is an arbitrary field over which we will work, and let $R \neq 0$ be a commutative algebra (over \mathbb{k}). Fix an element $q \in \mathbb{k} \setminus 0$, and an

endomorphism $\sigma : R \rightarrow R$. Heiderich [7] defines a notion which generalizes iterative q -difference operators, as follows.

Definition 5.1 (Heiderich [7], Definition 2.3.13). A q -skew iterative σ -derivation on R is a sequence $\delta_R^* = (\delta_R^{(k)})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of maps $\delta_R^{(k)} : R \rightarrow R$ such that

- (1) $\delta_R^{(0)} = \text{id}_R$,
- (2) $\delta_R^{(k)} \circ \sigma = q^k \sigma \circ \delta_R^{(k)}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$,
- (3) each $\delta_R^{(k)}$ is \mathbb{k} -linear,
- (4) $\delta_R^{(k)}(xy) = \sum_{i+j=k} \sigma^i \circ \delta_R^{(j)}(x) \delta_R^{(i)}(y)$, $x, y \in R$,
- (5) $\delta_R^{(i)} \circ \delta_R^{(j)} = \binom{i+j}{i}_q \delta_R^{(i+j)}$.

Let $\delta_R^* = (\delta_R^{(k)})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be such as defined above. A main difference from iterative q -difference operators is that one does not assume that $\delta_R^{(1)}$ is a multiple of $\sigma - \text{id}_R$ by some element in R ; cf. Condition (2) in Definition 3.1. But we have the following, which is probably known.

Lemma 5.2. *Assume $\sigma \neq \text{id}_R$ and that R is a field. Then there exists an element $u \in R$ such that*

$$\delta_R^{(1)} = u(\sigma - \text{id}_R).$$

Proof. Here is a coalgebraic proof, which is hopefully new. Recall from Example 2.5 the cocommutative \times_R -bialgebra $R^e \subset \text{End}(R)$. One sees from [16, Proposition 6.0.3] that id_R , σ , $\delta_R^{(1)}$ (and all $\delta_R^{(k)}$, $k > 1$) are contained in $(R^e)^\circ$. Moreover, id_R and σ are distinct grouplikes, and $\delta_R^{(1)}$ is (id_R, σ) -primitive, i.e., $\Delta(\delta_R^{(1)}) = \sigma \otimes \delta_R^{(1)} + \delta_R^{(1)} \otimes \text{id}_R$. Since $(R^e)^\circ$ is cocommutative, every (id_R, σ) -primitive element is necessarily of the form $u(\sigma - \text{id}_R)$ for some $u \in R$. \square

Keep σ , $\delta_R^* = (\delta_R^{(k)})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ as above. Assume $\sigma \neq \text{id}_R$ and that R is a field. Let $u \in R$ be as in the last lemma.

Either if $q = 1$ and $\text{char } \mathbb{k} = 0$, or if q is not a root of unity, then just as in Section 3, $\delta_R^{(k)}$ are all determined by σ and u ; they are included in the R -subring generated by σ , and may be ignored.

Assume $\delta_R^{(1)} \neq 0$, $\sigma \neq \sigma \circ \sigma$ and that q is a root of unity of order $N > 1$. Then Condition (2) in $k = 1$ implies that $\sigma(u) = \frac{1}{q}u$. Since Condition (5) implies $(\delta_R^{(1)})^N = 0$, one sees as proving Lemma 3.2(a) that $\sigma^N = \text{id}_R$. We can construct a cocommutative \times_R -bialgebra \mathcal{H} , just as in Section 3. We can also generalize the argument in Section 4 to this \mathcal{H} . Consequently, all the results on iterative q -difference rings and modules that we have referred to in the section are generalized to commutative algebra objects and their module objects in $\mathcal{H}\text{-Mod}$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The work was supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) 23540039, Japan Society of the Promotion of Science. The main results

of this paper were announced by the first-named author at the AMS–AWM Special Session “Hopf Algebras and Their Representations” of Joint Mathematics Meetings, New Orleans, January 6–9, 2011. He thanks the organizers of the special session, Susan Montgomery, Richard Ng and Sarah Witherspoon.

REFERENCES

- [1] K. Amano, *Liouville extensions of artinian simple module algebras*, Comm. Algebra **34** (2006), 1811–1823.
- [2] K. Amano, A. Masuoka, *Picard-Vessiot extensions of artinian simple module algebras*, J. Algebra **285** (2005), 743–767.
- [3] K. Amano, A. Masuoka, M. Takeuchi, *Hopf algebraic approach to Picard-Vessiot theory*, in: M. Hazewinkel (ed.), *Handbook of Algebra*, Vol. **6**, Elsevier, North-Holland, 2009, pp. 127–171.
- [4] Y. André, *Différentielles non commutatives et théorie de Galois différentielle ou aux différences*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Super. (4) **34** (2001), 685–739.
- [5] D. Bertrand, Review on [3], Math. Reviews, MR2553658 (2011e:12007), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 2011.
- [6] C. Hardouin, *Iterative q -difference Galois theory*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **644** (2010), 101–144.
- [7] F. Heiderich *Galois theory of module fields*, PhD Thesis, Universitat de Barcelona, 2010.
- [8] A. Masuoka, *Hopf cohomology vanishing via approximation by Hochschild cohomology*, Banach Center Publ. **61** (2003), 111–123.
- [9] B. H. Matzat, M. van der Put, *Iterative differential equations and the Abhyankar conjecture*, J. reine angew. Math. **557** (2003), 1–52.
- [10] S. Montgomery, *Hopf Algebras and Their Actions on Rings*, CBMS Conf. Series in Math., Vol. **82**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1993.
- [11] M. van der Put, M. F. Singer, *Galois Theory of Difference Equations*, Lec. Notes in Math., Vol. **1666**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997.
- [12] M. van der Put, M. F. Singer, *Galois Theory of Linear Differential Equations*, Grundlehren Math. Wiss., Vol. **328**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003.
- [13] D. Radford, *The structure of Hopf algebras with a projection*, J. Algebra **92** (1985), 322–347.
- [14] K. Saito, H. Umemura, *Quantization of Galois theory, examples and observations*, preprint, 2012; arXiv:1212.3392
- [15] M. E. Sweedler, *Cohomology of algebras over Hopf algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **127** (1968), 205–239.
- [16] M. E. Sweedler, *Hopf Algebras*, W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York, 1969.
- [17] M. E. Sweedler, *Groups of simple algebras*, IHES Publ. N° **44** (1974), 79–189.
- [18] M. Takeuchi, *A Hopf algebraic approach to the Picard-Vessiot theory*, J. Algebra **122** (1989), 481–509.

AKIRA MASUOKA: INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TSUKUBA, IBARAKI 305-8571, JAPAN

E-mail address: akira@math.tsukuba.ac.jp

MAKOTO YANAGAWA: GRADUATE SCHOOL OF PURE AND APPLIED SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF TSUKUBA, IBARAKI 305-8571, JAPAN

E-mail address: myanagawa@math.tsukuba.ac.jp