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Abstract. We consider the Aharonov-Bohm effect for the Schrödinger operator
\[ H = (-i\nabla_x - A(x))^2 + V(x) \]
and the related inverse problem in an exterior domain \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \) with Dirichlet boundary condition. We study the structure and asymptotics of generalized eigenfunctions and show that the scattering operator determines the domain \( \Omega \) and \( H \) up to gauge equivalence under the equal flux condition. We also show that the flux is determined by the scattering operator if the obstacle \( \Omega^c \) is convex.

1. Introduction
1.1. Aharonov-Bohm Hamiltonian. The aim of this paper is to study scattering phenomena of quantum mechanical particles governed by the Schrödinger operator (1.1)
\[ H = (-i\nabla_x - A(x))^2 + V(x) \]
in an exterior domain \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \) with Dirichlet boundary condition. Our basic concern is the following situation. Given points \( x^{(j)}, j = 1, \cdots, N \), we consider the magnetic field, which is identified with the 2-form
\[ B(x)dx + \sum_{j=1}^{N} \alpha_j \delta(x - x^{(j)})dx, \quad \alpha_j \in \mathbb{R}, \]
where \( dx = dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \). We put
\[ \Theta(x, y) = \frac{(x - y) \times d\vec{x}}{|x - y|^2}, \quad d\vec{x} = (dx_1, dx_2). \]
Our motivating example for the magnetic vector potential is, identified with 1-form,
\[ A(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \Theta(x, y)B(y)dy + \sum_{j=1}^{N} \alpha_j \Theta(x, x^{(j)}) + dL(x), \]
where \( |\partial^\alpha_x B(x)| \leq C_\alpha (1 + |x|)^{-2-|\alpha|} \) and
\[ |\partial^\alpha_x L(x)| \leq C_\alpha (1 + |x|)^{-|\alpha|}, \quad \forall \alpha \]
for some \( \epsilon_0 > 0 \). We take a small open set \( O_j \) containing \( x^{(j)} \) so that \( x^{(i)} \notin \overline{O_j} \) and \( O_i \cap O_j = \emptyset \), if \( i \neq j \). Let \( \Omega = \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{N} \overline{O_j} \). Note that the obstacle \( \Omega^c \) is not convex if \( N \geq 2 \). When \( \text{supp} \ B(x) \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{N} O_j \), the magnetic fields are shielded. However, contrary to the intuition from classical electromagnetism, the particle feels the magnetic vector potential (\([1], [22], [28]\)).

This Aharonov-Bohm effect is a purely quantum mechanical phenomenon, affected by a topological nature of the domain \( \Omega \). However, the long-range property
of the associated magnetic vector potential makes it difficult to study the construction and spatial asymptotics of distorted plane waves, and the mathematical works for the Aharonov-Bohm effect have been centered around the time-dependent scattering theory.

The spectrum and the singularities of the scattering operator were studied in [23], [24] including the case of general long-range perturbations. In [15], [26], [27], the asymptotics of the scattering matrix was computed in the semi-classical regime.

1.2. Inverse problems. In the works of Nicoleau [21], and Weder [29], the inverse problem was studied for the case of one convex obstacle: For two operators \((-i\nabla - A^{(j)}(x))^2\), \(j = 1, 2\), let \(S(A^{(j)})\) be the scattering operator, and \(\alpha^{(j)}\) the total flux of \(A^{(j)}\). Then \(S(A^{(1)}) = S(A^{(2)})\) implies \(\alpha^{(1)} = \alpha^{(2)} \mod 2\), and \(dA^{(1)} = dA^{(2)}\) on \(\Omega\).

We improve their result (cf. Theorem 5.9) by showing that \(\alpha_1 = \alpha_2\) if \(\alpha^{(i)}\) are not integers.

Alternatively, one can deal with the inverse problem for the wave equation
\[
\left( \partial_t^2 + (-i\nabla - A(x))^2 \right) u = 0
\]
in a bounded domain using the hyperbolic Dirichlet-Neumann map (D-N map) instead of the scattering operator. In this case, one can apply the boundary control method (BC method) initiated by Belishev and developed by Belishev-Kurylev to identify \(A(x)\) and the domain \(\Omega\) (see [4], [5], [18], [17]). Some new ingredients of the BC method were also studied by [7], [8], where the emphasis was made on the gauge equivalence.

It is well-known that for short-range perturbations of \(-\Delta\), the scattering matrix determines the D-N map in a bounded domain. Hence, the inverse scattering problem for the local perturbation of \(-\Delta\) can be reduced to the inverse boundary value problem, and one can apply the BC method to solve it. However, even if the magnetic field \(B(x)\) has a compact support (this is the most interesting physical situation) the total Hamiltonian is a long-range perturbation of \(-\Delta\). Indeed, suppose \(B(x) = 0\) for \(|x| > R\). Then there exists a magnetic potential \(A(x)\) such that \(\text{curl } A(x) = B(x)\) and \(A(x) = \alpha_0 \Theta(x, x(0)) + A'(x)\), where \(A'(x) = 0\) for \(|x| > R\), \(x(0) \in \Omega\) and \(\alpha_0\) is the total flux. Assuming \(\alpha_0 \neq 0\), we have that \(A(x)\) is a long-range potential. Even in this case, it is not obvious how to determine the D-N map from the scattering operator. This is related to the fact that the asymptotic expansion of the distorted plane wave for the Aharonov-Bohm Hamiltonian was unknown.

1.3. Main results. In this paper, we shall deal with the case of \(N \geq 1\) obstacles which are not necessarily convex. Our first main result is Theorem 5.7 which shows that \(S(A^{(1)}, V^{(1)}) = S(A^{(2)}, V^{(2)})\) implies \(\Omega^{(1)} = \Omega^{(2)} = \Omega\), \(V^{(1)} = V^{(2)}\) on \(\Omega\) and \(A^{(i)}\), \(i = 1, 2\), are gauge equivalent under the equal flux condition. The second main result is Theorem 5.9, which shows that if \(\Omega^{(1)} = \Omega^{(2)}\), whose complement is convex, then the coincidence of the scattering operators implies that the fluxes are equal.

Summarizing these two theorems, we get the following conclusion. To fix the idea, let us fix a domain \(\Omega\) and a scalar electric potential \(V(x)\). Then, Theorems 5.7 and 5.9 imply that, if \(\Omega^c\) is convex, there is a 1 to 1 correspondence between equivalence classes of magnetic vector potentials and those of S-matrices, due to their gauge equivalences. This fact is also true for non-convex obstacles if we have
the equal flux condition in Theorem 5.7. This equal flux condition is crucial. In fact, it is necessary for the existence of the above 1 to 1 correspondence (Theorem 5.10).

We first use the results of [21], [29] to show that if two scattering matrices coincide under equal flux condition, then the associated Schrödinger operators are gauge equivalent near infinity. Note that this step is not needed when the magnetic field and electric potential have compact support. Next we use the spatial asymptotics of the distorted plane waves to derive the gauge equivalence of the D-N map for the boundary value problem. We emphasize that the known proofs for the case of short-range potential do not work here and one needs a more sophisticated technique developed in [10], [13], [14] to get the result. From here we pass to the BC method to complete the proof of Theorem 5.7. The proof of Theorem 5.9 uses the estimates of singularities of the scattering matrix due to Roux and Yafaev [23], [24], [31].

1.4. Plan of the paper. In §3 and §4, we study the stationary scattering theory for $H$. In particular, Lemma 3.9 and Theorem 4.5 play key roles in the proof of Theorem 5.7. Another aim of §4 is to study the structure of distorted plane waves. When $B(x) = \alpha \delta(x)$, there exists an explicit solution $\psi_{AB}(x)$ to the Schrödinger equation $(-i \nabla_x - A^{(0)}(x) \xi^2 - \lambda) \psi_{AB} = 0$ proposed by Aharonov-Bohm (see also [25]). We construct a distorted plane wave of $H$ containing $\psi_{AB}$ as its principal part, and study its asymptotic behavior at infinity in Lemmas 4.9, 4.10. They explain the relation between the scattering matrix and the phase of distorted plane waves. Although this result is not used directly in our procedure for the inverse scattering, it is of independent interest since in the long-range scattering the construction and asymptotic expansion of distorted plane waves is no longer the same as the short-range case.

We use the following notation. For Banach spaces $X$ and $Y$, $\mathcal{B}(X; Y)$ denotes the totality of bounded operators from $X$ to $Y$. For $a = (a_1, a_2), b = (b_1, b_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2$, $a \times b = a_1b_2 - a_2b_1$.

For $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$, we put

$$\langle x \rangle = (1 + |x|^2)^{1/2}, \quad \widehat{x} = x/|x|.$$  

For a self-adjoint operator $H$, $\sigma_d(H)$, $\sigma_e(H)$ and $\sigma_p(H)$ denote the discrete spectrum, essential spectrum and point spectrum (= the set of all eigenvalues), respectively. $\mathcal{H}_{ac}(H)$ denotes the absolutely continuous subspace for $H$. For $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $\hat{f}(\xi)$ denotes the Fourier transform of $f$:

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-ix \cdot \xi} f(x) dx.$$  

2. Resolvent estimates

2.1. Besov type spaces. We define a Besov type space introduced by Agmon-Hörmander [2]. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be the Banach space of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$-functions equipped with norm

$$\|f\|_B = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{j/2} \left( \int_{D_j} |f(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2},$$
where $D_0 = \{ |x| < 1 \}$, $D_j = \{ 2^{j-1} < |x| < 2^j \}$, $j \geq 1$. Its dual space is identified with the set of $L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$-functions $u(x)$ satisfying
\[
\|u\|_{B^*} = \sup_{R > 1} \frac{1}{R} \int_{|x| < R} |u(x)|^2 dx < \infty.
\]
For $s \in \mathbb{R}$, the weighted $L^2$-space $L^{2,s}$ is defined by
\[
u \in L^{2,s} \iff \|u\|_s^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (1 + |x|)^{2s} |u(x)|^2 dx < \infty.
\]
For $s > 1/2$, we have the following inclusion relations
\[
L^{2,s} \subset \mathcal{B} \subset L^{2,1/2} \subset L^2 \subset L^{2,-1/2} \subset \mathcal{B}^* \subset L^{2,-s}.
\]
We use the notation $\sigma(2)$, $\sigma(\rho(x))$, $\sigma \in C_0^\infty((0, \infty))$.

2.2. Resolvent estimates. Let $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \overline{\mathcal{O}}$ be a connected open set in $\mathbb{R}^2$ exterior to a bounded open set $\mathcal{O}$. We consider a Schrödinger operator (1.1) in $\Omega$ with Dirichlet boundary condition on $\partial \Omega$. The following assumptions are imposed on $H$.

(A-1) The magnetic vector potential $A(x) = (A_1(x), A_2(x)) \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega}; \mathbb{R}^2)$ satisfies
\[
|\partial^\alpha_x A(x)| \leq C_\alpha <x|^{-1-|\alpha|}, \quad \forall \alpha,
\]
and the transversal gauge condition
\[
|\partial^\alpha_z A(x) \cdot x| \leq C_\alpha <x|^{-1-|\alpha|}, \quad \forall \alpha.
\]

(A-2) The magnetic field
\[
B(x) = \frac{\partial A_2(x)}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial A_1(x)}{\partial x_2}
\]
satisfies for some $\epsilon_0 > 0$
\[
|\partial^\alpha_x B(x)| \leq C_\alpha <x|^{-2-|\alpha|}-\epsilon_0, \quad \forall \alpha.
\]

(A-3) The electric scalar potential $V(x) \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega}; \mathbb{R})$ satisfies
\[
|\partial^\alpha_x V(x)| \leq C_\alpha <x|^{-1-|\alpha|}-\epsilon_0.
\]

We summarize estimates of the resolvent $R(z) = (H - z)^{-1}$ in the following theorems. Note that the spaces $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{B}^*$ as well as $L^{2,s}$ are also defined on the domain $\Omega$.

Theorem 2.2. (1) $\sigma_d(H) \subset (-\infty, 0)$, $\sigma_e(H) = [0, \infty)$.
(2) $\sigma_p(H) \cap (0, \infty) = \emptyset$.
(3) For any $\lambda > 0$ and $s > 1/2$, the following strong limit
\[
\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \|R(\lambda \pm i\epsilon)f\|_1 =: R(\lambda \pm i0)f, \quad \forall f \in L^{2,s},
\]
exists in $L^{2,-s}$ and $(0,\infty) \ni \lambda \to R(\lambda \pm i0) \in \mathcal{B}(L^{2,s};L^{2,-s})$ is strongly continuous. For any $s > 1/2$ and compact interval $I \subset (0,\infty)$, there exists a constant $C_s > 0$ such that
\begin{equation}
\|R(\lambda \pm i0)f\|_{-s} \leq C_s \|f\|_s, \quad \forall \lambda \in I.
\end{equation}

(4) There exists $\alpha > -1/2$ such that
\begin{equation}
\nabla_x \chi f + [H,\chi] R(z)f.
\end{equation}

Therefore by (2.6) and the elliptic estimate, \(u\) (2.9) \(\nabla_x - \tilde{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\) \(R(\lambda \pm i0) \in \mathcal{B}(L^{2,s};L^{2,\alpha})\).

Proof. The assertions (1), (2) are well-known. The assertion (3) and the estimate (2.7) are proved in [11] for the whole space problem. It is not difficult to extend them to the exterior domain by a cutting-off argument. In fact, assuming that $O \subset \{|x| < C_0\}$, we take $\chi(x) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ such that $\chi(x) = 0$ for $|x| < C_0 + 1$ and $\chi(x) = 1$ for $|x| > C_0 + 2$, and put $v = \chi(x) R(z)f$. Then $v$ satisfies
\begin{equation}
(H - z)v = \chi f + [H,\chi] R(z)f.
\end{equation}

Therefore by (2.6) and the elliptic estimate, \(u = R(z)f\) satisfies
\[\|u\|_{-s} \leq C_s(\|f\|_s + \|u\|_{L^2(B)}),\]
where $B$ is a bounded set in $\mathbb{R}^2$. Using this inequality, one can repeat the arguments in [11] to obtain (3) and the estimate (2.7). Using
\[\nabla - \tilde{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} = \left(\nabla \mp i\sqrt{\lambda} \hat{x}\right) - \hat{x} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \mp i\sqrt{\lambda}\right),\]
one can prove (2.8).

\[\square\]

**Theorem 2.3.** Suppose $u \in \mathcal{B}^*$ satisfies $(H - \lambda)u = 0$ $(\lambda > 0)$ in a neighborhood of infinity. Assume that

\[\lim_{R \to \infty} \frac{1}{R} \int_{|x| < R} |u(x)|^2 dx = 0.\]

Then $u(x) = 0$ in a neighborhood of infinity.

Proof. By the assumption, we have
\[\liminf_{r \to \infty} r \int_{S_1} |u(r\omega)|^2 d\omega = 0.\]

The theorem then follows from [11], Lemma 2.5.

\[\square\]

**Theorem 2.4.** For any compact interval $I \subset (0,\infty)$, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that
\[\|R(\lambda \pm i0)f\|_{\mathcal{B}^*} \leq C \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}, \quad \lambda \in I.\]

Proof. For $\mathbb{R}^2$, the proof is given in [10], Theorem 30. 2. 10. Alternatively, one can use Mourre's commutator method ([16]). To prove the theorem for $\Omega$, we first use (2.9) to see that
\[\|R(\lambda \pm i0)f\|_{\mathcal{B}^*} \leq C \|f\|_s, \quad s > 1/2.\]

By taking the adjoint, we then have $R(\lambda \pm i0) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{B}; L^{2,\frac{s}{2}})$, $s > 1/2$. Again using (2.9), we obtain $R(\lambda \pm i0) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{B}; \mathcal{B}^*)$.

\[\square\]
The following refinement of the radiation condition is also important. A solution \( u \in B^* \) to the Schrödinger equation \((H - \lambda)u = f, \ \lambda > 0\), is said to satisfy the outgoing radiation condition if it satisfies
\[
(2.10) \quad \lim_{R \to \infty} \frac{1}{R} \int_{|x| < R} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial r} - i\sqrt{\lambda} \right|^2 dx = 0.
\]
If \( i \) is replaced by \(-i\), \( u \) is said to satisfy the incoming radiation condition.

**Theorem 2.5.** (1) The solution \( u \in B^* \) of the equation \((H - \lambda)u = f \in B\) satisfying the outgoing (or incoming) radiation condition is unique.

(2) \( R(\lambda \pm i0)f \) is the unique solution of the equation \((H - \lambda)u = f \in B\) satisfying the radiation condition (outgoing for +, incoming for -).

**Proof.** Suppose \( u \in B^* \) satisfies \((H - \lambda)u = 0\) and the outgoing radiation condition. Take a non-negative \( \rho \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty((0, \infty)) \) such that \( \int_0^\infty \rho(t)dt = 1 \), and put
\[
\varphi_R(x) = \chi\left(\frac{|x|}{R}\right), \quad \chi(t) = \int_t^\infty \rho(s)ds.
\]
Since \( ((H - \lambda)u, \varphi_Ru) = 0 \), by integrating by parts and taking the imaginary part,
\[
\text{Re} \frac{1}{R} ((i\partial_r + \hat{x} \cdot A)u, \rho(\frac{r}{R})u) = 0.
\]
We then have
\[
\text{Re} \frac{1}{R} \left( (-i\partial_r - \sqrt{\lambda})u, \rho(\frac{r}{R})u \right) + \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{R} \left( u, \rho(\frac{r}{R})u \right) = \frac{1}{R} \left( \hat{x} \cdot Au, \rho(\frac{r}{R})u \right).
\]
Let \( R \to \infty \). Then the 1st term of the left-hand side vanishes by (2.10), and so does the right-hand side by (2.3). Therefore \( (u, \rho(r/R)u)/R \to 0 \). Hence \( u(x) = 0 \) by Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 2.3, which proves (1).

To prove (2), we show that for \( f \in B, R(\lambda \pm i0)f \) satisfies the radiation condition. By Theorem 2.4, letting \( u_\pm = R(\lambda \pm i0)f \), we have
\[
\lim_{R \to \infty} \frac{1}{R} \int_{|x| < R} \left| \partial_r \mp i\sqrt{\lambda} \right|^2 dx \leq \| \partial_r \mp i\sqrt{\lambda} \|_p^2 \leq C \|f\|_2^2.
\]
If \( f \in L^{2,s}, \ s > 1/2 \), the left-hand side vanishes by virtue of (2.7). For \( f \in B \), we have only to approximate it by an element of \( L^{2,s} \).

Let \( S^\pm \) be the set of symbols \( p_\pm(x, \xi) \) satisfying
\[
|\partial^\alpha \partial^\beta_\xi p_\pm(x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha\beta}\langle x \rangle^{-|\alpha|}\langle \xi \rangle^{-|\beta|}, \quad \forall \alpha, \beta,
\]
and there exists a constant \(-1 < \mu_- < 1\), which is allowed to depend on \( p_\pm(x, \xi) \), such that
\[
-\langle x, \xi \rangle = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad \hat{x} \cdot \hat{\xi} > \mu_-, \quad +\langle x, \xi \rangle = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad \hat{x} \cdot \hat{\xi} < \mu_+.
\]
Since \( \hat{x} \) and \( \hat{\xi} \) should be well-defined, we are tacitly assuming that \( x \) and \( \xi \) are non-zero on the support of the symbol of \( p_\pm \). For a pseudo-differential operator \( (\Psi DO)P, P \in S^\pm \) means that its symbol belongs to \( S^\pm \). The following theorem is proved in the same way as in [13], Theorem 1, by using the parametrix in [24].

**Theorem 2.6.** (1) Let \( \lambda > 0 \) and \( P \) be a \( \Psi DO \) such that its symbol \( p(x, \xi) \) satisfies (2.11) and
\[
p(x, \xi) = 0, \quad \text{if} \quad \lambda - \frac{1}{2} \langle \xi \rangle^2 \leq 2\lambda.
\]
Then
\[ PR(\lambda + i\theta) \in \mathcal{B}(L^{2,s}; L^{2,s}), \quad \forall s \geq 0. \]

(2) Let \( \lambda > 0 \) and \( P_\pm \in S^\pm \). Then for any \( s > 1/2 \) and \( \epsilon > 0 \), we have
\[ P_\pm R(\lambda \pm i\theta) \in \mathcal{B}(L^{2,s}, L^{2,s-1-\epsilon}). \]

**Theorem 2.7.** Let \( \lambda > 0 \) and \( P_\pm \) be such that its symbol satisfies (2.11) and
\[ p_-(x, \xi) = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad \hat{x} = \hat{\xi}, \quad p_+(x, \xi) = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad \hat{x} = -\hat{\xi}. \]

Let \( s > 1/2 \) be sufficiently close to 1/2. Then there exists \( \alpha > -1/2 \) such that
\[ P_\pm R(\lambda \pm i\theta) \in \mathcal{B}(L^{2,s}; L^{2,\alpha}). \]

Proof. This theorem is essentially proved in \[14\], Theorem 3.5. For the reader’s convenience, we reproduce the proof for the case \( R(\lambda \pm i0) \). Since \( p_-(x, |\xi|\hat{x}) = 0 \), we have
\[ p_-(x, \xi) = \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt} p_-(x, |\xi|)(t\hat{x} + (1-t)\hat{\xi})) dt \]
\[ = \int_0^1 (\nabla p_-(x, |\xi|)(t\hat{x} + (1-t)\hat{\xi})) dt \cdot (\hat{\xi} - \hat{x}). \]

Therefore we have only to prove the theorem for the vector-valued symbol
\[ q(x, \xi) = \chi(x)\chi(\xi)(\hat{x} - \hat{\xi}), \]
where \( \chi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2) \) such that \( \chi(x) = 0 \) for \( |x| < \epsilon \), \( \chi(x) = 1 \) for \( |x| > 2\epsilon \) for some \( \epsilon > 0 \). Take \( \rho_\pm(t) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \) such that \( \rho_+(t) + \rho_-(t) = 1, \rho_+(t) = 1 \) (\( t < -1/2 \)),
\( \rho_-(t) = 0 \) (\( t > 1/2 \)) and split \( q(x, \xi) \) into two parts:
\[ q(x, \xi) = \rho_+(\hat{x} \cdot \hat{\xi}) q(x, \xi) + \rho_-(\hat{x} \cdot \hat{\xi}) q(x, \xi) =: q_+(x, \xi) + q_-(x, \xi). \]

For the symbol \( q_- \), the theorem is already proved. We put
\[ \nabla^{(s)} = \nabla_x - \hat{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}. \]

Taking notice of the relation
\[ |\xi - (\hat{x} \cdot \xi)\hat{x}|^2 = \frac{|\xi|^2}{2}(1 + \hat{x} \cdot \hat{\xi})(\hat{x} - \hat{\xi})^2, \]
we have
\[ q_+(x, D_x)^* (x)^{2\alpha} q_+(x, D_x) = (\nabla^{(s)})^* \langle x \rangle^\alpha P_0 \langle x \rangle^\alpha \nabla^{(s)} + \langle x \rangle^{2\alpha - 1} P_1, \]
where \( P_0, P_1 \) are bounded \( \Psi \text{DO}'s. \) Then the theorem readily follows from (2.8). \( \square \)

### 3. Spectral representation

#### 3.1. Time-dependent scattering theory

It is well-known that, although \( H \) is a long-range perturbation of \( -\Delta \), the usual wave operators exist (see \[19\]). Since we need a representation of the S-matrix by distorted plane waves (Lemma 3.9), we review relations between the usual wave operator and the modified wave operator.

We extend \( A(x) \) smoothly on \( \mathbb{R}^2 \), and put
\[ (3.1) \quad \Phi_\pm(x, \xi) = \mp \int_0^\infty A(x \pm s\xi) \cdot \xi ds, \]
and define
\[ \varphi_\pm(x, \xi) = x \cdot \xi + \Phi_\pm(x, \xi). \]
Lemma 3.1. On \((3.2)\)

\[ \left( -i \nabla_x - A(x) \right)^2 - |\xi|^2 \right) e^{i\varphi_{\pm}(x,\xi)} = e^{i\varphi_{\pm}(x,\xi)} q_{\pm}(x,\xi). \]

For a small \(0 < \delta < 1\), we define the region

\[ D_{\delta}^{(\pm)} = \{(x,\xi) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2 : \pm \hat{x} \cdot \xi \geq -1 + \delta, \ |x| > \delta, \ |\xi| > \delta \}. \]

Lemma 3.1. On \(D_{\delta}^{(\pm)}\) we have the following estimates

\[ |\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta \Phi_{\pm}(x,\xi)| \leq C_{\alpha\beta} \langle \xi \rangle^{-|\beta|} \langle x \rangle^{-|\alpha|}, \ \forall \alpha, \beta. \]

Moreover if \(\hat{x} = \pm \hat{\xi}\), we have as \(r = |x| \to \infty\),

\[ \Phi_{\pm}(x,\xi) = O(r^{-1}). \]

Proof. Using the relation

\[ \chi_{\pm} = \frac{d}{ds} \int_0^1 B(x \pm s\xi) \, ds. \]

we have

\[ \Phi_{\pm}(x,\xi) = \mp x \times \xi \int_0^1 \int_0^1 B(x \pm s\xi) \, ds \, ds + \int_0^1 x \cdot A(x \pm s\xi) \, ds \, ds. \]

The 2nd term of the right-hand side is rewritten as

\[ \int_0^1 \hat{x} \cdot A(x \pm s\xi) \, ds = \int_0^1 \hat{x} \cdot A(x \pm s\xi) \, ds. \]

As \(r \to \infty\), this behaves like a function of homogeneous degree 0 plus \(O(r^{-1})\). In the region \(\{ \pm \hat{x} \cdot \xi \geq -1 + \delta, \ |\xi| > \delta \}\)

\[ |\tau x \pm s\xi| \geq \sqrt{\frac{\delta}{2}} (|\tau x| + s|\xi|). \]

Using this and (2.4), one can then prove (3.2) by a direct computation. (3.4) is obvious. Differentiating (3.1), we have

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \Phi_{\pm}(x,\xi) = \mp \xi_2 \int_0^1 B(x \pm s\xi) \, ds + A_1(x), \]

(3.6)

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \Phi_{\pm}(x,\xi) = \pm \xi_1 \int_0^1 B(x \pm s\xi) \, ds + A_2(x). \]

(3.7)

By a direct computation, we have

\[ q_{\pm}(x,\xi) = |\nabla_x \Phi_{\pm} - A|^2 - i \nabla_x \cdot (\nabla_x \Phi_{\pm} - A), \]

where we have used the fact that \(\xi \cdot (\nabla_x \Phi_{\pm} - A) = 0\) by (3.6) and (3.7). Using (2.4) and (3.6), (3.7), we obtain (3.3).

We put

\[ J_{\pm} f(x) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\varphi_{\pm}(x,\xi)} \chi_{\pm}(\hat{x} \cdot \hat{\xi}) \chi_0(x) \chi_0(\xi) f(d\xi). \]

(3.8)
where $\chi_\pm(t) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\chi_+(t) = 1$ for $t > -1 + 2\delta$, $\chi_+(t) = 0$ for $t < -1 + \delta$, and $\chi_-(t) = \chi_+(-t)$, and $\chi_0(x) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ such that $\chi_0(x) = 1$ for $|x| > 2R$, $\chi_0(x) = 0$ for $|x| < R$, $R$ being a constant satisfying $\mathcal{O} \subset \{|x| < R\}$. Define the modified wave operator $\mathcal{M}_\pm$ by

$$\mathcal{M}_\pm = s - \lim_{t \to \pm \infty} e^{itH} J_\pm e^{-itH_0},$$

where $H_0 = -\Delta_x$ in $\mathbb{R}^2$.

**Theorem 3.2.** The strong limit (3.9) exists on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$, and is unitary from $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ onto $\mathcal{H}_\omega(H)$. It has the intertwining property: $\varphi(H) \mathcal{M}_\pm = \mathcal{M}_\pm \varphi(H_0)$, where $\varphi$ is any bounded Borel function on $\mathbb{R}$.

This theorem is proved in the same way as [12], Theorem 1.1, [21], Theorem 4 or [24], Theorem 5.10.

**Theorem 3.3.** The usual wave operator

$$W_\pm = s - \lim_{t \to \pm \infty} e^{itH} r_\Omega e^{-itH_0},$$

exists and is equal to the modified wave operator $\mathcal{M}_\pm$, where $r_\Omega$ is the operator of restriction to $\Omega$.

Proof. Using the stationary phase method and (3.4), we have for any $\hat{f}(\xi) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\})$,

$$J_\pm e^{-itH_0} f \sim C|t|^{-1} e^{i|x|^2/(4t)} \hat{f}(\frac{x}{2t}) \sim e^{-itH_0} f$$

as $t \to \pm \infty$, which together with Theorem 3.2 proves the theorem. \hfill $\square$

**3.2. Spectral representation.** For $\lambda > 0$, we put

$$F_{\psi \pm}(\lambda) f(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-i\varphi(x, \sqrt{\lambda} \omega)} \chi_\pm(\vec{x}, \omega) \chi_0(x) f(x) dx,$$

with $\chi_\pm$ and $\chi_0$ as above.

**Lemma 3.4.** For any $\delta > 0$, there exists a constant $C = C_\delta > 0$ such that

$$\|F_{\psi \pm}(\lambda) f\|_{L^2(\mathcal{V})} \leq C \|f\|_S, \quad \forall \lambda > \delta.$$

Proof. We put $a_{\pm}(x, \xi) = e^{-i\varphi(x, \xi)} \chi_\pm(\vec{x} \cdot \xi) \chi_0(x)$, where $\chi_0(\xi) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ such that $\chi_0(\xi) = 1$ if $|\xi|^2 > \delta$ and $\chi_0(\xi) = 0$ if $|\xi|^2 < \delta/2$. Let $A_{\pm}$ be the $\Psi$DO defined by

$$A_{\pm} f(x) = 2^{-1/2}(2\pi)^{-2} \int \int e^{i(x-y) \cdot \xi} a_{\pm}(y, \xi) f(y) dy d\xi.$$

Then $F_{\psi \pm}(\lambda) f = (A_{\pm} f)(\sqrt{\lambda} \omega)$. Since $A \in B(\mathcal{B}; \mathcal{B})$ by [2], Theorem 2.5, the lemma follows. \hfill $\square$

We put

$$H - |\xi|^2) e^{i\varphi(x, \xi)} \chi_\pm(\vec{x} \cdot \xi) \chi_0(x) = e^{i\varphi(x, \xi)} g_{\pm}(x, \xi),$$

and define an operator $G_{\psi \pm}(\lambda)$ by

$$G_{\psi \pm}(\lambda) f(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-i\varphi(x, \sqrt{\lambda} \omega)} g_{\pm}(x, \sqrt{\lambda} \omega) f(x) dx.$$
We finally define
\begin{equation}
\mathcal{F}^{(\pm)}(\lambda) = \mathcal{F}_{0 \pm}(\lambda) - \mathcal{G}_{ \pm}(\lambda) R(\lambda \pm i0).
\end{equation}

**Lemma 3.5.** Let $I$ be any compact interval in $(0, \infty)$. Then for $s > 1/2$, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that
\begin{equation}
\| \mathcal{F}^{(\pm)}(\lambda) f \|_{L^2(I)} \leq C \| f \|_s, \quad \forall \lambda \in I.
\end{equation}

Proof. We consider the case of $\mathcal{F}^{(\pm)}(\lambda)$. By Lemma 3.4, $\mathcal{F}_{0 \pm}(\lambda)$ has the desired property. Let $\chi(\lambda) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be such that $\chi(\lambda) = 1$ on $I$ and $\chi(\lambda) = 0$ outside a small neighborhood of $I$. By Theorem 2.6 (1), one can insert $\chi(H_0)$ between $\mathcal{G}_\pm(\lambda)$ and $R(\lambda + i0)$. We next decompose the phase space according to the value of $\hat{\theta} \cdot \hat{z}$.

More precisely, we consider $\mathcal{F}DO$’s $P_+$ and $P_-$ with symbol $\chi_{\pm}(\hat{z} \cdot \hat{\xi}) \chi(|\xi|^2)$ and $(1 - \chi_{\pm}(\hat{z} \cdot \hat{\xi})) \chi(|\xi|^2)$, respectively, where $\chi(t) = 1$ for $t > -1 + 2\delta$, $\chi(t) = 0$ for $t < -1 + 2\delta$. By Lemma 3.1, $g_\pm(x, \xi) = O(|x|^{-1-\alpha})$ on the support of the symbol of $P_\pm$. Therefore $\mathcal{G}_\pm(\lambda) P_\pm R(\lambda + i0) \in \mathcal{B}(L^{2,s}; L^2(S^1))$. Since $g_\pm(x, \xi)$ contains $\nabla_x \chi_{\pm}(\hat{z} \cdot \hat{\xi})$, $g_\pm(x, \xi) = O(|x|^{-1})$ on the support of the symbol of $P_-$. However, by Theorem 2.6 (2) we see that $P_- R(\lambda + i0) \in \mathcal{B}(L^{2,s}; L^2, \omega)$ for some $\alpha > 1/2$. Therefore $\mathcal{G}_\pm(\lambda) P_- R(\lambda + i0) \in \mathcal{B}(L^{2,s}; L^2(S^1)).$

**Theorem 3.6.** (1) The operator $(\mathcal{F}^{(\pm)} f)(\lambda, \omega) = (\mathcal{F}^{(\pm)}(\lambda f)(\omega)$, defined for $f \in L^{2,s}$ ($s > 1/2$), is uniquely extended to a partial isometry with initial set $\mathcal{H}_{ac}(H)$ and final set $L^2((0, \infty); L^2(S^1); d\lambda)$.

(2) For $f \in D(H)$, $(\mathcal{F}^{(\pm)} H f)(\lambda) = \lambda (\mathcal{F}^{(\pm)} f)(\lambda)$.

(3) $\mathcal{F}^{(\pm)}(\lambda)^* \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(S^1); \mathcal{B}^*)$ is an eigenoperator of $H$ in the sense that
\begin{equation}
(H - \lambda) \mathcal{F}^{(\pm)}(\lambda)^* \phi = 0, \quad \forall \phi \in L^2(S^1).
\end{equation}

(4) For any $0 < a < b < \infty$ and $g \in L^2((0, \infty); L^2(S^1); d\lambda)$,
\begin{equation}
\int_a^b \mathcal{F}^{(\pm)}(\lambda)^* g(\lambda) d\lambda \in L^2(\Omega).
\end{equation}

Moreover, for any $f \in \mathcal{H}_{ac}(H)$, the following inversion formula holds:
\begin{equation}
f = s - \lim_{a \to 0, b \to \infty} \int_a^b \mathcal{F}^{(\pm)}(\lambda)^* \left( \mathcal{F}^{(\pm)} f \right)(\lambda) d\lambda.
\end{equation}

Proof. Since this theorem is well-known, we only give the sketch of the proof.

Let $J_\pm$ be as in (3.8). We also put
\begin{equation}
G_\pm f(x) = (2\pi)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{i\varphi_\pm(x, \xi)} g_\pm(x, \xi) f(\xi) d\xi,
\end{equation}
where $g_\pm$ is defined by (3.12). Using the relation
\begin{equation}
HJ_\pm - J_\pm H_0 = G_\pm,
\end{equation}
we have for $\hat{f} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\})$ and $g \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$,\begin{equation}
(M \pm f, g) = (J \pm f, g) + i \int_0^{\pm \infty} \left( e^{itH} G_\pm e^{-itH_0} f, g \right) dt
= (f, J^* \pm g) - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (f, E_0^\pm(\lambda) G_\pm^* R(\lambda \pm i0) g) d\lambda,
\end{equation}
where
\[ E_0'(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} (R_0(\lambda + i0) - R_0(\lambda - i0)). \]

Letting
\[ (\mathcal{F}_0(\lambda) f)(\omega) = (2\sqrt{2} \pi)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-i\sqrt{\lambda}\omega \cdot x} f(x) dx, \]
we then have
\[ (\mathcal{M}_\pm f, g) = (f, J^*_\pm g) - \int_0^\infty (\mathcal{F}_0(\lambda)f, \mathcal{F}_0(\lambda)G^*_\pm R(\lambda \pm i0)g) d\lambda. \]

The operator \( (\mathcal{F}_0 f)(\lambda, \omega) = (\mathcal{F}_0(\lambda)f)(\omega) \) is uniquely extended to a unitary from \( L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \) to \( L^2((0, \infty); L^2(S^1); d\lambda) \). Therefore, in view of (3.11) and (3.14), we have
\[ \mathcal{F}^{(\pm)} = \mathcal{F}_0 M^*_\pm. \]
By Theorem 3.3, this is equal to \( \mathcal{F}_0 W^*_\pm \). We have thus proven

**Lemma 3.7.** \( \mathcal{F}^{(\pm)} = \mathcal{F}_0 (W^*_\pm)^*. \)

By this lemma, \( \mathcal{F}^{(\pm)} \) is a partial isometry with initial set \( \mathcal{H}_{ac}(H) \) and final set \( L^2((0, \infty); L^2(S^1); d\lambda) \). The intertwining property of the wave operator implies
\[ \int_I (\mathcal{F}^{(\pm)}(\lambda)f, \mathcal{F}^{(\pm)}(\lambda)g) d\lambda = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_I ((R(\lambda + i0) - R(\lambda - i0)) f, g) d\lambda. \]

Differentiating this, we have
\[ (\mathcal{F}^{(\pm)}(\lambda)f, \mathcal{F}^{(\pm)}(\lambda)g) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} ((R(\lambda + i0) - R(\lambda - i0)) f, g). \]

This and Theorem 2.1 imply

**Lemma 3.8.**
\[ \mathcal{F}^{(\pm)}(\lambda) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{B}; L^2(S^1)). \]

The proof of the other assertions of Theorem 3.6 is standard and is omitted.

### 3.3. S-matrix

The scattering operator \( S \) is defined by
\[ S = (W_\pm)^* W_-.. \]

By Lemma 3.7, its Fourier transform \( \hat{S} := \mathcal{F}_0 S(\mathcal{F}_0)^* \) is written as
\[ \hat{S} = \mathcal{F}^{(+)} (\mathcal{F}^{(-)})^*. \]

As is well-known, it admits a diagonal representation:
\[ (\hat{S}f)(\lambda, \omega) = (\hat{S}(\lambda)f(\lambda, \cdot))(\omega), \quad \forall f \in L^2((0, \infty); L^2(S^1); d\lambda), \quad \omega \in S^1, \]
where \( \hat{S}(\lambda) \) is a unitary operator on \( L^2(S^1) \), called the S-matrix. It has the following expression.

**Lemma 3.9.** For \( \lambda > 0 \) and \( \phi \in C^\infty(S^1) \), we have
\[ \hat{S}(\lambda)\phi = -2\pi i \mathcal{F}^{(+)}(\lambda) G_-(\lambda)^* \phi. \]
Proof. First we make a comment on the above expression of \( \tilde{S}(\lambda) \). By (3.12), \( g_-(x,\xi) \) contains a factor \( \nabla_x \chi_-(\vec{x} \cdot \vec{\xi}) \), which is \( O(|x|^{-1}) \). However, the stationary phase method implies
\[
\int_{S^1} e^{i\varphi_-(x,\sqrt{\lambda} \omega)} \nabla_x \chi_-(\vec{x} \cdot \vec{\omega}) \phi(\omega) d\omega = O(|x|^{-\infty}).
\]
We then have \( G_-(\lambda)^* \phi \in L^{2,s} \) with \( s > 1/2 \). Hence \( \mathcal{F}^{(+)}(\lambda) G_-(\lambda)^* \phi \) is well-defined.

We now prove the lemma. We put
\[
J_+ = J_+ + J_-.
\]
Then since \( J_+ e^{-itH_0} \to 0 \) as \( t \to \pm \infty \), we have
\[
W_\pm = s - \lim_{t \to \pm \infty} e^{itH} J_+ e^{-itH_0}.
\]
Letting
\[
G = G_+ + G_-,
\]
we have \( HJ - JH_0 = G \), hence
\[
W_\pm = J + i \int_0^\infty e^{itH} G e^{-itH_0} ds.
\]
This yields
\[
W_+ - W_- = i \int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{itH} G e^{-itH_0} ds.
\]
Since \( S - 1 = (W_+)^* (W_- - W_+) \), we have
\[
(Sf, g) - (f, g) = -i \int_{-\infty}^\infty (e^{itH} G e^{-itH_0} f, W_+ g) dt
\]
\[
= -i \int_{-\infty}^\infty (G e^{-itH_0} f, W_+ e^{-itH_0} g) dt
\]
\[
= -i \int_{-\infty}^\infty (G e^{-itH_0} f, J_+ e^{-itH_0} g) dt
\]
\[
= \int_0^\infty ds \int_{-\infty}^\infty (G e^{-i\lambda H_0} f, e^{isH} G_+ e^{-i(s+\lambda)H_0} g) ds ds,
\]
where we have used \( e^{-itH} W_+ = W_+ e^{-itH_0} \) in the 2nd line and
\[
W_+ = J_+ + i \int_0^\infty e^{isH} G_+ e^{-isH_0} ds
\]
in the 3rd line. Letting \( \tilde{f}(\lambda) = \mathcal{F}_0(\lambda) f, \tilde{g}(\lambda) = \mathcal{F}_0(\lambda) g \), we have
\[
\int_{-\infty}^\infty (G_+^* e^{-isH} G e^{-itH_0} f, e^{-i(s+\lambda)H_0} g) ds
\]
\[
= \int_{-\infty}^\infty dt \int_{-\infty}^\infty (\mathcal{F}_0(\lambda) G_+^* e^{-isH} G e^{-itH_0} f, e^{-i(s+\lambda)\lambda} \tilde{g}(\lambda)) d\lambda.
\]
Inserting \( e^{-it|t|} \), and letting \( \epsilon \to 0 \), this converges to
\[
2\pi \int_0^\infty (\mathcal{F}_0(\lambda) G_+^* e^{-i\lambda(H-\lambda)} \mathcal{F}_0(\lambda) f, \tilde{g}(\lambda)) d\lambda
\]
\[
= 2\pi \int_0^\infty (\mathcal{F}_0(\lambda) G_+^* e^{-i\lambda(H-\lambda)} \mathcal{F}_0(\lambda) f, \tilde{g}(\lambda)) d\lambda.
\]

where \( E_0'(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} (R_0(\lambda + i0) - R_0(\lambda - i0)) = F_0(\lambda)^* F_0(\lambda) \). Therefore the last term of the right-hand side of (3.17) is equal to

\[
-2\pi \int_0^\infty ds \int_0^\infty (F_0(\lambda) G_+^* e^{-is(H-L)} G F_0(\lambda)^* f(\lambda), g(\lambda)) d\lambda.
\]

Inserting \( e^{-is} \) and letting \( \epsilon \to 0 \), this converges to

\[
2\pi i \int_0^\infty (F_0(\lambda) G_+^* R(\lambda + i0)G F_0(\lambda)^* f(\lambda), g(\lambda)) d\lambda.
\]

Similarly, the 1st term of the right-hand side of (3.17) is rewritten as

\[
-2\pi i \int_0^\infty (F_0(\lambda) J_+ G F_0(\lambda)^* f(\lambda), g(\lambda)) d\lambda.
\]

The above computations are justified when \( f(\lambda), g(\lambda) \in C_0^\infty((0,\infty); L^2(S^1)) \). We have thus proven that

\[
\hat{S}(\lambda) = 1 - 2\pi i F_0(\lambda) (J_+^* G - G_+^* R(\lambda + i0)G) F_0(\lambda)^*.
\]

By (3.11) and (3.13), we have

\[
\bar{F}_0^\pm(\lambda) = F_0(\lambda) J_\pm^*, \quad G_\pm(\lambda) = F_0(\lambda) G_\pm^*.
\]

This implies

\[
\hat{S}(\lambda) = 1 - 2\pi i \bar{F}_0^-(\lambda) \left( G_+(\lambda)^* + G_-(\lambda)^* \right)
+ 2\pi i G_+(\lambda) R(\lambda + i0) \left( G_+(\lambda)^* + G_-(\lambda)^* \right).
\]

Here let us note that for \( \phi \in C_0^\infty(S^1) \), \( \bar{F}_0^+(\lambda)^* \phi \) satisfies the outgoing radiation condition, and

\[
(H - \lambda) \bar{F}_0^+(\lambda)^* \phi = G_+(\lambda)^* \phi.
\]

Theorem 2.5 then implies

\[
R(\lambda + i0) G_+(\lambda)^* \phi = \bar{F}_0^-(\lambda)^* \phi.
\]

In view of (3.14), we have

\[
\hat{S}(\lambda) = 1 - 2\pi i \left( \bar{F}_0^+(\lambda) G_+(\lambda)^* - G_+(\lambda) \bar{F}_0^+(\lambda)^* \right)
- 2\pi i F^+(\lambda) G_-(\lambda)^*.
\]

The proof of the lemma will then be completed if we show

\[
2\pi i \left( \bar{F}_0^+(\lambda) G_+(\lambda)^* - G_+(\lambda) \bar{F}_0^+(\lambda)^* \right) = 1.
\]

For \( \phi, \psi \in C_0^\infty(S^1) \), we put \( u = \bar{F}_0^+(\lambda)^* \phi, v = \bar{F}_0^+(\lambda)^* \psi \). Then by the stationary phase method, we have as \( r = |x| \to \infty \)

\[
u \sim e^{-\pi i/4} 2\sqrt{\pi} \lambda^{1/4} r^{-1/2} e^{i\sqrt{\lambda} r} \phi(x).
\]
Then we have by integration by parts

\[
\lim_{r \to \infty} \int_{|x| < r} \left( (H - \lambda)u - u (H - \lambda) v \right) \, dx = \lim_{r \to \infty} - \int_{|x| = r} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} - u \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} \right) \, dS
\]

\[
= - 2i\sqrt{\lambda} \lim_{r \to \infty} \int_{|x| = r} u \tau dS
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2\pi i} (\phi, \psi)_{L^2(S^1)},
\]

which proves (3.20) by (3.19).

\[\square\]

4. Distorted plane waves

The main result of this section is Theorem 4.4 on the asymptotic expansion of the resolvent at infinity. With the aid of this theorem, we shall derive the asymptotic expansion of distorted plane waves (Theorem 4.5 and Lemma 4.10).

4.1. Asymptotic expansion of the resolvent.

**Lemma 4.1.** Let \( \rho(t) \in C_0^\infty((0, \infty)) \) be such that \( \int_0^\infty \rho(t) \, dt = 1 \). Then for any \( f \in L^{2,s} \) with \( s > 1/2 \) we have

\[
\lim_{R \to \infty} \frac{i}{\pi R} \sqrt{\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-i\sqrt{\lambda} x \cdot \omega} \rho \left( \frac{|x|}{R} \right) R (\lambda \pm i0) f \, dx = \mathcal{F}^{(\pm)}(\lambda) f
\]

in the sense of strong limit in \( L^2(S^1) \).

**Proof.** We first consider \( e^{-i\varphi(x, \xi)} \) instead of \( e^{-ix \cdot \xi} \). Let \( \rho_1(t) = \int_0^\infty \rho(s) \, ds \), and put \( u_\pm = R(\lambda \pm i0) f \). Then we have

\[
\int \left[ (H - \lambda)e^{-i\varphi_\pm(x, \sqrt{\lambda} \omega)} \chi_\pm(\xi, \omega) \chi_\Omega(x) \right] \rho_1 \left( \frac{r}{R} \right) u_\pm \, dx
\]

\[
= \int e^{-i\varphi_\pm(x, \sqrt{\lambda} \omega)} \mathcal{I}_\pm(x, \sqrt{\lambda} \omega) \rho_1 \left( \frac{r}{R} \right) u_\pm \, dx,
\]

where \( r = |x| \). By integration by parts, the left-hand side is equal to

\[
\int e^{-i\varphi_\pm(x, \sqrt{\lambda} \omega)} \chi_\pm(\xi, \omega) \chi_\Omega(x) (H - \lambda) \rho_1 \left( \frac{r}{R} \right) u_\pm \, dx
\]

We compute

\[
(H - \lambda) \rho_1 u_\pm = \rho_1 f \pm \frac{2i\sqrt{\lambda}}{R} \rho_1 \left( \frac{r}{R} \right) u_\pm + \frac{2}{R^2} \rho_1 \left( \frac{r}{R} \right) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \mp i\sqrt{\lambda} \right) u_\pm - (\Delta \rho_1) u_\pm + 2i(A \cdot \nabla \rho_1) u_\pm.
\]

By Theorem 2.2, the last 3 terms of the right-hand side tends to 0 in \( L^{2,s'} \) for some \( s' > 1/2 \). We have, therefore, letting \( R \to \infty \) in (4.1),

\[
\lim_{R \to \infty} \frac{i}{\pi R} \sqrt{\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-i\varphi_\pm(x, \sqrt{\lambda} \omega)} \rho \left( \frac{|x|}{R} \right) \chi_\pm(\xi, \omega) R (\lambda \pm i0) f \, dx = \mathcal{F}^{(\pm)}(\lambda) f.
\]

Take \( \chi_0(\xi) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2) \) such that \( \chi_0(\xi) = 0 \) for \( |\xi| < \sqrt{\lambda}/2 \) or \( |\xi| > 2\sqrt{\lambda} \) and \( \chi_0(\xi) = 1 \) near \( |\xi| = \sqrt{\lambda} \). Let \( v^{(\pm)}_R = R^{-1} \rho(r/R) u_\pm \). Then \( (1 - \chi_0(D_x))v^{(\pm)}_R \to 0 \) in
Let $t_{\pm}(x,\omega) = 1 - e^{-i\Phi_{\pm}(x,\sqrt{\lambda}\omega)}\chi_{\pm}(\tilde{x} \cdot \omega)$ and consider the \Psi DO $T_{\pm}$ such that

$$T_{\pm}f(x) = (2\pi)^{-1} \int e^{i(x-y) \xi} t_{\pm}(y,\tilde{\xi}) \chi_0(\xi)f(y)dyd\xi.$$

Since

$$t_{\pm}(x,\omega) = 1 - e^{-i\Phi_{\pm}(x,\pm\sqrt{\lambda}\omega)} - (e^{-i\Phi_{\pm}(x,\sqrt{\lambda}\omega)} - e^{-i\Phi_{\pm}(x,\pm\sqrt{\lambda}\omega)}) \chi_{\pm}(\tilde{x} \cdot \omega) - e^{-i\Phi_{\pm}(x,\pm\sqrt{\lambda}\omega)(\chi_{\pm}(\tilde{x} \cdot \omega) - 1)},$$

by Theorem 2.7 and (3.4) and Lemma 3.4, which of course holds with $\phi_{\pm}(x,\xi)$ replaced by $x \cdot \xi$, we have

$$\int e^{-i\sqrt{\lambda}\omega \cdot x} T_{\pm} y^{(\pm)}_{R} dx \to 0.$$

This combined with (4.2) proves the lemma. $\square$

**Lemma 4.2.** Let $\rho(t)$ be as in Lemma 4.1, and $u_{\pm} = R(\lambda \pm i0)f, v_{\pm} = R(\lambda \pm i0)g$ with $f, g \in L^{2,s}$ for $s > 1/2$. Then

$$\lim_{R \to \infty} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\pi R} \left( \rho\left( \frac{r}{R} \right) u_{\pm}, v_{\pm} \right) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left( [R(\lambda + i0) - R(\lambda - i0)] f, g \right).$$

Proof. By integration by parts

$$\left( (H - \lambda) \rho_{1}\left( \frac{r}{R} \right) u_{\pm}, v_{\pm} \right) = \left( \rho_{1}\left( \frac{r}{R} \right) u_{\pm}, g \right).$$

The left-hand side is equal to

$$\left( (H, \rho_{1}\left( \frac{r}{R} \right) u_{\pm}, v_{\pm} \right) + \left( \rho_{1}\left( \frac{r}{R} \right) f, v_{\pm} \right).$$

Computing in the same way as in the previous lemma, we get the conclusion. $\square$

Recall the relation $\simeq$ defined by (2.1).

**Lemma 4.3.** For any $\phi \in L^{2}(S^{1})$, we have

$$\int_{S^{1}} e^{i\sqrt{\lambda}\omega \cdot x} \chi_{\pm}(\tilde{x} \cdot \omega) \phi(\omega)d\omega \simeq \left( \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \right)^{1/2} r^{-1/2} e^{\pm i(\sqrt{\lambda}r^{2} - \frac{\pi}{4})} \phi(\pm \tilde{x}).$$

Proof. If $\phi \in C^{\infty}(S^{1})$, this lemma follows from the stationary phase method. In the general case, we approximate $\phi$ by smooth function and use $\mathcal{F}_{0}^{(\pm)}(\lambda)^{*} \in \mathcal{B}(L^{2}(S^{1}); B^{s})$, which follows from Lemma 3.4. $\square$

**Theorem 4.4.** For $\lambda > 0$ and $f \in \mathcal{B}$, the following asymptotic expansion holds:

$$R(\lambda \pm i0)f \simeq \left( \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \right)^{1/2} r^{-1/2} e^{\pm i(\sqrt{\lambda}r^{2} - \frac{\pi}{4})} \left( \mathcal{F}_{0}^{(\pm)}(\lambda) f \right)(\pm \omega),$$

where $r = |x|, \omega = x/r$.

Proof. Since both side are bounded operators from $\mathcal{B}$ to $B^{s}$, we have only to prove the theorem for $f \in C^{\infty}_{0}(\Omega)$. Let $\rho(t)$ be as in Lemma 4.1, and put $u_{\pm} = R(\lambda \pm i0)f, \phi_{\pm} = \mathcal{F}_{\pm}(\lambda) f$. Letting

$$w_{\pm} = \pm \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{S^{1}} e^{i\sqrt{\lambda}\omega \cdot x} \chi_{\pm}(\tilde{x} \cdot \omega) \phi(\omega)d\omega$$
and in view of Lemmas 2.1 and 4.3, we have only to prove \( u_\pm \simeq w_\pm \), namely
\[
\frac{1}{R} \left( \rho \left( \frac{r}{R} \right) (u_\pm - w_\pm), u_\pm - w_\pm \right) \rightarrow 0.
\]
This is equivalent to showing that the following term tends to 0:
\[
\frac{1}{R} \left( \rho \left( \frac{r}{R} \right) u_\pm, u_\pm \right) + \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \| \phi_\pm \|_{L^2(S^1)}^2
\]
\[
\pm \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}R} \int_{S^1} \left( \int \rho \left( \frac{r}{R} \right) e^{-i\sqrt{\lambda} r \cdot \chi_\pm(x) u_\pm} dx \right) \phi_\pm(\omega) d\omega + (CC),
\]
where \((CC)\) means the complex conjugate of the preceding term. By Lemmas 4.1, 4.2 and (3.16), this converges to 0. \(\square\)

**Theorem 4.5.** Let \( \lambda > 0 \) and \( \phi \in L^2(S^1) \). Then
\[
(\mathcal{F}(\lambda)^* \phi)(x) = \frac{e^{-i\pi \lambda/4}}{2\sqrt{\pi \lambda}^{1/4}} \left( \frac{e^{-i\sqrt{\lambda} x}}{\sqrt{\pi}^{1/2}} \phi(-\omega) - i e^{i\sqrt{\lambda} x} (\tilde{S}(\lambda) \phi)(\omega) \right),
\]
where \( r = |x|, \omega = x/r \).

Proof. Since both side are bounded operators from \( L^2(S^1) \) to \( \mathcal{B}^* \), we have only to show the theorem for \( \phi \in C^\infty(S^1) \). By (3.14), \( \mathcal{F}(\lambda)^* \phi = \tilde{F}_{\mu}^\pm(\lambda)^* \phi - R(\lambda + i\theta)G(\lambda)^* \phi \). We apply the stationary phase method to the 1st term of the right-hand side, and Theorem 4.4 and Lemma 3.4 to the 2nd term. \(\square\)

### 4.2. Aharonov-Bohm solutions and the S-matrix.

The magnetic flux is defined by
\[
(4.4) \quad \alpha = \frac{1}{2\pi} \lim_{r \to \infty} \int_{|x|=r} A.
\]
Let \( A^0 = \alpha(-x_2, x_1)/|x|^2 \) and
\[
H_{AB} = (-i\nabla - A^0)^2 \text{ in } L^2(\mathbb{R}^2).
\]
Its spectral properties are studied in [25] and [15]. For \( x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \), let \( \gamma(x; \omega) \) be the azimuth angle of \( x \) to the direction \( \omega \in S^1 \) taking into account of the standard orientation. Let \( \psi_{\pm AB}(x, \lambda, \omega) \) be the Aharonov-Bohm solution (see [25] and [15])
\[
(4.5) \quad \psi_{\pm AB}(x, \lambda, \omega) = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \exp(\pm i|l - \alpha|\pi/2) \exp(i\gamma(x; \pm\omega))J_{|l-\alpha|}(\sqrt{\lambda}|x|).
\]
It satisfies the Schrödinger equation \((H_{AB} - \lambda) \psi_{\pm AB} = 0\). The Fourier transformation associated with \( H_{AB} \) is defined by
\[
(4.6) \quad \left( \mathcal{F}_{\pm AB} f \right)(\lambda, \omega) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \overline{\psi_{\pm AB}(x, \lambda, \omega)} f(x) dx,
\]
which is unitary : \( L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \to L^2((0, \infty); L^2(S^1); d\lambda) \) and diagonalizes \( H_{AB} \). It is worth recalling the following lemma proved by [15] illustrating the difference of the spatial asymptotics between this distorted plane wave and the standard case, although we do not use it in this paper.
Lemma 4.6. \( \psi_{AB}^{(\pm)} \) is bounded in \( \mathbb{R}^2 \), and has the asymptotic expansion

\[
\psi_{AB}^{(\pm)}(x, \lambda, \omega) \sim e^{|x| - \pi} + e^{\pi |x| + \frac{\pi}{2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n^{(\pm)}(\lambda, x, \omega) \frac{r^n}{r^{n/2}}
\]

in the region \( |x| > \delta > 0 \). Here \( 0 < \delta < 1 \) is an arbitrarily fixed constant.

From here until the end of this section, we assume that for some \( \epsilon_0 > 0 \)

\[
|V(x)| \leq C(x)^{-3/2 - \epsilon_0}. \tag{4.7}
\]

We put

\[
\Psi_{\pm}(x, \lambda, \omega) = \chi_{\Omega}(x)\psi_{AB}^{(\pm)}(x, \lambda, \omega) - R(\lambda \mp i\theta)(H - \lambda)\chi_{\Omega}(x)\psi_{AB}^{(\pm)}(x, \lambda, \omega),
\]

\( \chi_{\Omega}(x) \) being defined before Theorem 3.2. Since \( (H - \lambda)\chi_{\Omega}(x)\psi_{AB}^{(\pm)} = O(r^{-3/2 - \epsilon_0}) \) by the assumption (4.7), \( \Psi_{\pm} \) is well-defined.

Theorem 4.7. (1) \( \Psi_{\pm} \) satisfies \((H - \lambda)\Psi_{\pm} = 0 \) in \( \Omega \), \( \Psi_{\pm} = 0 \) on \( \partial \Omega \).

(2) For \( f \in C^\infty_0(\Omega) \), we have

\[
\mathcal{F}(\pm)(\lambda)f(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\Omega} \Psi_{\pm}(x, \lambda, \omega)f(x)dx.
\]

Proof. The assertion (1) is obvious. The assertion (2) follows from general results of scattering theory and eigenfunction expansion theorem. In fact, letting \( H_0 = -\Delta \) in \( L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \), we define wave operators

\[
W_{\pm}(H_{AB} ; H_0) = s \lim_{t \to \pm \infty} e^{itH_{AB}}e^{-itH_0},
\]

\[
W_{\pm}(H; H_0) = s \lim_{t \to \pm \infty} e^{itH_{\Omega}}e^{-itH_0},
\]

\[
W_{\pm}(H; H_{AB}) = s \lim_{t \to \pm \infty} e^{itH_{\Omega}}e^{-itH_{AB}}.
\]

Then by the chain rule

\[
W_{\pm}(H; H_{AB}) = W_{\pm}(H; H_0)W_{\pm}(H_0; H_{AB}). \tag{4.10}
\]

In [25] it is shown that

\[
W_{\pm}(H_0; H_{AB})^* = W_{\pm}(H_{AB}; H_0) = \left(\mathcal{F}_{AB}^{(\pm)}\right)^* \mathcal{F}_{0}.
\]

Therefore by Lemma 3.7 and (4.10), \( W_{\pm}(H; H_{AB}) = (\mathcal{F}_{AB}^{(\pm)})^* \mathcal{F}_{AB}^{(\pm)} \), hence

\[
\mathcal{F}_{AB}^{(\pm)} = \mathcal{F}_{AB}^{(\pm)}W_{\pm}(H_{AB}; H).
\]

This coincides with the Fourier transformation constructed by the perturbation method, which is just the formula (4.9). \( \square \)
We define the scattering operators and their Fourier transforms by
\[
S(H; H_0) = W_+(H; H_0)^* W_-(H; H_0),
\]
\[
\tilde{S}(H; H_0) = \mathcal{F}_0 S(H; H_0) (\mathcal{F}_0)^* = \mathcal{F}^{(+)} \left( \mathcal{F}^{(-)} \right)^*,
\]
\[
S(H_{AB}; H_0) = W_+(H_{AB}; H_0)^* W_-(H_{AB}; H_0),
\]
\[
\tilde{S}(H_{AB}; H_0) = \mathcal{F}_0 S(H_{AB}; H_0) (\mathcal{F}_0)^* = \mathcal{F}^{(+)}_{AB} \left( \mathcal{F}^{(-)}_{AB} \right)^*.
\]

By (4.10) and (4.11), one can prove the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.8.** \( \tilde{S}(H; H_{AB}) = \tilde{S}(H_{AB}; H_0)^* \tilde{S}(H; H_0). \)

\( \tilde{S}(H; H_{AB}) \) has the direct integral representation:
\[
\tilde{S}(H; H_{AB}) = \int_0^\infty \tilde{S}(H, H_{AB}; \lambda) d\lambda,
\]
where \( \tilde{S}(H, H_{AB}; \lambda) \) is a unitary operator on \( L^2(S^1) \) called the S-matrix associated with \( H \) and \( H_{AB} \). Similarly, we define the S-matrices \( \tilde{S}(H_{AB}, H_0; \lambda) \) and \( \tilde{S}(H, H_0; \lambda) \). Lemma 4.8 implies

**Lemma 4.9.** For any \( \lambda > 0 \), \( \tilde{S}(H_{AB}, H_0; \lambda) \tilde{S}(H, H_{AB}; \lambda) = \tilde{S}(H, H_0; \lambda). \)

Define the scattering amplitude \( F_{AB}(\lambda) \) by
\[
\tilde{S}(H, H_{AB}; \lambda) = 1 - 2\pi i F_{AB}(\lambda).
\]
Using (4.8) and Theorem 4.4, one can prove the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.10.** \( \Phi_- \) has the asymptotic expansion
\[
\Phi_-(x, \lambda, \omega) - \psi_{AB}^(-)(x, \lambda, \omega) \sim e^{i\sqrt{x}\rho} f_\pm(\lambda, \hat{x}, \omega),
\]
where \( f_+(\lambda, \theta, \omega) = C(\lambda) F_{AB}(\lambda, \theta, \omega), \) \( C(\lambda) = (2\pi)^{3/2} \lambda^{-1/4} e^{-\pi\lambda/4} \) and \( F_{AB}(\lambda, \theta, \omega) \) is the integral kernel of \( F_{AB}(\lambda) \):
\[
F_{AB}(\lambda, \theta, \omega) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} F^{(+)}(\lambda)(H - \lambda)\chi_H \psi_{AB}^(-).
\]

By [25], \( \tilde{S}(H_{AB}, H_0; \lambda) \) has the following integral kernel
\[
(S_\alpha \phi)(\theta) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} s_\alpha(\theta - \theta') \phi(\theta') d\theta',
\]
\[
s_\alpha(\theta) = \delta(\theta) \cos(\pi \omega) + i \frac{\sin(\pi \alpha)}{\pi} \frac{e^{i|\alpha|\theta}}{1 - e^{-\theta}},
\]
where \( \alpha \) is the magnetic flux defined by (4.4) and \( |[\alpha]| \) is the least integer greater than or equal to \( \alpha \). (Note that \( \alpha \) in this paper is \( -\alpha \) in [25].) Let us note that \( S_\alpha \) depends only on \( \alpha \). The spectrum of \( S_\alpha \) consists of two eigenvalues \( e^{\pm i\pi \alpha} \) with eigenvector \( e^{im\theta} \), \( \forall m \geq \alpha \), for \( e^{i\pi \alpha} \) and eigenvector \( e^{im\theta} \), \( \forall m < \alpha \), for \( e^{-i\pi \alpha} \).

Let \( \tilde{S}(\lambda; \theta, \omega) \) be the integral kernel of \( \tilde{S}(H, H_0; \lambda) \). Lemmas 4.9 and 4.10 imply the following lemma.
Lemma 4.11.

\[ \hat{S}(\lambda; \theta, \omega) = s_\alpha(\theta - \omega) - 2\pi i \int_0^{2\pi} s_\alpha(\theta - \theta') F_{AB}(\lambda, \theta', \omega) d\theta'. \]

5. INVERSE PROBLEM

We return to our original assumption (A-1), (A-2), (A-3) and study the inverse problem.

5.1. Restriction of generalized eigenfunctions to a curve. Take \( R > 0 \) so that \( \mathcal{O} \subset \{ |x| < R - 1 \} \). Let \( D_{int} = \{ |x| < R \} \cap \Omega \) and \( D_{ext} = \{ |x| > R \} \). Let \( F^{-}(\lambda) \) be the generalized Fourier transform defined in §3 on \( \Omega \). We put \( C = \{ |x| = R \} \) and

\[ (f, g) = \int_C f(x)\overline{g(x)} dl. \]

Lemma 5.1. If \( f \in L^2(C) \) satisfies

\[ (f, F^{-}(\lambda)^* \phi) = 0, \quad \forall \phi \in L^2(S^1), \]

then \( f = 0 \), provided \( \lambda \) is not a Dirichlet eigenvalue for \( H \) in \( D_{int} \).

Proof. Let \( R(z) = (H - z)^{-1} \). As is well-known, \( R(\lambda - i0) \) can be extended to a bounded operator from \( L^2(C) \) to \( H^{3/2}_{\text{loc}}(\Omega) \), which is denoted by \( L^2(C) \ni f \rightarrow R(\lambda - i0)\delta \mathcal{C} f \). Let \( R_0(z) = (H_0 - z)^{-1} \), and \( \chi_\Omega(x) \in C^\infty(R^2) \) be such that \( \chi_\Omega(x) = 0 \) if \( |x| < R - 1/2 \), \( \chi_\Omega(x) = 1 \) if \( |x| > R \). Using the resolvent equation

\[ R(\lambda - i0)\chi_\Omega = \chi_\Omega R_0(\lambda - i0) - R(\lambda - i0)([H, \chi_\Omega] + \chi_\Omega(H - H_0)) R_0(\lambda - i0), \]

and looking at the behavior at infinity of \( R(\lambda - i0)\delta \mathcal{C} f \), one can extend \( F^{-}(\lambda) \) also on \( L^2(C) \), which is denoted by \( F^{-}(\lambda)\delta \mathcal{C} \). By Theorem 4.4,

\[ u \equiv R(\lambda - i0)\delta \mathcal{C} f \Rightarrow C(\lambda) r^{-1/2} e^{-i\sqrt{\mathcal{C}}} F^{-}(\lambda)\delta \mathcal{C} f. \]

The assumption of the lemma implies \( F^{-}(\lambda)\delta \mathcal{C} f = 0 \). Therefore

\[ \lim_{R \to \infty} \frac{1}{R} \int_{|x|<R} |u(x)|^2 dx = 0. \]

Let us note that for any \( \varphi \in C^\infty_0(\Omega) \)

\[ ((H - \lambda)u, \varphi) = (u, (H - \lambda)\varphi) = (f, R(\lambda + i0)(H - \lambda)\varphi) = (f, \varphi), \]

where we have used the fact that \( \varphi = R(\lambda + i0)(H - \lambda)\varphi \), since \( \varphi \) is compactly supported, hence satisfies the radiation condition. We then have \((H - \lambda)u = 0\) outside and inside \( C \). Using (5.1), we have \( u = 0 \) outside \( C \) by Theorem 2.5. Since \( u \in H^{3/2}_{\text{loc}}(\Omega) \), \( u|_C = 0 \). Since \( \lambda \) is not a Dirichlet eigenvalue, \( u = 0 \) in \( D_{int} \). Therefore \( u = 0 \) globally in \( \Omega \), which implies \( f = 0 \). \( \square \)
5.2. **Dirichlet-Neumann map.** If \( \lambda \) is not a Dirichlet eigenvalue, the boundary value problem
\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{cases}
(-i \nabla - A)^2 + V - \lambda & u = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega_{\text{int}}, \\
u & u = f \in H^{3/2}(C) \quad \text{on } C = \{|x| = R\}
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]
has a unique solution \( u \). Let
\[
\Lambda(A, \lambda) : f \to \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} - i \nu \cdot Au \right)_{C}
\]
be the Dirichlet-Neumann map (D-N map), \( \nu \) being the outer unit normal to \( C \).

5.3. **Gauge equivalence.** In the following, we shall assume that
\[
(A-4) \quad A(x) = \alpha \frac{(-x_2, x_1)}{|x|^2} + A'(x) \quad \text{in } \Omega,
\]
where \( \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \) is the magnetic flux defined by (4.4), and \( A'(x) \) satisfies
\[
|\partial_x^\alpha A'(x)| \leq C_\alpha \langle x \rangle^{-1-|\alpha|-\epsilon_0}, \quad \forall \alpha.
\]
The conditions (A-1) and (A-2) follow from (A-4). We put
\[
(5.3) \quad \Theta(x) = \frac{x \times \dd x}{|x|^2}, \quad \dd x = (dx_1, dx_2).
\]
Take \( R > 0 \) large enough, and for \( x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\} \), let \( C(x) \) be a \( C^\infty \)-curve emanating from \((R, 0)\) with end point \( x \). Put
\[
\theta(x) = \int_{C(x)} \Theta(x).
\]
Then \( e^{i \theta(x)} = (x_1 + ix_2)/|x| \). Let \( L_{\infty,0} \) be the set of real-valued functions \( L(x) \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega}) \) such that for some \( \epsilon_0 > 0 \)
\[
|\partial_x^\alpha L(x)| \leq C_\alpha \langle x \rangle^{-\epsilon_0 - |\alpha|}, \quad \forall \alpha.
\]
(5.4)
Recall that \( \Omega = \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{O} \), and we assume that \((0, 0) \notin \Omega \). We define
\[
R_0 = \sup_{x \in \mathcal{O}} |x|.
\]

**Definition 5.2.** The gauge group \( G(\Omega) \) is a set of \( \mathbb{C} \)-valued functions \( g(x) \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega}) \) satisfying \( |g(x)| = 1 \) on \( \Omega \) and there exist \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \) and \( L \in L_{\infty,0} \) such that
\[
g(x) = \exp\left( in \theta(x) + iL(x) \right) \quad \text{for } |x| > R_0.
\]
By the above definition, \( n \) of \( g(x) \) is computed as
\[
(5.5) \quad n = \lim_{R \to \infty} -i \int_{|x|=R} \frac{dg}{g}.
\]
Two vector potentials \( A^{(1)} \) and \( A^{(2)} \) are said to be **gauge-equivalent** if there exists \( g \in G(\Omega) \) such that, being identified with 1-form,
\[
A^{(2)} = A^{(1)} - ig^{-1}dg.
\]
Or, equivalently, if there exist \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \) and \( g(x) \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega}) \) such that \( |g(x)| = 1 \) and \( g(x) = e^{in \partial_x^\alpha g_1(x)} \), \( |\partial_x^\alpha (g_1(x) - 1)| \leq C_\alpha \langle x \rangle^{-|\alpha|-\epsilon_0} \) such that
\[
A^{(2)} = A^{(1)} + n\Theta(x) - ig_1^{-1}dg_1.
\]
(5.6)
Let $H(A, V)$ be the Schrödinger operator with magnetic vector potential $A$ and electric scalar potential $V$ satisfying the assumptions (A-3) and (A-4). Two such operators $H(A^{(1)}, V)$ and $H(A^{(2)}, V)$ are said to be gauge equivalent if there exists $g \in G(\Omega)$ such that

$$H(A^{(2)}, V) = g H(A^{(1)}, V) g^{-1}.$$  

We also say that $H^{(1)}$ and $H^{(2)}$ are gauge equivalent by $g \in G(\Omega)$. Let $e^{i\eta(D_x)}$ be the PDO with symbol $e^{i\eta(\xi)}$:

$$(e^{i\eta(D_x)} f)(x) = (2\pi)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{ix \cdot \xi} e^{i\eta(\xi)} \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi.$$ 

The following lemma is well-known (cf. for example [21], [29], [31]).

**Lemma 5.3.** Suppose $H^{(1)} = H(A^{(1)}, V)$ and $H^{(2)} = H(A^{(2)}, V)$ are gauge equivalent by $g \in G(\Omega)$. Then we have

$$\hat{S}(H^{(2)}; H_0) = e^{i\eta(D_x)} \hat{S}(H^{(1)}; H_0) e^{-i\eta(D_x) + \pi},$$

where $n$ is given by (5.5), and $n = \alpha_2 - \alpha_1$, $\alpha_j$ being the magnetic flux of $H^{(j)}$.

Proof. By (5.7), we have

$$e^{i\eta(D_x)} g_{1}(x) W_{\pm}(H^{(1)}; H_0) = \lim_{t \to \pm \infty} e^{itH^{(2)}; H_0} r_{\Omega} e^{i\eta(x)} g_{1}(x) e^{-itH_0}.$$ 

Let $\hat{f}(\xi) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\})$. Then by the stationary phase method we have as $t \to \pm \infty$

$$e^{i\eta(x)} g_{1}(x) e^{-itH_0} f \sim e^{i\eta(x)} e^{-itH_0} f$$

$$\sim \frac{C}{t} e^{it^{2}/2t} e^{i\eta(x)} \hat{f}\left(\frac{x}{2t}\right)$$

$$\sim (2\pi)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{ix(1 - t)\xi(\xi)} e^{i\eta(\pm \xi)} \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi,$$

where in the last step we have used that $\eta(\xi)$ is homogeneous of degree 0. Then we have

$$g W_{\pm}(H^{(1)}; H_0) = W_{\pm}(H^{(2)}; H_0) e^{i\eta(\pm D_x)}.$$ 

Since $\eta(-\xi) = \eta(\xi) + \pi$, we obtain the lemma. \qed

We study the converse of Lemma 5.3. We say that two $S$-matrices $\hat{S}(H^{(i)}, H_0)$, $i = 1, 2$, are gauge equivalent if

$$\hat{S}(H^{(2)}; H_0) = e^{i\eta(D_x)} \hat{S}(H^{(1)}; H_0) e^{-i\eta(D_x) + \pi},$$

holds for some integer $n$. In this case, letting $H^{(3)} = H(A^{(3)}, V)$ with $A^{(3)} = A^{(1)} + n\Theta(x) - ig_1^{-1} dg_1$, we have

$$\hat{S}(H^{(2)}; H_0) = \hat{S}(H^{(3)}; H_0).$$ 

In the following we assume that $\mathcal{O} \subset \{x \mid x < R - 1\}$. The following two lemmas were proved by Nicoleau and Weder ([21], Theorem 1.7, [29] Theorem 1.4).

**Lemma 5.4.** Suppose $\hat{S}(H(A^{(1)}, V^{(1)}); H_0) = \hat{S}(H(A^{(2)}, V^{(2)}); H_0)$. Let $\{x_0 + s\omega; s \in \mathbb{R}\}$ ($\omega \in S^1$) be a line which does not intersect $B_{R-1} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2; |x| < R - 1\}$. Then

$$\exp\left( i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} A^{(1)}(x_0 + s\omega) \cdot \omega ds \right) = \exp\left( i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} A^{(2)}(x_0 + s\omega) \cdot \omega ds \right).$$
\[ V^{(1)}(x_0 + s\omega)ds = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} V^{(2)}(x_0 + s\omega)ds. \]

**Lemma 5.5.** Suppose (5.9) and (5.10) hold. Let \( \alpha \) be the magnetic flux of \( A^{(i)} \), and decompose \( A^{(i)} \) as \( A^{(i)}(x) = \alpha_j(-x_2, x_1)/|x|^2 + A^{(i)}(x) \). Assume that
\begin{align}
&|A^{(i)}(x) - A^{(i)}(x)| \leq C_N(x)^{-N}, \quad \forall N > 0, \\
&|V^{(i)}(x) - V^{(2)}(x)| \leq C_N(x)^{-N}, \quad \forall N > 0.
\end{align}

Then \( \alpha_2 - \alpha_1 \) is an even integer and there exists \( L_1 \in L_{\infty,0} \) such that \( A^{(i)} = A^{(i)} + dL_1 \) for \( |x| > R - 1 \). Moreover
\[ V^{(1)}(x) = V^{(2)}(x) \quad \text{for} \quad |x| > R - 1. \]

The assumptions (5.11) and (5.12) are used when we apply the support theorem of Theorem 2.3 and the unique continuation theorem. Let \( u \) be the magnetic flux of \( \lambda \), where \( \phi \in L^2(S^1) \) and \( \mathcal{F}_1(\lambda)^* \phi \) is the spectral representation for \( H^{(i)} \). Let \( u = \mathcal{F}_1(\lambda)^* \phi - \mathcal{F}_2(\lambda)^* \phi \). Since \( H^{(i)} = H^{(2)} \) for \( |x| > R - 1 \), we have \( (H^{(1)} - \lambda)u = 0 \) for \( |x| > R - 1 \). Furthermore, in view of Theorem 4.5, we have
\[ \frac{1}{R} \int_{|x| < R} |u(x)|^2 dx \to 0, \quad \text{as} \quad R \to \infty. \]

Then by Theorem 2.3 and the unique continuation theorem, \( u = 0 \) for \( |x| > R - 1 \).

Let \( D_{\text{int}} = \{ |x| < R \} \) and \( C = \{ |x| = R \} \). Let \( \Lambda^{(i)}(\lambda) \) be the D-N map for \( H^{(i)} \) on \( D_{\text{int}} \). Here we assume that \( \lambda \) is not a Dirichlet eigenvalue for \( H^{(i)} \), \( i = 1, 2 \).

Letting \( \nu \) be the unit normal on \( C \), we then have
\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \mathcal{F}_1(\lambda)^* \phi - \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \mathcal{F}_2(\lambda)^* \phi = \Lambda^{(1)}(\lambda)\mathcal{F}_1(\lambda)^* \phi - \Lambda^{(2)}(\lambda)\mathcal{F}_2(\lambda)^* \phi = 0. \]

By Lemma 5.1, the range of \( \mathcal{F}_1(\lambda) \) is dense in \( L^2(C) \), which implies
\[ \Lambda^{(1)}(\lambda) = \Lambda^{(2)}(\lambda), \]
\[ (5.11) \]
\[ (5.11) \]
for all $\lambda > 0$ except for a discrete set. Let us now consider the hyperbolic initial-boundary value problem
\begin{equation}
\begin{cases}
\partial_t^2 u + (-i\nabla_x - A^{(j)})^2 u + V^{(j)} u = 0, & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\
u = \partial_t u = 0 & \text{for } t = 0, \\
u = 0 & \text{on } \partial \mathcal{O}_j, \quad j = 1, \ldots, N,
\end{cases}
\end{equation}
By (5.14), the associated hyperbolic D-N maps $\Lambda^{(j)}_H$ also coincide on $C \times (0, \infty)$.

It is well-known that by virtue of the BC-method, one can determine the domain $\Omega$ and the operator $(-i\nabla_x - A(x))^2 + V(x)$ from the hyperbolic D-N map. Namely the following theorem holds (see e.g. [4], [17] or [7], [8]).

**Theorem 5.8.** If hyperbolic D-N maps coincide on $C \times (0, \infty)$, then $\Omega^{(1)} = \Omega^{(2)}$, $V^{(1)} = V^{(2)}$, and $A^{(1)}$ and $A^{(2)}$ are gauge equivalent with the gauge $g(x)$ in $|x| < R$, which is equal to 1 on $|x| = R$.

Extending $g(x)$ to be 1 for $|x| > R$, we get that $A^{(1)}$ and $A^{(2)}$ are gauge equivalent in $\Omega$.

Note that in Theorem 5.7, $A^{(2)} = A^{(1)} - ig^{-1}dg$ where $g = 1 + O(|x|^{-\alpha_0})$ as $|x| \to \infty$.

In view of Theorem 5.7, we arrive at a natural conjecture: For non-integer flux case, $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$ if $\mathcal{S}(H^{(1)}, H_0) = \mathcal{S}(H^{(2)}, H_0)$. If this is true, Theorem 5.7 is formulated as follows. For the sake of simplicity, we state the case without electric scalar potential: For non-integer flux case, $A^{(1)}$ and $A^{(2)}$ are gauge equivalent if and only if $\mathcal{S}(H^{(1)}, H_0)$ and $\mathcal{S}(H^{(2)}, H_0)$ are gauge equivalent.

Concerning this conjecture, let us consider a simple case when the obstacles are known to be equal and convex.

**Theorem 5.9.** Suppose $H(A^{(i)}, V^{(i)}), i = 1, 2$, are two operators in the same domain $\Omega$, where the obstacle $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Omega$ is bounded and convex. Suppose
\begin{equation}
A^{(i)}(x) = \alpha_i \frac{(-x_2, x_1)}{|x|^2} + A^{(i)}',
\end{equation}
where $\alpha_i \notin \mathbb{Z}$, and the assumption (A-3) and (5.11), (5.12) are satisfied. If $S(H(A^{(1)}, V^{(1)}); H_0) = S(H(A^{(2)}, V^{(2)}); H_0)$, then $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$.

Proof. By Lemma 5.5, we have
\begin{equation}
V^{(2)} = V^{(1)}, \quad A^{(2)}' = A^{(1)}' + dL_1, \quad \alpha_2 - \alpha_1 = 2m,
\end{equation}
with an integer $m$. In Lemma 5.5 we were considering on the set $\{ |x| > R - 1 \}$, however, the proof works also outside a convex set. We put
\begin{equation}
H(A^{(3)}, V^{(3)}) = e^{-i(2m\theta + L_1)}H^{(1)}e^{i(2m\theta + L_1)}.
\end{equation}
Note that $A^{(3)} = A^{(2)}$, $V^{(3)} = V^{(2)}$, i.e.
\begin{equation}
H^{(3)} := H(A^{(3)}, V^{(3)}) = H(A^{(2)}, V^{(2)}) =: H^{(2)}.
\end{equation}
This implies that $S^{(3)} = S^{(2)}$, where $S^{(i)}$ is the scattering operator for $H^{(i)}$. It then follows from (5.16) and Lemma 5.3 that
\begin{equation}
S^{(3)} = e^{-i2m\theta} S^{(1)} e^{i2m\theta}.
\end{equation}
Since \( S^{(1)} = S^{(2)} = S^{(3)} \), we get
\[
S^{(2)} = e^{-i2m\theta}S^{(2)}e^{i2m\theta}.
\]
We shall have a contradiction assuming that \( m \neq 0 \).

Let \( S^{(2)}(\theta, \theta) \) be the distribution kernel of the operator \( S^{(2)} \). By Roux-Yafaev ([23], [24], and [31], Theorem 4.3), we have
\[
S^{(2)}(\theta, \theta') = s_2(\theta - \theta') + s_2'(\theta, \theta'),
\]
where
\[
s_2(\theta) = \cos(\pi\alpha_2)\delta(\theta) + \frac{i\sin(\pi\alpha_2)}{\pi} p.v. e^{i[\alpha_2]\theta},
\]
\[
s_2'(\theta, \theta') \leq C |\theta - \theta'|^{-\delta}, \quad 0 \leq \delta < 1.
\]
Here let us note that in [23], [24], there is no obstacle. However, the presence of the obstacle needs only a little modification. In fact, Theorem 4.3 of [31] is based on its Theorem 3.3, whose technical background is the estimates of the resolvent multiplied by pseudo-differential operators (micro-local resolvent estimates). In the case of the exterior problem, these micro-local resolvent estimates are extended in the following way. Let \( R(z) = (H - z)^{-1} \) be the resolvent for the exterior problem. We extend \( A(x) \) and \( V(x) \) smoothly to whole \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) and let \( \tilde{R} \) be the associated Hamiltonian, and \( \tilde{R}(z) = (\tilde{H} - z)^{-1} \). We take \( \chi(x) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2) \) such that \( \chi(x) = 0 \) in a neighborhood of the obstacle and \( \chi(x) = 1 \) near infinity. We then have
\[
R(z)\chi = \chi\tilde{R}(x) - R(z)[H, \chi]\tilde{R}(z).
\]
Since \([H, \chi]\) is compactly supported, one can then extend micro-local resolvent estimates to \( R(z) \) by a simple perturbation argument. The proof of (5.20) is then same as [31], Theorem 4.3.

The equality (5.18) means that
\[
\left(e^{i2m(\theta - \theta')} - 1\right)S^{(2)}(\theta, \theta') = 0.
\]
Therefore, \( S^{(2)}(\theta, \theta') = 0 \) on the open set where \( e^{i2m(\theta - \theta')} - 1 \neq 0 \), in particular, when \( |\theta - \theta'| > 0 \) and small.

Denote by \( \Pi_\epsilon \) the following domain:
\[
\Pi_\epsilon = \{(\theta, \theta') : a < \theta < b, \epsilon < \theta - \theta' < 2\epsilon\},
\]
where \( a, b \) are fixed, and \( \epsilon \) is small. It follows from (5.20) that
\[
\int_{\Pi_\epsilon} s_2'(\theta, \theta')d\theta d\theta' \to 0, \quad \epsilon \to 0.
\]
On the other hand, we have on \( \Pi_\epsilon \)
\[
\text{Re} s_2(\theta - \theta') = -\frac{\sin(\alpha_2\pi)}{\pi(\theta - \theta')} + O(1).
\]
Therefore
\[
-\text{Re} \int_{\Pi_\epsilon} s_2(\theta - \theta')d\theta d\theta' = (b - a)\frac{\sin(\alpha_2\pi)}{\pi} \log 2 + o(1).
\]
Hence we have
\[
\int_{\Pi_\epsilon} S^{(2)}(\theta, \theta')d\theta d\theta' \neq 0,
\]
i.e. $S(\theta, \theta')$ is not zero when $\epsilon < |\theta - \theta'| < 2\epsilon$, $\epsilon$ is small.

The converse of theorem 5.9 is also true.

**Theorem 5.10.** Let $H(A(i), V(i))$, $i = 1, 2$, satisfy (A-1), (A-2), (A-3) on the same domain $\Omega$. Assume $S^{(1)} = S^{(2)}$ and $V^{(1)} = V^{(2)}$. Assume also $\Lambda^{(1)}$, $\Lambda^{(2)}$ are gauge equivalent and the fluxes are not integers. Then $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$.

Proof. We use the same notation as in the proof of Theorem 5.9. Since $H^{(1)}$ and $H^{(2)}$ are gauge equivalent, there exists a gauge $g(x) \in G(\Omega)$ such that $H^{(3)} = gH^{(1)}g^{-1} = H^{(2)}$. Note that

$$g(x) = e^{i(2m\theta + L)}$$

for $|x| > R$.

Since $H^{(3)} = H^{(2)}$, we have $S^{(3)} = S^{(2)}$. Since we assume that $S^{(1)} = S^{(2)}$, we have that

$$S^{(2)}(\theta, \theta') = e^{i2m\theta}S^{(1)}(\theta - i2m\theta),$$

i.e. we are in the same situation as in Theorem 5.9. Therefore by the same argument as in Theorem 5.9 proves Theorem 5.10.

Note that Theorem 5.10 implies that having $S^{(1)} = S^{(2)}$ the condition $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$ is necessary for $H^{(1)}$ and $H^{(2)}$ to be gauge equivalent.

As for the convexity assumption of the obstacle in Theorem 5.9, we make a conjecture that we can remove it by assuming the smallness of $V^{(i)}$ and $A^{(i)}$. We shall discuss it elsewhere.

**Remark 5.11.** Theorems 5.7 and 5.9 deal with magnetic potentials satisfying conditions (A-4), (5.2). Following Yafaev [31] (see also, [3], [29], [23], [24]), one can consider the class of magnetic potentials having the form $A(x) = A_0(x) + A'(x)$ for $|x| > R$, where $A_0(x) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\})$, $d \geq 2$, and $A_0(x)$ is homogeneous of degree $-1$, $A'(x)$ satisfies (5.2). It is assumed that $A_0(x)$ satisfies the transversality condition $x \cdot A_0(x) = 0$. In this case the gauge group $G(\Omega)$ consists of $g(x) \in C^\infty(\Omega)$, $|g(x)| = 1$ and $g(x) = e^{i\theta(x)} + iL_1(x)$ for $|x| > R$ in the case $d = 2$, where $\theta \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\varphi = C^\infty(S^1)$ and $L_1(x)$ satisfies (5.4) (cf. Definition 5.2). In the case $d \geq 3$, $g(x) = e^{i\varphi(x)} + iL_1(x)$, where $\varphi \in C^\infty(S^{d-1})$ and $L_1(x)$ satisfies (5.4). Since the results of §2 ~ §4 hold for this class of potentials, one can show that analogues of Theorems 5.7 and 5.9 hold.
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