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ABSTRACT OF THE DISSERTATION

The present investigation is an experimental study of the acoustic oscillations of a gas column ("Taconis oscillations") spontaneously generated in tube or pipe with steep temperature gradient. Spontaneous acoustic oscillations occur in tubes which are warm at their closed end and cold at their open end. In this thesis, some properties of such oscillations, the stability, the frequency, higher harmonics and the effective thermal conductivity are experimentally studied.

The stability curves for helium gas between oscillations and no oscillations are determined under a nearly step-functional temperature distribution, which is established by a continuous flow of liquid or gaseous helium as coolant. They are discussed on the plane of the dimensionless variables, the temperature ratio $\alpha$ between warm and cold parts versus the ratio of a tube inner radius to the Stokes boundary layer thickness formed on the tube wall for the ratio $\xi$ ($0.3, 0.5, 1, 2, 5,$ and $10$) of warm length of the tube to cold length as a parameter. Measurements are performed below $\alpha=70$. Frequency of the acoustic oscillations is also determined corresponding to the stability curves. For small $\xi(=0.3)$, we find new phenomena, which are the transitions from the fundamental to a second-harmonic and from the second-harmonic to a third-harmonic. These transitions occur at $\alpha=15$ and $\alpha=60$ at one hand branch of the stability curve. The unstable region is experimentally and numerically determined for the fundamental and higher harmonic in order to explain such transitions.
Results obtained in our experiments for the fundamental are compared with the theory of Rott. In order to qualitatively explain the results, stability curves and appearances of higher harmonics, we roughly make some suggestions.

The effective thermal conductivity of the oscillations is estimated from the evaporation of liquid helium. Experimental results are made a rough comparison with the theory of the second-order heat flux taking into account a finite boundary layer thickness.
INTRODUCTION

Spontaneous acoustic oscillations of a gas column may be generated in a long cylindrical tube or pipe with non-uniform temperature distribution along its axis. This oscillating instability can be induced, as well-known, when the open end of the tube is cold and the closed end is warm. In low temperature system such oscillations have often been observed, in particular, in a transfer line of liquid helium and in the pumping line from liquid helium reservoir to room temperature system, along which a steep temperature gradient is maintained. The acoustic pressure amplitudes are sometimes surprisingly high; $10^4$ pa or more. They are accompanied by a considerable heat flux to liquid helium reservoir; the attainable evaporation rate under the oscillations is empirically more than three orders of magnitude larger than that without them. Thus the problems of the oscillations become of great importance for developments of cryogenics. Although the phenomenon has been one of a good many years standings in low temperature system since helium gas was liquified very little was systematically performed by experimental studies.

We have been interested in this phenomenon occurred in liquid helium reservoir for some years. A deeper understanding of

1. the stability and the frequency of the oscillations.
2. excitation of higher harmonic(second and third).
3. thermal effects accompanied by the oscillations. has been acquired by experiments. Our experimental results are supported by the theory of Rott (summarized in 1980) or expansion of it.

This phenomenon was already known before the beginning of the studies in cryogenics by more than a century at higher temperature systems. We refer to the phenomena associated with the name of "Sondhauss (1850)"; when a glass bulb attached to a piece of a narrow tube, such as a flask, is heated by a burner, audible sounds are spontaneously produced, as glass-blowers sometimes experience. In his paper the earlier observation by Pinaud (1837) and Marx (1841) are quoted. Sondhauss’s experiments and other topics related to thermally driven acoustic oscillations have been described in the text book "The Theory of Sound" by Lord Rayleigh (1945).

In cryogenics, spontaneous oscillations of helium gas columns were observed by Keesom (1945) and Taconis et al. (1949) at the Kamerlingh Onnes Laboratory at Leiden where helium gas was first liquified. The oscillating instability is called "Taconis Oscillations" in cryogenics. On several occasions in the Leiden experiments a disturbing phenomenon occurred; a column of helium gas in cryostat began to oscillate. When these oscillations appear, considerable heat transport takes place, which gives rise to abnormal evaporation of liquid helium for several experiments under cryogenic condition. Clement and Gaffney (1954) or Gaffney and Clement (1956) applied
them to a liquid helium level finder based on the discontinuous change of the frequency and the amplitudes of the oscillations that occur when the open end of a tube reaches the liquid helium surface. Twenty years later, this phenomenon is theoretically explained by Zouzoulas and Rott (1976).

A considerable heat flux along the tube, which is paid to maintain the oscillations, has been measured by Banister (1966). Although several experimental studies were performed, these works were not essential to characterize the Taconis oscillations. Such delay of experiment to find the stability of the oscillations would be due to the lack of the theoretical investigation.

The first theoretical studies of the stability problems of thermally driven acoustic oscillations were carried by Kramers (1949) using the boundary layer approximation that the boundary layer thickness (Stokes layer) formed on the tube wall is sufficiently small compared with the tube inner radius. His theory has not been sufficient to interpret the experiments. It took twenty years until the comparable theory with experiments was considered. The theoretical approaches that follow has been developed by Rott (1969), who has discussed the stability limits of the oscillations using a long tube approximation, where a finite boundary layer thickness neglected by Kramers is taken into account. The temperature distribution along a tube is assumed to be piecewise constant with a steep change at a given location. The stability curves
between oscillation and no-oscillation have been theoretically predicted for helium gas by Rott (1973), which consists of two branches: at one hand branch the boundary layer fills a tube at warm part, and at another limit it is sufficiently small compared with the tube radius. Such two branches predicted by the theory were first recognized by Hoffman et al. (1973) roughly. Experiments heretofore have been rough or qualitative because no experiments has been carried out under a given temperature distribution along a tube, especially in the cold part.

In our experiments, two developments are performed: one is the establishment of temperature distribution and another is the continuous variation of the boundary layer thickness. A U-shaped tube instead of a half open tube employed in previous works enables us to perform such developments. The stability curve for helium gas was first determined by Yazaki et al. (1979) or Tominaga et al. (1979), where a comparison between the theory and experiments was directly performed. The frequency diagrams and more detailed stability curves were experimentally determined by Yazaki et al. (1980) or Narahara et al. (1980). Our experimental data will be, in future, useful when one designs apparatuses for low temperature systems avoiding the Taconis oscillations.

In studies up to present only the fundamental mode of oscillations was discussed or observed. At one-hand branch on the stability curve we found the transitions from the
fundamental to a second-harmonic and from the second--
harmonic to the third harmonic\cite{Yazaki}(to be published
in 1980)]. These transitions occur on account of the
intersection between the stability curves.

Melkli and Thomann\cite{Melkli}(1975) have studied the thermal effects
(second-order heat flux) produced by the standing acoustic
waves in the tube with uniform temperature distribution,
executed by harmonic oscillation of a piston. More general
theory taking into account a non-uniform temperature distri-
bution, which is interested here, has been discussed by Rott
(1975). The effective thermal conductivity of the oscillations
was measured by Yazaki et al.\cite{Yazaki}(to be submitted). They indicated
that the abnormal evaporation of liquid helium, as above stated,
is due to the second-order heat flux including the temperature
gradient.

In this thesis, I will summarize the experimental results
and its analysis performed in the works up to present. In
chapter II, qualitative explanations for the occurrence of the
spontaneous oscillations are suggested instead of the theory
of Rott. They are too rough to give the stability curves but
are useful to give a qualitative interpretation of our
experimental results. The experimental apparatus in cryogenics
is described in chapter III including the pressure measure-
ment system, by which a step-functional temperature distribu-
tion is able to be established. In chapter IV I will give
the experimental results and discussion of, mainly the stability
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curves and the frequency diagrams of the acoustic oscillations, the transition from the fundamental to the second-harmonic and from the second-harmonic to the third-harmonic, and the effective thermal conductivity comparing with the theory of Rott or our rough explanations.
CHAPTER II

THEORETICAL

Theoretical investigations on the stability problem for thermally driven acoustic oscillations of gaseous helium have been performed by Kramers (1949) and Rott (1969-1980) using linearized hydrodynamic equations. A series of the studies by Rott, et al. has predicted the stability curves between oscillation and no-oscillation, taking into account a finite thickness of boundary layer formed on a tube wall neglected by Kramers. Their studies enable us to make a complete comparison with our experimental results for the stability curves of helium gas.

We think that their theoretical treatments of the stability problem are correct, but are too mathematical for us to qualitatively understand this type of thermally driven acoustic oscillations; namely we feel it is not clear what role the temperature gradient plays to maintain the oscillations. Then the quantitative treatments for deriving the stability curves depend on the theory of Rott. We will give, in this chapter, the quantitative explanation for the occurrence of the spontaneous oscillations induced by a finite temperature gradient. It is too qualitative to give the stability curve numerically, but is useful to give a qualitative interpretation to our experimental results in chapter IV, and throw light on the distinct difference from a normal case (uniform temperature).

We start from a intuitive model simplified a motion of a fluid in a pipe instead of exact treatment performed by Rott,
and hydrodynamic equations and acoustic variables are obtained under the model. So as to examine the role of shear viscosity and thermal conductivity of gas to sustain the oscillations, firstly we consider the case including only thermal conductivity and then introduce the effect of the viscosity. The energy considerations are performed for such two case.

We will also study thermal effect (second-order heat flux) produced by the standing acoustic waves. The most drastic phenomenon, a large amount of evaporation of liquid helium, is able to be interpreted by the second-order heat flux, which has been theoretically derived by Merkli and thomann (1975) or Rott (1975) with slight different approach. The results are used to explain our experiments.

At last section we roughly review the outline of the theory of Rott predicted the stability curves for the fundamental.

Let's consider briefly the mechanism for the spontaneous acoustic oscillations, which is shown to be compatible with many features of the experimental data. An essential question is "what is the energy source to maintain the oscillations with finite amplitudes in the system without the mechanical energy source such as an oscillating piston or speaker"? In a pipe with a steep temperature gradient along its axis, the heat (thermal energy) acquired from the tube wall should possibly become the driving energy for the oscillations. Recalling the first law of thermodynamics, such an idea is realistic; a part of the thermal energy is transformed to the internal energy of the oscillating gas.
and the rest is transformed to the mechanical energy, which is interested here. An inflow of heat is not a sufficient condition to induce the mechanical energy, but the suitable phase differences between the acoustic pressure and the displacement of the particles (or velocity) is needed. When the driving energy overcomes all dissipative energy due to the shear viscosity and thermal conductivity of gas, it will be possible that the acoustic oscillations are spontaneously induced and sustained with finite amplitudes. Thus we will call this type of the oscillations "thermally driven acoustic oscillations" or "netsu-shindo" in Japanese, corresponding to "piston-driven acoustic oscillations". In the section 2.6, we will give the increment of the mechanical (acoustic) energy under above intuition before we quantitatively calculate it with hydrodynamic equations.

2.1 ENERGY CONSIDERATION

There are two means to sustain the acoustic oscillation of a gas column in a cylindrical cavity. One of them is a piston-driven oscillation and another is thermally driven oscillation. The energy source in the former method is the moving piston executed by external means; the pressure against the piston varies in such a way that energy is transmitted to a gas, whose average power delivered per unit piston area is given by the time average of the product of the acoustic pressure and the velocity at the piston, as investigated by Temkin (1967, 1968) and other authors. In the latter case
(we consider the "Taconis oscillation"), where only a finite
temperature gradient instead of a piston exists along a tube
axis and which is intersted here, we will discuss whether a
temperature gradient plays a role of the energy source to
induce sound in a tube, comparing with the uniform temperature
case.

Let's consider the acoustic oscillations transmitted in
a long cylindrical cavity as a plane waves. As shown in fig.
2.1, we consider a volume element enclosed by a tube wall and
two cross-sections normal to the tube axis (x-axis), whose
location is situated in x and x+Δx. The work done on the
"slab of ring" at x by the pressure P in time δt, as stated in
the text book "The Theory of Sound" by Lord Rayleigh, is
given by

$$\frac{\delta W}{\delta t} = P(x) \dot{\xi}(x,r) 2\pi r \, dr = (p_m + p_1) u \, 2\pi r \, dr \quad (2.1.1)$$

where \( \dot{\xi} \) (=u) denotes the x-component of the velocity of a
particle, and \( p_m \) and \( p_1 \) show the static and acoustic pressure
respectively. If the investigation with respect to time
extends over any number of complete periods, or whenever its
range is sufficiently long, the periodic term (the first order),
the product of the static pressure and the velocity in eq.(2.1.1)should not contribute to the work. Taking into account
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fig.2.1 Volume element surrounded by two "slabs"
in cylindrical cavity.
the dissipative terms of shear viscosity and thermal conductivity of gas, the velocity depends on the distance from the tube wall. Thus after taking the time average of eq.(2.1.1), the work done on the slab at \( x \) per unit time is written as

\[
\bar{Q}(x) = \left[ \int_{0}^{r_{0}} \frac{p_{1}(x)}{\rho_{1}} u(x,r) \, 2\pi r \, dr \right]
\]

\[2.1.2\]

\( r_{0} \) inner tube radius of pipe

where the bar indicates the time average. The quantity \( p_{1}u \) is called the acoustic energy density flux or sound intensity (refer to textbook, Itoh;1979 or Kohashi;1978). The net work \( \Delta Q \) which the volume element \( \Delta x \pi r_{0}^{2} \) does to the external system is, taking into consideration the contribution from the slab at \( x+\Delta x \)

\[
\Delta \bar{Q}(x) = \bar{Q}(x+\Delta x) - \bar{Q}(x) = \Delta x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \bar{Q}(x)
\]

\[2.1.3\]

The radial average of a value \( X \)(abbreviation \( <X> \)) is given by

\[
<X> = \frac{1}{\pi r_{0}^{2}} \int_{0}^{r_{0}} x \, 2\pi r \, dr
\]

\[2.1.4\]

Using the definition (2.1.4), eq.(2.1.3) can be expressed as

\[
\Delta \bar{Q} = \Delta x \pi r_{0}^{2} \left\{ < p \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} > + < u \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} > \right\}
\]

\[2.1.5\]

Negative value of \( \Delta \bar{Q} \) means the damping of the acoustic wave in the volume element \( \Delta x \pi r_{0}^{2} \), as discussed later. Positive value of \( \Delta \bar{Q} \) means that the energy to sustain the oscillations is supplied there. For example, let's imagine such a system,
progressive harmonic wave, that the pressure and the velocity are given by \( p = A \sin(\hat{k}x - \omega t) \) and \( u = B \sin(\hat{k}x - \omega t) \), where \( A \) and \( B \) are constants everywhere. In this case \( \Delta \xi \) is vanished. Therefore the sound is not excited and not damped. Taking into account the dissipative effects, \( A \) and \( B \) depend on \( x \) and decrease with \( x \), so that the value \( \Delta \xi \) is negative. The sound is damped. Thus in the normal system (uniform temperature), there exists no system that \( \Delta \xi \) takes positive value.

Nextly we will consider a system in which a finite temperature gradient is established along a tube axis. It is expected that the important value \( \Delta \xi \) may be able to take a positive value. In order to discuss a sign of \( \Delta \xi \), \( \langle u^2 \rho \rangle \) and \( \langle P_{\rho \xi} \rangle \) will be calculated from a set of the fundamental hydrodynamic equations with non-uniform temperature distribution.

2.2 DERIVATION OF HYDRODYNAMIC EQUATION

The fundamental equations (the axial and radial momentum equations, the continuity equation, the energy equation and the equation of state) governing a oscillatory motion of a fluid in a long cylindrical pipe with non-uniform temperature distribution have been given by Rott (1968) with a long tube approximation in cylindrical coordinates.* The following assumptions were performed through his theory:

1. a strict linearization of all hydrodynamic equations.

* In the case of uniform temperature the acoustic solutions of the basic differential equation were given by Bergh and Tijdeman (1965).
2. as a radial momentum conservation, the radial gradient of the acoustic pressure $p_1$ is neglected through a pipe;

$$\frac{\partial p_1}{\partial r} = 0 \quad (2.2.1)$$

3. the radial gradient of the mean temperature $T_m$ is neglected;

$$\frac{\partial T_m}{\partial r} = 0 \quad (2.2.2)$$

4. The dissipative terms (viscosity and thermal conductivity) in the basic equation which contain the axial gradient are neglected.

Assumption 1 may be accepted, because the main purpose of this investigation is the determination of the critical points (stability limits) between damped and excited oscillations with small amplitudes. Next simplification is also accepted for reason that when the radial averages are carried out in the following section, the term containing the radial component of the velocity are vanished, and moreover the radial velocity is sufficiently small compared with the axial one. Rott pointed out that the above assumptions are sufficiently satisfied, if the tube inner radius $r_0$ is much smaller than the whole length $L$ (long tube approximation). After all, his theory takes into account the full and unrestricted range of the boundary layer thickness neglected by Kramers under the boundary layer approximation.

The mean value of pressure, temperature and density will be indicated by the subscription $m$, so that the mean pressure
\[ p_m = R T_m \rho_m \]  
\( \text{(R; gas constant and } \rho_m; \text{ mean density).} \) The time-variation of the acoustic variables is assumed to be given by the factor \( \exp(i\omega t) \). In acoustics, where only small variations of the flow properties about a basic state occur, a following perturbation calculation can be employed:

\[
\begin{align*}
    p &= p_m + p_1(x) \exp(i\omega t) \\
    T &= T_m + T_1(x,r) \exp(i\omega t) \\
    \rho &= \rho_m + \rho_1(x,r) \exp(i\omega t) \\
    u &= u(x,r) \exp(i\omega t)
\end{align*}
\]  
\( \text{(2.2.3)} \)

It is sufficient, in acoustics, to consider terms up to first order only.

In Appendix(1), we will give only an outline of a slight different derivation of the basic equations comparing with that of Rott. Of course results are in agreement together. Intuitive derivation of them is presented in the next section.

2.3  RADIAL AVERAGES OF \( T_1 \) AND \( u \) UNDER SIMPLE MODEL

As given in Appendix(2), the velocity and the temperature distribution near the tube wall are strongly dependent of the distance from the wall on account of viscosity and thermal conductivity. In the place sufficiently far from the wall, they are independent of the distance from the wall. The behaviors of \( r \)-dependence for oscillatory viscous fluid (Poiseuille flow or Couette flow) are discussed in several text books (Imai 1978 and Landau and Lifshitz 1950). The distribution
of them over the cross-section may be able to be classified two into region; one of them in "boundary layer" where the fluctuations are neglected and another is the "core" where the amplitudes of them are not dependent of the distance from the tube wall.

RADIAL AVERAGE OF $u$  The distribution of the velocity in a pipe is classified into two parts as shown in Fig.2.3.(a). One of them is velocity core indicated by $u_c$ and another is the velocity boundary layer indicated by $u_b$ which is practically equal to zero. The region occupied by the respective velocity should be dependent of the viscous effect. Therefore in order to introduce such a effect, we impose on the normalized "weight" $F$ and $(1-F)$ to the boundary layer and the core respectively. The values $F$ and $(1-F)$ may physically show the occupation rates of the respective area to the whole cross-section, and generally are complex. In the following section, the quantitative formulation of $F$ will becomes to be clearer.

Using the above idea, the radial average of the velocity is simply given by the equation

$$\langle u \rangle = F \ u_b + (1-F) \ u_c \quad (2.3.1)$$

This equation suggests that $F$ approaches to unity or zero, according as viscous boundary layer becomes sufficiently thick or thin.

RADIAL AVERAGE OF $T_1$  In the same way as the velocity distribution, the temperature fluctuation (refer to Appendix 2)
The simple model for the motion of a fluid in a pipe. (a), the velocity distribution is classified into two regions: the velocity core imposed by the weight $F$ and the boundary layer $(1-F)$. (b), temperature distribution is classified as same as (a). (c), the case taking into account both of (a) and (b).
is simplified into the model which is shown in Fig.2.3.(b). In the core region where the "weight" \((1-F^*)\) is imposed, the motion of fluid is isentropic. On the other hand, in the thermal boundary layer region imposed \(F^*\), the motion is isothermal \((T_b=0)\). Thus the radial average of temperature fluctuation is given be

\[
\langle T_1 \rangle = F^* T_b + (1-F^*) T_c \tag{2.3.2}
\]

where \(T_c\) and \(T_b\) are temperature fluctuation in the core and the boundary layer. According as the thermal boundary layer thickness is sufficiently large or small compared with the tube radius, \(F^*\) will become unity or zero.

After the cross-section of a tube may be classified into three region as shown in Fig.2.3.(c); the first region imposed by \((1-F^*)\) is the velocity core and moreover the temperature core, second region imposed by \((F^*-F)\), which may be important for Taconis oscillation to be induced is the velocity core and temperature boundary layer where a gas is able to move freely and isothermal, and last region imposed by \(F\), where a gas is too viscous to move, so to speak, has same effects as the wall of a pipe.

2.4 MOMENTUM AND ENERGY EQUATION UNDER SIMPLE MODEL

Under the model which is considered in the previous section the hydrodynamic basic equations, in particular, the momentum equation and the energy equation, are derived. The derivation taking into account the temperature distribution have been
performed by Rott (1969), and reviewed in Appendix I in order to compare with the results in this section.

One dimensional momentum equation is written as

$$ f \frac{du}{dt} + \frac{dP}{dx} = 0 \quad (2.4.1) $$

where the second order terms are neglected. The core velocity $u_c$ in eq. (2.3.1) should correspond to the velocity in eq. (2.4.1). If so, $u$ in eq. (2.4.1) is able to be substituted to $u_c$ and we simply obtain the momentum equation for viscous fluid;

$$ f \frac{du}{dt} \frac{u}{1-F} + \frac{dP}{dx} = 0 \quad (2.4.2) $$

which coincides with eq. (A.1.14) if $F$ is assumed to be a suitable form. Let's consider the asymptotic behavior of $F$.

In the case that the boundary layer fills up the entire tube the fluid in a tube will become viscous due to the kinematic viscosity and the axial velocity distribution becomes parabolic. Thus a fluid has to be, in a moment, associated with the law of Poiseuille;

$$ \pi \eta^2 \langle u \rangle = \pi \int_0^h u \, r \, dr = -\frac{dP}{dx} \frac{\pi \eta^2}{\mu} \quad (2.4.4) $$

Comparing eq. (2.4.4) and eq. (2.4.2), we obtain the asymptote of $F$ to be $(1 - \eta^2 \eta f^2)$, $\eta = \eta f \frac{\rho f_0}{\rho_0}$.

The energy equation corresponding to the adiabatic motion of fluid is given by, using the Lagrangian differential formulation,

$$ \oint T \frac{DS_c}{Dt} = 0 \quad (2.4.5) $$

$S_c$: entropy of core
where $S$ means the total entropy. Equation (2.4.5) is expressed in the Euler formulation. Moreover from thermodynamic identical equation $dH = TdS + \gamma \rho dp$ ($H$: enthalpy), we obtain

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{\gamma} \left\{ \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{\gamma} \frac{\partial p}{\partial t} \right\}$$

Thus a combination of eqs. (2.4.5) and (2.4.6) leads to one dimensional adiabatic equation:

$$i \omega (\rho_m c_p T_c - \rho) + c_p u \frac{\partial T_m}{\partial x} = 0$$

where we employ the relation $\frac{\partial H}{\partial t} = i \omega c_p T$, and again neglect the terms more than second order. By the way remember the geometric model presented in the previous section, and we will recognize that the motion in the temperature core must be satisfied by eq. (2.4.7), so that $T_1$ and $u$ will able to be changed to $T_c = \langle T_1 \rangle / (1 - F^*)$ and $u^t_C$ (the velocity in the temperature core). Thus the energy equation taking account of the dissipative terms and the variable temperature distribution is written as

$$i \omega \left\{ \rho_m c_p \langle T_1 \rangle - (1 - F^*) \rho \right\} + (1 - F^*) c_p u^t \rho_m \frac{\partial T_m}{\partial x} = 0$$

By the help of results of Rott, we find that $u^t_C$ should be assumed to be

$$u^t_C = \frac{\langle u \rangle}{1 - F^*} \left\{ 1 - \frac{F^* - F}{(1 - \sigma)(1 - F)} \right\} \sigma' \text{; Prandtl number.}$$

This formulation may be reasonable, because for vanishing case
of shear viscosity (\(\epsilon = 0\) and \(F = 0\)), \(u_c^t\) becomes equivalent to the velocity in the core in eq. (2.3.1). Equation (2.4.8) is change to, using eq. (2.4.9)

\[
i \omega \left[ p \frac{C_f}{\gamma - 1} \left( 1 - (1 + F) \right) + p \frac{C_f}{\gamma - 1} \theta < u > \left( 1 - \frac{F - F}{(1 - F) (1 - F)} \right) \right] = 0
\]

which is also given from a combination of eqs. (A.1.12) and (A.1.13), if the formulation of \(F\) and \(F^*\) is suitably determined.

2.5 BEHAVIOR OF \(F\) AND \(F^*\)

The fundamental equation derived in the previous section are equivalent to those given in the Appendix 2, if the following expressions for \(F\) and \(F^*\) are imposed by

\[
F = \left\langle \frac{J_0(i\eta)}{J_0(i\eta_0)} \right\rangle
\]

\[
F^* = \left\langle \frac{J_0(i\eta^*)}{J_0(i\eta^*_0)} \right\rangle
\]

with \(\eta_0 = \eta \sqrt{\frac{\nu}{D}}\), \(\eta = \sqrt{\frac{\nu}{D}}\) and \(\eta^* = \sqrt{\frac{C_n}{\nu}}\)

where \(\nu (= \mu / \rho\) and \(\sigma\) is kinematic viscosity and Plandtl number respectively. In Fig.2.5, the numerical data calculated by a computer about imaginary and real parts of \(F\) are illustrated. It is reasonable that the weight \(F\) and \(F^*\) tend to zero for non-dissipative case, when we remember the physical meaning of \(F\) suggested in section 2.3. The asymptotic behavior of \(F\) is given by the following:

\[
F = 1 + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{i\eta_0}{2} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{i\eta_0}{2} \right)^3 \ldots. \text{ for } |\eta_0| \ll 1
\]
and

\[ F = \frac{2}{\eta_0} - \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{2}{\eta_0} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{32} \left( \frac{2}{\eta_0} \right)^3 \ldots \quad \text{for } |\eta_0| \gg 1 \]  
\hspace{10cm} (2.5.4)

As suggested below eq. (2.4.5), the value \( F \) approaches to

\[(1 - \eta_0^{3/2}) \quad \text{for } |\eta_0| \ll 1.\]

2.6 SUMMARY OF FUNDAMENTAL EQUATION

In order to discuss, in following section, the effects of the thermal boundary layer and the viscous boundary layer to characterize the thermally driven acoustic oscillations we present here the basic equation where the effect of viscosity is not taken into account but the effect of thermal conductivity only is taken into account or where the both effects are taken into consideration. For the first case, since \( F \) and \( \sigma \) is vanished, we obtain from eqs. (2.4.2) and (2.4.10) as momentum and energy equation;

\[ i \omega \frac{p_m}{\rho_w} \mathbf{u} + \frac{\partial p_i}{\partial x} = 0 \quad (2.6.1) \]

\[ i \omega \left\{ p_m c_p \langle T_i \rangle - (1 - F^* \sigma) p_i \right\} + p_m c_p T_m \theta \langle u \rangle \left| 1 - \frac{F^* - F}{(1 - \sigma)(1 - F)} \right| = 0 \quad (2.6.2) \]

On the other hand, the equations taking into account the viscosity are;

\[ i \omega \frac{p_m}{\rho_w} \mathbf{u} + (1 - F) \frac{\partial p_i}{\partial x} = 0 \quad (2.6.1') \]

\[ i \omega \left\{ p_m c_p \langle T_i \rangle - (1 - F^*) p_i \right\} + p_m c_p T_m \theta \langle u \rangle \left| 1 - \frac{F^* - F}{(1 - \sigma)(1 - F)} \right| = 0 \quad (2.6.2') \]

Other basic equation, the continuity equation and the equation of state for ideal gas are not varied for above two cases;
Behavior of real and imaginary parts of $F(Z)$. Real part and imaginary part of $F$ are always positive and negative respectively.
Before we calculate the increment of the mechanical energy $\Delta \bar{Q}$ on the basis of these fundamental equations, we will intuitively derive it as indicated in introduction in this chapter. For the simplicity, the effect of the viscosity of gas is neglected ($F=0$), so that the axial velocity $u$ is independent of radial coordinate. Only the effect of the thermal conductivity ($F \neq 0$) is considered. As shown in fig.2.6 let's imagine the periodical disturbance of displacement of particles along the tube axis with finite temperature gradient. Since enthalpy and internal energy of ideal gas depend on temperature only, they are written as $H=\rho T_m + \text{constant}$ and $U=C_v T_m + \text{constant}$ respectively. Thus the increase of enthalpy and internal energy per unit mass for such disturbance is $C_p \Delta T_m$ and $C_v \Delta T_m$ respectively [ $\Delta T_m = T_m(x+\Delta x) - T_m(x)$ ]. By the way the particles in the region of temperature core is not able to acquire the heat from the external (tube wall), but only those in the region of temperature boundary layer can acquire the heat; the particles occupied in $\pi r_0^2 F^*$ shown in fig.2.6 can acquire or give off the heat. Taking into account the total mass passed per unit time, the first law of thermodynamics shows that the increment of the mechanical energy $\Delta \bar{Q}$ is given by

\begin{align}
\text{namely}
\frac{i \omega <\rho > + \rho_m \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{3} \frac{\partial \rho_m}{\partial x} = 0}{(2.6.3)}
\end{align}

\begin{align}
\frac{p_1}{p_m} = \frac{<\rho >}{\rho_m} + \frac{T_1}{T_m}
\end{align}

(2.6.4)
where we take the time average on account of the periodic motion of the particle (the first order term is vanished).

Using the first order of the state equation for ideal gas and the momentum equation:

\[ \rho_l = \frac{p_l}{RT_m} \]

\[ i\omega \rho_l u + \frac{dp_l}{dx} = 0 \]

where \( T_l \) is neglected since we consider the thermal boundary layer only, we will obtain the equation related with acoustic pressure, which accords with eq.(2.7.4) shown later.

When we take into account the effect of the viscosity of gas, \( F^* - F \) may be adopted instead of \( F^* \) as studied in the section 2.3. The quantity \( F^* \) is closely related with the phase differences between the radial average of \( T_l \) and \( T_c \) (temperature in core) and plays an important role for the increment of the mechanical energy. As indicated in eq.(A.1.16), \( F^* \) is also

fig. 2.6 thermal boundary layer
related with phase differences between the acoustic pressure and the velocity. When the phase differences are 90 degrees the time average of the product between the acoustic pressure and the velocity is zero. The phase of $F^*$ shifts such phase differences from 90 degrees. For $r_0/\delta > 1$, the argument of $F^*$ approaches to $\pi/4$ and for $r_0/\delta << 1$ to zero.

2.7 CALCULATION OF $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \langle p_l u \rangle$

The main purpose in this section is to calculate the quantities $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \langle p_l u \rangle$ which is considered in section 2.1 and to discuss the possibility of spontaneous oscillations. The quantity $p_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \langle u \rangle$ is reduced to ordinary differential equation for the acoustic pressure $p_1$, using the basic equations presented for two cases in previous section, results are, for the first case,

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \langle p_l u \rangle \approx \omega \frac{|p_l|^2}{2z \rho_m} (\tau^{-1}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \text{Im} F^* - \frac{R}{2 \omega M \rho_m} \frac{d\tau}{dx} \frac{\partial |p_l|^2}{\partial x} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \text{Im} F^* \quad (2.7.1)^*
$$

where $M$ is molar mass of gas (4 for helium gas).

And for other case

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \langle p_l u \rangle \approx \omega \frac{|p_l|^2}{2z \rho_m} (\tau^{-1}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \text{Im} F^* - \frac{R}{2 \omega M \rho_m} \frac{d\tau}{dx} \frac{\partial |p_l|^2}{\partial x} \frac{1}{\text{Im} F^*} \quad (2.7.2)^*
$$

Substitution of eqs. (2.7.1), (2.7.2) and (2.6.1') yields the final results:

$$
\Delta \vec{Q} = \Delta x \pi \tau^2 (\Delta \vec{Q}_1 + \Delta \vec{Q}_2 + \Delta \vec{Q}_3) \quad (2.7.3)
$$

where for the first case
\[ \Delta Q_1 = - \frac{R}{2 \omega \mu M_n} \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial t} \frac{\partial |R|^2}{\partial x} I_\infty F^* \]  
\[ \Delta Q_2 = \frac{\omega |R|^2}{2 F \mu M_n} (r - 1) I_\infty F^* \]  
\[ \Delta Q_3 = \frac{\omega \mu M_n}{2} \left| \frac{\mu}{(1 - r)} \right|^2 I_\infty F \]

and for other case

\[ \Delta Q_1 = - \frac{R}{2 \omega \mu M_n} \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial t} \frac{\partial |R|^2}{\partial x} I_\infty \left( \frac{F^* - F}{1 - \sigma} \right) \]  
\[ \Delta Q_2 = \frac{\omega |R|^2}{2 F \mu M_n} (r - 1) I_\infty F^* \]  
\[ \Delta Q_3 = \frac{\omega \mu M_n}{2} \left| \frac{\mu}{(1 - r)} \right|^2 I_\infty F \]

These equations give us several important information to discriminate the possibility of the maintenance of the acoustic wave.

At first let's consider the case for a pipe with constant temperature distribution, where \( \Delta Q \) is associated with \( \Delta Q_2 \) and \( \Delta Q_3 \). Since imaginary part of \( F \) and \( F^* \) is always negative as shown in Fig.2.5, \( \Delta Q_2 \) and \( \Delta Q_3 \) never take positive value. Therefore in such a tube only damping of oscillation is, of course, possible and spontaneous oscillation is never induced. Qualitatively \( \Delta Q_2 \) and \( \Delta Q_3 \) show parts of potential and kinetic energy dissipated by thermal conductivity and viscosity respectively, whose rates is shown by imaginary parts of \( F^* \) and \( F \) respectively.

* The approximation is performed for second term in the right--hand side of eqs.(2.7.1) and (2.7.3); that is we employ \( \frac{\partial |R|^2}{\partial x} \) instead of the exact form \( R \frac{\partial |R|^2}{\partial x} \). For stationary wave, the acoustic pressure \( p_1 \) can be written as \( p_1(x) \exp(i\omega t) \) including time factor. For \( \mu = 0 \) and \( \kappa = 0 \) or for \( \mu = 0 \) and \( \kappa = \infty \), the imaginary part of \( p_1(x) \) is vanished, so that eqs.(2.7.1) and (2.7.2) are exact. But for practical case the problem of phase...
Nextly let's imagine a pipe with temperature gradient, which is interested here. In this case it is possible that $\Delta q$ takes positive value, because $\Delta q_1$ can take large enough positive value to make good the loss of $\Delta q_2$ and $\Delta q_3$. Thus in a pipe with suitable temperature distribution to make positive value $\Delta q_1$, net acoustic energy is supplied to gas, and enables a gas to sustain the oscillations. A finite temperature gradient plays the same role of energy source as piston, which is employed in piston-driven oscillations (refer to Betchov 1958).

The driving term $\Delta q_1$ is proportional to the inverse of $M$, so that the light gases as helium, hydrogen and neon is too easy to be unstable. This is confirmed in Introduction. Members of cryogenics well-know that the oscillations are occured when the closed end of a pipe is at warm part and the open end is at cold as shown in Fig.2.7.a. This is able to be explained from above discussion. In this situation the product of $\frac{dT}{dx}$ and $\frac{dR}{dx}$ are positive (see Fig.2.7.a), moreover imaginary part of $F^*$ is always negative, so that $\Delta q_1$ can take positive value! In the tube with configuration such as Fig.2.7.a, only the damping occurs at the warm and cold parts. However near middle location with a steep temperature gradient $\frac{dT}{dx} q_1$ is possible to be positive. Thus when $\Delta q$

shifts is produced, as indicated by Merkli and Thomann (1975). This problem is very difficult. However the approximation is sufficient to give a qualitative explanation of our experimental results. Of course, in order to give more detailed discussion, the solution of $p_1(x)$ must be solved for non-uniform temperature case. But this will be very difficult. A calculation including the imaginary part of $p_1$ has to be performed by a computer.
Figure 2.7.(a) A half open tube with a temperature gradient along its axis. And the distribution of the square of the pressure amplitudes for constant temperature case and wide tube limit.
Figure 2.7.b  Behavior of $\operatorname{Im} \frac{F^*-F}{1-\sigma}$ for helium gas ($\sigma=2/3$).
The sign of it is varied from negative to positive at $\sqrt{\sigma}|\eta_0| \approx 2.3$
becomes positive through the whole tube, the acoustic wave are able to be sustained. Taking into account the effect of viscosity (refer to eq. 2.7.6), we can understand that since the effect of it F makes $\Delta \theta_1$ negative at smaller $|\theta|$ as shown in Fig. 2.7.b, the unstable region is reduced. These show a good correspondence with our experimental results as shown in the next chapter. Equation (2.7.6) suggests the following phenomena:

1. the existence of two branches on the stability curves.
2. the possibility of the oscillations in the cavity closed both ends.
3. the appearance of the second-harmonic instead of the fundamental.

These will be discussed in the next chapter as compared with several experimental data.

2.8 SECOND-ORDER HEAT FLUX

The second-order heat flux is important to interpret the thermal effect produced by "Taconis oscillation". This consists of two parts. One of them which exists in a pipe with constant temperature distribution has been theoretically given by Merkli and Thomann (1975) and experimentally confirmed in a pipe where the standing acoustic wave executed by a piston at one end are induced. Another which is interested here, comes from finite temperature gradient. A large amount of heat transfer to liquid helium system is caused by the latter
of the second-heat flux.

The thermal effect (the cooling and the heating effect) observed in an experiment is time averages. Therefore only terms of second and higher order in the fundamental equations contribute to the experiments. Then we have to carry out the perturbation calculation up to the second order instead of the first order in acoustics. Thus we employ the equation simplified by setting \( \varepsilon \ll 1 \)

\[
\begin{align*}
T &= T_m + \varepsilon T_1 + \varepsilon^2 T_2 \\
p &= p_m + \varepsilon p_1 + \varepsilon^2 p_2 \\
u &= \varepsilon u_1 + \varepsilon^2 u_2 \\
k &= k_m + \varepsilon k_1 + \varepsilon^2 k_2
\end{align*}
\]

(2.8.1)

where we take into account the variation of the thermal conductivity and the shear viscosity associated with temperature fluctuation. The basic energy equation containing the terms up to the second order in cylindrical co-ordinates modified by other basic equation is integrated over the cross-section of the tube and furthermore averaged by time (in detail refer to the paper by Merkli and Thomann or Rott). Consequently the local second-order heat flux \( q_2 \) per unit area penetrating into the tube wall is obtained;

\[
q_2 = \dot{R}_m \left( \frac{\partial T_1}{\partial r} \right)_{r=r_0} + \left( \frac{\partial \dot{R}_1}{\partial r} \right)_{r=r_0} = \frac{1}{2\pi r_0} \frac{\partial E_2}{\partial x} \tag{2.8.2}
\]

\[
E_2 = \rho c_\text{p} r \langle h_1 u_1 \rangle, \quad h_1 = c_\text{p} T_1; \quad \text{first order enthalpy}
\]

(2.8.3)

where \( E_2 \) is total axial first order enthalpy flux over the
cross-section of the tube. The angular brackets and bar show the radial and time averages. The equation (2.8.2) is related with the heat exchange between the tube wall and the oscillating fluid. Thus $E_2$ is able to be obtained from the product of the first order solutions $u_1$ and $T_1$. The expression of eqs. (2.8.2) and (2.8.3) have been derived by Merkli and Thomann (1975) or Rott (1975) with a slightly different approach.

We will obtain the acoustic variables $u_1$ and $T_1$ under the simple model suggested by us. From eqs. (2.6.1') and (2.6.2') the radial averaged acoustic variables are given by

$$\langle u_1 \rangle = \frac{i}{\omega \rho_m} (1-F) \frac{dP}{dx} \quad (2.8.4)$$

$$\langle T_1 \rangle = \left[ \rho - \frac{\theta}{(r-1)(r-\sigma)} \frac{a^2}{\omega^2} \right] (1-F^*) + \frac{\sigma}{(r-1)(r-\sigma)} \frac{\rho}{\omega^2} (1-F) \frac{dP}{dx} \quad (2.8.5)$$

After inserting $F$ and $F^*$ into above equations, still more taking off the angular brackets, the radial and time averages product of $u_1$ and $T_1$ is again calculated. Then we obtain the resulting equation of $E_2$;

$$E_2 = \pi r^2 \Re \left\{ \left[ \frac{1}{2} u_c \rho + \frac{i}{\omega} \frac{\theta}{r-1}(r-\sigma) u_c \tilde{u}_c \right] g \right\} \quad (2.8.6)$$

where

$$g = 1 - \frac{\sigma}{1+\sigma} F - \frac{1}{1+\sigma} \tilde{F}^* \quad (2.8.7)$$

The quantity $E_2$ consists of two parts in the right-hand side of eq. (2.8.6). The first term produces the local heating and the cooling. For the standing waves, the first term
becomes
\[ E_2^\perp = - \frac{\pi \hbar^3}{2 \omega \rho \omega} \frac{\partial^2 |\psi^2|}{\partial x^2} \Im \psi \]  
(2.8.8)

Since imaginary part of $g$ is everywhere positive as illustrated in Fig.2.8, this equation indicate that the derivative of $E_2^\perp$ is positive (heating) at velocity node and is negative (cooling) at pressure node. For steep temperature gradient, the second term of the inner brackets dominates over the first term. This term is purely imaginary, so that only the imaginary part of $g$ is needed for the final results. Therefore
\[ E_2^\perp = \pi \hbar^3 \frac{1}{2 \omega} u_c \mathcal{U}_c \Im \left( \frac{F^\perp - F^\parallel}{1 - \sigma^2} \right) R c_p \frac{dT_m}{dx} \]  
(2.8.9)

This equation can be rewritten as, using the effective heat conductivity by thermally driven acoustic oscillations produced by Rott
\[ E_2^\perp = - A R_{\text{eff}} \cdot \frac{dT_m}{dx} \]  
(2.8.10)

where $A$ is the cross-section of area and $k_{\text{eff}}$ is given by
\[ R_{\text{eff}} = \frac{\sigma |u_c|^2}{2 \omega \nu} \Re \Im \left( \frac{\sigma^\perp - \sigma^\parallel}{1 - \sigma^2} \right) \]  
(2.8.11)**

This heat flux may contribute to a large amount of evaporation of liquid helium. Qualitatively $k_{\text{eff}}$ is proportional to the square of the amplitudes of the oscillation.

* Mr. Haruyama and Mr. Ikeda in cryogenic center in the university of Tsukuba show that the top of liquid helium level meter becomes warm when Taconis oscillations are generated and very vigorous. This phenomenon is explained by eq. (2.8.8).
Figure 2.8 Behavior of imaginary part of $g$. It always takes positive values.
2.9 STABILITY LIMITS FOR THERMALLY DRIVEN OSCILLATIONS

We will roughly give the review of the theory of Rott where the stability curves for helium are numerically predicted. The stability problems are started from the differential equation (wave equation) for the acoustic pressure $p_1$ along the tube axis given in Appendix 1:

$$[1+(\gamma-1)F^*] + \frac{d}{dx} \left[ \frac{a^2}{\omega^2} (1-F) \frac{dp}{dx} \right] - \frac{a^2}{\omega^2} \frac{F^* - F}{1-\sigma} \frac{dp}{dx} = 0 \quad (2.9.1)$$

which can be also derived from a set of equations given in section 2.6 under the simple model. This equation under the assumption "a long tube approximation" is of interest over the full and unrestricted range of the variable $|\eta_0|$, which represent the ratio of $r_0$ to the Stokes boundary layer thickness. The acoustic solution for $\theta=0$ was first solved by Bergh and Tijeman (1965). However as coefficients in eq. (2.9.1) depend on the temperature distribution with $x$ for $\theta \neq 0$, the solution of $p_1$ appears extremely difficult.

The part except for time factor for right running plane wave is given by $\exp(-i\hat{k}x)$, where in general $\hat{k}$ is complex due to the effects of a dissipation. Thus dispersion relation for $\theta=0$ is obtained from eq. (2.9.1);

$$\hat{k} = \frac{\omega}{a} (\frac{1+(\gamma-1)F^*}{1-F})^{1/2} = \frac{\omega}{a^*}$$

$$a^* = a (\frac{1-F}{1+(\gamma-1)F^*})^{1/2} \quad (2.9.2)$$

$a$: adiabatic sound velocity

where the proper sign of the root is chosen as $\hat{k}>0$ in the inviscid limit. The condition $\text{Im} \hat{k} < 0$ has to be imposed in
order to obtain the pressure amplitude converged to zero at 
\( x = \infty \). Therefore we must select a suitable branch of \( \eta_0 \), 
which has two branches, \( 1 \pm i \). Equation (2.9.2) shows a good 
correspondence with the model given in the previous section.
For simplicity the inviscid case, i.e., \( F = 0 \) (but thermal conduc-
tivity is finite, i.e., \( F^* \neq 0 \)) is considered. Then the relation 
is
\[
\frac{\hat{R}^2}{\omega^2} = \frac{(1-F^*)}{\alpha_3^2} + \frac{F^*}{\alpha_T^2}
\]
(2.9.3)
where
\[
\alpha_3^2 = \left( \frac{\partial \hat{R}}{\partial \tau} \right)_S = \alpha
\]
(2.9.4)
\[
\alpha_T^2 = \left( \frac{\partial \hat{R}}{\partial \tau} \right)_T = \frac{\alpha_0^2}{\varphi} \quad \alpha_S; \text{ adiabatic sound velocity}(=a)
\]
\[
\alpha_T; \text{ isothermal sound velocity}
\]
When the thermal boundary layer thickness is sufficiently 
small compared with the tube inner radius, the weight \( F^* \) 
approaches to zero, so that the right-hand side of eq. (2.9.3) 
becomes to \( 1/\alpha_3^2 \). Thus for such a case, the acoustic wave is 
a normal adiabatic sound. On the other hand, when the thermal 
boundary layer thickness fills up the whole tube, \( F^* \) approaches 
to unity, so that the sound speed becomes isothermal one.
For example in narrow tube limit, the leading term of the wave

** This equation shows a good correspondence with normal 
thermal conductivity derived from the kinetic theory; 
\( \lambda = \frac{1}{\varphi} \frac{\mu \rho u}{\mu} \)
where \( \lambda \) is a mean free pass. The following expression of 
\( k_{\text{eff}} \) in eq. (2.8.11) is convenient to compare with normal one;
\[
k_{\text{eff}} = \frac{1}{2 \omega} p_{\text{ext}} c_p \frac{\varrho_{\infty}}{1 - \sigma_1} \left( \frac{\varrho F - F^*}{1 - \sigma_1} \right)
\]
where the particle displacement in the core is given \( u_{\varpi} \)
number is written as

\[ \hat{\nu} = \frac{2}{\nu} \frac{1}{\alpha} (\nu \omega)^{1/2} (1-i) \]

Thus in a capillary tube, the wave is strongly damped. As such strong damping is compensated by the driving due to the steep temperature gradient, thermally driven acoustic oscillations are sustained.

The important quantities \( \eta_c \) and \( \eta_\ell \) are function of \( x \) in the case of a non-uniform temperature distribution. The assumption of a piecewise constant temperature which has a discontinuity at some situation along the tube is employed as shown in Fig.2.9, where a half open tube is cold at the open end and warm at the closed end, and temperature has a constant value \( T_{mc} \) (mean temperature at cold part) between \( x=0 \) and \( x=L \), and \( T_{mH} \) between \( x=L \) and \( x=L \). We discuss the differential equation under such a discontinuous model for leading the stability limits of the oscillations. Equation. (2.9.1) is written as

\[ [1 + (r-1)F^*]B \frac{dP_1}{dx} + \frac{d}{dx} \left[ \frac{\alpha^2}{\omega^2} (1-F)B \frac{dP_1}{dx} \right] = 0 \]

(2.9.5)

with

\[ \frac{dB}{dx} = - \frac{F^* - F}{(1-F)(1-\sigma)} \theta \]

(2.9.6)

where \( B \) is so normalized that it takes unity for non-dissipative case \( (|\eta_c| \to \infty) \). In order to discuss the boundary condition for the pressure with the temperature discontinuity at \( x=L \), the following set of equation equivalent to eq.(2.9.5)

\[ P_1 = - \frac{1}{G} \frac{d \omega}{dx} \]

(2.9.7)
Figure 2.9  A half open tube and a step-like mean temperature distribution along the tube.
\[ \Psi = \frac{G}{k^2} \frac{dp_1}{dx} \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.9.8)

where \( G = [1 + (\gamma - 1)F^*] \) and \( k \) is wave number. The symmetry between \( P_1 \) and \( \Psi \) requires that they cannot take a function such as \( \delta \)-function or step-function, because \( \Psi \) will always be one degree more singular than \( P_1 \), and eq. (2.9.7) introduces a previously excluded singularity in \( P_1 \). Such demand can be recognized by analogy "the problem of well-type potential in Schrödinger equation"; the wave function can be continuous in all region. In order to avoid singularities, \( \Psi \) and \( P_1 \) should be continuous along the tube axis, even if the temperature distribution is step-functional: so that at the location \( x = \xi \)

\[ P_1(\xi - 0) = P_1(\xi + 0) \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.9.9)

\[ \Psi(\xi - 0) = \Psi(\xi + 0) \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.9.10)

The boundary conditions at the open end \( x = 0 \) and at the closed end \( x = L \) are \( p_1 = 0 \) and \( u = 0 \), i.e., \( dp_1/dx = 0 \) respectively. The former is idealized for a half open tube, because the open end correction is not taken into account, and oscillations of the U-shaped cavity employed in our works which is closed at both ends and established a symmetrical temperature distribution satisfies \( p_1 = 0 \) exactly. Thus the following expressions for the pressure account for the above stated boundary conditions and eq. (2.9.9):

\[ P_H = A_1 \frac{\cos \hat{k}_H(L-x)}{\cos \hat{k}_H(L-L)} \quad \text{for} \quad \lambda \leq x \leq L \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.9.11)
where $A_i$ is the pressure amplitudes at $x=l$, and subscript $c$ and $H$ intend the cold and the warm part respectively. The final condition eq. (2.9.10) gives us the key equation for stability limits:

$$P_c = \frac{A_i \sin k_c x}{\sin k_c l} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq x \leq l$$

The oscillating instability is due to an amplification of the accidental and infinitesimal disturbance which is not able to be separated from any physical system. The angular frequency in eq. (2.9.12) is generally complex. According as the imaginary part of the frequency is positive, zero and negative, the system is stable, neutral(stability limits), and unstable respectively. The subsequent studies concentrate on the question of conditions for a real value of the frequency, i.e., the relations between the warm and the cold states will be sought, which give the stability limit of the oscillation(refer to the theory of Rott).
CHAPTER III

EXPERIMENTAL

There have been many experimental investigations about this type of the oscillating instability (Taconis oscillations) associated with thermally driven acoustic oscillations in cryogenic systems. They, however, are too rough or too qualitative to give sufficient understanding of this phenomenon. One reason for such failures is that the temperature distribution along a tube was not paid attention. Another is that, in early days, owing to a lack of theoretical investigations, it was not understood what is essential parameter to characterize the oscillating instability. The latter problem was solved through a series of the theoretical studies by Rott, et al.

In our experimental investigations, two main developments are made comparing with some previous experiments; one is the use of a U-shaped tube instead of a half open tube in previous works by other authors. Such a new type of a cavity enables us to compare our experimental results quantitatively with the theory of Rott, et al. Another is the establishment of the temperature distribution along a tube axis, which was performed by the use of the techniques in cryogenics. High sensitivity in thermal measurements is able to be obtained there. All experiments were made over a wide range from 4.2K to about 60K as temperature at the cold part. The warm part is maintained at room temperature.
For obtaining the stability limits of the oscillations, the experimental apparatus consists of three relatively distinct parts: the cavity in which the standing acoustic waves are set up (section 3.1), the pressure measuring system (section 3.2) and the cryogenic systems for establishing a nearly step-functional temperature distribution along a tube axis (section 3.3).

Lastly we introduce a cryostat for measuring a liquid helium evaporation rates under the oscillations relating to second-order heat flux discussed in the previous section. In this cryostat, the development of temperature distribution is also performed comparing to the earlier experiments by Banister (1966).

3.1 CAVITY

The cylindrical cavities are made of long stainless steel tubes with a wall thickness of 0.3mm, inner radius 1.2-4.7mm. The whole length of them is more than 1.5meter. Thus those tubes are sufficient for the experimental studies of plane waves in gases (when sound waves of low enough frequency are propagated in a pipe, they can be considered to be plane wave). Instead of a half open tube, which is used in the previous section and most of earlier works, a U-shaped tube is employed in our experiments. The U-tube and the symmetrical temperature distribution along it are shown in Fig.3.1.a.

In the position of x=0, two half open tubes are smoothly connected by a U-shaped brass whose length (about 3mm) is
Figure. 3.1.(a) Mean temperature distribution along a U-shaped tube closed at both ends employed in our experimental studies. The part $\Delta x$ is less than four percents of the whole length $L$. 
sufficiently small compared with wave-length. Two types of tube, a half open and U-shaped tube, are mathematically equivalent as long as the fundamental frequency mode or higher odd harmonic modes are considered. In the tube schematically shown in Fig.3.1.a, the following expressions for the pressure fluctuations corresponding to eq.(2.9.11) account for $p_{l}=0$ at $x=0$, $dp_{l}/dx=0$ at $x=\pm L$ and the continuity of the pressure;

$$p_{c} = A_{l} \frac{\sin \hat{k}_{c}x}{\sin \hat{k}_{c}l} \quad |x| \leq l$$

$$p_{H} = A_{l} \frac{\cos \hat{k}_{H}(L-l)}{\cos \hat{k}_{H}(L-l)} \quad l \leq x \leq L$$

$$p_{-H} = -A_{l} \frac{\cos \hat{k}_{H}(L+x)}{\cos \hat{k}_{H}(L-l)} \quad -L \leq x \leq -l$$

where $A_{l}$ is pressure at $x=l$ including the time factor.

Another boundary condition as discussed in section 2.9, $\Psi$ also has to be continuous at $x=\pm l$. It is understood, using above equations, that the boundary condition at $x=l$ is the same as that at $x=-l$. Thus the U-shaped tube is mathematically equivalent to a half open tube treated in chapter II, so that the theoretical results are held true for the tube employed in our experiments.

There are two experimental evidences in favor of this equivalence; one is that the acoustic pressure amplitudes are observed to be the same at two closed ends of the U-shaped tube, and another is that the phase differences between them are 180 degrees. The X-Y diagram of the pressure fluctuations observed on an oscilloscope is shown in Fig.3.1.b, whose horizontal axis is connected to the pressure at $x=L$, and
Figure 3.1.(b)

The relation between the pressure fluctuations at two closed ends in the U-shaped tube. X axis in this figure shows the pressure fluctuations at $x=L$ and Y axis shows that at $x=-L$. From this photograph, two important matter are understood; one is that the phase differences between the pressure at two ends are 180 degree and another is that these amplitudes are the same. Thus it is considered that this instability is a kind of the standing waves with fundamental frequency mode.
another $x = -L$. This photograph confirms that "Taconis oscillation" is a kind of the standing acoustic waves whose pressure loops (velocity node) exist at $x = \pm L$ and pressure node (velocity loop) at $x = 0$. The acoustic oscillations with the fundamental frequency mode are excited in both types of the cavities. From the symmetry between a half open and U-shaped tube the discussion and the conclusions in chapter II is applied to our case. But a higher harmonic modes (as discussed in the next chapter) except for the odd harmonic (fundamental, third, ... ) induced in the U-tube do not satisfy the above explanation, because two types of tubes are not equivalent. In such higher harmonic mode as reported by Yazaki, et al. (1980) the U-tube is equivalent to the closed tube at the positions $x = L$ and $x = 0$, where velocity node exists.

The new type of the cavities gives us several following advantages:

1. The lowest acoustic mode excited in such a symmetric tube provides the exact boundary condition, $p_L = 0$, in the middle of the tube. In a half open tube this condition is idealized, because the dynamics of the open ends are ignored, and no oscillations of a finite cavity connecting to the tube are admitted.

2. The oscillating gases can be separated from a coolant (liquid helium in our experiments), so that we can make use of several kinds of cryogenic gases * as sample.

* The oscillations have been observed for gaseous neon during the course of our studies.
3. The mean density $\rho_m$ can be varied through a needle valve and a capillary whose dead volume is negligible compared with that of the cavity. Therefore the boundary layer thickness $\delta$ can be continuously varied through the density of oscillating gas.

3.2 PRESSURE MEASUREMENTS

The self-sustained acoustic pressure measurements are performed at $x=L$, where the pressure amplitudes are maximum. Therefore, the main purpose in our experimental investigations, the determination of the boundaries between oscillation and no oscillation (stability limits) will be sufficiently achieved by measurements $p$. At other position $x=-L$, the mean pressure is measured in order to determine the kinematic viscosity at the warm and cold parts. The contact between a pipe and a pressure transducer is performed using a rubber band and the leak tight is tested by a leak detector.*

PRESSURE TRANSDUCERS The pressure measurements in our experiments were carried out using the microminiature semiconductor pressure transducers (differential types) made use of the Piezo electronic resistance effects. The pressure is determined from the voltage which is proportional to a small distortion produced on diaphragms. Such transducers have been developed in detail by members of the Toyota Ltd (1969; Tomohisa and Igarashi). Attainable pressure fluctuations are sometimes surprisingly high as $10^4$ Pa or more, and their frequencies are usually below 100Hz. The transducers are able
to be employed below $10^5$ Pa, and their resonance frequency (diaphragm) is more than 10 KHz, so that they are suitable to our experiments. The acoustic and the mean pressure are measured by type ** of 1F DD102 (No51) and 1F DD102 (No58) respectively. The relation between the output voltage through an amplifier and pressure calibrated by a mercury manometer is shown in Fig.3.2.a for 1F DD102 (No51) as an illustration, where one side of the transducer is connected to the manometer and the other side is pumped out by a rotary pump (10^{-3} torr); the calibrated line is

$$P = 155.1 \, V \pm 0.2 \quad P; \text{torr}$$

where the transducer resolution is below 0.2 torr (27 Pa), including the following electronic systems.

FOLLOWING ELECTRONIC SYSTEM A block diagram for the pressure measurement system is schematically shown in Fig.3.2.b. At first the acoustic signal came from the pressure transducer is amplified by two hundreds times using Amplifier-A.A.3000 (Toyoda Koki) and their amplitudes are measured by a digital voltmeter (T.R 6858 Takeda Riken). The amplified signal by two hundreds times, stillmore, is monitored by an oscilloscope (Tectronix).

* The leak tight there is very important; in our earlier experiments, on account of no leak tight the oscillations is not able to be sustained, but damped, so that the determinations of the stability limits was not able to be carried out. Stillmore the gaseous nitrogen or oxygen are solidified at cold part through the leak, and are filled up the tube cross-section. By sudden vaporization of them, a diaphragm of the pressure transducer was destroyed.

** pressure transducers
$P = 155.1 \times V$

$P$: passcal (N/m$^2$)

$V$: volt

Figure 3.2 (a) The relation between the pressure and the output voltage for 1.F.DD 102(No 51) pressure transducer through amplifier (50 times)
Figure 3.2.b  Schematic diagram of pressure measurements system.
7603) and whose frequencies are measured using universal counter (T.R. 5103 Takeda Riken). Secondly, the voltage corresponding to the static pressure is amplified by 50 times using D.C Amplifier-A.A. 3000 and measured by a digital voltmeter.

The wave-forms of the pressure fluctuations are normally sinusoidal near the stability curves as long as only the fundamental or only the second harmonic is induced. According to Hoffman, et al. (1973) the wave-forms excited in a U-shaped tube become to be deviated from sinusoidal shape of the pressure-time curve for very vigorous oscillations. We also observed the same phenomenon in such a tube. Such new phenomenon may be due to the nonlinear effects or the superposition between the fundamental and the higher harmonics. Our experiments, of course, are performed within the limits of the sinusoidal pressure fromes.

3.3 APPARATUS

The cryostat consists of a three litter helium dewar made of pyrex-glass which is evacuated to $10^{-3}$ torr by a rotary pump and surrounded by a liquid nitrogen dewar. The experimental apparatus schematically illustrated in Fig.3.3.a, by which a nearly step-functional temperature distribution is able to be established, is consisted of a separated two reservoirs, a warm part and a cold part, and is inserted into such a double dewar. We obtained more homogeneous temperature distribution, in particular, at the cold part, using this apparatus instead of the use of an earlier cryogenic apparatus (not shown in this paper) introduced in our first paper (Yazaki, et. al. 1979).
Figure 3.3.(a)

A schematic drawing of the apparatus.
Apparatus in Fig.3.3.a is employed for cooling uniformly the cold part of the U-tube with $\xi \leq 1$. A simpler apparatus is used for $\xi \geq 1$. As explained in chapter IV, the experimental results for $\xi = 1$ in both cryostats agree each other. Therefore in the following we introduce the former apparatus only.

WARM PART

The warm part ($\frac{3}{2}x \leq \lambda \leq L$) is immersed into the reservoirs at liquid nitrogen (77.3K) or room temperature system made from vacuum pump oil in copper jacket (with diameter 30mm and wall thickness 2mm). Near the top end of the tube, the entrance for pouring or pumping the oscillating gases (helium gas) is connected to vacuum rotary pump through a needle valve and a capillary (0.5mm inner radius copper tubing) whose volume is negligible compared with that of the U-tubes. The cooling transmitted from the cold part (due to the heat conduction of two stainless steel tube, the second order heat flux caused by the acoustic oscillations and the radiation across the valuum space) are compensated by heaters made of constantan wire (normally less than five watt) wound around the copper jacket. A copper versus constantan thermocouple (0.2mm diameter) is used as a thermometer and we check the uniformity of the temperature by moving up or down it.

COLD PART

The cold part ($\frac{3}{2}x \leq |x|$) is cooled by the continuous flow of cold helium gas (or liquid) as shown schematically in Fig.3.3.a. Using this cooling method, the temperature at the cold part was able to be sufficiently varied from 4.5K to
about 60K continuously. The cold reservoir is made of copper cylinder (with length 1.3m, outer diameter 30mm and 2mm wall thickness) around which a cooling tube (2mm inner diameter and 3m whole length) is wound and soft-soldered for heat exchange. Through this tube, coolant, helium gas is pumped by Kenny-pump (KRP-3000 litter per minute). The cold part is precooled by liquid nitrogen through vacuum space and becomes about 200K, and after liquid helium is transferred, it normally takes about five hours to be cooled down to near 30K. The variation of the temperature is performed by the adjustments of a gas flow rate through a needle valve.

Even using such a way of cooling, it was not so sufficient that a long tube (~1m) was uniformly able to be cooled. Furthermore under the oscillations the thermal effect (second order heat flux) are different according to the position of the pipe. Therefore the temperature control should be demanded at the local position of the tube. Taking into account such circumstances, we could successfully obtain more homogeneous temperature distribution at the cold parts using the method described below.

The cold part of the U-tube is thermally kept in contact with three separated copper blocks and foils which are directly soft-soldered on the wall of the tube. This plays a role to enhance the heat capacity of the wall, so that the assumption in the theory that the wall temperature fluctuations are negligible becomes more exact.

* DAIA vacuum engineering co., LTD.
TEMPERATURE MEASUREMENTS AND CONTROL

At three separated position A, B and C (see Fig.3.3.a), three carbon resistors and a series of chromel versus gold 0.07 at % iron thermocouples (Osaka oxygen Industrial Ltd Bach No 812001--812089) are directly attached on the tube wall by varnish (G.E 7031). The temperature $T_C$ are measured by one of the resistors (Allen Bradly nominal value 510 ohm 1/8 watt) calibrated against chromel versus gold thermocouple (liquid helium 4.2K as a constant point). The calibrated curve is illustrated in Fig.3.3.b. A simple D.C electric bridge circuit for measuring the resistance thermometry is shown in Fig.3.3.c where lead lines (with method of three wire) are Mn-Ni wire with 0.08mm outer diameter.

Furthermore for obtaining more homogeneous temperature distribution, temperature differences occurred between two separated position are detected by chromel-gold thermocouples at each position. Thermoelectric power caused by temperature difference are monitored by two microvolt-meters (Ohkura Electronic Co). Furthermore they are amplified by D.C amplifiers (Bipolar Power Supply Kikusui Electric Corp,35-1A) Their output voltages are automatically supplied to the respective heaters B and C in such a way that thermoelectric power vanishes.

A schematic block diagram is shown in Fig.3.3.c.

Thus we could obtain such a near step-functional temperature distribution that the part $\Delta x$ where the steep temperature change occurs was less than three percents of L. Two reservoirs, a warm and a cold, is inserted into the vacuum.
Figure 3.3 (b) The resistance $R$ of a nominal 510Ω, 1/8 watt Allen-Bradley resistor plotted as a function $1/T$ (calibrated against chromel versus gold 0.07 at % iron thermocouple).
Figure 3.3.(c)  
(A); A simple D.C bridge circuit for measuring the resistance $R$ of a carbon thermometer up to $10\,\Omega$.  
(B); A schematic block diagram for establishing the temperature uniformity along the tube axis.
jacket insulated from surrounding liquid helium reservoirs (a stainless steel pipe with 1.5m in whole length, 50mm outer diameter and 0.5mm wall thickness).

3.4 MEASUREMENTS OF LIQUID HELIUM EVAPORATION RATE

In order to measure a second-order heat flux due to the Taconis oscillations caused by a steep temperature, we employed another apparatus schematically shown in Fig.3.4, which is inserted into the double pyrex-glass dewar subcooled by liquid nitrogen. Thus two reservoirs are directly separated; the cold part is soaked into liquid helium 4.2K and another is immersed into vacuum pump oil maintained at room temperature. The temperature measurements are the same as above. Bellows attached in the vacuum jacket prevents the soldered joint between cold and warm part from being destroyed by thermal contraction.

The evaporation rates of liquid helium is measured instead of the local second order heat flux penetrating into the tube wall. The total heating came from a cold part contributes the evaporation. A helium gas evaporated passes through a calibrated impedance, which is made of a spiral copper tubing (inner radius 4mm and whole length 0.5m). Thus the evaporation rate is estimated by the use of the Poiseuill's law. The pressure difference between an entrance and exit of the impedance is measured by the pressure transducer (Toyoda Ltd 1D101, 0.1F) with D.C amplifier. The calibrated equation of a flow rate was
Figure 3.4  The cryostat for measuring the total second-order heat flux produced by Taconis oscillations
\[ \dot{n} = 1.30 \times 10^{-5} V + 2.0 \times 10^{-4} \dot{n}; \text{ mol/sec} \]
\[ V; \text{ mm volt} \]

(V; corresponding to pressure difference between an entrance and exit of the impedance through amplifier (200 times)) which was estimated using the method of least square fitting.

The time independent heat current \( \dot{Q}_{\text{ex}} \) produced by the oscillations is estimated experimentally through a relationship:

\[
\dot{Q}_{\text{ex}} = L (\dot{n} - \dot{n}_o) \quad (3.4.1)
\]

where \( \dot{n} \) is flow rate (mol/sec) including the background \( \dot{n}_o \) without the oscillations, and \( L \) is the heat of vaporization of helium at 4.2K (80 Joul/mol). The quantity \( Lx\dot{n}_o \) contains several causes of heat production;

1. radiation heat from the top flange, warm reservoir and liquid nitrogen reservoir.
2. heat conduction transmitted through helium gas in the U-shaped tube and stainless steel tube.

Radiative heating from the top flange is reduced by inserting several thin copper plates.
CHAPTER IV

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

In this chapter we will present the experimental results about, in particular, three subjects: the stability curves and the frequency diagrams of thermally driven acoustic oscillations for gaseous helium, the transition from the fundamental to the second-harmonic and the thermal effect (second-order heat flux) produced by the oscillations. At first the existences of two branches on the stability curves predicted by Rott et al. (1973-1976) is confirmed in our experiments. For the ratio $\xi$ of the length $(L-\ell)$ to $\ell$ as a parameter, the stability curves and the frequency diagrams are experimentally determined and quantitatively compared with the theory of Rott and qualitatively explained from the results presented in Chapter II. The optimal conditions for driving the oscillations are also discussed. At the "left-hand branch" for small $\xi$, we have found the oscillations with higher harmonics (second-harmonic and third harmonic) which have never been observed on previous works. Secondly the classification of the unstable region for the fundamental and the second harmonic is experimentally and numerically performed. Lastly the evaporation of liquid helium accompanied by the oscillations is measured and the effective thermal conductivity of the oscillations is determined from it. Results are compared with the theory of the second-order heat flux introduced in section 2.8.
4.1 STABILITY LIMITS

The first approaches of the stability limits has been performed crudely by Von Hoffman et al. (1973). Their results are shown in fig. 4.1, where the existence of two branches is indirectly suggested by use of tubes closed at one end (half open tubes). However in their experiments it was difficult to say that the stability curves were exactly determined, because there is no continuous variables to approach to the critical point (neutral point). In our case where a U-shaped tube instead of a half open tube was employed, we could confirm not only the existence of two branches but also determine the stability curves.

The relationship between the cold and the warm parts which gives the stability limits of the oscillations is determined from the solutions of eq. (2.9.12) with real In order to characterize the stability limits, non-dimensional variables

\[ a = \frac{|n_0_c|}{|n_{0_H}|} = T_H/T_C , \quad |n_0| = r_0(\omega/\nu)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (4.1.1) \]

are employed. These suggest us that instead of the tube radius \( r_0 \), we select the boundary layer thickness at the tube wall as a continuous variable. In our tubes the boundary layer thickness is able to be varied continuously.

The only temperature dependent term \( \nu \) is proportional to \( T^{1+\beta} \) \( ( \nu \propto T^\beta ; \ \text{for helium gas, the best fitting value of} \ \beta \ \text{is equal to} \ 0.647; \ \text{refer to the paper of Keesom}) \). As shown in Appendix III, both of the coefficient of viscosity
and thermal conductivity of gas are independent of the static pressure but dependent of only the temperature. In the analysis of experimental data, we employed, as the values of viscosity for temperatures, the experimental data obtained by previous works, or interpolated ones of them.

Determination of the stability limits between oscillations and no-oscillations are experimentally defined as follows. The gas in the cavity becomes unstable and oscillates with finite amplitudes, when, near the left-hand limit (see Fig. 4.1), a small quantity of gas is gradually poured into the U-shaped tube through a needle valve (see Fig. 3.3.a) under constant temperature ratio; namely the warm and the cold temperatures are kept constant. In the unstable region, when the density of gas is reduced, the boundary layer thickness becomes large, then the system approaches to the stability limit where the oscillations are neutral. Near the other hand limits, under constant temperature ratio, the reverse operations to the above procedures are carried out. Amplitudes of the oscillations near the stability limits are illustrated in Fig. 4.1 for typical examples. They have a tendency to increase (left limit) and decrease (right limit) in proportion to $|n_{0C}|$. The critical values $|n_{0C}|$ where the oscillations are neutral may be determined by the extrapolation of the line. Practically instead of the extrapolation, the values $|n_{ac}|$ where the acoustic pressure amplitudes are within the range between 27 pa (resolution of the pressure transducers including the following electronic systems) and 107 pa are employed as the critical points in our experiments. Figure 4.1 shows clearly that the differences
Figure 4.1 Acoustic pressure amplitudes at the closed end (peak to peak values) versus the ratio of the tube radius to the viscous boundary layer thickness in the cold part. Two branches, left and right hands, predicted by Rott are strikingly confirmed in our experiments.
Figure 4.1: Acoustic pressure amplitudes versus the ratio of the tube inner radius to the viscous boundary layer thickness at the warm part.
between them are sufficiently small (less than 5%). In the region around the minimum of the stability curves, where the critical temperature ratio is lowest, that is \( \alpha \approx 6 \) for \( \xi = 1 \) (see later section) the critical points of \( \eta_0 \) are determined by slowly changing the temperature in the cold part with heater while the amount of gas in the tube is kept constant. Near the critical points the angular frequency of a harmonic gas motion (relative error is below 2%) is almost independent of the pressure amplitudes. In the following discussion, the quantities \( \eta_0 \), \( \alpha \) and \( \lambda \) represent the critical values on the stability curves.

4.2 STABILITY CURVES FOR THERMALLY DRIVEN ACOUSTIC OSCILLATIONS FOR GASEOUS HELIUM.

The stability limits for various temperature ratios are experimentally determined by the methods described above section (4.1). Under constant \( \xi \) we could obtain the stability curves normalized on the plane of the dimensionless variables \( \alpha \) versus \( \eta_0 \). The experimental results of the stability curves for helium gas are shown in Fig. 4.2.a (\( \xi = 1, 2, 5 \) and 10)

![Stability Curves](image)

**fig. 4.1**

Oscillations observed with half-open tubes of different diameter for \( \xi = 1 \) [Hoffman et al. 1973]

\( T_w \): warm temperature

\( d_o \): diameter of tube
and Fig. 4.2.b(\(\xi = 1, 0.5, \text{ and } 0.3\)) where the temperature ratio \(T_H/T_c\) for driving the oscillations is plotted on a logarithmic scale as a function of the ratio of tube inner radius to the viscous boundary layer thickness in the cold part. Cavities with various inner radii \(r_0 = 1.2-4.7\text{mm}\) are employed according to the viscous boundary layer thickness; that is, narrow enough tubes are utilized near the left-hand branches and wide ones near the right-hand branches, because the mean pressure which can be measured by our pressure transducers is less than about \(1.1\times 10^5\) Pa. All data shown here are obtained for the case that the warm temperature is room one \((297\text{K})\). For the case that the warm part is at liquid nitrogen temperature \((77.3\text{K})\) we also obtained almost same the stability curve as that in Fig.4.2.a for \(\xi = 1\). However not shown here, because these data are unreliable; the warm part is sufficiently immersed in liquid nitrogen on account of the pressure transducers.

Stating the survey of experimental results, each stability curves for various \(\xi\) as a parameter is consisted of two branches, "right-hand branch" and "left-hand one". Such two branches join together near the region where the minimum critical temperature ratio is found. Of course, thermally driven acoustic oscillations are induced inside of these curves (unstable region) and not induced outside (stable region). The lowest critical temperature ratio is found for about \(\xi \approx 1\); near \(|\eta_0| = 10\) the stability curve takes a minimum value \(\alpha = 5.5\) and \(|\eta_0|\) of about 8 at \(\alpha = 7\) is the smallest value for which neutral oscillation can exist (see fig.4.2.a). After the bend, - 67 -
the stability curves rise sharply; for any given temperature ratio more than 5.5 there is a minimum (left limit) and a maximum (right limit) value for $|\eta_0|$, showing the ends of the interval in which excited oscillations are found. At the cold part the boundary layer thickness is small enough compared with the tube inner radius at all regions.

The solid curves are from the numerical studies by Rott. For convenience his resulting equation determining the stability curves and the frequency is presented here. The key equation (2.9.12) is written as

$$\frac{G_C \cot \lambda^*_C}{\xi \lambda^*_C} = \frac{G_H \tan \lambda^*_H}{\lambda^*_H}$$

(4.2.1)

with the notation

$$\lambda^*_C = \omega / a^*_C , \quad \lambda^*_H = \omega (L-\xi) / a^*_H \quad \text{and} \quad \xi = (L-\xi) / \ell$$

(4.2.2)

where the quantity $G$ indicates the effects of the dissipation and its value takes unity for non-dissipative case (i.e. $|\eta_0| \to \infty$). For big values of $\alpha$ and moderate $\xi$, $\lambda_H = \frac{(L-\xi)}{a_H}$ is nearly equal to zero, because $\lambda_H = \xi a^*_C \lambda_H$, so that in eq. (4.2.1) $\frac{\tan \lambda^*_H}{\lambda^*_H} = 1$. As $|\eta_0|$ is large (more than about 8 as indicated in experiments), the left-hand side of eq. (4.2.1) is expanded into series in term of a $2 / \eta_0 C$. Considering that the angular frequency is real, we obtain readily the following set of equations, after splitting the eq. (4.2.1) into real and imaginary parts;

$$\cot \lambda_C / \lambda_C = \xi (\text{Re} G_H + \text{Im} G_H)$$

(4.2.3)
The coefficients c and d are Kirchhoff and Kramers constant of gases; 0.90825 and 0.0022 for helium gas respectively. From the above two equations the stability curves are, in principle, determined. The experimental results are in qualitative agreements with the theory of Rott.

The stability limits are able to be given on the plane of \( \alpha \) versus \(|\eta_{0H}|\), which are mathematically equivalent to that on the plane of \( \alpha \) versus \(|\eta_{0C}|\). Figure 4.2.c shows the stability curves corresponding to Fig. 4.2.a, where the temperature ratio is plotted on the logarithmic scale as a function of the ratio of the ratio of \( r_0 \) to the boundary layer thickness at the warm part. As discussed later, the plots in Fig. 4.2.c may be physically more valuable than that in Fig. 4.2.a to characterize the thermally driven acoustic oscillations. In the following we will give the qualitative discussion about the respective branches.

RIGHT_HAND BRANCHES At the right-hand branches, values of viscous(thermal)boundary layer thickness in both cold and warm parts are sufficiently small compared with the tube inner radius; namely the part of the "core" is dominant compared to that of the boundary layer, as shown in Figs. 4.2.a, 4.2.b and 4.2.c. The results show that, for constant \(|\eta_{0C}|\), a very flat minimum of \( \alpha \) is found in the region \( 1 \geq \xi \geq 1/2 \). The \( \xi \) -dependence of the stability curves, in particular for \( \xi \leq 1 \), is fitted

\[
|\eta_{0C}| = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\xi \text{Im} \xi_{H}} \left\{ c \left[ 1 + \frac{\cot \lambda_c}{\lambda_c^2} + \lambda_c \cot \lambda_c \right] + d \cot \lambda_c \right\} (4.2.4)
\]
with the asymptote equation for helium gas;

$$\log \alpha = 0.60 [ \log |\eta_{0c}| + \log (1 + \xi^{-1} + \lambda_c^2 \xi)] - 0.22$$

where $\xi = \cot \lambda_c \lambda / \lambda_c$ as will be discussed in the next section.

The above behavior can be explained by the use of the energy consideration discussed in section 2.7. The driving term $\Delta Q_1$ in eq. (2.7.4) is proportional to the term, imaginary part of $F^*$, which characterizes the effect of the thermal conductivity of the gas. Figure 2.5 indicates that when the boundary layer (consider the thermal layer here) becomes thin compared with the tube inner radius, imaginary part of $F^*$ gradually decreases, so that the oscillations should become unfavorable. The gradual slope of the stability curves in this branch is on account of such gradual decrease of the driving term. Consequently, roughly speaking, the right hand branch may be characterized as follows; the thermal boundary layer thickness $\sqrt{\nu / \omega}$ becomes small, so that the oscillations are not able to be sustained because of the lack of sufficient heating and cooling from the tube wall. The effects of the thermal conductivity plays an important role to maintain the oscillations.

The driving term is also proportional to the derivative of the square of the acoustic pressure amplitudes. As shown in Fig. 2.7.a, the derivative takes maximum in the middle location of the half open tube (wide tube). Therefore the maximum driving of the oscillations occurs when the gradient of mean temperatures is established in the middle location.
of the tube. This qualitatively agrees with the experimental results stated above.

**LEFT HAND BRANCHES** On the other hand, at the left hand--branch the boundary layer thickness at the cold part is still small compared with tube inner radius, but the boundary layer thickness is not small in the warm part, as understood from Fig.4.2.c. Thus the damping of the oscillations in the warm part is dominant compared with that in the cold part. The damping at the left-hand limit is not due to the effect of the thermal conductivity, but that of the shear viscosity of gas. When we take into account only the effect of viscosity instead of thermal conductivity, the driving term $\Delta Q_1$ is never positive in the case that the closed end is at warm and the open end is at cold, so that the effect of the viscosity does not contribute to the driving but contribute to the damping of the oscillations.

Let's consider the driving term $\Delta Q_1$ including both effects of viscosity and thermal conductivity, which is proportional to imaginary parts of $F^*-F/1-\sigma$ shown in Fig.2.7.b. When only the effect of thermal conductivity is taken into account, the oscillations are favorable independent of the thickness of the boundary layer. However comparing Fig.2.5 with Fig.2.7.b, we shall understand that the effect of viscosity restricts the unstable region; namely at about $|\eta_0|\approx2.8$, the sign of $\Delta Q_1$ drastically varies from positive to negative value, so that below $|\eta_0|\approx2.8$ only the damping is possible along the tube.
in spite of a steep temperature gradient. The steeper slope of the stability curves at the left-hand branch compared with that at the right-hand branch may be caused by such a drastic change of the sign of the driving term. Thus the viscous boundary layer thickness instead of the thermal one plays an important role to characterize the damping of the oscillations in the left branch; qualitatively because the viscous layer fills up the tube in the warm part and near the location with temperature gradient, the oscillations are unfavourable.

The $\xi$–dependence of the stability curves at this branch is qualitatively same as that at right hand branch; near $\xi = 1$ the oscillation are easy to be induced. This comes from the gradient of the acoustic pressure amplitudes.

**OPTIMUM CONDITION TO EXCITE THE OSCILLATIONS**

The oscillations are very powerful, for example under suitable conditions in the unstable region, especially in helium gas–filled pipe coexisted with liquid helium under atmospheric pressure, the acoustic pressure amplitudes sometimes run up to a few hundred torr. Some experimental studies on the amplitudes up to now have been described and suggested qualitatively that the amplitudes take a maximum when the inner diameter of a cylindrical cavity is about 3-5 mm ($L \approx 1$ m). Self–sustained oscillation with such large amplitudes may be applied, in future, to an engine. The optimum condition, where the pressure amplitudes take maximum, will be important as a point of the operation of the engine.
Figure 4.2.d Normalized acoustic pressure amplitudes as a function of $|n_{0c}|$ and $|n_{0H}|$ for different temperature ratio and different the ratio of the length at warm part to that at cold part.
The acoustic pressure amplitudes (rms) normalized by the mean pressure are plotted as a function of $|\eta_0|$ and $|\eta_0|$ under constant temperature ratio as a parameter. The results are shown in Fig. 4.2.d. Since the experiments were performed in the neighborhood of the stability curves, the amplitudes are two or three orders of magnitudes smaller than mean pressure. The left and the right limits in Fig. 4.2.d correspond to two branches stated previously. The normalized pressure takes a maximum between two limits. Figure 4.2.d suggests that the optimum condition is determined at the warm part rather than at the cold part. The condition occurs when the value $|\eta_0|$ is near 2.5. This is also understood from Fig. 4.2.c where the stability curves take a minimum at $|\eta_0| = 2.5$ independent of $\xi$. Therefore when thermally driven acoustic oscillations are induced in helium gas coexisted with liquid helium under one atmospheric pressure, in order to obtain the maximum amplitudes, the optimum condition is

$$\log r_0 = -1/2 \log \omega + 10g2.68$$

since $v_H$ is equal to $0.15cm^2s^{-1}$ at room temperature. Since angular frequency normally varies from about 250 to 350 rad/sec near liquid helium surface ($L \approx 1m$), we may select the tube with inner radius between $1.4mm$ and $1.7mm$. These tubes are usually employed as the level indicator of liquid helium as indicated by Clement and Gaffney (1955) or Gaffney and Clement (1956). Rott (1976) calculated the power function for helium gas in the case of constant cross section tube and predicted that the oscill-
ations take a maximum when $|n_{0H}|$ is equal to about 2.2 for various values of parameter $T_H/T_C$.

**DISCREPANCY BETWEEN EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND THEORERICAL**

The experimental results were compared with the theory of Rott by solid curves in Figs.4.2.a, b and c, where as temperature distribution, the step functional one is employed (discontinuous model). The numerical studies have been carried out at the right hand branch under continuous model taking into account a finite temperature gradient. The steepness of the mean temperature at $x=\lambda$ is characterized by

$$ S = \frac{2}{T_H-T_C} \left[ \frac{dT_m}{dx} \right]_{x=\lambda} $$

and $S=\infty$ corresponds to the discontinuous model. The numerical data calculated by Rott using a computer show that if $S$ is enough large, the stability curves are not so different from those in the discontinuous ones. But with the reduction of $S$, the discrepancy between them becomes large. It is probably attributed to the decrease of $S$ that the experimental data deviate from the theoretical curves to more inside; in our experiments $S$ is more than about 40, 24 and 12 for $\xi=1$, 5 and 10 respectively.

At the left-hand branches for small $\xi$ (=0.5 and 0.3), we have discovered the acoustic waves with higher harmonic frequency modes (second and third) which have a velocity node at $x=0$. Such a higher harmonic has never been found in the

- 78 -
previous works. More detailed studies will be discussed in the section 4.4.

The stability curves given here will be important, in future, when we will manufacture a cryostat at low temperature experiments avoiding such oscillating instability. Therefore we present here in this paper the experimental data (Table 4.2.1) relating with the stability curves.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$T_c$ (K)</th>
<th>$P_{st}$ (x10^3 Pa)</th>
<th>$\tau$ (msec)</th>
<th>$\eta_C$</th>
<th>$\eta_H$</th>
<th>$\lambda_C$ (rad)</th>
<th>$\alpha$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>0.647</td>
<td>1.260</td>
<td>60.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>0.652</td>
<td>1.265</td>
<td>56.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>0.701</td>
<td>1.206</td>
<td>40.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>0.721</td>
<td>1.187</td>
<td>37.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>0.770</td>
<td>1.129</td>
<td>31.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>0.808</td>
<td>1.109</td>
<td>28.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>0.845</td>
<td>1.045</td>
<td>25.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>0.937</td>
<td>0.994</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>1.080</td>
<td>0.918</td>
<td>14.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>1.274</td>
<td>0.853</td>
<td>10.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>1.193</td>
<td>0.921</td>
<td>12.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>8.62</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>0.579</td>
<td>1.276</td>
<td>64.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>9.14</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>0.612</td>
<td>1.290</td>
<td>59.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>0.682</td>
<td>1.178</td>
<td>41.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>0.879</td>
<td>1.092</td>
<td>24.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>1.027</td>
<td>1.008</td>
<td>17.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.6</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>1.361</td>
<td>0.839</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33.9</td>
<td>31.9</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>1.482</td>
<td>0.833</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37.8</td>
<td>36.8</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>1.610</td>
<td>0.807</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41.6</td>
<td>42.6</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>1.734</td>
<td>0.770</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44.5</td>
<td>48.1</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>1.865</td>
<td>0.762</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48.1</td>
<td>61.1</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>2.100</td>
<td>0.733</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48.9</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>2.708</td>
<td>0.697</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50.2</td>
<td>91.5</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>2.540</td>
<td>0.701</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

( $r_0=1.2$ mm, $L=1$ m, $\xi=1$ )

<p>| 15.5      | 114                 | 16.7           | 78.8     | 7.03    | 0.812           | 19.0   |
| 15.3      | 119                 | 16.8           | 80.9     | 7.13    | 0.812           | 19.2   |
| 14.1      | 139                 | 17.5           | 91.8     | 7.56    | 0.812           | 20.9   |
| 13.3      | 142                 | 17.9           | 96.6     | 7.57    | 0.818           | 22.1   |
| 18.7      | 76.6                | 15.3           | 57.6     | 6.00    | 0.807           | 15.7   |
| 23.2      | 52.8                | 14.0           | 41.8     | 5.21    | 0.792           | 12.7   |
| 16.0      | 104                 | 16.3           | 74.2     | 6.79    | 0.819           | 18.4   |
| 20.6      | 69.4                | 14.6           | 51.8     | 5.85    | 0.805           | 14.3   |
| 26.2      | 44.2                | 13.3           | 35.5     | 4.89    | 0.784           | 11.2   |
| 30.6      | 32.2                | 12.4           | 26.6     | 4.32    | 0.778           | 9.6    |
| 39.4      | 15.0                | 11.3           | 16.0     | 3.09    | 0.752           | 7.4    |
| 47.3      | 10.7                | 10.5           | 12.1     | 2.70    | 0.739           | 6.2    |
| 47.6      | 10.7                | 10.5           | 12.1     | 2.71    | 0.737           | 6.2    |
| 49.3      | 7.79                | 10.4           | 10.0     | 2.32    | 0.731           | 5.9    |
| 24.3      | 45.7                | 13.7           | 33.8     | 4.90    | 0.790           | 12.1   |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$T_C$(K)</th>
<th>$P_{st}(x10^3\text{Pa})$</th>
<th>$\tau$(msec)</th>
<th>$\eta_C$</th>
<th>$\eta_H$</th>
<th>$\lambda_C$(rad)</th>
<th>$\alpha$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>36.3</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>3.66</td>
<td>0.764</td>
<td>8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>95.3</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>67.9</td>
<td>6.61</td>
<td>0.820</td>
<td>17.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.4</td>
<td>37.9</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>31.5</td>
<td>4.63</td>
<td>0.789</td>
<td>10.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.8</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>24.9</td>
<td>4.14</td>
<td>0.783</td>
<td>8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35.2</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>0.776</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42.1</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>3.35</td>
<td>0.762</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45.6</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>3.09</td>
<td>0.753</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>73.2</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>54.2</td>
<td>5.94</td>
<td>0.803</td>
<td>14.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(r$_0$=3.7mm, L=1m, $\xi$=1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$T_C$(K)</th>
<th>$P_{st}(x10^3\text{Pa})$</th>
<th>$\tau$(msec)</th>
<th>$\eta_C$</th>
<th>$\eta_H$</th>
<th>$\lambda_C$(rad)</th>
<th>$\alpha$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>1.067</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>1.016</td>
<td>45.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.980</td>
<td>35.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.973</td>
<td>34.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>0.918</td>
<td>27.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>0.856</td>
<td>21.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.1</td>
<td>46.3</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>0.692</td>
<td>11.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>1.089</td>
<td>65.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>1.035</td>
<td>51.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>1.033</td>
<td>49.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>25.9</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>0.869</td>
<td>23.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>33.4</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>0.787</td>
<td>17.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>35.6</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>0.760</td>
<td>15.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>43.7</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>0.698</td>
<td>12.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>49.8</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>0.668</td>
<td>10.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>1.111</td>
<td>67.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>1.092</td>
<td>61.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>1.089</td>
<td>57.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>1.044</td>
<td>43.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>37.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.967</td>
<td>32.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>0.936</td>
<td>28.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>61.2</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>0.637</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.9</td>
<td>63.9</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>0.633</td>
<td>8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36.7</td>
<td>81.8</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>0.600</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>96.7</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>0.585</td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(r$_0$=1.2mm, L=1.5m, $\xi$=2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$T_C$(K)</th>
<th>$P_{st}(x10^3\text{Pa})$</th>
<th>$\tau$(msec)</th>
<th>$\eta_C$</th>
<th>$\eta_H$</th>
<th>$\lambda_C$(rad)</th>
<th>$\alpha$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>79.2</td>
<td>6.84</td>
<td>0.625</td>
<td>19.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>61.7</td>
<td>6.14</td>
<td>0.616</td>
<td>16.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>81.9</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>49.8</td>
<td>5.50</td>
<td>0.612</td>
<td>14.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>62.3</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>39.3</td>
<td>4.95</td>
<td>0.602</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>37.2</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>4.03</td>
<td>0.590</td>
<td>9.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$T_c$ (K)</th>
<th>$P_{st} \times 10^{3}$ Pa</th>
<th>$\tau$ (msec)</th>
<th>$\eta_c$</th>
<th>$\eta_H$</th>
<th>$\lambda_c$ (rad)</th>
<th>$\alpha$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td>0.584</td>
<td>8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36.9</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>3.27</td>
<td>0.578</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>72.7</td>
<td>6.66</td>
<td>0.626</td>
<td>18.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>96.5</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>55.1</td>
<td>5.90</td>
<td>0.610</td>
<td>15.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>50.2</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>33.2</td>
<td>4.54</td>
<td>0.598</td>
<td>11.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35.1</td>
<td>27.1</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>0.585</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>3.03</td>
<td>0.582</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41.6</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>0.583</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40.9</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>2.46</td>
<td>0.583</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39.8</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>0.583</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>68.2</td>
<td>6.72</td>
<td>0.625</td>
<td>16.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>78.4</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>46.9</td>
<td>5.49</td>
<td>0.615</td>
<td>13.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>67.6</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>42.4</td>
<td>5.15</td>
<td>0.615</td>
<td>13.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>41.1</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>0.596</td>
<td>10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>2.68</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>0.880</td>
<td>20.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.2</td>
<td>32.8</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>0.588</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>4.23</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>0.743</td>
<td>12.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>0.910</td>
<td>24.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>3.49</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>0.808</td>
<td>15.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>0.778</td>
<td>13.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>3.51</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>0.792</td>
<td>14.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

($r_0 = 3.7$ mm, $L = 1.5$ m, $\xi = 2$ )

| 7.8    | 108             | 26.6        | 139    | 6.89   | 0.430           | 37.5   |
| 20.5   | 10.3            | 17.6        | 23.2   | 2.61   | 0.401           | 14.2   |
| 11.4   | 48.6            | 22.6        | 72.8   | 4.99   | 0.419           | 25.7   |
| 12.7   | 40.1            | 21.6        | 61.5   | 4.64   | 0.415           | 23.0   |
| 14.4   | 30.6            | 20.9        | 49.2   | 4.12   | 0.403           | 20.3   |
| 14.6   | 30.1            | 20.8        | 48.3   | 4.10   | 0.402           | 20.0   |
| 15.2   | 27.6            | 20.4        | 45.2   | 3.96   | 0.402           | 19.2   |
| 15.8   | 25.7            | 20.1        | 42.5   | 3.86   | 0.400           | 18.5   |
| 16.5   | 22.9            | 19.7        | 39.1   | 3.67   | 0.399           | 17.7   |
| 18.0   | 18.9            | 19.0        | 33.7   | 3.40   | 0.397           | 16.2   |
| 18.4   | 18.3            | 18.9        | 32.6   | 3.36   | 0.394           | 15.9   |
| 18.7   | 17.3            | 18.7        | 31.5   | 3.28   | 0.395           | 15.6   |
| 19.3   | 15.9            | 18.1        | 29.8   | 3.19   | 0.401           | 15.1   |
| 19.9   | 14.9            | 18.0        | 28.3   | 3.10   | 0.398           | 14.7   |
| 20.1   | 14.4            | 18.0        | 27.5   | 3.05   | 0.396           | 14.5   |
| 20.7   | 12.6            | 17.0        | 25.8   | 2.93   | 0.413           | 14.1   |
| 21.3   | 10.9            | 17.0        |        |        |                 |        |
| 12.4   | 38.3            | 21.5        | 61.6   | 4.55   | 0.422           | 23.6   |
| 14.0   | 31.3            | 20.8        | 51.0   | 4.18   | 0.411           | 20.9   |
| 11.0   | 54.8            | 23.1        | 78.8   | 5.25   | 0.417           | 26.6   |
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$T_c (K)$</th>
<th>$P_{st} \times 10^3 \text{Pa}$</th>
<th>$\tau \text{ (msec)}$</th>
<th>$\eta_c$</th>
<th>$\eta_H$</th>
<th>$\lambda_c \text{ (rad)}$</th>
<th>$\alpha$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>46.8</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td>0.406</td>
<td>19.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>83.1</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>6.19</td>
<td>0.423</td>
<td>32.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>85.0</td>
<td>5.44</td>
<td>0.420</td>
<td>27.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>49.4</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>73.4</td>
<td>5.04</td>
<td>0.419</td>
<td>25.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>51.0</td>
<td>4.15</td>
<td>0.416</td>
<td>21.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>36.2</td>
<td>3.49</td>
<td>0.404</td>
<td>17.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>3.59</td>
<td>0.407</td>
<td>17.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>3.27</td>
<td>0.400</td>
<td>16.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

($r_0=4.7 \text{mm}, L=1.8m, \xi=5$)

| 15.7     | 84.7            | 20.4            | 44.2   | 3.99   | 0.395           | 18.6   |
| 17.0     | 70.5            | 19.7            | 38.4   | 3.71   | 0.393           | 17.1   |
| 18.3     | 59.4            | 19.2            | 33.3   | 3.45   | 0.389           | 15.9   |
| 19.2     | 51.4            | 18.7            | 30.4   | 3.25   | 0.390           | 15.2   |
| 21.1     | 37.8            | 17.9            | 24.7   | 2.85   | 0.389           | 13.8   |
| 21.4     | 31.3            | 17.5            | 22.4   | 2.62   | 0.395           | 13.6   |
| 14.2     | 110             | 21.1            | 53.9   | 4.47   | 0.402           | 20.5   |
| 15.3     | 93.0            | 20.5            | 47.3   | 4.18   | 0.399           | 19.0   |
| 16.4     | 81.9            | 20.0            | 42.4   | 3.97   | 0.395           | 17.8   |
| 17.8     | 66.8            | 19.3            | 36.3   | 3.65   | 0.393           | 16.4   |
| 20.2     | 49.7            | 18.5            | 28.8   | 3.21   | 0.384           | 14.4   |
| 20.6     | 45.3            | 18.2            | 27.3   | 3.09   | 0.387           | 14.1   |
| 21.4     | 38.3            | 17.8            | 24.6   | 2.88   | 0.388           | 13.6   |
| 20.4     | 24.8            | 17.4            | 20.8   | 2.34   | 0.407           | 14.3   |
| 18.7     | 18.2            | 17.1            | 19.4   | 2.02   | 0.432           | 15.6   |
| 17.7     | 17.0            | 17.2            | 19.5   | 1.95   | 0.442           | 16.5   |
| 15.3     | 14.7            | 17.3            | 20.6   | 1.82   | 0.472           | 19.0   |
| 13.1     | 12.4            | 17.7            | 21.2   | 1.64   | 0.499           | 22.3   |

($r_0=2.7 \text{mm}, L=1.8m, \xi=5$)

| 4.7      | 31.0            | 21.7            | 33.2   | 1.04   | 0.680           | 61.7   |
| 5.2      | 35.1            | 21.2            | 32.5   | 1.12   | 0.662           | 55.7   |
| 5.4      | 37.3            | 20.9            | 32.6   | 1.16   | 0.658           | 53.7   |
| 5.4      | 38.8            | 20.6            | 33.5   | 1.19   | 0.668           | 53.7   |
| 5.7      | 41.1            | 21.0            | 32.6   | 1.23   | 0.638           | 50.8   |
| 6.1      | 42.4            | 20.8            | 31.2   | 1.24   | 0.623           | 47.5   |
| 6.5      | 45.1            | 20.8            | 30.4   | 1.28   | 0.603           | 44.6   |
| 6.9      | 47.3            | 20.1            | 30.0   | 1.33   | 0.606           | 42.0   |
| 7.3      | 51.0            | 20.6            | 29.3   | 1.37   | 0.575           | 39.7   |
| 9.1      | 60.6            | 19.5            | 27.0   | 1.53   | 0.544           | 31.8   |
| 10.0     | 65.7            | 19.5            | 25.9   | 1.60   | 0.519           | 29.0   |
| 4.9      | 34.7            | 22.1            | 33.4   | 1.09   | 0.654           | 59.1   |
| 8.3      | 49.9            | 19.3            | 26.7   | 1.40   | 0.575           | 34.9   |

($r_0=1.2 \text{mm}, L=1.8m, \xi=5$)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$T_0$ (K)</th>
<th>$P_{st}$ ($10^3$ Pa)</th>
<th>$\tau$ (msec)</th>
<th>$\eta_c$</th>
<th>$\eta_H$</th>
<th>$\lambda$ (rad)</th>
<th>$\alpha$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>48.1</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>5.11</td>
<td>0.316</td>
<td>52.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>73.0</td>
<td>3.57</td>
<td>0.315</td>
<td>37.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>62.1</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>0.315</td>
<td>35.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>48.2</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>0.319</td>
<td>58.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>36.9</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>4.63</td>
<td>0.338</td>
<td>52.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>34.9</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>4.44</td>
<td>0.322</td>
<td>50.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>32.8</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>4.32</td>
<td>0.333</td>
<td>49.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>99.2</td>
<td>4.06</td>
<td>0.323</td>
<td>46.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>64.0</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>0.315</td>
<td>36.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>4.62</td>
<td>0.323</td>
<td>55.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>36.7</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>4.52</td>
<td>0.326</td>
<td>53.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>89.6</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td>0.327</td>
<td>43.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>73.2</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>0.322</td>
<td>38.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>55.9</td>
<td>3.05</td>
<td>0.321</td>
<td>33.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>47.4</td>
<td>2.71</td>
<td>0.327</td>
<td>31.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>42.2</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>4.89</td>
<td>0.324</td>
<td>50.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>34.9</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>4.55</td>
<td>0.325</td>
<td>46.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>4.38</td>
<td>0.327</td>
<td>45.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>29.1</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>95.9</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>0.321</td>
<td>42.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>85.5</td>
<td>3.94</td>
<td>0.324</td>
<td>40.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

( $r_0=4.7\,\text{mm}, L=1.65\,\text{m}, \xi=10$ )

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$T_0$ (K)</th>
<th>$P_{st}$ ($10^3$ Pa)</th>
<th>$\tau$ (msec)</th>
<th>$\eta_c$</th>
<th>$\eta_H$</th>
<th>$\lambda$ (rad)</th>
<th>$\alpha$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>98.1</td>
<td>4.47</td>
<td>0.300</td>
<td>41.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>78.9</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>80.7</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>0.303</td>
<td>37.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>65.1</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>70.4</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>0.301</td>
<td>35.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>58.0</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>64.2</td>
<td>3.54</td>
<td>0.301</td>
<td>33.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>44.8</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>53.1</td>
<td>3.13</td>
<td>0.295</td>
<td>30.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>47.1</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>0.300</td>
<td>29.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>94.3</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>88.4</td>
<td>4.33</td>
<td>0.298</td>
<td>37.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>54.8</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>59.7</td>
<td>3.46</td>
<td>0.298</td>
<td>31.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>51.1</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>56.4</td>
<td>3.36</td>
<td>0.296</td>
<td>30.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>46.7</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>53.3</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>0.297</td>
<td>29.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>43.0</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>51.1</td>
<td>3.12</td>
<td>0.299</td>
<td>29.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>40.2</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>48.3</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>0.296</td>
<td>28.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>37.7</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>46.5</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>0.296</td>
<td>28.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>39.9</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>0.338</td>
<td>34.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>39.3</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>0.316</td>
<td>30.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>41.6</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>0.310</td>
<td>29.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>38.6</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>0.323</td>
<td>31.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>40.5</td>
<td>2.09</td>
<td>0.347</td>
<td>35.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>40.8</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>0.368</td>
<td>41.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

( $r_0=2.7\,\text{mm}, L=1.65\,\text{m}, \xi=10$ )
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$T_c$(K)</th>
<th>$P_{St}$(x10^3Pa)</th>
<th>$\tau$(msec)</th>
<th>$\eta_c$</th>
<th>$\eta_H$</th>
<th>$\lambda_c$(rad)</th>
<th>$\alpha$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>55.1</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>47.2</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>0.386</td>
<td>61.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>56.8</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>46.9</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>0.382</td>
<td>60.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>58.8</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>47.0</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>0.382</td>
<td>59.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>59.8</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>0.371</td>
<td>55.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>63.9</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>45.9</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>0.371</td>
<td>54.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>65.0</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>44.2</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>0.363</td>
<td>51.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>67.5</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>44.5</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>0.362</td>
<td>50.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>70.9</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>39.9</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>0.338</td>
<td>43.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>73.8</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>43.3</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>0.353</td>
<td>46.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>77.3</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>43.1</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>0.347</td>
<td>45.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>79.3</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>42.6</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>0.344</td>
<td>43.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>84.9</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>42.9</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>0.339</td>
<td>42.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>86.2</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>41.9</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>0.335</td>
<td>40.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>95.1</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>41.7</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0.324</td>
<td>38.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>96.1</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>40.8</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>0.321</td>
<td>37.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>42.1</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>0.321</td>
<td>37.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>41.2</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>0.314</td>
<td>35.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(r_0=1.2mm, L=1.65m, $\xi=10$)

| 40.2    | 19.9             | 14.2         | 16.2     | 3.17     | 0.996            | 7.2     |
| 37.3    | 23.6             | 14.6         | 18.5     | 3.41     | 1.006            | 7.7     |
| 34.9    | 27.9             | 15.0         | 20.9     | 3.66     | 1.012            | 8.3     |
| 33.0    | 31.3             | 15.4         | 22.9     | 3.82     | 1.014            | 8.7     |
| 31.1    | 29.5             | 15.8         | 23.1     | 3.67     | 1.018            | 9.3     |
| 29.8    | 23.9             | 16.1         | 24.9     | 3.82     | 1.020            | 9.7     |
| 27.2    | 39.0             | 16.9         | 28.7     | 4.07     | 1.017            | 10.6    |
| 24.6    | 42.4             | 17.4         | 32.0     | 4.18     | 1.039            | 11.7    |
| 22.6    | 49.0             | 18.2         | 36.1     | 4.40     | 1.036            | 12.8    |
| 21.0    | 56.8             | 19.1         | 40.3     | 4.63     | 1.024            | 13.8    |
| 19.9    | 61.6             | 19.5         | 43.4     | 4.77     | 1.031            | 14.6    |
| 18.1    | 72.3             | 20.5         | 49.6     | 5.04     | 1.028            | 16.0    |
| 16.0    | 89.5             | 21.2         | 60.1     | 5.51     | 1.057            | 18.1    |
| 15.2    | 96.5             | 21.7         | 64.5     | 5.65     | 1.060            | 19.0    |
| 19.1    | 53.2             | 19.5         | 41.8     | 4.43     | 1.052            | 15.1    |

(r_0=3.7mm, L=1.26m, $\xi=0.5$)

<p>| 37.8    | 12.0             | 8.84         | 9.99     | 1.86     | 0.982            | 7.8     |
| 36.8    | 10.4             | 8.91         | 9.49     | 1.73     | 0.988            | 8.0     |
| 34.1    | 8.91             | 9.04         | 9.26     | 1.58     | 1.011            | 8.6     |
| 33.3    | 9.63             | 8.96         | 9.85     | 1.65     | 1.032            | 8.8     |
| 29.9    | 7.44             | 9.37         | 9.26     | 1.42     | 1.042            | 9.8     |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$T_c$(K)</th>
<th>$P_{st}$(x10$^3$Pa)</th>
<th>$\tau$(msec)</th>
<th>$\eta_c$</th>
<th>$\eta_H$</th>
<th>$\lambda_c$(rad)</th>
<th>$\alpha$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>6.82</td>
<td>9.50</td>
<td>9.61</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>1.083</td>
<td>10.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>5.89</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>9.95</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>1.115</td>
<td>12.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>5.06</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>10.24</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>1.165</td>
<td>14.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39.0</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>8.48</td>
<td>9.71</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>1.008</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39.8</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>8.44</td>
<td>9.87</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>1.003</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40.7</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>8.41</td>
<td>9.76</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>0.995</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41.8</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>8.40</td>
<td>9.79</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>0.983</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40.7</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>8.76</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>2.88</td>
<td>0.955</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39.8</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>8.86</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>2.96</td>
<td>0.955</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39.1</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>8.97</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>0.952</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>9.13</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>0.955</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>40.4</td>
<td>9.40</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>3.31</td>
<td>0.954</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>52.8</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td>0.959</td>
<td>9.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>62.5</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>3.82</td>
<td>0.963</td>
<td>11.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>76.1</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>33.9</td>
<td>4.09</td>
<td>0.974</td>
<td>13.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>44.9</td>
<td>4.46</td>
<td>0.957</td>
<td>16.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

( $r_0$=2.2mm, $L$=0.75m, $\xi$=0.5 )

| 46.3    | 46.1             | 8.44         | 9.2      | 2.03     | 0.929           | 6.3    |
| 45.2    | 42.6             | 8.48         | 9.0      | 1.95     | 0.936           | 6.5    |
| 43.8    | 40.0             | 8.44         | 9.0      | 1.89     | 0.956           | 6.7    |
| 41.8    | 36.0             | 8.52         | 8.8      | 1.79     | 0.969           | 7.0    |
| 39.1    | 32.6             | 8.61         | 8.8      | 1.69     | 0.991           | 7.5    |
| 36.8    | 30.3             | 8.73         | 8.9      | 1.62     | 1.008           | 8.0    |
| 32.5    | 26.0             | 9.08         | 8.9      | 1.47     | 1.031           | 9.0    |
| 29.4    | 24.1             | 9.29         | 9.2      | 1.40     | 1.060           | 10.0   |
| 26.8    | 22.4             | 9.48         | 9.5      | 1.33     | 1.088           | 11.0   |
| 22.4    | 19.8             | 9.99         | 10.1     | 1.22     | 1.129           | 13.1   |
| 19.4    | 17.9             | 10.4         | 10.6     | 1.14     | 1.160           | 15.2   |
| 17.2    | 16.7             | 10.8         | 11.1     | 1.07     | 1.184           | 17.1   |
| 34.8    | 31.1             | 8.83         | 9.4      | 1.63     | 1.025           | 8.4    |
| 21.2    | 18.9             | 10.1         | 10.3     | 1.19     | 1.146           | 13.9   |
| 14.1    | 14.1             | 11.5         | 11.6     | 0.96     | 1.229           | 20.9   |
| 9.5     | 10.8             | 13.6         | 13.2     | 0.77     | 1.274           | 31.0   |
| 12.0    | 12.8             | 12.2         | 12.3     | 0.88     | 1.250           | 24.5   |

( $r_0$=1.2mm, $L$=0.75m, $\xi$=0.5 )
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$T_C$(K)</th>
<th>$P_{st}$ (x10³Pa)</th>
<th>$\tau$(msec)</th>
<th>$\eta_C$</th>
<th>$\eta_H$</th>
<th>$\lambda_C$(rad)</th>
<th>$a$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>33.1</td>
<td>3.66</td>
<td>1.203</td>
<td>14.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>39.7</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>42.2</td>
<td>3.95</td>
<td>1.210</td>
<td>17.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>52.7</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>51.7</td>
<td>4.43</td>
<td>1.208</td>
<td>19.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>62.4</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>61.1</td>
<td>4.73</td>
<td>1.233</td>
<td>22.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>71.9</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>75.2</td>
<td>4.89</td>
<td>1.269</td>
<td>27.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>92.4</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>89.1</td>
<td>5.37</td>
<td>1.245</td>
<td>30.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>96.1</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>95.0</td>
<td>5.41</td>
<td>1.253</td>
<td>32.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.25</td>
<td>102.1</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td>105.5</td>
<td>5.41</td>
<td>1.255</td>
<td>36.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>1.181</td>
<td>12.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>1.184</td>
<td>13.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>3.27</td>
<td>1.198</td>
<td>13.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>1.186</td>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>38.3</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>42.1</td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td>1.196</td>
<td>18.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>55.2</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>54.8</td>
<td>4.45</td>
<td>1.202</td>
<td>21.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>68.0</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>66.3</td>
<td>4.82</td>
<td>1.222</td>
<td>24.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>70.1</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>70.2</td>
<td>4.90</td>
<td>1.254</td>
<td>25.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>84.1</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>82.3</td>
<td>5.23</td>
<td>1.258</td>
<td>28.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>117.0</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>117.5</td>
<td>5.68</td>
<td>1.246</td>
<td>38.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

( $r_0=0.37\mathrm{mm, \ L=1.07m, \ \xi=0.3}$ )
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$T_C$ (K)</th>
<th>$P_{st}$ (X10$^3$Pa)</th>
<th>$\tau$ (msec)</th>
<th>$\eta_C$</th>
<th>$\eta_H$</th>
<th>$\lambda_C$ (rad)</th>
<th>$\alpha$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>2.81</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>4.128</td>
<td>59.0 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>4.065</td>
<td>56.7 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>6.86</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>2.539</td>
<td>18.6 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$r_0=0.22\text{mm}$, $L=1.07\text{m}$, $\xi=0.3$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35.6</td>
<td>59.8</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>1.078</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34.7</td>
<td>58.1</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>1.085</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34.3</td>
<td>56.1</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>1.083</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33.1</td>
<td>52.3</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>1.099</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.7</td>
<td>50.3</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>1.100</td>
<td>9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>48.2</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>1.106</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.2</td>
<td>45.5</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>1.116</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>43.1</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>1.128</td>
<td>10.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>41.3</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>1.132</td>
<td>11.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.3</td>
<td>39.4</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>1.146</td>
<td>11.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>37.3</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>1.152</td>
<td>12.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>1.164</td>
<td>13.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>34.0</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>1.174</td>
<td>13.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>33.1</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>1.178</td>
<td>14.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>31.1</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>1.189</td>
<td>15.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>29.2</td>
<td>8.32</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>2.297</td>
<td>15.8 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>8.46</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>2.303</td>
<td>16.4 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>8.45</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>2.345</td>
<td>17.0 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>8.67</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>2.355</td>
<td>18.0 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>8.93</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>2.376</td>
<td>19.5 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>9.21</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>2.411</td>
<td>21.3 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>9.59</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>2.453</td>
<td>24.0 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>10.12</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>2.495</td>
<td>27.6 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>11.25</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>2.616</td>
<td>37.5 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>13.55</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>2.668</td>
<td>57.4 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>2.679</td>
<td>54.2 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.65</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>2.701</td>
<td>51.8 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>2.668</td>
<td>47.2 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>2.597</td>
<td>41.8 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>2.628</td>
<td>39.0 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>8.34</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>2.336</td>
<td>16.4 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>7.99</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>2.341</td>
<td>15.1 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>63.8</td>
<td>12.84</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>1.082</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34.3</td>
<td>61.9</td>
<td>12.88</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>1.089</td>
<td>8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.8</td>
<td>57.5</td>
<td>13.03</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>1.101</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$T_C$ (K)</th>
<th>$P_{st}$ (x10^3 Pa)</th>
<th>$\tau$ (msec)</th>
<th>$\eta_c$</th>
<th>$\eta_H$</th>
<th>$\lambda_c$ (rad)</th>
<th>$\alpha$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>55.5</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>1.104</td>
<td>9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>50.8</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>1.120</td>
<td>9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>46.0</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>1.133</td>
<td>10.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>44.3</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>1.140</td>
<td>11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>41.3</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>1.149</td>
<td>11.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>37.2</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>1.179</td>
<td>13.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>2.645</td>
<td>35.2 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>2.599</td>
<td>32.8 *</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

( $r_0=1.2\text{mm}$, $L=1.0\text{m}$, $\xi=0.3$)

- no mark  the fundamental
- *  the second harmonic
- **  the third harmonic

$T_C$ temperature at the cold part
$P_{st}$ mean pressure
$\tau$ period of the oscillations
$\eta_c$ $|\eta_{0c}|$
$\eta_H$ $|\eta_{0H}|$
$\lambda_c$ $\omega l/a_c$
$\alpha$ temperature ratio $T_H/T_C$
4.3 FREQUENCY OF THE ACOUSTIC OSCILLATIONS

The frequency of the oscillation corresponding to every point on the stability curves is discussed in this section. The dimensionless numbers $\lambda_c$ and $|\eta_0|^{1/2}$ are employed as variables characterizing the acoustic oscillations instead of the angular frequency $\omega$:

$$\lambda_c = \omega \lambda / a_c$$

$$|\eta_0|^{1/2} = \sqrt{a_c / \nu_c} r_0$$

(4.3.1)

The value $\lambda_c$ means the phase of the amplitudes of the acoustic pressure at the location $x=\lambda$ ($\lambda_c$ at $x=0$ is equal to zero, where the pressure node exists). The relationship between the stability curves and the frequency diagram is schematically illustrated in Fig.4.3.a. One point in unstable region corresponds to the one state (frequency) in the frequency diagram.

The experimental results are shown in Fig.4.3.b, where the frequency parameter $\lambda_c$ is plotted as a function of the variable $|\eta_0|^{1/2}$, because the frequency is initially not known.

The important feature of the stationary wave is that the $x$ and $t$ variables are separated as

$$p = f(x) \exp(i \omega t)$$

(1)

This is the characteristic of standing wave. The quantity $f(x)$ is the amplitudes of the standing wave at the location $x$ and written as

$$f(x) = A_1 \sin \hat{k_c x}/a_c$$

for wide tubes. The expression $(i \omega t + ikx)$ no longer appear and eq. (1) does not represent a traveling wave.
The relationship between the stability curve and the frequency diagram for the fundamental frequency.

Figure 4.3.a
Thus the frequency of the oscillations near the stability curves is able to be determined using Fig.4.3.b, since $|\eta_{oc}|\lambda_{c}^{-1/2}$ is independent of the angular frequency. The solid curves show the numerical results derived by Rott from important equation (4.2.1), which determines not only the stability limits but also the frequency of the oscillations. The agreements between the experimental and the theoretical results are satisfactory. The behavior of the frequency is able to be classified by three separated regions: the lower branches, the upper branches ($\lambda_{c} = \pi/2$) and the region between such two branches. We are going to give a qualitative explanation of these results in the following discussion.

At first let's consider the lower asymptotes of the frequency diagrams for each $\xi$. These limits correspond to the right-hand branches (or asymptotes) in Figs. 4.2.a and 4.2.b. The boundary layer thickness is sufficiently small compared with tubes inner radii at both cold and warm parts so that both viscosity and thermal conductivity should not influence on the frequency of the oscillation everywhere. The key equation for non-dissipative ($|\eta_{0}| \rightarrow \infty$ ) case which gives the frequency is

$$\frac{\cot \lambda_{c}}{\lambda_{c}} = \frac{\xi \tan \lambda_{H}}{\lambda_{H}}$$

(4.3.2)

Since both $G_{c}$ and $G_{H}$ take unity as shown in Fig.4.3.c where the quantity $G$ is plotted as a function of $2/|\eta_{0}|$ (refer [16]).

Equation (4.3.2) shows that the velocity at $x = \lambda$ is essentially continuous. For large temperature ratio $\lambda_{H}$ is nearly equal
Figure 4.3.b  The frequency diagram for helium gas, the dimensionless number
\[ \lambda_c = \frac{\omega L}{a_c} \text{ versus } \eta_{0c}^{1/2} = \left( \frac{a_c}{u_c L} \right)^{1/2} r_0. \] Marks are the same as in Figs. 4.2.a and 4.2.b.
to zero, so that eq.(4.3.2) is equal to eq.(4.2.3) where the real parts of $G_C$ and $G_H$ are reduced to unity but the imaginary parts of them are equal to zero; namely

$$\cot \lambda_C/ \lambda_C = \xi$$

(4.3.3)

This equation shows a good correspondence with our experimental results at the lower branches of Fig.4.3.b. The solutions of eq.(4.3.3), for the fundamental mode, take 1.07, 0.86, 0.65 and 0.43 for $\xi = 0.5, 1, 2$ and 5 respectively. These values are excellently agreed with the experimental data. Thus at lower branches the equation for non-dissipative case is suitable. The sound velocity at the warm and cold parts is equal to the adiabatic velocity, $a_C$ and $a_H$ respectively because in the dispersion relation(2.9.2), the effective sound velocity $a\{1 - \frac{P}{1 + \eta_0 \gamma \rho F^*}\}$ becomes adiabatic one for large $|\eta_0|$ ($F = F^* = 0$ for $|\eta_0|^{-1}$).

Secondly we consider the other hand branch(upper) of the frequency diagram. At upper limits, which correspond to the left-hand branches of the stability curves, the boundary layer fills the whole tube at the warm part but at the cold part, the boundary layer thickness is still small compared with tube inner radius. Quantitatively since both imaginary part and real part of $G_H$ approach to nearly zero for large $|\eta_0|$ as shown in Fig.4.3.c, eq.(4.3.2) becomes

$$\cot \lambda_C/ \lambda_C = 0$$

(4.3.4)
Figure 4.3.c  The G-function for helium gas neglecting the term \([1+(\gamma-1)F^*]\).

Figure 4.3.c'  The G-function calculated by Rott including the term \([1+(\gamma-1)F^*]\).
where $G_C$ takes still unity. The solution of eq. (4.3.4) is nearly equal to $\pi/2$ independent of $\xi$. This means that the location at a sudden temperature change behaves like a closed end (of course exact boundary condition at closed end corresponds to $\lambda_C = \pi/2$) because the fluid in the warm part cannot move on account of large viscous and thermal boundary layer thickness. There may be no variation ($x$-dependence) of the acoustic pressure amplitudes in the warm part and the pressure in the cold part occupies most of the variation of the amplitudes. Practically such a limit is difficult to be confirmed by experiments because of the large temperature ratio. Our experimental data, however, show a tendency to approach to $\pi/2$.

Lastly in the region corresponding to near minimum of the stability curves, where the dissipative effects in the warm part are moderate. It is interesting that the frequency parameter $\lambda_C$ does not directly tend from the non-dissipative solution to $\pi/2$, but takes values less than the solutions derived from eq. (4.3.3). In order to express the minimum, Figure 4.3.d rather than Fig. 4.3.b is convenient where the quantity $\frac{\cot \lambda_C \xi}{\lambda_C}$ is plotted as a function of $|\eta_0|$. The minimum in Fig. 4.3.b corresponds to the maximum in Fig. 4.3.d. The position of the maximum is situated at $|\eta_0|=2.5$ independent of $\xi$. It is understood from eq. (4.2.3) that such a maximum comes from that of the addition of imaginary part of $G_H$ and the real part of $G_H$. Let's imagine the qualitative meaning of such a maximum. The maximum comes from the term of $F^*$ in the quantity $[1+\gamma (\xi-1)F^*]$. The addition of $\text{Im } G$
Figure 4.3.d  The diagram corresponding to the frequency diagram in Fig. 4.3.b. The maximum occurs at $|\eta_{0H}| = 2.5$ independent of $\xi$. 
and $\text{Im}G$ excluding the term $F^*$ is shown in Fig.4.3.c' where no peak does not exist. Therefore the maximum in Fig.4.3.d is due to the effect of thermal conductivity. Another reason is as following. As discussed in section 2.9 previously, the effective sound velocity is written as

$$a_{\text{eff}}^* = a = a \left[ \frac{1 - F}{1 + (\gamma - 1)F^*} \right]^{1/2}$$

where $F^*$ shown the effect of thermal conductivity. We again consider the effective sound velocity (practically the real part of $a_{\text{eff}}^*$). Neglecting the viscous effect ($F=0$), when the thermal boundary layer gradually becomes thick, the sound velocity approaches from adiabatic to the isothermal ones. At the warm part, such an effect of the sound velocity should be remarkable, since $|\eta_{0H}|$ varies from large value to the small. The value of $\lambda_H^*$ varies from $\omega \gamma / \Omega_H$ to $\gamma \omega / \Omega_H$, when $|\eta_{0H}|$ changes from infinity to zero. Therefore the right hand side of eq. (4.3.2) takes the value more than $\xi$ because $\tan \lambda_H^*$ becomes larger than unity as shown in fig.4.3. Thus the minimum in Fig.4.3.b comes from the thermal effect in the warm part. After taking the minimum, the frequency diagram approaches to constant value $\pi/2$ on account of stronger dissipative effects at the warm part. The sound velocity at the cold part is still adiabatic one.

![Graph](image)

* $\ell'$; $(L-\ell')$
4.4: STABILITY LIMITS FOR TUBES WITH VARIABLE CROSS SECTION

Spontaneous oscillations of a gas column with temperature stratification were first investigated experimentally by Sondhauss (1850). His paper is quoted in the textbook by Rayleigh and the device employed by him is called a "sondhauss Tube". The earlier experiments were as follows: when a glass-bulb attached to a piece of narrow tube as a flask is heated by a burner, as glass-blowers sometimes experience, audible sounds (a air gas oscillation) are spontaneously produced. Estimating from the melting temperature of glass, the temperature ratio between the warm part and cold part is considered as about 3 or less. As studies in previous section, the minimum critical temperature ratio for helium gas is about 5.5 for exciting the oscillations. Although the gas is not helium but air, in such a device corresponding to the tube with variable cross-section, the critical temperature ratio is reduced. The part with constant temperature distribution contributes only to the damping of the oscillations, so that the enlarging of inner radius, in particular, of the warm part where the kinematic viscosity is high may contribute to widen the unstable region. In order to confirm this, the stability curves were experimentally determined for the tube with configuration as shown in Fig.4.4.a; tubes have inner radii \( r_H = 1.7 \text{mm} \) and \( r_C = 0.8 \text{mm} \) or \( r_H = 4.7 \text{mm} \) and \( r_C = 2.2 \text{mm} \) for \( \xi = 1 \) with whole length 1m. The steep change of mean temperature was established near \( x = L/2 \) within \( \delta x/L \approx 3\% \). The warm part
is immersed into the reservoir at room temperature and the
temperature at the cold part varies from about 70K to 5.5K.
The results for helium gas are shown in Fig.4.4.b where the
left-hand branch of the stability curve shifts towards
the left and the right branch towards the right, and the
critical temperature is reduced compared with that of constant
cross-section tube. Thus the experiments of Sondhauss were
quantitatively confirmed by our experiments.

Mathematical approaches have been investigated by Rott
and Zouzoulas(1976). Taking into account the x-dependence of
the tube inner radius, we obtain the differential equation
(wave equation) for the acoustic pressure corresponding to
eq.(2.9.1);

\[ r_w^2(1+(\gamma-1)\rho) \frac{d}{dx} \left[ r_w^2 \frac{a^2}{\omega^2} (1-\rho) \frac{dp}{dx} \right] - k^2 - \frac{a^2}{\omega^2} \frac{(2\pi - \lambda) \theta}{dx} \frac{dp}{dx} = 0 \]

where the notation is the same as before. Using the
same procedure as section 2.9, the key equation is obtained.
The solid curve in Fig.4.4.b is the stability curve calculated
by Rott and Zouzoulas for $\lambda = 1$ and $r_H/r_c = 2$. The agreement
between theory and experiment is satisfactory.

The optimum configuration of a tube for driving the
oscillations is schematically shown in Fig.4.4.c; namely as
only the damping is possible at both warm and cold parts,
the optimum configuration is that both inner radii $r_c$ and $r_H$
are large as possible (in particular $r_H$), but the tube inner
radius with temperature gradient must be suitably so narrow
that the driving is effective. According to the discussion in section 2.7, the optimum value of $|\eta_0|$ is about 3 (refer to Fig.2.7.b).

The frequency at lower branch are determined from the equation for non-dissipative case [eq.(4.3.3)], where has to be redefined as the volume ratio $V_H/V_C$, ($V_H = \pi r_H^2 (L-\ell)$ and $V_C = \pi r_C^2 \ell$), and that at other branch approaches to constant $\pi/2$. The experimental results, not shown here, satisfactorily agree with such qualitative discussions.

By the way it is considered that when the tube inner radius is sufficiently small compared with the thickness of the boundary layer, the quantities $F^*$ or $F$ is also small, so that the damping energy is reduced. However, in such a case, the driving energy is also reduced because the gradient of the square of the acoustic pressure is small on account of $\lambda_C \rightarrow \pi/2$. Thus only enlarging the tube inner radius is favorable for lowering the minimum critical temperature ratio.
Figure 4.4.a Mean temperature distribution along a U-shaped tube with variable cross-section. Inner tube radius steeply varies at the temperature stratification.
Figure 4.4.b The stability curve for the tube with variable cross-section. The solid curve is due to the theoretical one by Rott and Zouzoulas (1976).
Figure 4.4.c  Optimum configuration of tubes for driving thermally oscillations.
4.5 SECOND-HARMONIC

The experimental results for the fundamental were quantitatively interpreted by the linearized theory of Rott. For small $\xi$ (0.3 and 0.5), we found a new phenomenon, which is the second-harmonic spontaneously induced in a U-shaped tube. The second-harmonic with small amplitudes was generated independent of the fundamental in this system. In experiments up to present, the second-harmonic as treated here has not been found, but only the fundamental has been observed. We experimentally determined the stability curve of the second-harmonic. It was concluded from experiments that the unstable region is classified into three regions, where the fundamental, the second-harmonic and the superposition of them are induced. We will also suggest that the results for the second-harmonic are supported by expansion of the linearized theory of Rott.

When we draw up the stability curves of the fundamental for $\xi=0.3$, we found a higher harmonic at the left-hand limits. As shown in Fig.4.5.a, the stability curve suddenly shifts to the more inside stability curve at about 15 of temperature ratio ($T_H=300K$ and $T_C=20K$). From the wave-forms monitored by an oscilloscope and the value measured by the frequency counter, it was confirmed that the new oscillations were second-harmonic. Of course the phase difference between $x=L$ and $x=-L$ for pressure fluctuations is not 180 degree but zero (in phase) for the second-harmonic.

The important variables which characterize the stability
Figure 4.5.a The stability curves for the fundamental, second-harmonic and third-harmonic. At left-hand branch, the transitions occur.
curve are, already studied here, the temperature ratio between
the warm and the cold parts and the ratio of tube inner radius
to the Stokes boundary layer thickness. However, the ratio of
\[ |\eta_{0c}| \]
to the square root of the frequency parameter \( \lambda_c = \omega l / a_c \) is employed here instead of \( |\eta_{0c}| \) in order to obtain
the variable independent of the frequency.

In Fig.4.5.b, the stability curve corresponding to
Fig.4.5.a is rearranged on the plane \( \alpha - |\eta_{0c}|^{\lambda_c^{-1/2}} \). The neutral
points for the second-harmonic and the fundamental are marked
by the symbols [ △ and ● ] and [ ◆, ○ and Δ ] respectively.
The experimental results are given in Table.4.2.1.

The second-harmonic is observed only at the left-hand
branch of the stability curve and at the right-hand branch
only the fundamental is observed, so that the respective
stability curves may be extrapolated as shown in Fig.4.5.b
(dashed curves). The stability curves of the second-harmonic
intersects with that of the fundamental at the left-hand
branch near \( \alpha = 15 \). Thus if higher harmonic more than third,
which is induced by bigger temperature ratio, are not taken
into consideration, the unstable region is classified into three
regions I, II, III.

In the region I, only the fundamental is induced, and
typical wave-forms of the pressure fluctuations observed at
the closed ends of the pipe are shown in Fig.4.5.c(a) where
\( \alpha, \lambda_c \) and \( |\eta_{0c}|^{\lambda_c^{-1/2}} \) are 13.0, 1.16 and 10.6 respectively. In
the region II, only the second-harmonic is induced and the
wave-forms are shown in Fig.4.5.c(b) where \( \alpha, \lambda_c \) and \( |\eta_{0c}|^{\lambda_c^{-1/2}} \) are
Figure 4.5.b The stability curves of the fundamental and the second-harmonic (solid marks) for helium gas. Two solid marks show the third harmonic (Δ) near α=60.
Figure 4.5.c  Pressure fluctuations observed at the
closed end of the pipe. a); the fundamental
b); the second-harmonic and c); the super-
position of them.
15.2, 2.34 and 10.0 respectively. In the region III, the wave-forms are expected to be the superposition of them. In fact as shown in Fig.4.5.c(c) the wave-forms are the superposition of the fundamental and the second-harmonic. These photographs are taken using the storage-oscilloscope (National Co., LTD VP-5701A). Thus the second-harmonic is excited on account of the intersection between the stability curves of the fundamental and the second-harmonic. Under constant temperature ratio more than 15, when a helium gas is poured into the U-shaped tube, which is initially vacuum, at first only the second harmonic is excited, then the superposition of the wave-forms between the fundamental and the second-harmonic will be observed and lastly only the fundamental is favorable to oscillate. It is interesting to see that the higher harmonic are able to be induced before the fundamental.

The frequency parameter $\lambda_c$ lies between $\pi$ and $\pi/2$. Therefore one pressure node exists between $x=0$ and $x=L$.

Such a higher mode was not observed for $\xi_\alpha$ below $\alpha \leq 70$. It is expected that such a mode is set up at the left-hand branch for small $\xi$, where the dissipative layer is so thick that it fills up the whole tube at the warm part. The stability curve for the second harmonic is numerically derived from the suitable boundary conditions below.

The boundary condition corresponding to the second-harmonic is

$$\frac{dp_1}{dx} = 0 \quad \text{at } x=0 \text{ and } x=L$$

$$p_1(x+0) = p_1(x-0) \quad (4.5.1)$$
Step change of the temperature exists at \( x = \ell \). The following expression for the pressure account for eq.(4.5.1)

\[
P_H = A \frac{\cos k_H(L-x)}{\cos k_H(L-\ell)} \quad \ell \leq x \leq L
\]

\[
P_C = A \frac{\cos k_Cx}{\cos k_C\ell} \quad -\ell \leq x \leq \ell \quad (4.5.2)
\]

\[
P_H = A \cos k_H(L+x)/\cos k_H(L-\ell) \quad -\ell \leq x \leq -L
\]

where \( A \) is the pressure at \( x = \ell \). Equation (4.5.2) shows that the phase difference of the pressure fluctuation between \( x = L \) and \( x = -L \) should be in phase for the second-harmonic.

From the other condition corresponding to eq.(2.9.10), the key equation for the second harmonic is given

\[
- \frac{G_C \tan \lambda_C^*}{\xi \lambda_C^*} = \frac{G_H \tan \lambda_H^*}{\lambda_H^*} \quad (4.5.3)
\]

As already pointed out, simplifications are performed for big values of \( \alpha \) and small or moderate \( \xi \); then \( \lambda_H = 0 \) and the right-hand side of eq.(4.5.3) is \( G_H \). We obtain the following pair equation;

\[
\tan \frac{\lambda_C}{\lambda_C^*} = -\xi (\text{Im}G_H + \text{Re}G_H)
\]

\[
|\eta_{0C}| = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\xi \text{Im}G_H} \left\{ \alpha \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{\tan^2 \lambda_C}{\lambda_C^*} - \frac{\tan \lambda_C}{\lambda_C^*} \right) \right] \right\} \quad (4.5.4)
\]

The stability curves determined from eq.(4.5.4) certainly intersects with that for the fundamental at the left-hand branch for \( \xi = 0.3 \), so that the linearized theory supported that the second-harmonic is induced independent of the fundamental as shown in Fig.4.5.d.

The excitation of higher harmonic is qualitatively able to be understood from eq.(2.7.6). Figure.2.7.b shows that
Figure 4.5.d  The stability curves numerically derived from the key equations for the fundamental (F), the second-harmonic (S-H) and the third harmonic (T-H) for helium gas. At the location A and B the transition occur.
below $\eta_0 \leq 2.3$ only damping is possible through tube length, and the driving is not possible. The value $|\eta_0|$ of the second harmonic is larger than that of the fundamental because the former frequency is about twice larger than the latter. Therefore at the left-hand branch there exist some regions where the second-harmonic is favorable but the fundamental is not favorable. It is concluded that the excitation of higher harmonic is due to the viscosity of gas.

The stability curve for a second harmonic excited in a U-shaped tube is equivalent that for the fundamental induced in a closed tube at $x=0$ and $x=L$. Therefore the spontaneous oscillations should be expected in such a closed tube. Sergeev et al. (1979) shows that the oscillations were not able to be observed in such a tube. The cause of no oscillations may be due to the fact that the location of the temperature stratification is not suitable. The positive gradient of the square of the pressure amplitudes lies between $x=L$ and $x=L$ for the wide tube with constant temperature, so that recalling eq. (2.7.6), we find that the oscillations will be possible to oscillate for smaller $\xi$ than unity. We confirmed that the oscillations were able to be produced for $\xi = 0.3$ in a closed tube and the stability curve for such a tube agrees with that for the second-harmonic.

We observed a third harmonic at left-hand branch for $\xi = 0.3$. At about $\alpha = 60$, the transition from the second-harmonic to the third harmonic occurs, as shown in Fig.4.5.a.
It is also considered that this is on account of the intersection, on the plane of $\alpha$ versus $h_{0c}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, of the stability curves between the second-harmonic and the third-harmonic. The stability curve for the third harmonic is obtained from the key equation (2.9.12). The relationship of the stability curves among them is given in Fig. 4.5.d, which is calculated by use of data of G-function derived by Rott. The transition occurs at the left-hand branch near $\alpha=60$. 
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4.6 SECOND-ORDER HEAT FLUX

When "Taconis oscillations" are sustained in cryogenic systems; liquid helium transfer line, pumping lines from low temperature to room temperature system and the lines to measure the pressure, they are usually accompanied by a large amount of the evaporation of liquid helium. The attainable evaporation rates are empirically three orders of magnitudes larger than those without the oscillations. However there is very little quantitative data on the heat flux produced by the oscillation under a established temperature distribution.

Experiments are performed by the apparatus shown in Fig.3.4, where the warm part is immersed into the reservoir at room temperature and the cold part is immersed into the reservoir at liquid helium(4.2K). The heat produced by thermally driven acoustic oscillations is estimated from the evaporation of the liquid helium. Measurements are performed near the left-hand branch for $\xi=2$ and 3, where the boundary layer in the warm part fills up the whole tube. As shown in Fig.4.6.a, when helium gas is gradually poured into the pipe through a needle valve under constant temperature ratio $\alpha=70.5(T_mh=296K$ and $T_{mc}=4.2K$), the oscillation with small amplitudes are generated at a certain mean density. For example its critical values, $|n_{0c}|$ for $\xi=2$ is 22.6 for $r_0=1.2mm$ or 22.4 for $r_0=2.2mm$ in our experiments, which is in good agreements with theoretical value: the frequency and the critical mean density are 273 rad/sec and 91.8 torr for $r_0=1.2mm$ and 273 rad/sec and 27.0torr for $r_0=2.2mm$. When $|n_{0c}|$ increases,
Figure 4.6.a  The stability curves for $\xi=4$ predicted by Rott (1973) under constant temperature ratio $\alpha=70.5\,(T_{mh}=296\,K \text{ and } T_{mc}=4.2\,K)$ the experiments are performed. Experimental value of critical value of $|\eta_{0c}|$ is equal to 22.4.
the acoustic pressure amplitude rapidly increase, and the evaporation of liquid helium is strongly dependent of the pressure amplitudes. The heat due to the oscillations $\dot{Q}_{\text{ex}}$ is plotted as a function of the square of the real pressure amplitudes (a half of peak to peak value) at $x=L$ as shown in Fig.4.6.b. The heat is proportional to $\hat{p}_1^2$ independent of and $r_0$. According to the section 2.8, $\hat{p}_1$ is proportional to the displacement of particles at $x=L$. The experiments by Banister(1966) show that $\dot{Q}_{\text{ex}}$ is proportional to the product of the pressure amplitudes and the frequency. However in his experiments, an important parameter, temperature distribution along the tube (half open type) was not sufficiently established. The experiments using a half open tube, along which the temperature distribution is not established, confirm the $\hat{p}_1^2$ - dependence obtained in the U-tube (refer to [25]).

As discussed in section 2.8, the heat flux penetrating into the tube wall should come from the second-order heat flux derived by Merkli and Thomann(1975). In particular, it is expected that such a considerable heat flux produced in the tube with a steep temperature gradient may be caused by the second term in eq.(2.8.6), $E_2^2$ given by Rott. Thus we will rearrange the experimental data given above comparing to the second-order theory.

The total heat flux penetrating into the tube wall in the cold part, which contributes to the evaporation is from eq.(2.8.2)

$$2\pi r_0 \int q_2 \, dx = \Delta k_{\text{eff}} \frac{dT_m}{dx}\bigg|_{x=L}$$

* our experiments

\[ (4.6.1) \]
Figure 4.6.b The effective thermal conductivity of the oscillations versus the square of the pressure amplitudes at $x=L$. $O(1)$: $\xi=3$, $r_0=2.2\text{mm}$ and $L=1.33\text{m}$ $O(2)$: $\xi=2$, $r_0=2.2\text{mm}$ and $L=1.5\text{m}$. $X(3)$: $\xi=3$, $r_0=1.2\text{mm}$ and $L=1.33\text{m}$. $X(4)$: $\xi=2$, $r_0=1.2\text{mm}$ and $L=1.5\text{m}$. 
since a temperature gradient is vanished everywhere except near the position $x=\ell$. Here $A$ is inner cross-sectional area of the tube and $k_{\text{eff}}$ is, as given in eq.(2.8.11), formally the mean effective thermal conductivity due to thermally driven acoustic oscillations.

If the evaporation is dominantly based on the second-order heat flux, we can obtained the following equation, from eqs.(4.6.1) and (3.4.1),

$$
\dot{Q}_{\text{ex}} = 2A \ k_{\text{eff}} \ \frac{dT_m}{dx} \ \bigg|_{x=\ell} \quad (4.6.2)
$$

$$
k_{\text{eff}} = \frac{k \left| u_{lc} \right|^2}{2\omega \nu} \ \text{Im} \left( \frac{\sigma_F^2 - \sigma_F^*}{1 - \sigma^2} \right) \quad (4.6.3)
$$

where the factor 2 means the contribution from $x=\pm \ell$. Oue data is arranged by eq.(4.6.2). In order to perform this, we are obliged to make several assumptions as following:

[1] the temperature gradient $dT_m/dx$ is replaced by the mean value $(T_{mH} - T_{mc})/\Delta x$.

[2] the mean value of viscosity, thermal conductivity without oscillations and mean density are substituted by those at the mean temperature ($\approx 150\text{K}$).

[3] in order to estimate the velocity of the core at $x=\ell$ the acoustic pressure variation is neglected at the warm part, so that from

$$
P_1 = \frac{i\gamma \rho_m}{\omega} \ \frac{du_{lc}}{dx} \quad (4.6.4)
$$

the velocity of the core at $x=\ell$ is given by

$$
\left| u_{lc} \right|^2 \bigg|_{x=\ell} = \frac{\omega^2}{\gamma^2 \rho_m^2} \ (L-\ell)^2 \ \hat{p}_1^2 \quad (4.6.5)
$$
Figure 4.6.c  The effective thermal conductivity obtained by experiments \( \frac{Q_{ex} dT_m}{2A (dT_m/dx)^{-1}} \) versus that derived by the theory of the second-order heat flux for \( \xi = 2 \). Marks are the same as that in Fig. 4.6.b.
Figure 4.6.d  The effective thermal conductivity obtained by experiments \( \frac{Q_{ex}}{2A \cdot (dT_m/dx)^{-1}} \) versus that derived by the theory of the second-order heat flux \( k_{eff} \) for \( \xi = 3 \). Marks are the same as that in Fig.4.6.b.
where $\hat{\rho}_1$ is real pressure amplitudes at $x=L$ and is experimentally observable.

Thus we can numerically determine $k_{\text{eff}}$ from the measurements of the pressure amplitudes at the closed end, the mean pressure in the cavity and the frequency of the oscillations.

The effective heat conductivity is proportional to the square of the pressure amplitudes as indicated by eq. (4.6.3). As pressure amplitudes near the stability curves increase abruptly, it is dominant term compared with others in $k_{\text{eff}}$. Thus the results in Fig.4.6.b is explained by second-order heat flux qualitatively.

Quantitative comparison between theory and experiments are shown in Fig.4.6.c and Fig.4.6.d. The order of magnitude agreement between them is satisfactory. Thus majority of the evaporation of the helium under the oscillations is dominantly due to the second-order heat flux contributed from the part with a large temperature gradient. An excess in $Q_{\text{ex}}$ may be attributed to the higher order heat flux or a large temperature gradient compared with an averaged value assumed here.

The effective heat conductivity becomes an order of magnitude larger than that of gas without any disturbances even near the stability limit ($k_m=0.9\times10^{-1}\text{watt.m}^{-1}\text{k}^{-1}$ at 150K). In more inside region of the stability curve where the oscillation are so vigorous that it is difficult to maintain the temperature constant in the warm part, the pressure amplitudes becomes more than an order of magnitude larger than those achieved here. Thus it is expected from Fig.4.6.b that the
effective thermal conductivity may be the order of $10^2$ (watt m$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$), which is the same order as that of a metal (aluminium alloy at 150K). Such a high conductivity will enable us, in future, to apply for precooing a large cryogenic systems.
Appendix 1

Fundamental Equation Governing the Oscillatory Motion

The basic equations governing the motion of viscous and compressible fluid are, as well-known, the mass conservation, the axial and the radial momentum equations, the energy equation and the equation of state. In cylindrical co-ordinates, these are

\[
\frac{\partial p}{\partial t} + \vec{u} \cdot \nabla p + \nu \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial \chi^2} + \rho \left[ -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} (\nu + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \chi}) \right] = 0
\]

\[
P \left[ \frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial \chi} + \vec{u} \cdot \nabla \vec{u} + \nu \frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial \chi} \right] = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial \chi} + \frac{\mu}{3} \frac{\partial^2 \vec{u}}{\partial \chi^2} + \frac{4\nu}{3} \frac{\partial^2 \vec{u}}{\partial \chi^2} + \frac{\mu}{3} \frac{\partial^2 \vec{u}}{\partial \chi^2}
\]

\[
P C_f \left[ \frac{\partial T}{\partial \chi} + \vec{u} \cdot \nabla T + \nu \frac{\partial T}{\partial \chi} \right] = \frac{\partial p}{\partial t} + \vec{u} \cdot \nabla p + \nu \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial \chi^2} + \frac{\rho}{3} \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial \chi^2} + \frac{R}{\partial \chi^2} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial \chi^2} \right] + \frac{4\nu}{3} \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial \chi^2}
\]

\[
P = \rho \kappa \frac{\partial T}{\partial \chi}, \quad \kappa = \frac{R}{\lambda}
\]

If we accept the several assumption (long tube approximation) given in section 2.2, the above set of equations, as given by Rott(1969) for non-uniformed temperature distribution,

the continuity equation

\[
i\omega p + \rho \frac{\partial u}{\partial \chi} + u \frac{\partial p}{\partial \chi} + \rho \frac{\partial (\nu)}{\partial \chi} = 0 \quad \text{(A.1.1)}
\]

the radial momentum equation

\[
\frac{\partial p_i}{\partial \chi} = 0 \quad \text{(A.1.2)}
\]

the axial momentum equation

\[
i\omega u + \frac{1}{\rho \kappa} \frac{\partial p}{\partial \chi} = \nu \frac{\partial^2 (\nu)}{\partial \chi^2} \quad \text{(A.1.3)}
\]
the energy equation

\[ i \rho_c \omega (T_i - \frac{p_i}{p_c \rho_c}) + \rho_c c_p \frac{\partial T_i}{\partial x} = k \frac{3}{r^2} \left( \frac{d \rho_i}{dr} \right) \]  

(A.1.4)

the equation of state for ideal gas

\[ \frac{p_i}{p_m} = \frac{\rho_i}{\rho_m} + \frac{T_i}{T_m} \]  

(A.1.5)

where thermal conductivity \( k \) is equal to be \( \mu c_p / \rho \).

The boundary conditions are, at the tube wall,

\[ T_1 = 0 \]
\[ u = 0 \]  

(A.1.6)
\[ v = 0 \]

When the wall substance has large heat capacity or is good thermal conductor compared with a oscillating gas, the influence of the wall temperature fluctuation is negligible in most cases. The second and third boundary conditions are due to finite viscosity of gas and shows unmovable wall. In principle, the five equations (A.1.1)-(A.1.5) determines the five unknown variables \( T_1, p_1, \rho_1, u \) and \( v \). The solution of eq. (A.1.3) determining the distribution of radial velocity is given by, using eq. (A.1.6)

\[ u = \frac{i}{\rho_c \omega} \frac{3 p_i}{r^2 \chi} \left[ 1 - \frac{J_0(i \eta)}{J_0(i \eta_0)} \right] \]  

(A.1.7)

where \( J_0 \) is the Bessel function of the first kind in term of the complex variables

\[ \eta = r \sqrt{i \omega} \]  
and  
\[ \eta_0 = r \sqrt{i \omega} \]  

(A.1.8)

The variable \( \eta_0 \) is concerned with the complex viscous boundary
layer thickness given in the next Appendix 2. The first order temperature solution is derived from eq.(A.1.4), using eq. (A.1.7):
\[ p_m C_p T_i = \left[ p_i - \frac{\theta}{(\gamma - 1)(1-\sigma)} \frac{\partial^2 T_i}{\omega^2 \partial x^2} \right] \left[ 1 - \frac{J_0(i \tau \eta \omega)}{J_0(i \tau \eta \omega)} \right] + \int \frac{\partial R}{\omega^2 \partial x} \left( \frac{\partial R}{\partial x} \right) d\omega (A.1.9) \]

where the notation \( \theta = \frac{1}{p_m} \frac{dT}{dx} \) shows the steepness of the mean temperature. The value \( \tau \eta \) is associated with the thermal boundary layer thickness treated in next Appendix. From eqs. (A.1.7) and (A.1.9), the gradients of temperature fluctuation and the velocity at the wall are determined as
\[ \left( \frac{3 u}{3 r} \right)_{r=r_0} = \frac{R_0}{2} F \left( \frac{3 R}{3 x} \right) \]

which physically means the tangential force per unit area acting on the wall surface, and
\[ R \left( \frac{3 T_i}{3 x} \right)_{r=r_0} = \frac{R_0}{2} \left\{ -i \omega F^* R + \frac{i}{\omega} F - \frac{F^* - F}{1-\sigma} C_p \frac{3 R}{3 x} \right\} \]

which is the heat flux to the tube wall per unit area. In eqs.(A.1.10)and(A.1.11), \( F \) and \( F^* \) are determined by
\[ F = \langle \frac{J_0(i \eta \omega)}{J_0(i \eta \omega)} \rangle \]
\[ F^* = \langle \frac{J_0(i \tau \eta \omega)}{J_0(i \tau \eta \omega)} \rangle \]

which express effects of the viscosity and thermal conductivity of gas respectively, and vanish in the non-dissipative case. The next step consists of the reduction to one-dimensional differential equations of (A.1.1)-(A.1.5).

Let these equations be multiplied by the factor \( 2 \pi r dr \) and
integrated from zero to the tube inner radius $r_0$ with notation (2.1.3). The results are, using the boundary conditions

\[
i \omega \langle p_1 \rangle + p_m \frac{\partial \langle u \rangle}{\partial x} + \langle u \rangle \frac{\partial p_m}{\partial x} = 0 \quad (A.1.1')
\]

\[
i \omega p_m \langle u \rangle + \frac{dp_m}{dx} = \frac{2\mu}{r_0} \left( \frac{\partial \langle u \rangle}{\partial r} \right)_{r=r_0} \quad (A.1.3')
\]

\[
i \omega (p_m c_p \langle T_1 \rangle - p) + p_m c_p T_1 \langle u \rangle = \frac{2\gamma}{r_0} \left( \frac{\partial \langle T_1 \rangle}{\partial r} \right)_{r=r_0} \quad (A.1.4')
\]

\[
\frac{p}{p_m} = \frac{\langle p \rangle}{p_m} + \frac{\langle T_1 \rangle}{T_m} \quad (A.1.5')
\]

where the assumptions in section 2.2 again are employed here.

A combination of eq.(A.1.2') and(A.1.10) leads to

\[
i \omega p_m \langle u \rangle + (1 - F) \frac{dp_m}{dx} = 0 \quad (A.1.14)
\]

and also from eqs.(A.1.4') and(A.1.13) we obtain an equation

\[
i \omega \left\{ p_m c_p \langle T_1 \rangle - (1 - F^* p_1) \right\} + p_m c_p T_1 \theta \langle u \rangle = \frac{i(p_0-p)}{\omega (1-\sigma)} c_p T_1 \theta \frac{dp_m}{dx} \quad (A.1.15)
\]

From eqs.(A.1.15),(A.1.1') and(A.1.5') we have an equation of \( \frac{\partial \langle u \rangle}{\partial x} \) expressed by \( p_1 \) and \( \frac{dp_m}{dx} \):

\[
\frac{\partial \langle u \rangle}{\partial x} = -i \omega \left[ 1 + (2 - 1) F^* \right] p_1 + \frac{i(p_0-p)}{\omega (1-\sigma)} \frac{dp_m}{dx} \frac{dp_m}{dx} \quad (A.1.16)
\]

Our final purpose is to calculate the eq.(2.1.5). The time averaged product of the pressure fluctuation and the radial averaged gradient of \( u \) becomes, from eq.(A.1.16)

\[
R \frac{\partial \langle u \rangle}{\partial x} = \frac{\omega \langle R \rangle^2}{2 \sigma} \frac{\partial \langle u \rangle}{\partial x} - \frac{R}{2 \omega^2 M^2} \frac{dT_1}{dx} \frac{dp_m}{dx} \frac{dp_m}{dx} \quad (A.1.17)
\]

Similarly the product of \( \langle u \rangle \) and \( dp_m/dx \) is

\[
\langle u \rangle \frac{dp_m}{dx} = \frac{\omega p_m}{2} \left| \frac{\langle u \rangle}{1 - F} \right|^2 T_1 \quad (A.1.18)
\]
We employ that the time average of the product of complex quantities $A$ and $B$ is the real part of a half the product of a complex conjugate of $A$ and complex conjugate of $A$ and complex expression $B$.

By the way, we present here the differential equation for the acoustic pressure (the wave equation) in order to derive the stability limits of the oscillations;

$$\left[1+ \left( \frac{1}{r-1} \right) \right] \rho_{0} + \frac{d}{dx}\left[ \frac{\alpha^{2}}{\omega^{2}(r-1)} \frac{d\rho}{dx} \right] - \frac{\alpha^{2}}{\omega^{2} (1-\sigma)} \phi \frac{d\rho}{dx} = 0 \quad (A.1.19)$$

which is obtained by elimination of $\langle u \rangle$ from eqs. (A.1.15), (A.1.14) and (A.1.16). Such a generalization of the wave equation applying to the case of a variable wall temperature have been performed by Rott (1969).
Appendix 2

Viscous and Thermal Boundary Layer

In order to understand thermal and viscous boundary layer formed at the wall, oscillating flow in the plane instead of in the cylindrical pipe will be discussed below. When a solid body immersed in a viscous fluid oscillates, the flow thereby set up has a number of characteristic properties. As a simple but typical example, let's imagine that an incompressible fluid is bound by an infinite plane surface which executes a simple harmonic oscillation in its own plane, with frequency $\omega$. We take the solid surface as the $yz$-plane, and the fluid occupies the region $x > 0$; the $y$-axis is taken in the direction of the oscillations. The velocity of the oscillating surface is a function of time, of the form

$$u = u_0 \exp(-i\omega t) \quad (A.2.1)$$

The fluid velocity must satisfy the boundary condition $u_x = u_z = 0$ and $u_y = u$ for $x = 0$. It is further evident from symmetry that the velocity is everywhere in the $y$-direction. Therefore from the simplified Navie-Stokes equation for one dimensional incompressible viscous fluid, we obtain, for $v_y = v$

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = \nu \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} \quad (v = \frac{\nu}{\rho_m}) \quad (A.2.2)$$

which is equivalent to one dimensional heat conduction equation. If the solution of this equation is periodic in $x$ and $t$ of the form

$$v = u_0 \exp\{i(\hat{k}x - \omega t)\}$$
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We find $i\omega = \hat{\kappa}^2$, where $\hat{\kappa} = \frac{\omega}{V_0} = \frac{1}{\eta} \omega (1 + i)$, so that the velocity is

$$V = V_0 e^{-\frac{\omega x}{2\hat{\kappa}}} e^{i\left(\frac{\omega x}{\hat{\kappa}} - \omega t\right)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (A.2.3)

We have taken $\hat{\kappa}$ to have a positive imaginary part, since the velocity would increase without limit in the interior of the fluid, which is physically impossible. The solution obtained represents a transverse wave; namely the transverse wave can occur in a viscous fluid, but they are rapidly damped in the interior of the fluid, so that practically the character of them does not appear (over a distance of one wavelength the amplitude diminishes by a factor 540).

At the solid surface $x=0$, when the temperature is executed a harmonic oscillation $T = T_0 e^{-i\omega t}$, the distribution of them at $x$ is determined by the equation of one dimensional heat conduction corresponding to eq. (A.2.2):

$$\frac{\partial T_i}{\partial t} = \hat{\chi} \frac{\partial^2 T_i}{\partial x^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (A.2.4)

$\hat{\chi}$; thermal diffusivity

The solution of eq. (A.2.4) is

$$T_i = T_0 \exp \left\{ -x \frac{\omega}{2\hat{\chi}} \right\} \exp \left\{ i \left[ x \frac{\omega}{\hat{\kappa}^2} - \omega t \right] \right\}$$  \hspace{1cm} (A.2.5)

The most important property of both waves of velocity and temperature is that the amplitude decreases exponentially as the distance $x$ from the solid surface increases.

The distance $\xi$ over which the amplitude falls by a factor
e is called the viscous $\delta_v$ and the thermal boundary layer $\delta_T$ thickness; thus

$$\delta_v = \sqrt{\frac{kU}{\omega}} \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_T = \sqrt{\frac{2R}{\omega}} \quad \frac{\mathcal{R}}{\zeta_\mathcal{R} \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{R}}$$

(A.2.6)

The factor $\sqrt{2}$ is neglected in this paper. The ratio of the thermal boundary layer thickness to the viscous one is

$$\frac{\delta_T}{\delta_v} = \frac{\sqrt{R}}{\sqrt{\omega}} = \frac{1}{\sigma} > 1$$

(A.2.7)

$\sigma = 2/3$ for helium gas

where $\sigma = \frac{c_p h}{k}$ is the Prandtl number; $\sigma = 0$ for $R \to \infty$ or $k = 0$ and $\sigma \to \infty$ for $R = 0$. For real gases the Prandtl number $\sigma$ ranges usually from 1/3 to 1 depending on the kinds of gases, so that the thermal boundary layer is ordinarily thicker than the viscous boundary layer. This is important to excite the acoustic oscillation thermally, because when $\sigma$ is more than unity, the oscillation is not excited as understood in section 2.7.

In the oscillating flow (Poiseuille flow) in cylindrical pipe, as illustrated in several textbooks (Imai, 1977), when $\delta_r >> 1$, the radial distribution of the axial velocity must be parabola, and when $\delta_r \ll 1$, Poiseuille flow consists of two parts; the uniform flow in the "core" and the boundary layer covered over the wall.
Appendix III The Transport Coefficients of Gaseous Helium

I briefly introduce the temperature and pressure dependences of viscosity and thermal conductivity for helium gas referring to the experimental results up to present.

The phenomena of diffusion, viscosity and thermal conductivity are all physically similar in the sense that they involve the transport of some physical property through the gas; for example viscosity is the transport of momentum....

A detailed classical treatments of rigorous kinetic theory of dilute monoatomic gases and mixtures has been early investigated by Chapman and Enskog. According to rigorous theory for rigid sphere molecules, the shear viscosity coefficient and the thermal conductivity can be written as following expressions;

\[ \mu = 2.6693 \times 10^{-5} \frac{\sqrt{mT}}{d^2} \text{ g/cm·sec} \]
\[ k = 1.9891 \times 10^{-4} \frac{\sqrt{mT}}{d^2} \text{ cal/cm·deg·sec} \]

where \( m \) = molecular weight
\( d \) = molecular diameter in \( \text{Å} \)
\( T \) = temperature in \( \text{K} \)

In the first approximation the coefficients of viscosity and thermal conductivity are both independent of the pressure and increase with the square of the temperature. The above equation would be correctly suitable to those properties if the molecules actually were rigid sphere. As stated later, these
results give only the approximate pressure and temperature
dependence must include the effect of the interactions which
take place between real molecules.

At low temperature several authors experimentally
investigated the temperature effect of viscosity. A complete
discussion of experimental results obtained prior to 1942
was given by Keesom. These results can be represented
empirically by the straight-line relation in log-log co-ordinates,
according to Keesom,

\[ \mu = 5.023 T^{0.647} \text{ micro poise} \]

with a deviation of less than \( \pm 1\% \), so that we should employ
the value 0.647 as the best fitting value of \( \beta \) for analysing
our experimental data. This experimental value is slightly
different from that due to the classical theory as stated above.

The pressure effect was measured by Kestin and Pilarczlk
in the range 1-124 atm at room temperature. Their experimental
results indicates that the effect of the pressure is negligible.
This is accorded with the kinetic theory.
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SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

We have experimentally studied following properties of spontaneous oscillations of a gas columns generated in a tube with steep temperature gradients;

1. determination of the boundaries between unstable and stable regions, and the frequency of the oscillations.

2. transition from the fundamental to the second-harmonic and from the second to the third harmonic.

3. rough estimate of the effective thermal conductivity of the oscillating instability.

In experiments U-shaped tube was employed, which was separately immersed into two reservoirs, cold(temperature $T_c$) and warm($T_H$) ones.

The stability curves and frequency diagrams for helium gas were determined under established temperature distribution for several $\xi$ (=0.3, 0.5, 1, 2, 5, 10; the ratio of the length of warm part to that of cold) as a parameter. The experimental results were compared with the theory of Rott. The agreements between them are satisfactory, and the existences of two branches on the stability curves were confirmed. Two types of the boundary layer formed on the tube wall, viscous and thermal, play an important role to characterize the stability of the oscillations.

For small $\xi$ (=0.3), higher harmonics(second and third) were observed at one-hand branch. The transitions from the
fundamental to the second-harmonic occur near $\frac{T_H}{T_C}=15$, and that from the second to the third harmonic near $\frac{T_H}{T_C}=60$. These take place on account of a finite viscosity of gas, and are theoretically explained from the intersections between the stability curves.

A effective thermal conductivity of the oscillations was estimated from the evaporation rate of liquid helium under given boundary layer thickness. Under suitable conditions, the conductivity is more than three orders of magnitude larger than the normal one of gas. Such a high conductivity was able to be interpreted from the theory of the second-order heat flux.
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