Effect of vitrectomy for epiretinal membrane on visual function and vision-related quality of life 3

4 Fumiki Okamoto, MD, Yoshifumi Okamoto, MD, Takahiro Hiraoka, MD, Tetsuro 5 Oshika, MD.

6

7 Department of Ophthalmology, Institute of Clinical Medicine, University of 8 Tsukuba, Ibaraki, Japan

9

10 Short title: Vision-related QOL in ERM

11

12 The authors have no individual or family investments, stock or business

13 ownership exceeding 1% of a company's worth, consulting, retainers, patents,

14 or other commercial interests in the product or company described in the current

15 article. There is no involvement in the marketing of any product, drug,

instrument, or piece of equipment discussed in the manuscript that could causeor be perceived to be a conflict of interest.

18

19 Correspondence to Fumiki Okamoto, MD, Department of Ophthalmology,

20 Institute of Clinical Medicine, University of Tsukuba, 1-1-1 Tennoudai, Tsukuba,

21 Ibaraki, 305-8575 Japan. E-mail: Fumiki-o@md.tsukuba.ac.jp FAX:

22 +81-29-853-3148

23 Epiretinal membrane (ERM) is a non-vascular fibrocellular proliferation that 24 develops on the surface of the internal limiting membrane, resulting in retinal 25 wrinkling and distortion. The prevalence of ERM formation is 5.3% to 18.5% of 26 the population.¹⁻⁴ The first report of successful removal of ERM was published by Machemer in 1978.⁵ Since then, favorable visual outcome has been 27 achieved in the majority of cases postoperatively.⁶⁻¹⁰ Visual acuity improves in 28 29 many patients after successful removal of ERM, whereas it has been reported 30 that metamorphopsia can still be present even if ERM was successfully 31 removed with improvement of visual acuity.¹¹ Metamorphopsia occurring in eyes 32 with ERM is one of the most common conditions responsible for deterioration in 33 vision-related quality of life (VR-QOL); however, relatively little attention has 34 been paid to the relationship between metamorphopsia and VR-QOL in patients 35 with ERM.

36 In ophthalmology, traditional objective clinical outcome measures such as 37 visual acuity are increasingly being complemented with assessment of patients' 38 perception of their visual function and guality of life. The National Eye Institute 39 25-Item Visual Function Questionnaire (VFQ-25) is a VR-QOL instrument 40 designed to assess patients' perception of their visual function and QOL.¹² The 41 VFQ-25 has been used to track the outcome of many ocular diseases such as 42 cataract, glaucoma, age-related macular degeneration, diabetic retinopathy, 43 macular hole, rhegmatogenous retinal detachment, and keratoconus.¹³⁻²²

44 A recent study using VFQ-25 demonstrated that vitrectomy for ERM had a 45 beneficial effect on patients' subjective perception of visual function, and the 46 VFQ-25 responses correlated with visual acuity, but not with contrast sensitivity and metamorphopsia in patients with ERM.²³ This study used the Amsler charts, 47 48 which have been widely used to detect and evaluate metamorphopsia in patients with macular diseases.²⁴⁻²⁵ With the Amsler charges, however, it is 49 50 difficult to quantify the severity of metamorphopsia because the patients have to 51 self describe the degree of image distortion. An M-CHARTS (Inami Co., Tokyo, Japan) is an instrument which can easily and quantitatively evaluate the degree 52 53 of metamorphopsia associated with macular diseases, with the patients only to answer whether the line is distorted or not.²⁶⁻²⁷ The purpose of this study was to 54 55 evaluate VR-QOL in patients with ERM, and to investigate the relationship 56 between VR-QOL and visual function including metamorphopsia. 57

58 Methods

59 Patients

60 We included 28 eyes of 28 consecutive patients with ERM who were 61 undergoing pars plana vitrectomy at Tsukuba University Hospital between July 62 28, 2005, and February 20, 2007. There were 13 males and 15 females, and their 63 age averaged 66.7 ± 8.5 years (mean ± SD). Twenty-six age-matched subjects 64 served as normal controls (12 males and 14 females, age 64.1 ± 10.4 years). 65 This study was conducted in accordance with the tenets of the Declaration of 66 Helsinki, and the study protocol was approved by the institutional review 67 committees. Prior to inclusion in the study, the nature of the study was explained 68 to all patients, and their written informed consent was obtained. ERM was defined 69 as a translucent or semi-translucent membrane with macular thickening involving the center of the macula, with or without distortion and wrinkling of the inner retinal surface on biomicroscopy and optical coherence tomography (OCT). Exclusion criteria included patients with a previous history of vitreoretinal surgery and ophthalmic disorders, except for mild refractive errors and mild cataract. Eyes with secondary ERM due to retinal vascular disease, uveitis, trauma, and retinal breaks were also excluded from the study.

Data were collected for logMAR best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA), letter
 contrast sensitivity, and metamorphopsia preoperatively and at 3 months
 postoperatively. Letter contrast sensitivity was measured using the CSV-1000LV
 chart (Vector Vision, Columbus, Ohio).

80 The severity of metamorphopsia was evaluated by the M-Charts. M-Charts 81 consist of 19 dotted lines with dot intervals ranging from 0.2 degrees to 2.0 82 degrees of visual angle. If the straight line is substituted with a dotted line and the 83 dot interval is changed from fine to coarse, the distortion of the line decreases with the increasing dot interval, until the dotted line appears straight.^{26,27} At first, 84 85 vertical straight lines (0 degrees) were shown to the patient. If the patient 86 recognized the straight line as straight, the metamorphopsia score was 0. If the 87 patient recognized the straight line as irregular or curved, then subsequent pages 88 of M-Charts, in which the dot intervals of the dotted line change from fine to 89 coarse, were shown one after another. When the patient recognized a dotted line 90 as being straight, the visual angle that separated the dots was considered to 91 represent his/her metamorphopsia score for vertical line. Also, the M-Charts were 92 rotated 90 degrees and the same test is performed using horizontal lines. The 93 examinations were repeated three times for each subject to evaluated the 94 reproducibility of the test, and their mean was used for data analyses. The 95 examination was performed at 30 cm and the refraction of the eye was exactly 96 corrected for this distance.

97 Central macular thickness was measured using OCT (Stratus OCT 3000,
98 Carl Zeiss Ophthalmic Systems-Humphrey Division, Dublin, CA, USA)
99 preoperatively and at 3 months postoperatively. OCT was performed with the fast
100 macular thickness map mode, and central macular thickness was obtained from
101 the retinal map analysis function.

102

103 Surgical procedures

104 All surgeries were performed by a single surgeon (F.O.) under sub-Tenon 105 local anesthesia. The lens was removed by phacoemulsification and intraocular 106 lens implantation when required, following which vitrectomy was performed. The 107 surgical technique used was standard 20-gauge three-port pars plana vitrectomy. 108 With conventional contact lenses, posterior hyaloid separation and removal of the 109 posterior vitreous membrane were performed. The ERM was engaged and 110 removed from the macula with a pick and intraocular forceps. Peripheral retinal 111 examination with scleral depression was performed to search for a retinal tear or 112 dialysis. Air-fluid exchange was performed if iatrogenic retinal tear and/or 113 rhegmatogenous retinal detachment were identified intraoperatively.

114 115 **VFQ-25**

116

The patients answered VFQ-25 preoperatively and 3 months

117 postoperatively. Preoperative VFQ-25 was administered 1-2 days before surgery.

118 The research staff explained the questionnaire to the patients, verbally

administered instructions, and provided assistance when required. The

120 completed questionnaires were reviewed for missing data by the research staff.
 121 Prior to surgery, all the missing items were incorporated by the subjects

122 themselves.

123 The VFQ-25 comprises 25 items wherein patients are expected to assess 124 the level of difficulty of particular visual symptoms or day-to-day activities. Each 125 item is assigned to one of the 12 subscales, namely, general health, general 126 vision, ocular pain, near activities, distance activities, social functioning, mental 127 health, role difficulties, dependency, driving, color vision, and peripheral vision. 128 The subscales are scored on a 0- to 100-point scale, where 100 indicates the 129 highest possible function or the minimal subjective impairment. The VFQ-25 130 composite score is calculated as the unweighted average response to all items, excluding the questions on general health.¹² The VFQ-25 used in this study was a 131 132 Japanese version, with modifications to suit the Japanese culture and lifestyle. 133 The modified NEI VFQ-25 questionnaire has been assessed for reliability and 134 validity, and it has been proven to accurately measure VR-QOL in Japanese individuals.13 135

136

137 Statistical analysis

The mean scores and standard deviations were calculated for each VFQ-25 138 139 subscale as well as composite score, in patients with ERM and normal controls. 140 The Mann-Whitney U test was performed to compare each subscale score and 141 composite score between ERM patients and normal controls. The Wilcoxon 142 signed-ranks test was used to compare preoperative and postoperative results. 143 The relationship between the questionnaire scores and visual acuity, contrast 144 sensitivity, metamorphopsia, central macular thickness, and age were examined 145 by the Spearman rank correlation test. All tests of association were considered 146 statistically significant if P < 0.05. The analyses were carried out using StatView 147 (version 5.0, SAS Inc., Carv, NC, USA). 148

149 Results

150 Among the 28 patients with ERM, 8 were pseudophakic and 20 were phakic. 151 Eighteen of 20 patients who had slight or moderate lens opacity underwent 152 combined cataract surgery and vitrectomy to avoid cataract formation following 153 vitrectomy. No significant intraoperative and postoperative complications were 154 observed, such as retinal detachment, choroidal detachment, subretinal 155 hemorrhage, cataract formation, and infection. Air-fluid exchange was performed 156 in 7 patients because of intraoperative identification of retinal tears. In 2 patients, 157 intraocular pressure elevated above than 25 mmHg, and antihypertensive agent 158 was administered. These patients responded to treatment, and antihypertensive 159 agent was discontinued within 3 days.

160 Vitrectomy significantly improved logMAR BCVA from 0.495 ± 0.293 161 preoperatively to 0.245 ± 0.294 postoperatively (*P* < 0.0001). Sixteen patients 162 (57.1%) gained 2 or more early treatment diabetic retinopathy study (ETDRS)

163 lines. No change in logMAR BCVA was observed in 11 eyes (39.3%), and vision

164 decreased by 1 or more lines in 1 patient (3.6%). The postoperative reduction in 165 visual acuity was attributed to retinal atrophy of the macula. Twenty-seven 166 (96.4%) patients underwent ERM surgery in their worse-seeing eye. LogMAR 167 BCVA in the fellow eye was 0.062 ± 0.191 . The letter contrast sensitivity 168 significantly increased from 14.6 ± 5.3 preoperatively to 18.6 ± 5.5 169 postoperatively (P < 0.0001). The severity of metamorphopsia also significantly 170 improved from 0.79 \pm 0.47 preoperatively to 0.33 \pm 0.44 postoperatively (P = 171 0.0005). Central macular thickness also significantly improved from 440 ± 106 172 μ m to 315 ± 89 μ m (*P* < 0.0001).

173 The results of VFQ-25 questionnaire pre- and postoperatively are 174 summarized in Table 1. The preoperative VFQ-25 composite score was 175 significantly lower in the ERM patients than in the normal controls (P < 0.0001). 176 The preoperative subscale scores were significantly lower in the ERM patients 177 than in the normal controls in all subscales, except for general health and ocular 178 pain. Membrane peeling surgery significantly improved VFQ-25 composite score 179 (P < 0.0001) and the scores in 10 out of 12 subscales, except for general health 180 and peripheral vision. However, postoperative VFQ-25 composite score still 181 remained significantly lower in the ERM patients than in the normal controls (P < 182 0.0001).

The preoperative VFQ-25 composite score exhibited significant correlation with the severity of preoperative metamorphopsia (r = -0.411, P < 0.05, Fig 1). No correlation was observed between preoperative VFQ-25 composite score and preoperative logMAR BCVA (r = 0.018, P = 0.928), letter contrast sensitivity (r = 0.092, P = 0.659), central macular thickness (r = 0.162, P = 0.415), and age (r = -0.010, P = 0.960).

The postoperative VFQ-25 composite score exhibited significant correlation with the severity of postoperative metamorphopsia (r = -0.393, P < 0.05, Fig 2) and postoperative logMAR BCVA (r = -0.373, P < 0.05), but not with letter contrast sensitivity (r = 0.170, P = 0.411). The correlation between the postoperative VFQ-25 composite score and central macular thickness was borderline significance (r = -0.372, P = 0.051).

195 Changes in VFQ-25 composite score significantly correlated with changes 196 in the severity of metamorphopsia (r = -0.411, P < 0.05, Fig 3). There was no 197 significant correlation between changes in VFQ-25 composite score and changes 198 in logMAR BCVA (r = -0.076, P = 0.705), letter contrast sensitivity (r = 0.267, P =199 0.189), and central macular thickness (r = 0.05, P = 0.804).

200 LogMAR BCVA in the fellow eye did not show any significant relationship 201 with preoperative VFQ-25 composite score (r = 0.115, p = 0.563), postoperative 202 VFQ-25 composite score (r = 0.156, p = 0.432), and changes in VFQ-25 203 composite score (r = -0.178, p = 0.369).

204 205 **Discussion**

In the present study, VFQ-25 composite score and all subscale scores,
 except for general health and ocular pain, were significantly deteriorated in
 patients with ERM compared to the normal controls. Vitrectomy to remove ERM
 significantly improved not only visual acuity, contrast sensitivity, and central
 macular thickness, but also VFQ-25 scores. Previous studies have reported that

the mean VFQ-25 composite scores after vitreoretinal surgery in patients with
macular hole, rhegmatogenous retinal detachment, proliferative diabetic
retinopathy, and age-related macular degeneration were 82.4,¹⁸ 80.3,²¹ 68.5,²⁰
and 54.4,¹⁷ respectively. The mean VFQ-25 composite score after ERM surgery
in this study (77.9) was similar to those after macular hole and rhegmatogenous
retinal detachment surgery and higher than those after vitrectomy for proliferative
diabetic retinopathy and age-related macular degeneration.

ERM surgery significantly improved the mean VFQ-25 composite score
from 66.2 preoperatively to 77.9 postoperatively. This improvement of VR-QOL in
patients with ERM was higher than these in macular hole and age-related
macular degeneration. ¹⁷⁻¹⁸ Gupta OP et al. demonstrated that ERM surgery was
a very cost-effective procedure using quality-adjusted-life-years (QALYs)
methods. ²⁸ The cost-effectiveness ratio for ERM surgery was higher than
macular hole surgery. Thus, ERM surgery improved VR-QOL and was
cost-effective intervention compared other vitreoretinal surgeries.

As shown in the results, even after successful membrane peeling surgery in patients with ERM, VR-QOL remained at a lower level than the normal controls. This finding is consistent with the results of previous case-control studies on VR-QOL for retinal disorders such as rhegmatogenous retinal detachment and proliferative diabetic retinopathy.^{20,21}

231 In our study, VR-QOL was significantly associated with the severity of 232 metamorphopsia before and after surgery. In addition, changes in VR-QOL were 233 associated with changes in metamorphopsia. This observation is not consistent 234 with the results of previous studies in patients with ERM and macular hole.^{23,24} 235 Ghazi-Nouri et al. showed that VFQ-25 responses correlated with visual acuity 236 but not with contrast sensitivity and metamorphopsia in patients with ERM.²³ 237 Tranos et al. investigated VR-QOL in patients with macular hole and observed 238 that postoperative VFQ-25 responses significantly correlated with postoperative 239 metamorphopsia, whereas preoperative and change in VFQ-25 composite score 240 by surgery were not associated with the degree of metamorphopsia.²⁴ Such 241 discrepancy between our and previous studies may be attributable to the different 242 methods of evaluating metamorphopsia. In previous studies, the severity of 243 metamorphopsia was graded using the Amsler charts, which have been widely used to detect metamorphopsia in macular diseases.^{24,25} As the methods of 244 245 evaluating metamorphopsia are numbered distorted squares on the Amsler chart, 246 the result indicates mainly extent of central metamorphopsia. On the other hand, the M-Charts have been used to quantify severity of metamorphopsia in patients with ERM and macular hole. ^{26,27,29} The M-Charts can evaluate frequency 247 248 249 components of distortion in metamorphopsia. The fine high frequency component 250 of metamorphopsia which is usually observed in mild ERM is detect by fine dotted 251 lines, however, it is not detected by coarse dotted lines. In severe ERM, the large 252 amplitude and low frequency components of metamorphopsia increase, so it is 253 easy to detect by all kinds of lines, including coarse dotted lines. Thus, VR-QOL 254 seems to be associated with the frequency components of distortion in 255 metamorphopsia, but not with extent of central metamorphopsia.

The preoperative VFQ-25 composite score significantly correlated with the severity of preoperative metamorphopsia, but not with preoperative visual acuity. 258 In addition, changes in VFQ-25 composite score correlated with changes in 259 metamorphopsia, but not with changes in visual acuity. Many studies have shown 260 that visual acuity correlates with VR-QOL in patients with vitreoretinal disorders 261 such as ERM, macular hole, proliferative diabetic retinopathy, age-related macular degeneration, and central retinal vein occlusion.^{18,20,23,30-32} The 262 263 preoperative visual acuities in this study were relatively good with a mean 264 preoperative logMAR BCVA of 0.495 and a mean postoperative logMAR BCVA 265 of 0.295. These good preoperative visual acuities may also explain why VFQ-25 266 did not correlate with visual acuity since patients had not lost that much vision 267 before they had surgery. Wong JG et al. interviewed 91 patients with ERM to assess the influence of surgery on functional vision.³³ They reported that 268 269 frequency of patients with ERM complained of moderate to severe distortion 270 decreased from 80% to 30% by surgery. On the other hand, the frequency of 271 patients complained of visual disturbance decreased from 55% to 30% by 272 surgery. Bouwens MD et al. guantitatively evaluated the severity of 273 metamorphopsia in patients undergoing ERM using Sine Amsler Chart and observed that metamorphopsia improved in 82% patients, while visual acuity 274 improved only in 48% by surgery.¹¹ Judging from these previous and our findings, 275 276 it seems that ERM peeling surgery is highly effective in improving 277 metamorphopsia, but significant improvement of visual acuity may not be 278 expected in many cases. In practice, surgical indication and outcome measures are judged mainly based on visual acuity parameters.⁶⁻¹⁰ Postoperatively, 279 280 however, many patients complain of significant symptoms other than blurred 281 vision, especially distortion, which is not adequately reflected by visual acuity 282 assessment. In light of VR-QOL, we must pay more attention to the degree of and 283 changes in metamorphopsia in patients with ERM.

284 Our study has several limitations. First, there may be some placebo effect 285 for taking the VFQ-25 before and after surgery. The patients obviously know they 286 had surgery and may have answered the VFQ-25 questions more positively 287 following surgery due to an expectation that they would benefit from the surgery. 288 This cannot be avoided by the study design, but could account for some of the 289 improvements in the VFQ-25. Second, the sample size in our study was rather 290 small, and that may have influenced the relationship between visual function and 291 VFQ-25 scores. Third, postoperative follow-up was short. We evaluated the 292 patients at 3 months postoperatively. A long-term follow-up study in vitrectomy for 293 ERM reported that visual improvement was archived in 43% of eyes at 6 to 12 months, in 54% at 1 to 2 years, and 60% at 2 to 3 years.¹⁰ Future studies with a 294 295 larger sample size and longer follow-up period will further facilitate our 296 understanding of the relationship between VR-QOL and visual function in patients 297 undergoing surgery for ERM.

298 299

This study was supported by the Department of Ophthalmology, Institute of Clinical Medicine, University of Tsukuba, Ibaraki, Japan. The authors indicate no financial conflict of interest. Involved in design of study (F.O., T.H.); conduct of study (F.O., T.O.); data collection (F.O., Y.O.); management, analysis, and interpretation of the data (F.O., Y.O.); preparation of the manuscript (F.O.); review of the manuscript (T.O.); and approval of the manuscript (F.O., Y.O., T.H.,

305 306 307 T.O.). This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board at the Tsukuba

University Hospital and was in adherence to the tenets of the Declaration of

308 Helsinki.

309

- 310 References
- 1. Fraser-Bell S, Ying-Lai M, Klein R, Varma R. Prevalence and associations of
- epiretinal membranes in latinos: the Los Angeles Latino Eye Study. InvestOphthalmol Vis Sci. 2004;45:1732-6.
- 2. Fraser-Bell S, Guzowski M, Rochtchina E, Wang JJ, Mitchell P. Five-year
- 315 cumulative incidence and progression of epiretinal membranes: the Blue
- 316 Mountains Eye Study. Ophthalmology. 2003;110:34-40.
- 317 3. Klein R, Klein BE, Wang Q, Moss SE. The epidemiology of epiretinal318 membranes.
- 319 Trans Am Ophthalmol Soc. 1994;92:403-25.
- 4. Pearlstone AD. The incidence of idiopathic preretinal macular gliosis. AnnOphthalmol 1985;17:378-80.
- 322 5. Machemer. [The surgical removal of epiretinal macular membranes (macular
- 323 puckers) (author's transl)] Klin Monatsbl Augenheilkd. 1978;173:36-42.
- 6. Michels RG. Vitreous surgery for macular pucker. Am J Ophthalmol.
- 325 1981;92:628-39.
- 326 7. Margherio RR, Cox MS Jr, Trese MT, Murphy PL, Johnson J, Minor LA.
- 327 Removal of epimacular membranes. Ophthalmology. 1985;92:1075-83.
- 328 8. Rice TA, De Bustros S, Michels RG, Thompson JT, Debanne SM, Rowland DY.
- Prognostic factors in vitrectomy for epiretinal membranes of the macula.
- 330 Ophthalmology. 1986;93:602-10.
- 9. Grewing R, Mester U. Results of surgery for epiretinal membranes and their
 recurrences. Br J Ophthalmol. 1996;80:323-6.
- 333 10. Pesin SR, Olk RJ, Grand MG, et al. Vitrectomy for premacular fibroplasia.
- Prognostic factors, long-term follow-up, and time course of visual improvement.Ophthalmology. 1991;98:1109-14.
- 11. Bouwens MD, Meurs JC. Sine Amsler Charts: a new method for the follow-up
 of metamorphopsia in patients undergoing macular pucker surgery. Graefes Arch
 Clin Exp Ophthalmol. 2003;241:89-93.
- 339
 12. Mangione CM, Lee PP, Gutierrez PR, Spitzer K, Berry S, Hays RD. National
 340 Eye Institute Visual Function Questionnaire Field Test Investigators.
- 341 Development of the 25-item National Eye Institute Visual Function Questionnaire.
 342 Arch Ophthalmol 2001;119:1050-8.
- 343 13. Suzukamo Y, Oshika T, Yuzawa M, et al. Psychometric properties of the
- 344 25-item National Eye Institute Visual Function Questionnaire (NEI VFQ-25),
- 345 Japanese version. Health Qual Life Outcomes 2005;3:65.
- 346 14. Cusick M, SanGiovanni JP, Chew EY, et al. Central visual function and the
- NEI VFQ-25 near and distance activities subscale scores in people with type 1and 2 diabetes. Am J Ophthalmol 2005;139:1042-50.
- 349 15. Berdeaux GH, Nordmann JP, Colin E, Arnould B. Vision-related quality of life 350 in patients suffering from age-related macular degeneration. Am J Ophthalmol
- 351 2005;139:271-9.
- 352 16. Cahill MT, Banks AD, Stinnett SS, Toth CA. Vision-related quality of life in
- patients with bilateral severe age-related macular degeneration. Ophthalmology2005;112:152-8.
- 17. Cahill MT, Stinnett SS, Banks AD, Freedman SF, Toth CA. Quality of life after
- 356 macular translocation with 360 degrees peripheral retinectomy for age-related

- 357 macular degeneration. Ophthalmology 2005;112:144-51.
- 358 18. Tranos PG, Ghazi-Nouri SM, Rubin GS, Adams ZC, Charteris DG. Visual
- function and subjective perception of visual ability after macular hole surgery. AmJ Ophthalmol 2004;138:995-1002.
- 361 19. Kymes SM, Walline JJ, Zadnik K, Gordon MO; Collaborative Longitudinal
- 362 Evaluation of Keratoconus Study Group. Quality of life in keratoconus. Am J
- 363 Ophthalmol 2004;138:527-35.
- 364 20. Okamoto F, Okamoto Y, Fukuda S, Hiraoka T, Oshika T. Vision-related quality 365 of life and visual function following vitrectomy for proliferative diabetic retinopathy.
- 366 Am J Ophthalmol. 2008;145:1031-1036.
- 367 21. Okamoto F, Okamoto Y, Hiraoka T, Oshika T. Vision-related quality of life and
- 368 visual function after retinal detachment surgery. Am J Ophthalmol.
- 369 2008;146:85-90.
- 22. Hyman LG, Komaroff E, Heijl A, Bengtsson B, Leske MC. Early Manifest Trial
- Group. Treatment and vision-related quality of life in the early manifest glaucomatrial. Ophthalmology 2005;112:1505-13.
- 23. Ghazi-Nouri SM, Tranos PG, Rubin GS, Adams ZC, Charteris DG. Visual
- function and quality of life following vitrectomy and epiretinal membrane peelsurgery. Br J Ophthalmol 2006;90:559-62.
- 376 24. Amsler M. Early diagnosis and early therapy of maclar disease. Klin
- 377 Monatsblatter Augenheilkd Augenarztl Fortbild. 1953;122:385-388.
- 378 25. Amsler M. Earliest symptoms of disease of macular. Br J Opthalmol379 1953;37:521–537
- 380 26. Matsumoto C, Arimura E, Okuyama S, Takada S, Hashimoto S, Shimomura Y.
- Quantification of metamorphopsia in patients with epiretinal membranes. Invest
 Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2003;44:4012–6.
- 383 27. Arimura E, Matsumoto C, Okuyama S, Takada S, Hashimoto S, Shimomura
- Y. Retinal contraction and metamorphopsia scores in eyes with idiopathic
 epiretinal membrane. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2005;46:2961–6.
- 386 28. Gupta OP, Brown GC, Brown MM. A value-based medicine cost-utility
 387 analysis of idiopathic epiretinal membrane surgery. Am J Ophthalmol
 388 2008;145:923-928.
- 29. Arimura E, Matsumoto C, Okuyama S, Takada S, Hashimoto S, Shimomura
- **390** Y. Quantification of metamorphopsia in a macular hole patient using
- **391** M-CHARTS. Acta Ophthalmol Scand 2007;85:55-59.
- 392 30. Deramo VA, Cox TA, Syed AB, Lee PP, Fekrat S. Vision-related quality of life
- in people with central retinal vein occlusion using the 25-item National Eye
- Institute Visual Function Questionnaire. Arch Ophthalmol 2003;121:1297-302.
- 395 31. Maguire M. Complications of Age-Related Macular Degeneration Prevention
- 396 Trial Research Group. Baseline characteristics, the 25-Item National Eye Institute
- 397 Visual Functioning Questionnaire, and their associations in the Complications of
- Age-Related Macular Degeneration Prevention Trial (CAPT). Ophthalmology2004;111:1307-16.
- 400 32. Miskala PH, Hawkins BS, Mangione CM, et al, the Submacular Surgery Trials
- 401 Research Group. Responsiveness of the National Eye Institute Visual Function
- 402 Questionnaire to changes in visual acuity: findings in patients with subfoveal
- 403 choroidal neovascularization--SST Report No. 1. Arch Ophthalmol

- 404 2003;121:531-9.
- 405
- 33. Wong JG, Sachder N, Beaumont PE, Chang AA. Visual outcomes following vitrectomy and peeling of epiretinal membrane. Clin Experiment Ophthalmol 406
- 407 2005;33:373-378.

408

409 Figure legends

- 410 Figure 1. Preoperative National Eye Institute 25-Item Visual Function
- 411 Questionnaire (VFQ-25) composite score vs. severity of preoperative
- 412 metamorphopsia in patients with epiretinal membrane.
- 413
- 414 Figure 2. Postoperative National Eye Institute 25-Item Visual Function
- 415 Questionnaire (VFQ-25) composite score vs. severity of postoperative
- 416 metamorphopsia in patients with epiretinal membrane.
- 417
- 418 Figure 3. Changes in National Eye Institute 25-Item Visual Function
- 419 Questionnaire (VFQ-25) composite score vs. changes in severity of
- 420 metamorphopsia after epiretinal membrane peeling surgery.
- 421