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We compute the inclusive spectrum of produced particles in $e^+e^-$ annihilation in confining gauge theories that have a gravity dual and show that the momentum distribution exhibits thermal behavior.
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Introduction.—One of the puzzling features of hadron production in collider experiments is that the inclusive rates are well described by assuming the “Boltzmann” distribution at low momenta

$$\frac{dN_i}{dp} \sim \exp\left(-\frac{p^2 + m_i^2}{T}\right), \quad (1)$$

where the subscript $i$ labels different hadron species. The parameter $T$, often referred to as the “temperature,” is more or less independent of the collision energy and is typically of the order of the confinement scale $\simeq 150$ MeV. The model (1) gives a good fit of the identified particle yields in $e^+e^-$ annihilation [1–3], hadron collision [4], and heavy-ion collision experiments [5,6]. Despite these phenomenological successes, however, the origin of the exponential behavior is not understood. One may invoke Hagedorn’s picture of particle production [7] in which an exponential behavior is not understood. One may invoke Hagedorn’s picture of particle production [7] in which an exponential behavior is not understood. One may invoke Hagedorn’s picture of particle production [7] where the exponent is the “temperature,” is more or less independent of the collision energy and is typically of the order of the confinement scale $\simeq 150$ MeV. The model (1) gives a good fit of the identified particle yields in $e^+e^-$ annihilation [1–3], hadron collision [4], and heavy-ion collision experiments [5,6]. Despite these phenomenological successes, however, the origin of the exponential behavior is not understood. One may invoke Hagedorn’s picture of particle production [7] in which an exponential behavior is not understood.

In this Letter we derive the exponential distribution in $e^+e^-$ annihilation from gauge-string duality, thereby suggesting that the apparent thermal behavior is a generic feature of the strong coupling dynamics of gauge theories in the nonperturbative regime. [See [8] for a quite different approach to particle production using gauge-string duality. See also [9–12] for earlier speculations concerning the behavior (1).] $e^+e^-$ annihilation in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills (SYM) theory and its variants has been recently analyzed in the framework of the AdS/CFT correspondence [13]. Here we briefly summarize the key

$$\sigma_n = \frac{e^4}{2Q^2} \left(k\mu k' + k\nu k' - g_{\mu\nu}k \cdot k'\right) \prod_{i=1}^n \int \frac{d^3p_i}{(2\pi)^3} \langle 0| j^{+\mu}(0)|p_1, \ldots, p_n\rangle \langle p_1, \ldots, p_n| j^{+\nu}(0)|0\rangle (2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)}(q - \sum_i p_i),$$

where $k$ and $k'$ are electron and positron momenta, respectively, and $j_{\mu\nu}$ is a component of the $\mathcal{R}$-current operator which couples to the external virtual photon. We take $n$ to be large, of the order of the average multiplicity $n \sim Q/\Lambda \gg 1$. The model assumes that the hadronic matrix element can be written as

$$\langle 0| j^{+\mu}(0)|p_1, \ldots, p_n\rangle \langle p_1, \ldots, p_n| j^{+\nu}(0)|0\rangle \rightarrow a_n(q^\mu q^\nu - g_{\mu\nu}q^2)e^{-\beta Q}, \quad (5)$$

where $\beta = 1/T$. Note that the matrix element itself gives the “thermal” factor, which distinguishes this model from other features at large ’t Hooft coupling $\lambda \gg 1$. (i) Unlike in QCD and in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory at weak coupling, there are no jets in the final state [14–16]. The distribution of energy is spherical [14]. (ii) The average multiplicity scales almost linearly with the virtual photon energy $Q$ [15,16]: $n(Q) \propto (Q/\Lambda)^{1-3/2\sqrt{\lambda}}$ where $\Lambda$ is an infrared cutoff. (iii) The inclusive spectrum is peaked at the kinematical lower limit. More precisely, it has the form [16]

$$\frac{dN}{dx} = \frac{Q^2}{\Lambda^2} F\left(\frac{Q}{\Lambda} x\right), \quad (2)$$

where $x = 2E/Q$ is the Feynman variable. Since the distribution is spherical, this is equivalent to

$$2E \frac{dN}{dp} = \frac{Q}{\pi \rho^2} F\left(\frac{Q}{\Lambda} x\right). \quad (3)$$

The function $F(y)$ remained unknown except for the property that it decays faster than the power law when $y \gg 1$. In [16], it was conjectured that

$$F\left(\frac{Q}{\Lambda} x\right) \propto \exp\left[-c \left(\frac{Q}{\Lambda} x\right)^a\right] = \exp\left[-c \left(\frac{2E}{\Lambda}\right)^a\right], \quad (4)$$

where $c$ is a number of order unity. We shall show that a calculation based on gauge-string duality indeed yields the exponential form with $a = 1$ in accordance with the phenomenological distribution (1) with $1/T = 2c/\Lambda$.

Statistical model—As a preliminary, let us first point out the possible relationship between particle production in strongly coupled gauge theories and a statistical model of $e^+e^-$ annihilation proposed by Bjorken and Brodsky long ago [17]. Suppose, as is the case in QCD, that the multiplicity is dominated by the lightest particles (“pions”) with mass $m$. The cross section to produce exactly $n$ pions is given by

$$\sigma_n = \frac{e^4}{2Q^2} \left(k\mu k' + k\nu k' - g_{\mu\nu}k \cdot k'\right) \prod_{i=1}^n \int \frac{d^3p_i}{(2\pi)^3} \langle 0| j^{+\mu}(0)|p_1, \ldots, p_n\rangle \langle p_1, \ldots, p_n| j^{+\nu}(0)|0\rangle (2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)}(q - \sum_i p_i),$$

where $k$ and $k'$ are electron and positron momenta, respectively, and $j_{\mu\nu}$ is a component of the $\mathcal{R}$-current operator which couples to the external virtual photon. We take $n$ to be large, of the order of the average multiplicity $n \sim Q/\Lambda \gg 1$. The model assumes that the hadronic matrix element can be written as

$$\langle 0| j^{+\mu}(0)|p_1, \ldots, p_n\rangle \langle p_1, \ldots, p_n| j^{+\nu}(0)|0\rangle \rightarrow a_n(q^\mu q^\nu - g_{\mu\nu}q^2)e^{-\beta Q}, \quad (5)$$

where $\beta = 1/T$. Note that the matrix element itself gives the “thermal” factor, which distinguishes this model from other

features at large ’t Hooft coupling $\lambda \gg 1$. (i) Unlike in QCD and in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory at weak coupling, there are no jets in the final state [14–16]. The distribution of energy is spherical [14]. (ii) The average multiplicity scales almost linearly with the virtual photon energy $Q$ [15,16]: $n(Q) \propto (Q/\Lambda)^{1-3/2\sqrt{\lambda}}$ where $\Lambda$ is an infrared cutoff. (iii) The inclusive spectrum is peaked at the kinematical lower limit. More precisely, it has the form [16]
statistical models where the factor comes from the total phase space. (See also [18].) Because of the nonrenormalization theorem for the $R$-current correlator [19], the total cross section is given by the one-loop result for all values of the coupling

$$\sigma_{\text{tot}} = \frac{e^4 N_c^2}{32 \pi Q^2}. \quad (6)$$

We then get the inclusive redistribution

$$\frac{2E}{\sigma_{\text{tot}}} \frac{d\sigma}{d^3p} = \sum_n \frac{16 \pi a_n n e^{-\beta E} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \left( \int \frac{d^3 p_i}{2E_i(2\pi)^3} e^{-\beta E_i} \right)}{N_c^2} \times 2 \pi \delta^{(4)} \left( q - \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \right). \quad (7)$$

After an integration over $Q$ within an interval $\Delta Q \ll Q$, (7) takes the form

$$\Delta Q \frac{2E}{d^3p} \frac{dN}{d^3p} = \sum_n \frac{32 \pi a_n n e^{-\beta E}}{N_c^2} \times \int \frac{d^3 x \ e^{ip x}}{(2\pi)^3} \left( \int \frac{d^3 p'}{2E'(2\pi)^3} e^{-\beta E'} \right)^{n-1} \approx \frac{4\pi a_n}{\pi N_c^2} n e^{-\beta \left( \frac{1}{4\pi^2 \beta^2} \right)^{n-1}} \left( \frac{\pi \beta^2}{n-1} \right)^{3/2} \times e^{-\left( \frac{p^2 \beta^2}{4(n-1)} \right)},$$

where we approximated $E' = p'$. The last factor may be omitted and the summation simply gives a factor $\Delta n \sim \Delta Q/\Lambda$

$$2E \frac{dN}{d^3p} \sim \frac{4a_n}{\pi N_c^2} n e^{-\beta E} \left( \frac{1}{4\pi^2 \beta^2} \right)^{n-1} \left( \frac{\pi \beta^2}{n} \right)^{3/2}.$$ \quad (8)

The coefficients $\{a_n\}$ can be determined by matching with the total cross section (6) [17]

$$a_n \approx n^{3/2} / \sqrt{\pi N_c^2 (2 \pi \beta)^2} \quad (9)$$

for $n \gg 1$. Inserting this into (8), we obtain

$$2E \frac{dN}{d^3p} \sim \frac{n \beta}{\pi \Lambda} e^{-\beta E} \sim \frac{Q \beta}{\pi \Lambda^2} e^{-\beta E}. \quad (10)$$

This agrees with (3) and (4) provided $a = 1$ and

$$F(y) \propto \sqrt{y^2 - \frac{4m^2}{\Lambda^2}} e^{-\gamma y}. \quad (11)$$

The proportionality constant is determined from the energy conservation

$$2 = \int_{2m/Q}^1 x \frac{dN}{dx} dx. \quad (12)$$

The result is

$$\frac{dN}{dx} = \frac{c}{2K_2} \frac{Q^3}{m^2 \Lambda} \sqrt{x^2 - \left( \frac{2m}{Q} \right)^2} e^{-Q \sqrt{x/L}}, \quad (13)$$

and for the average multiplicity,

$$\langle n \rangle = \frac{Q}{m} \frac{K_1(2mc/L)}{K_2(2mc/L)}. \quad (14)$$

It is straightforward to include heavier hadrons with mass $m^2 > m$ as a small contamination in the final state. Proceeding as before, we find

$$2E \frac{dN}{d^3p} = \frac{4a_n}{\pi N_c^2} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} C_i e^{-\beta E} \times \int \frac{d^3 x \ e^{ip x}}{(2\pi)^3} \left( \int \frac{d^3 p'}{(2\pi)^3} e^{-\beta E'} \right)^i \times \left( \int \frac{d^3 p'}{(2\pi)^3} e^{-\beta E'} \right)^{n-1}$$

$$= \frac{4a_n}{\pi N_c^2} n e^{-\beta E} \int \frac{d^3 x \ e^{ip x}}{(2\pi)^3} \left( \int \frac{d^3 p'}{(2\pi)^3} e^{-\beta E'} \right)^{n-1}$$

$$+ \int \frac{d^3 p'}{(2\pi)^3} e^{-\beta E'} \int \frac{d^3 x \ e^{ip x}}{(2\pi)^3} \left( \int \frac{d^3 p'}{(2\pi)^3} e^{-\beta E'} \right)^{n-1} \approx e^{-\beta (E - E)} 2E \frac{dN}{d^3p} \quad (15)$$

This immediately leads to the characteristic ratio

$$\frac{\langle n^+ \rangle}{\langle n \rangle} = \frac{m^* K_1(\beta m^*)}{m K_1(\beta m)} \sim e^{-\beta (m^* - m)} \quad (16)$$

which resembles the observed scaling [1–6]. Therefore, finding the exponential factor $e^{-\beta E}$ in (5) establishes a connection between strongly coupled $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory and a statistical model of particle production in $e^+ e^-$ annihilation.

Gauge-string duality—Let us now turn to the matrix element

$$\langle 0 | e \cdot j(0) | p_1, \ldots, p_n \rangle. \quad (17)$$

where $e_\mu$ is an arbitrary polarization vector which we take to be transverse ($e \cdot q = 0$) for convenience. The prescription for evaluating amplitudes like (17) has been spelled out in [20,21]. In the limit $\lambda \to \infty$, this can be viewed as the scattering of $n + 1$ bulk fields in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ in the supergravity approximation. We shall use the Poincaré coordinates

$$dx^2 = R^2 dt^2 + dz^2 + dx^2 + dz^2 + d\Omega_5^2. \quad (18)$$

The Minkowski boundary is at $z = 0$ and the space is cut off at $z = 1/\Lambda$. The current $j^\mu$ is dual to a five-dimensional Kaluza-Klein photon whose wave function solves the Maxwell equation in the bulk. For timelike momenta, $q^2 > 0$, and with the infalling-wave condition as appropriate for the problem of jet evolution [15], it features the Hankel function

$$A_\mu(x^\mu, z) = \epsilon_\mu e^{-iq \cdot z} \frac{\pi N_c Q z}{8\pi^{1/2} R^3 \sqrt{2}} H^{(1)}(Q z). \quad (19)$$

[The normalization is taken from [22]. Note that $A_z = 0$ in the current gauge ($e \cdot q = 0$).] Alternatively, (19) may also be regarded as the wave function of a heavy vector meson with which the photon couples in a way similar to the vector meson dominance in QCD. Then the factor $N_c$ in (19)
acquires the meaning as the strength of this coupling. Naturally, the timelike photon or the meson decays, and at strong coupling the decay is so complete that the final state contains only particles with the smallest virtuality \( p_7^2 = m^2 \sim \Lambda^2 \) [15,16]. These are represented, for simplicity, by the scalar wave function in AdS\(n\). We shall consider two scenarios. (i) Hadrons corresponding to normalizable modes in the cutoff AdS space. They have the wave function

\[
\Phi_j(x, z) = e^{ip \cdot x} \frac{\sqrt{\Lambda} z^2}{2 \pi^{3/2} R^4} J_{\Delta_+ - 2}(m z),
\]

where \( \Delta_+ \) is the conformal dimension of the interpolating operator. The lightest one is dual to the lowest dimension scalar operator in the \( \mathcal{N} = 4 \) graviton supermultiplet. This has \( \Delta_+ = 2 \) and \( m = 2.4 \Lambda \) as determined from the first zero of the Bessel function \( J_0 \). (ii) The non-normalizable mode with \( \Delta_- = 4 - \Delta_+ = 1 \)

\[
\Phi_j(x, z) = e^{ip \cdot x} \frac{\pi \Lambda z^2}{2 \pi^{3/2} R^4} H_1^{(1)}(\Lambda z).
\]

This somewhat peculiar choice is motivated by the following argument. As noted in [23] and emphasized in [24], the value \( \Delta_- = 1 \) realizes Bjorken scaling in DIS which is the hallmark of the parton picture. (See, also, [25].) Curiously, these modes contain the so-called singletons that are often referred to as the most elementary excitations, or “partons” in AdS spaces. Moreover, the bulk supergravity modes such as (19) are bound states of singletons [26]. This fits nicely with the branching picture at strong coupling proposed in [15]. Note that at the borderline value \( \Delta_- = 1 \) the normalization integral suffers a logarithmic UV \( (z \to 0) \) divergence. This is analogous to the fact that the light-cone wave function with soft partons (gluons) in QCD is not normalizable due to the same reason.

In the branching picture of [15], one evaluates diagrams with only the three-point \( (1 \to 2) \) vertices. On the other hand, the supergravity effective action contains all possible higher-point vertices allowed by symmetry. To better illustrate the essential point of our calculation, let us first consider a contribution to the matrix element from a local \((n + 1)\)-point vertex of \( n \) scalars and a photon. The zero mode integration reads, symbolically,

\[
\langle 0 | e \cdot j(0) | p_1, \ldots, p_n \rangle \sim \frac{g_s^{n+1}}{\alpha g_s^2} \int dz d\Omega_5 \sqrt{-G} \times F(\alpha' \hat{a}^2)(\Phi) a_{\mu}.
\]

where \( g_s \sim g_s \alpha'^2 \) is the closed string coupling. The simplest vertex is obtained by expanding the function \( F \) to linear order in its argument

\[
\langle 0 | e \cdot j | p_1, \ldots, p_n \rangle \sim \frac{g_s^{n+1}}{\alpha g_s^2} \int dz d\Omega_5 \sqrt{-G} \times F(\alpha' \hat{a}^2)(\Phi) a_{\mu}.
\]

where the factor \( 1/\sqrt{Q/z} \) is from the fluctuation around the saddle point. Squaring and noting that \( p_\mu p_\nu \rightarrow g_{\mu \nu} \delta_{ij} p_j^2 \sim g_{\mu \nu} \delta_{ij} \Lambda^2 \) under the phase space integral, we find

\[
\langle 0 | e \cdot j | p_1, \ldots, p_n \rangle |^2 \sim \frac{N_c^2}{N_c^{2n-2}} \frac{n Q^2}{\Lambda^{2n-4}} e^{-2cQ/\Lambda}.
\]

where \( c = \text{Re}[\alpha] \). Equation (25) features the exponential factor as in (5). Note that having \( n \sim Q \) particles in the final state is crucial in order to obtain this behavior. If \( n \) is order unity, the integral would be dominated by intermediate \( z \) values, leading only to a power-law [20].

The evaluation of the nonlocal diagrams with the \( 1 \to 2 \) vertices is considerably more complicated, but without going into the details one can argue that they also give rise to the exponential factor. [The exact value of \( c \) may be different, however.] Indeed, as one approaches the root of the branching tree, the intermediate states necessarily have an increasingly large number of constituents and, hence, are dual to operators with increasingly large dimensions whose wave functions are localized around \( z \sim 1/\Lambda \). In particular, the photon couples to fields \( \Phi_{X,Y} \) having dimen-
ions of order $\Delta_{XY} \sim n \sim Q/\Lambda$ via the vertex $A^\mu(\Phi_X \partial_\mu \Phi_Y - \Phi_Y \partial_\mu \Phi_X)$. (See [23] for a related discussion including the issue of gauge invariance of this coupling.) The matrix element will be given by a multiple convolution of the form

$$
(p_\mu^X - p_\mu^Y) \int dzd\bar{z}dz'' \cdots \sqrt{-GA_\mu(z)G_X(z, z')G_Y(z, z'') \cdots G(z'''', z_1)\Phi(z_1)\cdots G(z''''', z_{n/2})\Phi(z_{n/2})},
$$

where $G$'s are propagators in (cutoff) AdS$_3$. At small $z$, $G_X(z, z') \sim e^{p_\mu Xz} \cdot e^{-p_\mu X\bar{z}}$, so the function obtained after the integration $dz' dz'' \cdots$ is as strongly peaked around $z \sim 1/\Lambda$ as $\Phi^0(z)$ in (22). The final integral over $z$ then leads to the exponential factor by the same mechanism as in the local case above.

In fact, although the two contributions—local and nonlocal diagrams—have similar $Q$ dependence, they crucially differ in $N_c$ dependence. When comparing (5) and (11) with (25), one notices that the latter is enormously suppressed by the factor $1/N_{c}^{2m-2} \cdot 1/N_{c}^{n-1}$ in the amplitude, which actually was expected from the standard large-$N_c$ counting. If the nonlocal diagrams also received this suppression, one would have to conclude that the multiparticle production process would be a negligible nonperturbative aspect of particle production.

Instead, the production cross section of a single vector meson, being $O(N_c^2)$, would alone seem to saturate the total cross section. In order to avoid this unphysical conclusion which contradicts the AdS/CFT prediction $n \propto Q$, the subsequent decay of the meson into $n$ particles via $1 \rightarrow 2$ splittings must somehow be an $O(N_c^0)$ effect rather than suppressed by a large negative power of $N_c$. Fortunately, a similar problem was encountered and resolved in the context of $e^+e^-$ annihilation in (two-dimensional) QCD at large $N_c$. [27] There it was observed that a proper inclusion of the decay width ($\Gamma \sim 1/N_c^2$ in the present situation) in the intermediate propagators together with a suitable averaging of the incident energy give just the right number of $N_c$ factors to cancel the unwanted $1/N_c$ suppressions from the three-point couplings $g \sim 1/N_c$. Namely, the squared propagator in the momentum space reads

$$
\left| \frac{g}{p^2 - m^2 + i\Gamma} \right|^2 = \frac{\Gamma}{(p^2 - m^2)^2 + \Gamma^2}. \quad \text{(26)}
$$

The first factor on the right-hand side is unit normalized with respect to the integration over the momentum and the second factor is $O(N_c^0)$, so effectively (26) is $O(N_c^0)$ contrary to the naive expectation $g^2 \sim O(1/N_c^2)$. Since this argument appears to be quite general, we expect that the nonlocal diagrams will not be $N_c$ suppressed unlike the local ones.

Keeping the above qualification in mind, we conclude that the distribution obeys the thermal law (1), and especially (16), though clearly the parameter $T \sim \Lambda$ has nothing to do with the temperature. In view of the strikingly different pictures of the final state in perturbative QCD and in strongly coupled SYM as mentioned in the introduction, it is intriguing that the latter can explain this particular nonperturbative aspect of particle production.
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