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Introduction

The double crossproduct structure $X \bowtie A$ of two bialgebras (Hopf algebras) $X$ and $A$ is given by Majid [Mj], and Drinfel'd quantum double $D(H)$ is such a double crossproduct. Doi and Takeuchi [DT] studied the double crossproducts determined by a skew pairing. These results have some interesting application in quantum group theory. The concept of a braided bialgebra has been introduced by Larson-Towber [LTo] and Hayashi [H]. The author [C] studied the quasi-triangular structures of bicrossed coproducts. In this paper, we discuss the dual case, and study the braiding structures of double crossproducts. We also discuss the relations between the comodule categories attached to double crossproduct, and construct several (braided) monoidal functors.

1. Preliminaries

Throughout, we work over a fixed field $k$. Unless otherwise stated, all maps are $k$-linear. $\text{Hom}(H, k)$ is denoted by $H^*$. For $f, g \in H^*$, $H$ a bialgebra, $f * g$ is its convolution product [S]. For $\sigma \in (H \otimes H)^*$, we write $\sigma(x \otimes y) = \sigma(x, y)$, $x, y \in H$. We use the sigma notion: for $x \in H$,

$$\Delta(x) = \sum x_1 \otimes x_2, \quad (\Delta \otimes id)\Delta(x) = \sum x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes x_3, \quad \text{etc.}$$

Let $(X, A)$ be a pair of matched bialgebras (Hopf algebras), see [K], that is, $X$ is a left $A$-module coalgebra via $a \mapsto a$, $A$ is a right $X$-module coalgebra via $a \mapsto x$, such that the following conditions are satisfied:

(M1) $a \mapsto (xy) = \sum (a_1 \mapsto x_1)(a_2 \mapsto x_2) \mapsto y$,
(M2) $a \mapsto 1 = e(a)1$,
(M3) $(ab) \mapsto x = \sum (a \mapsto (b_1 \mapsto x_1))(b_2 \mapsto x_2)$,
(M4) $1 \mapsto x = e(x)1$,
(M5) $\sum (a_1 \mapsto x_1) \otimes (a_2 \mapsto x_2) = \sum (a_2 \mapsto x_2) \otimes (a_1 \mapsto x_1)$,
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for all \( a, b \in A, \) \( x, y \in X \). In this case, Majid's double crossproduct \( X \bowtie A \) is defined (see [K, Mj or R]): as coalgebra \( X \bowtie A = X \otimes A \), write \( x \bowtie a \) for \( x \otimes a \), and multiplication is defined by

\[
(x \bowtie a)(y \bowtie b) = \sum x(a_1 \leftarrow y_1) \bowtie (a_2 \leftarrow y_2)b, \quad a, b \in A, x, y \in X.
\]

If \( X \) and \( A \) are Hopf algebras with antipodes \( S_X \) and \( S_A \) resp., then \( X \bowtie A \) is also a Hopf algebra with antipode \( S \) given by

\[
S(x \bowtie a) = (1 \bowtie S_A(a))(S_X(x) \bowtie 1) = \sum (S_A(a_2) \leftarrow S_X(x_2)) \bowtie (S_A(a_1) \leftarrow S_X(x_1)).
\]

A bilinear form \( \tau : X \otimes A \rightarrow k \) is a \textit{skew pairing} [DT] if the following conditions are satisfied:

(SP1) \( \tau(xy, a) = \sum \tau(x, a_1)\tau(y, a_2) \),

(SP2) \( \tau(x, ab) = \sum \tau(x_1, b)\tau(x_2, a) \),

(SP3) \( \tau(x, 1) = \varepsilon(x) \),

(SP4) \( \tau(1, a) = \varepsilon(a) \),

for all \( x, y \in X \) and \( a, b \in A \). If \( \tau : X \otimes A \rightarrow k \) is an invertible (in \( (X \otimes A)^* \)) skew pairing, set

\[
a \rightarrow x = \sum \tau(x_1, a_1)x_2\tau^{-1}(x_3, a_2), \quad a \rightarrow x = \sum \tau(x_1, a_1)a_2\tau^{-1}(x_2, a_3),
\]

then \( (X, A) \) is matched. In this case, the double crossproduct \( X \bowtie A \) is denoted by \( X \bowtie \tau A \), and the multiplication is given by

\[
(x \bowtie a)(y \bowtie b) = \sum \tau(y_1, a_1)xy_2 \bowtie a_2b\tau^{-1}(y_3, a_3).
\]

In general, let \( \sigma \) be a 2-cocycle on a bialgebra \( H \), that is, \( \sigma \) is an invertible bilinear form on \( H \) and the following condition is satisfied:

\[
\sum \sigma(x_1, y_1)\sigma(x_2y_2, z) = \sum \sigma(y_1, z_1)\sigma(x, y_2z_2), \quad x, y, z \in H,
\]

then the bialgebra \( H^\sigma \) (see [D]) is constructed from \( H \) by altering the multiplication as follows and using the same unit, comultiplication, and counit:

\[
x \cdot y(\in H^\sigma) = \sum \sigma(x_1, y_1)x_2y_2\sigma^{-1}(x_3, y_3), \quad x, y \in H.
\]
If $\tau$ is an invertible skew pairing on $X \otimes A$, then $[\tau]$ is a 2-cocycle on the tensor product bialgebra $X \otimes A$ defined by [DT]:

$$[\tau](x \otimes a, y \otimes b) = \varepsilon(x)\varepsilon(b)\tau(y, a), \quad x, y \in X, a, b \in A,$$

and $X \bowtie \tau A = (X \otimes A)^{[\tau]}$.

A bilinear form $\tau \in (X \otimes A)^*$ is a pairing if $\tau$ satisfies (SP1), (SP3), (SP4) and the following condition (P2):

$$(P2) \quad \tau(x, ab) = \sum \tau(x_1, a)\tau(x_2, b).$$

A braided (or co-quasitriangular) bialgebra means a pair $(H, \sigma)$ where $H$ is a bialgebra and $\sigma$ is an invertible skew pairing on $H \otimes H$ such that

$$\sum \sigma(x_1, y_1)x_2y_2 = \sum y_1x_1\sigma(x_2, y_2)$$

for all $x, y \in H$. In this case, $\sigma$ is called a braiding of $H$.

Let $X \bowtie A$ be a double crossproduct, then $i_X : X \to X \bowtie A, x \mapsto x \bowtie 1$ and $i_A : A \to X \bowtie A, a \mapsto 1 \bowtie a$ are injective bialgebra morphism.

**Proposition 1.1.** Let $\alpha : X \to H, \beta : A \to H$ be bialgebra maps such that

$$\beta(a)\alpha(x) = \sum \alpha(a_1 - x_1)\beta(a_2 - x_2), \quad x \in X, a \in A,$$

then there exists a unique bialgebra map $F : X \bowtie A \to H$ such that $F \circ i_X = \alpha$ and $F \circ i_A = \beta$.

**Proof.** Set $F : X \bowtie A \to H$, $F(x \bowtie a) = \alpha(x)\beta(a)$, i.e. $F = m_H(\alpha \otimes \beta) : X \bowtie A \to H \otimes H \xrightarrow{m_H} H$, where $m_H$ is the multiplication map of $H$ which is a coalgebra map. Since both $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are coalgebra maps, so is $F$. Clearly, $F(1 \bowtie 1) = 1$.

$$F((x \bowtie a)(y \bowtie b)) = \sum F(x(a_1 \to y_1) \bowtie (a_2 - y_2)b)$$

$$= \sum \alpha(x(a_1 \to y_1))\beta((a_2 - y_2)b)$$

$$= \sum \alpha(x)\alpha(a_1 \to y_1)\beta(a_2 - y_2)\beta(b)$$

$$= \alpha(x)\beta(a)\alpha(y)\beta(b) = F(x \bowtie a)F(y \bowtie b),$$

henceby, $F$ is a bialgebra map. Clearly $F \circ i_X = \alpha$ and $F \circ i_A = \beta$. Since $X \bowtie A$ is generated by $i_X(X) = X \bowtie 1$ and $i_A(A) = 1 \bowtie A$ as an algebra, $F$ is unique.

**Proposition 1.2.** Let $\alpha : X \to H, \beta : A \to H$ be bialgebra maps, then the map
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\[ F : X \triangleright A \to H, \quad F(x \triangleright a) = \alpha(x)\beta(a) \]

is a bialgebra map if and only if

\[ \beta(a)\alpha(x) = \sum \alpha(a_1 - x_1)\beta(a_2 - x_2), \quad \text{for all } x \in X, a \in A. \]

PROOF. Easy.

**Proposition 1.3.** Let \( X \triangleright A \) be a double crossproduct. If \( X \triangleright A \) has a braiding, then so do \( X \) and \( A \), and there is an invertible skew pairing \( \tau \) on \( X \otimes A \) such that

\[ a \rightarrow x = \sum \tau(x_1, a_1)x_2\tau^{-1}(x_3, a_2), \]

\[ a \rightarrow x = \sum \tau(x_1, a_1)a_2\tau^{-1}(x_2, a_3), \]

and consequently, \( X \triangleright A = X \triangleright_2 A \).

PROOF. Suppose \( \tilde{\sigma} \) is a braiding of the bialgebra \( X \triangleright A \), i.e. \( \tilde{\sigma} \) is an invertible skew pairing on \( (X \triangleright A) \otimes (X \triangleright A) \) and

\[ \sum \tilde{\sigma}(x_1 \triangleright a_1, y_1 \triangleright b_1)(x_2 \triangleright a_2)(y_2 \triangleright b_2) = \sum (y_1 \triangleright b_1)(x_1 \triangleright a_1)\tilde{\sigma}(x_2 \triangleright a_2, y_2 \triangleright b_2) \quad (*) \]

Set \( \sigma(x, y) = \tilde{\sigma}(x \triangleright 1, y \triangleright 1), \; \eta(a, b) = \tilde{\sigma}(1 \triangleright a, 1 \triangleright b), \; \tau(x, b) = \tilde{\sigma}(x \triangleright 1, 1 \triangleright b), \; x, y \in X, a, b \in A \), then by using injective bialgebra maps \( i_X : X \to X \triangleright A \) and \( i_A : A \to X \triangleright A \), one can easily check that \( (X, \sigma) \) and \( (A, \eta) \) are braided bialgebras, and that \( \tau \) is an invertible skew pairing on \( X \otimes A \). By (*) we have

\[ \sum \tilde{\sigma}(x_1 \triangleright 1, 1 \triangleright b_1)(x_2 \triangleright 1)(1 \triangleright b_2) = \sum (1 \triangleright b_1)(x_1 \triangleright 1)\tilde{\sigma}(x_2 \triangleright 1, 1 \triangleright b_2), \]

i.e., \( \sum \tau(x_1, b_1)(x_2 \triangleright b_2) = \sum (1 \triangleright b_1)(x_1 \triangleright 1)\tau(x_2, b_2) \). It follows that

\[ \sum (b_1 - x_1) \triangleright (b_2 - x_2) = \sum \tau(x_1, b_1)(x_2 \triangleright b_2)\tau^{-1}(x_3, b_3). \]

By applying \( id \otimes e \) and \( e \otimes id \) to the both sides of the equation respectively, we get

\[ b \rightarrow x = \sum \tau(x_1, b_1)x_2\tau^{-1}(x_3, b_2) \]
and

\[ b \leftarrow x = \sum \tau(x_1, b_1) b_2 \tau^{-1}(x_2, b_3). \]

**Remark 1.4.** Let \( A \) be a Hopf algebra with bijective antipode \( S \), then the finite dual \( A^\circ \) of \( A \) is also a Hopf algebra with bijective antipode \( S^\circ \), and \( (A^\circ)^{\text{c永不}} \) is a Hopf algebra with antipode \( s = (S^\circ)^{-1} \). Set

\[ \sigma : (A^\circ)^{\text{c永不}} \otimes A \to k, \quad f \otimes a \mapsto \langle f, a \rangle, \]

then a straightforward verification shows that \( \sigma \) is an invertible skew pairing on \( (A^\circ)^{\text{c永不}} \otimes A \), and the double crossproduct \( (A^\circ)^{\text{c永不}} \otimes A \) is a Hopf algebra. If \( A \) is a finite dimensional Hopf algebra, then \( (A^\circ)^{\text{c永不}} = (A^\ast)^{\text{c永不}} \) and \( (A^\ast)^{\text{c永不}} \otimes A = D(A) \) the Drinfel'd double (see [DT, remark 2.3]).

**Proposition 1.5.** Let \( A \) be a Hopf algebra with bijective antipode, \( X \) be a bialgebra and \( \tau \) be an invertible skew pairing on \( X \otimes A \). Then the map

\[ F : X \rightharpoonup_A A \to (A^\circ)^{\text{c永不}} \rightharpoonup_A A, \quad x \rightharpoonup a \mapsto \tau(x, -) \rightharpoonup a \]

is a bialgebra map, where \((A^\circ)^{\text{c永不}} \rightharpoonup_A A \) is defined as in remark 1.4. And \( F \) is injective if and only if \( \tau \) is left non-degenerate, i.e. \( \tau(x, A) = 0 \) implies \( x = 0 \).

**Proof.** By [DT, p. 5719], the maps

\[ \tau_L : X \to (A^\circ)^{\text{c永不}}, \quad x \mapsto \tau(x, -) \]

is a bialgebra map. By the commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
X \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\tau \otimes id} & (A^\circ)^{\text{c永不}} \otimes A \\
\tau \downarrow & & \sigma \downarrow \\
& & k,
\end{array}
\]

the proposition follows immediately.

**Proposition 1.6.** Let \( X \) and \( A \) be finite dimensional Hopf algebra, \( \tau \) be an invertible skew pairing on \( X \otimes A \). Then

1. If \( \tau \) is non-degenerate, then \( X \rightharpoonup_A A \) and \( D(A) \) are isomorphic as Hopf algebras.

2. Set \( I = \ker \tau_R \), where \( \tau_R : A \to (X^\ast)^{\text{op}}, \ a \mapsto \tau(-, a) \) is a Hopf algebra map ([DT, p. 5719]), then there exists a Hopf algebra surjection from \( X \rightharpoonup_A A \) to Drinfel'd double \( D(A/I) \).
Proof. By [DT, p. 5719], the maps
\[ \tau_L X \to (A^*)^{\text{cop}}, \quad x \mapsto \tau(x,-), \]
and
\[ \tau_R : A \to (X^*)^{\text{cop}}, \quad a \mapsto \tau(-,a) \]
are bialgebra maps, consequently, \( \tau_L \) and \( \tau_R \) are Hopf algebra maps.

(1) If \( \tau \) is non-degenerate, then \( \tau_L \) and \( \tau_R \) are injective, hence by \( \dim X \leq \dim A^* = \dim A \) and \( \dim A \leq \dim X^* = \dim X \). It follows that \( \tau_L \) is bijective. Thus by proposition 1.5,
\[ F : X \coact A \to D(A) = (A^*)^{\text{cop}} \coact A, \quad x \coact a \mapsto \tau_L(x,-) \coact a \]
is a Hopf algebra isomorphism.

(2) Set \( J = \ker \tau_L \), then \( J \) is a Hopf ideal of \( X \), \( I \) is a Hopf ideal of \( A \). Let \( \pi_X : X \to \bar{X} = X/J, \ x \mapsto \bar{x} \) and \( \pi_A : A \to \bar{A} = A/I, \ a \mapsto \bar{a} \) be the natural Hopf projection, then
\[ \pi = \pi_X \otimes \pi_A : X \otimes A \to \bar{X} \otimes \bar{A}, \quad x \otimes a \mapsto \bar{x} \otimes \bar{a} \]
is a Hopf algebra surjection. Since \( \ker \pi = J \otimes A + X \otimes I \subset \ker \tau \), there exists a unique bilinear form \( \bar{\tau} \) on \( \bar{X} \otimes \bar{A} \) such that \( \bar{\tau}(\bar{x},\bar{a}) = \tau(x,a) \) for all \( x \in X, a \in A \). It is clear that \( \bar{\tau} \) is an invertible skew pairing on \( \bar{X} \otimes \bar{A} \), and that \( \pi : X \coact A \to \bar{X} \coact \bar{A}, \ x \coact a \mapsto \bar{x} \coact \bar{a} \) is a Hopf algebra surjection. By (1) \( \bar{X} \coact \bar{A} \cong D(A) \), it follows that
\[ X \coact A \to D(A/I), \quad x \coact a \mapsto \bar{\tau}(\bar{x},-) \coact \bar{a} \]
is a Hopf algebra surjection.

2. Braidings of \( X \coact \tau A \)

Throughout this section, unless otherwise stated, let \( \tau \) be a fixed invertible skew pairing on \( X \otimes A, \ X \coact \tau A \) be the double crossproduct determined by \( \tau \). Then \( X \coact \tau A = (X \otimes A)^{[\tau]} \) as in section 1.

For an algebra \( B \), let \( Z(B) \) denote the center of \( B \), \( U(B) \) denote the group of units in \( B \), and \( UZ(B) = U(Z(B)) \).

Lemma 2.1. Let \( \nu \) be a bilinear form on \( X \otimes A \), then the following three conditions are equivalent:
(1) \( v \) is central, i.e. \( v \in Z((X \otimes A)^*) \),
(2) \( \sum v(x_1, a_1)x_2 \otimes a_2 = \sum x_1 \otimes a_1 v(x_2, a_2), \ x \in X, a \in A \),
(3) \( \sum v(x_1, a)x_2 = \sum v(x_2, a)x_1 \) and \( \sum v(x, a_1)a_2 = \sum v(x, a_2)a_1, \ x \in X, a \in A \).

**Proof.** Easy.

Let \( \mathcal{B}(X, A) \) denote the set of all central invertible pairing on \( X \otimes A \), that is
\[
\mathcal{B}(X, A) = \{ v \in UZ((X \otimes A)^*) \mid v \text{ is a pairing} \}.
\]

**Lemma 2.2.** (1) If \( v \in \mathcal{B}(X, A) \), then \( v \) is also a skew pairing.
(2) \( \mathcal{B}(X, A) \) is an abelian multiplicative subgroup of \( (X \otimes A)^* \).

**Proof.** Easy.

**Lemma 2.3.** Let \( \tau' \) be a bilinear form on \( X \otimes A \). Then \( \tau' \) is an invertible skew pairing on \( X \otimes A \) such that \( X \bowtie \tau' A = X \bowtie \tau A \) if and only if \( \tau' = \tau \ast v \) for some \( v \in \mathcal{B}(X, A) \). In particular, \( \tau' \) is an invertible skew pairing on \( X \otimes A \) such that \( X \bowtie \tau' A = X \bowtie \tau A \) if and only if \( \tau' \in \mathcal{B}(X, A) \).

**Proof.** If \( \tau' \) is an invertible skew pairing on \( X \otimes A \) such that \( X \bowtie \tau' A = X \bowtie \tau A \), then
\[
(1 \bowtie a)(x \bowtie 1) = \sum \tau(x_1, a_1)x_2 \bowtie a_2 \tau^{-1}(x_3, a_3)
= \sum \tau'(x_1, a_1)x_2 \bowtie a_2 \tau'^{-1}(x_3, a_3).
\]
Set \( v = \tau^{-1} \ast \tau' \), then
\[
\sum v(x_1, a_1)x_2 \otimes a_2 = \sum x_1 \otimes a_1 v(x_2, a_2),
\]
and hence \( \tau \) is central by lemma 2.1. Clearly, \( v \) is invertible, and so \( v \in UZ((X \otimes A)^*) \). Now we will check that \( v \) is a pairing. In fact, for any \( x, y \in X, a, b \in A \),
\[
v(xy, a) = \sum \tau^{-1}(x_1 y_1, a_1) \tau'(x_2 y_2, a_2)
= \sum \tau^{-1}(y_1, a_1) \tau^{-1}(x_1, a_2) \tau'(x_2, a_3) \tau'(y_2, a_4) \quad \text{(By [DT, SP1'], SP1)}
= \sum \tau^{-1}(y_1, a_1) \nu(x, a_2) \tau'(y_2, a_3)
= \sum \nu(x, a_1) \tau^{-1}(y_1, a_2) \tau'(y_2, a_3) \quad \text{(By lemma 2.1)}
= \sum \nu(x, a_1) \nu(y, a_2),
\]
and similarly, \( v(x, ab) = \sum v(x_1, a)v(x_2, b) \). Clearly, \( v(x, 1) = \varepsilon(x) \) and \( v(1, a) = \varepsilon(a) \). Therefore, \( v \in \mathcal{B}(X, A) \) and \( \tau' = \tau \ast v \).

Conversely, if \( \tau' = \tau \ast v \) for some \( v \in \mathcal{B}(X, A) \), then \( \tau' \) is invertible. For any \( x, y \in X, \ a, b \in A \),

\[
\tau'(xy, a) = \sum \tau(x_1 y_1, a_1) v(x_2 y_2, a_2)
\]

\[
= \sum \tau(x_1, a_1) \tau(y_1, a_2) v(x_2, a_3) v(y_2, a_4)
\]

\[
= \sum \tau(x_1, a_1) v(x_2, a_2) \tau(y_1, a_3) v(y_2, a_4)
\]

and similarly, \( \tau'(x, ab) = \sum \tau'(x_1, b) \tau'(x_2, a) \), and clearly, \( \tau'(x, 1) = \varepsilon(x) \) and \( \tau'(1, a) = \varepsilon(a) \). Thus we have proved that \( \tau' \) is an invertible skew pairing on \( X \otimes A \).

By \( \tau'^{-1} = v^{-1} \ast \tau^{-1} \) and lemma 2.1, we have

\[
\sum v(y_1, a_1) y_2 \otimes a_2 v^{-1}(y_3, a_3) = y \otimes a,
\]

and therefore,

\[
\sum \tau'(y_1, a_1) x y_2 \otimes a_2 b \tau'^{-1}(y_3, a_3)
\]

\[
= \sum \tau(y_1, a_1) v(y_2, a_2) x y_3 \otimes a_3 b v^{-1}(y_4, a_4) \tau^{-1}(y_5, a_5)
\]

\[
= \sum \tau(y_1, a_1) x y_2 \otimes a_2 b \tau^{-1}(y_3, a_3).
\]

This shows that \( X \triangleright \triangleleft_\tau A = X \triangleright \triangleleft A \).

**Proposition 2.4.** Let \((X, \sigma)\) and \((A, \eta)\) be braided bialgebras. Define a bilinear form \([\sigma, \eta]\) on \((X \triangleright \triangleleft_\tau A) \otimes (X \triangleright \triangleleft_\tau A)\) by

\[
[\sigma, \eta](x \triangleright \triangleleft a, y \triangleright \triangleleft b) = \sum \tau(x_1, b_1) \sigma(x_2, y_1) \eta(a_1, b_2) \tau^{-1}(y_2, a_2).
\]

Then \((X \triangleright \triangleleft_\tau A, [\sigma, \eta])\) is a braided bialgebra.

**Proof.** Since \((X, \sigma)\) and \((A, \eta)\) are braided bialgebras, \((X \otimes A, \sigma \otimes \eta)\) is also braided, where

\[
(\sigma \otimes \eta)(x \otimes a, y \otimes b) = \sigma(x, y) \eta(a, b), \quad x, y \in X, a, b \in A.
\]

It follows by [T, lemma 1.3] that \((X \triangleright \triangleleft_\tau A, (\sigma \otimes \eta)^{[r]}\) is braided. By a simple computation, one get \((\sigma \otimes \eta)^{[r]} = [\sigma, \eta]\), and so the proposition follows.
Corollary 2.5. Let $X \bowtie A$ be a double crossproduct. Then $X \bowtie A$ has a braiding if and only if both $X$ and $A$ have braiding, and there is an invertible skew pairing $\tau$ on $X \otimes A$ such that $X \bowtie A = X \bowtie_\tau A$.

Proof. It follows by proposition 1.3 and proposition 2.4.

Corollary 2.6. (1) $X \bowtie_\tau A$ has a braiding if and only if $X$ and $A$ have braiding.

(2) For $\sigma \in (X \bowtie X)^*$, $\eta \in (A \otimes A)^*$ with $\sigma(1,1) = 1 = \eta(1,1)$, define $[\sigma, \eta] \in ((X \bowtie A) \otimes (X \bowtie A))^*$ as in proposition 2.4. then $(X \bowtie_\tau A, [\sigma, \eta])$ is a braided bialgebra if and only if $(X, \sigma)$ and $(A, \eta)$ are braided bialgebras.

Proof. It follows from proposition 1.3 and proposition 2.4.

Corollary 2.7. Let $H$ be a finite dimensional Hopf algebra. If $H$ and $H^*$ have braiding, then Drinfel'd double $D(H)$ also has a braiding.

Proposition 2.8. $\mathcal{G}(X \bowtie_\tau A, X \bowtie_\tau A)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{G}(X, A) \times \mathcal{G}(X, X) \times \mathcal{G}(A, A) \times \mathcal{G}(X, A)$ as a group. In particular, $\mathcal{G}(X \bowtie A, X \bowtie A) = \mathcal{G}(X \bowtie_\tau A, X \bowtie_\tau A)$.

Proof. For any $(v, \sigma, \sigma', v') \in \mathcal{G}(X, A) \times \mathcal{G}(A, A) \times \mathcal{G}(X, A)$, define $\Phi(v, \sigma, \sigma', v')$ by

$$\Phi(v, \sigma, \sigma', v')(x \bowtie a, y \bowtie b) = \sum v(x_1, b_1)\sigma(x_2, y_1)\eta(a_1, b_2)v'(y_2, a_2).$$

Then a straightforward verification shows that $\Phi$ is a group morphism from $\mathcal{G}(X, A) \times \mathcal{G}(X, X) \times \mathcal{G}(A, A) \times \mathcal{G}(X, A)$ to $\mathcal{G}(X \bowtie_\tau A, X \bowtie_\tau A)$. On the other hand, for any $\bar{\sigma} \in \mathcal{G}(X \bowtie A, X \bowtie A)$, set

$$v(\bar{\sigma})(x, b) = \bar{\sigma}(x \bowtie 1, 1 \bowtie b),$$

$$\sigma(\bar{\sigma})(x, y) = \bar{\sigma}(x \bowtie 1, y \bowtie 1),$$

$$\eta(\bar{\sigma})(a, b) = \bar{\sigma}(1 \bowtie a, 1 \bowtie b),$$

$$v'(\bar{\sigma})(y, a) = \bar{\sigma}(1 \bowtie a, y \bowtie 1),$$

for all $x, y \in X$, $a, b \in A$. It is clear that $(v(\bar{\sigma}), \sigma(\bar{\sigma}), \eta(\bar{\sigma}), v'(\bar{\sigma}))$ is contained in $\mathcal{G}(X, A) \times \mathcal{G}(X, X) \times \mathcal{G}(A, A) \times \mathcal{G}(X, A)$. Henceby, the map

$$\theta : \mathcal{G}(X \bowtie_\tau A, X \bowtie_\tau A) \to \mathcal{G}(X, A) \times \mathcal{G}(X, X) \times \mathcal{G}(A, A) \times \mathcal{G}(X, A)$$
given by $\theta(\tilde{\sigma}) = (v(\tilde{\sigma}), \sigma(\tilde{\sigma}), \eta(\tilde{\sigma}), v'(\tilde{\sigma}))$ is well-defined. One can easily check that $\theta \circ \Phi = id$ and $\Phi \circ \theta = id$, and so $\Phi$ is a group isomorphism.

Let $\tau = \varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon$ in the above argument, we get the same isomorphism $\Phi$ from $\mathcal{F}(X, A) \times \mathcal{F}(X, X) \times \mathcal{F}(A, A) \times \mathcal{F}(X, A)$ to $\mathcal{F}(X \otimes A, X \otimes A)$.

**Lemma 2.9.** If $(H, \sigma)$ is a braided bialgebra, $v \in \mathcal{F}(H, H)$, then $(H, \sigma * v)$ is also braided.

**Proof.** By lemma 2.3, $\sigma * v$ is an invertible skew pairing on $H \otimes H$ and $H \rhd_{av} v = H \rhd_v H = H$ Thus it follows from [DT, prop. 3.1] that $(H, \sigma * v)$ is a braided bialgebra.

**Theorem 2.10.** Let $(X, \sigma)$ and $(A, \eta)$ be braided bialgebras, $v$ and $v'$ be in $\mathcal{F}(X, A)$. Define a bilinear form $[\sigma, \eta, v, v']$ on $(X \rhd_{\tau} A) \otimes (X \rhd_{\tau} A)$ by

$$[\sigma, \eta, v, v'](x \rhd a, y \rhd b) = \sum \tau(x_1, b_1)\sigma(x_2, y_1)\eta(a_1, b_2)\tau^{-1}(y_2, a_2)v(x_3, b_3)v'(y_3, a_3).$$

Then $(X \rhd_{\tau} A, [\sigma, \eta, v, v'])$ is a braided bialgebra and any braiding of $X \rhd_{\tau} A$ has this form.

**Proof.** By proposition 2.4, $(X \rhd_{\tau} A, [\sigma, \eta])$ is a braided bialgebra. By proposition 2.8, $\Phi(v, 1, 1, v') \in \mathcal{F}(X \rhd_{\tau} A, X \rhd_{\tau} A)$, and

$$\Phi(v, 1, 1, v')(x \rhd a, y \rhd b) = v(x, b)v'(y, a).$$

Since $[\sigma, \eta, v, v'] = [\sigma, \eta] * \Phi(v, 1, 1, v')$, it follows from lemma 2.9 that $(X \rhd_{\tau} A, [\sigma, \eta, v, v'])$ is a braided bialgebra.

Conversely, suppose that $(X \rhd_{\tau} A, \tilde{\sigma})$ is a braided bialgebra. By [T, lemma 1.3], $\tilde{\sigma} = \tilde{\sigma}^{[x]}$ for some braiding $\tilde{\sigma}$ on $X \otimes A$. Set

$$\sigma(x, y) = \tilde{\sigma}(x \otimes 1, y \otimes 1),$$

$$\eta(a, b) = \tilde{\sigma}(1 \otimes a, 1 \otimes b),$$

$$v(x, b) = \tilde{\sigma}(x \otimes 1, 1 \otimes b),$$

$$v'(y, a) = \tilde{\sigma}(1 \otimes a, y \otimes 1),$$

for all $x, y \in X, a, b \in A$. Then $(X, \sigma)$ and $(A, \eta)$ are braided bialgebras. One can easily check that $v, v' \in \mathcal{F}(X, A)$. 
Braiding Structures of Double

Since \((X \otimes A, \sigma)\) is a braided bialgebra,
\[
\sigma(x \otimes a, y \otimes b) = \sigma((x \otimes 1)(1 \otimes a), y \otimes b)
\]
\[=
\sum \sigma(x \otimes 1, y_1 \otimes b_1)\sigma(1 \otimes a, y_2 \otimes b_2)
\]
\[=
\sum \sigma(x_1 \otimes 1, 1 \otimes b_1)\sigma(x_2 \otimes 1, y_1 \otimes 1)
\]
\[\times \sigma(1 \otimes a_1, 1 \otimes b_2)\sigma(1 \otimes a_2, y_2 \otimes 1)
\]
\[=
\sum \nu(x_1, b_1)\sigma(x_2, y_1)\eta(a_1, b_2)\nu'(y_2, a_2)
\]
\[=
\sum \sigma(x_1, y_1)\eta(a_1, b_1)\nu(x_2, b_2)\nu'(y_2, a_2).
\]

It follows that
\[
\sigma(x \triangleright a, y \triangleright b) = \sigma^{[t]}(x \triangleright a, y \triangleright b)
\]
\[=
\sum [\tau](y_1 \otimes b_1, x_1 \otimes a_1)\sigma(x_2 \otimes a_2, y_2 \otimes b_2)[\tau]^{-1}(x_3 \otimes a_3, y_3 \otimes b_3)
\]
\[=
\sum \tau(x_1, b_1)\sigma(x_2, y_1)\eta(a_1, b_2)\nu(x_3, b_3)\nu'(y_2, a_2)\tau^{-1}(y_3, a_3)
\]
\[=
[\sigma, \eta, \nu, \nu'](x \triangleright a, y \triangleright b),
\]
and therefore \(\sigma = [\sigma, \eta, \nu, \nu']\).

For a bialgebra \(H\), let \(\mathcal{B}(H)\) denote the set of all braidings of \(H\).

**Proposition 2.11.** There exists a bijective correspondence between \(\mathcal{B}(X \triangleright \triangleleft A)\) and the set \(\mathcal{B}(X) \times \mathcal{B}(A) \times \mathcal{B}(X, A) \times \mathcal{G}(X, A)\) given by
\[
\mathcal{B}(X) \times \mathcal{B}(A) \times \mathcal{B}(X, A) \times \mathcal{G}(X, A) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(X \triangleright \triangleleft A)
\]
\[(\sigma, \eta, \nu, \nu') \mapsto [\sigma, \eta, \nu, \nu'],
\]
where \([\sigma, \eta, \nu, \nu']\) is defined as in theorem 2.10.

**Proof.** By theorem 2.10, the above map is surjective. One can easily check that if \([\sigma, \eta, \nu, \nu'] = [\sigma_1, \eta_1, \nu_1, \nu_1']\) then \(\sigma = \sigma_1, \eta = \eta_1, \nu = \nu_1, \nu' = \nu_1'\). It follows that the map given in the proposition is bijective.

**Theorem 2.12.** Let \(\sigma\) be an invertible skew pairing on \(X \otimes X\), \([\sigma] = [\sigma, \sigma]\) be a bilinear form on \((X \triangleright \triangleleft X) \otimes (X \triangleright \triangleleft X)\) defined as in proposition 2.4, that is
\[
[\sigma](x \triangleright a, y \triangleright b) = \sum \sigma(x_1, b_1)\sigma(x_2, y_1)\sigma(a_1, b_2)\sigma^{-1}(y_2, a_2), \quad a, b, x, y \in X.
\]
Then the following three conditions are equivalent:

1. \((X, \sigma)\) is a co-triangular bialgebra.
2. \((X \bowtie_{\sigma} X, [\sigma])\) is a co-triangular bialgebra.
3. The multiplication

\[
X \bowtie_{\sigma} X \to X, \quad x \bowtie a \mapsto xa
\]

is a bialgebra morphism, and \([\sigma](x \bowtie a, y \bowtie b) = \sigma(xa, yb)\).

**Proof.** (1) \(\Leftrightarrow\) (2). If \((X, \sigma)\) is co-triangular, then \((X \bowtie_{\sigma} X, [\sigma])\) is a braided bialgebra by proposition 2.4, and \(\sigma^{-1}(x, y) = \sigma(y, x)\). Henceby,

\[
[\sigma]^{-1}(x \bowtie a, y \bowtie b) = \sum \sigma(y_1, a_1)\sigma^{-1}(a_2, b_1)\sigma^{-1}(x_1, y_2)\sigma(x_2, b_2)
\]

\[
= \sum \sigma(y_1, a_1)\sigma(b_1, a_2)\sigma(y_2, x_1)\sigma^{-1}(x_2, b_2)
\]

\[
= [\sigma](y \bowtie b, x \bowtie a),
\]

it follows that \((X \bowtie_{\sigma} X, [\sigma])\) is a co-triangular bialgebra.

Conversely, suppose \((X \bowtie_{\sigma} X, [\sigma])\) is a co-triangular bialgebra. Since \(X \to X \bowtie_{\sigma} X, x \mapsto x \bowtie 1\) is a bialgebra injection and \(\sigma(x, y) = [\sigma](x \bowtie 1, y \bowtie 1)\), \((X, \sigma)\) is also a co-triangular bialgebra.

(1) \(\Leftrightarrow\) (3). By [DT, prop. 3.1], \((X, \sigma)\) is a braided bialgebra if and only if the multiplication

\[
X \bowtie_{\sigma} X \to X, \quad x \bowtie a \mapsto xa
\]

is a bialgebra map. In this case, since

\[
\sigma(xa, yb) = \sum \sigma(x, y_1 b_1)\sigma(a, y_2 b_2)
\]

\[
= \sum \sigma(x_1, b_1)\sigma(x_2, y_1)\sigma(a_1, b_2)\sigma(a_2, y_2),
\]

it follows that \([\sigma](x \bowtie a, y \bowtie b) = \sigma(xa, yb)\) holds for all \(x, y, a, b \in X\) if and only if \(\sigma^{-1}(x, y) = \sigma(y, x)\) holds for all \(x, y \in X\).

3. **Monoidal Functors Attached to \(X \bowtie_{\tau} A\)**

Throughout this section, let \(H = X \bowtie_{\tau} A\) be a double crossproduct determined by a fixed invertible skew pairing \(\tau\) on \(X \otimes A\).

For any coalgebra \(C\), let \(M^C\) denote the category of right \(C\)-comodules. For \(M \in M^C\), \(\rho_M : M \to M \otimes C\) denotes the comodule structure map, and write
\[ \rho_M(m) = \sum m_0 \otimes m_1 \] as usual. If \( f : C \to D \) is a coalgebra morphism and \( M \in \mathcal{M}^C \), then \( M \) is a right \( D \)-comodule with the comodule structure map given by \((\text{id} \otimes f)\rho_M\). If \( C \) is a bialgebra, then \( \mathcal{M}^C \) is a monoidal category (or tensor category) with the usual tensor product, the unit \( k \) and the usual associativity and unit constraints. Furthermore, if \((C, \sigma)\) is a braided bialgebra, then \( \mathcal{M}^C \) is a braided monoidal category with the braiding given by

\[ t_{M, N} : M \otimes N \to N \otimes M, \quad m \otimes n \mapsto \sum \sigma(m_1, n_1)n_0 \otimes m_0, \]

where \( M, N \in \mathcal{M}^C, \ m \in M \) and \( n \in N \). The reader is directed to [K or M] for details of these concepts, basic results, and (braided) monoidal functors also.

Now we have three monoidal categories \( \mathcal{M}^X, \mathcal{M}^A \) and \( \mathcal{M}^H \). Since \( \pi_X : H \to X, \ x \mapsto a \mapsto xe(a) \) and \( \pi_A : H \to A, \ x \mapsto a \mapsto e(x)a \) are coalgebra morphisms, any \( M \in \mathcal{M}^H \) becomes a right \( X \)-comodule and also a right \( A \)-comodule as before, denote them by \( M_X \) and \( M_A \) respectively, that is, \( \rho_{M_X} = (\text{id} \otimes \pi_X)\rho_M \) and \( \rho_{M_A} = (\text{id} \otimes \pi_A)\rho_M \).

Define \( F_X : \mathcal{M}^H \to \mathcal{M}^X \) and \( F_A : \mathcal{M}^H \to \mathcal{M}^A \) by

\[ F_X(M) = M_X, \quad F_X(f) = f, \quad \text{and} \quad F_A(M) = M_A, \quad F_A(f) = f, \]

for all \( M \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{M}^H), \ f \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{M}^H) \), then \( F_X \) is a functor from \( \mathcal{M}^H \) to \( \mathcal{M}^X \), and \( F_A \) is a functor from \( \mathcal{M}^H \) to \( \mathcal{M}^A \).

**Lemma 3.1.** For any \( h, z \in H \), we have:

1. \( \sum \pi_X(h_1z_1)[\tau](h_2, z_2) = \sum [\tau](h_1, z_1)\pi_X(h_2)\pi_X(z_2) \).
2. \( \sum \pi_A(h_1z_1)[\tau](h_2, z_2) = \sum [\tau](h_1, z_1)\pi_A(h_2)\pi_A(z_2) \).

**Proof.** It follows by a straightforward verification.

**Lemma 3.2.** Let \( M, N \) be right \( H \)-comodules, then

1. \( \phi_X(M, N) : F_X(M) \otimes F_X(N) \to F_X(M \otimes N), \)
\[ m \otimes n \mapsto \sum m_0 \otimes n_0[\tau](m_1, n_1) \]

is a right \( X \)-comodule isomorphism.

2. \( \phi_A(M, N) : F_A(M) \otimes F_A(N) \to F_A(M \otimes N), \)
\[ m \otimes n \mapsto \sum m_0 \otimes n_0[\tau](m_1, n_1) \]

is a right \( A \)-comodule isomorphism.
PROOF. (1) It is clear that $\phi_X$ is a bijective linear map. Note that $F_X(M) \otimes F_X(N) = M_X \otimes N_X$, $F_X(M \otimes N) = (M \otimes N)_X$, and their comodule structures are different,

$$\rho_{M_X \otimes N_X} (m \otimes n) = \sum m_0 \otimes n_0 \otimes \pi_X (m_1) \pi_X (n_1)$$

and

$$\rho_{(M \otimes N)_X} (m \otimes n) = \sum m_0 \otimes n_0 \otimes \pi_X (m_1 n_1)$$

for all $m \in M$, $n \in N$. In order to show that $\phi_X = \phi_X(M, N)$ is a right X-comodule morphism, we need to prove $\rho_{(M \otimes N)_X} \phi_X = (\phi_X \otimes id_X) \rho_{M_X \otimes N_X}$. In fact, let $m \in M$, $n \in N$, then

$$\rho_{(M \otimes N)_X} \phi_X (m \otimes n) = \rho_{(M \otimes N)_X} \left( \sum m_0 \otimes n_0 [\tau] (m_1, n_1) \right) = \sum m_0 \otimes n_0 \otimes \pi_X (m_1 n_1) [\tau] (m_2, n_2),$$

and

$$(\phi_X \otimes id_X) \rho_{M_X \otimes N_X} (m \otimes n) = (\phi_X \otimes id_X) \left( \sum m_0 \otimes n_0 \otimes \pi_X (m_1) \pi_X (n_1) \right) = \sum m_0 \otimes n_0 \otimes [\tau] (m_1, n_1) \pi_X (m_2) \pi_X (n_2).$$

It follows by lemma 3.1 (1) that $\rho_{(M \otimes N)_X} \phi_X (m \otimes n) = (\phi_X \otimes id_X) \rho_{M_X \otimes N_X} (m \otimes n)$, and so $\rho_{(M \otimes N)_X} \phi_X = (\phi_X \otimes id_X) \rho_{M_X \otimes N_X}$.

(2) It follows by a similar verification by lemma 3.1 (2). Note that $\phi_X(M, N) = \phi_A(M, N)$ as linear maps.

**Theorem 3.3.** (1) The triple $(F_X, id_k, \phi_X)$ is a monoidal functor from the monoidal category $M^H$ to $M^X$.

(2) The triple $(F_A, id_k, \phi_A)$ is a monoidal functor from the monoidal category $M^H$ to $M^A$.

PROOF. (1) By lemma 3.2, $\phi_X(M, N)$ is an isomorphism in $M^X$, hence by one can easily check that $\{ \phi_X(M, N) \}$ is a family of natural isomorphism indexed by all couples $(M, N)$ of objects of $M^H$. By the definition of a monoidal functor (see [K, p. 287]), we need to show that the following three diagrams commute:
Braiding Structures of Double

\[
(M_X \otimes N_X) \otimes W_X \xrightarrow{a} M_X \otimes (N_X \otimes W_X)
\]
\[
\phi_X(M,N) \otimes id_{W_X} \quad \downarrow \quad id_{M_X} \otimes \phi_X(N,W)
\]
\[
(M \otimes N)_X \otimes W_X \quad \xrightarrow{\phi_X(M \otimes N,W)} \quad M_X \otimes (N \otimes W)_X
\]
\[
((M \otimes N) \otimes W)_X \quad \xrightarrow{F_X(a)=a} \quad (M \otimes (N \otimes W))_X
\]

\[
k \otimes M_X \quad \xrightarrow{id_k \otimes id_{M_X}} \quad M_X
\]
\[
k \otimes M_X \quad \xrightarrow{\phi_X(k,M)} \quad (k \otimes M)_X
\]

\[
M_X \otimes k \quad \xrightarrow{r_{M_X}} \quad M_X
\]
\[
M_X \otimes k \quad \xrightarrow{\phi_X(M,k)} \quad (M \otimes k)_X
\]

for all \( M, N, W \in \mathcal{M}^H \), where \( a \) is the canonical associativity constraint, \( l \) and \( r \) are natural isomorphisms. By [DT, lemma 1.4], we know \( \phi_X(k,M) = id \) and \( \phi_X(M,k) = id \). So the commutativities of diagrams (3.2) and (3.3) follow immediately.

As to (3.1), let \( m \in M, \; n \in N, \; w \in W \), then
\[
\phi_X(M,N \otimes W)(id \otimes \phi_X(N,W))a((m \otimes n) \otimes w)
\]
\[
= \phi_X(M,N \otimes W)(id \otimes \phi_X(N,W))(m \otimes (n \otimes w))
\]
\[
= \phi_X(M,N \otimes W)\left( \sum m \otimes (n_0 \otimes w_0)[\tau](n_1,w_1) \right)
\]
\[
= \sum m_0 \otimes (n_0 \otimes w_0)[\tau](m_1,n_1w_1)[\tau](n_2,w_2),
\]
and
\[
a\phi_X(M \otimes N,W)(\phi_X(M,N) \otimes id)((m \otimes n) \otimes w)
\]
\[
= a\phi_X(M \otimes N,W)\left( \sum (m_0 \otimes n_0)[\tau](m_1,n_1) \otimes w \right)
\]
\[
= \sum m_0 \otimes (n_0 \otimes w_0)[\tau](m_1,n_1,w_1)[\tau](m_2,n_2).\]
Since \([\tau]\) is a 2-cocycle on \(X \otimes A\) and \(X \triangleright\triangleright A = (X \otimes A)^{[\tau]}\), \([\tau]^{-1}\) is a 2-cocycle on \(X \triangleright\triangleright A\). By \([\tau] = ([\tau]^{-1})^{-1}\), it follows from \([D, \text{ theorem 1.6 (a1)}]\) that the diagram (3.1) is commutative.

(2) Since \(\phi_A(M, N) = \Phi_X(M, N)\) as linear maps, (2) follows immediately from (1).

For any monoidal categories \((\mathcal{C}, \otimes, I, \alpha, \lambda, \rho)\) and \((\mathcal{C}', \otimes', I', \alpha', \lambda', \rho')\), the product category \(\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}'\) is also a monoidal category with the tensor product, unit, associativity and unit constraints as follows:

\[
(U, U') \otimes (V, V') = (U \otimes V, U' \otimes V'),
\]

\[
(f, f') \otimes (g, g') = (f \otimes g, f' \otimes g'),
\]

\[
a = (a, a'), \quad I = (I, I'), \quad I = (I, I') \quad \text{and} \quad \rho = (\rho, \rho').
\]

If \((F, \phi_0, \phi_2)((F', \phi'_0, \phi'_2))\) is a monoidal functor from monoidal category \(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{C}')\) to \(\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{D}')\), then \(((F, F'), (\phi_0, \phi'_0), (\phi_2, \phi'_2))\) is a monoidal functor from \(\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}'\) to \(\mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D}'\). Thus by theorem 3.3 we get a monoidal functor \(((F_X, F_A), (id, id), (\phi_X, \phi_A))\) from \(M^H \times M^H\) to \(M^X \times M^A\). On the other hand, the diagonal functor \(D : M^H \rightarrow M^H \times M^H\), where \(D(M) = (M, M)\) and \(D(f) = (f, f)\), is a strict monoidal functor. By composing these two functors we get:

**Proposition 3.4.** Let \(F : M^H \rightarrow M^X \times M^A\), \(F(M) = (M_X, M_A)\), \(F(f) = (f, f)\), \(\phi_0 = (id, id) : (k, k) \rightarrow F(k) = (k, k)\),

\[
\phi_2(M, N) = (\phi_X(M, N), \phi_A(M, N)) : (M_X \otimes N_X, M_A \otimes N_A) \rightarrow ((M \otimes N)_X, (M \otimes N)_A),
\]

where \(M, N \in \text{Ob}(M^H)\), \(f \in \text{Hom}(M^H)\). Then \((F, \phi_0, \phi_2)\) is a monoidal functor from \(M^H\) to \(M^X \times M^A\).

For \(U \in M^X\), \(V \in M^A\), define

\[
\rho_{U \otimes V} : U \otimes V \rightarrow (U \otimes V) \otimes H, \quad u \otimes v \mapsto \sum (u_0 \otimes v_0) \otimes (u_1 \triangleright \triangleright v_1),
\]

then \(U \otimes V\) is a right \(H\)-comodule, i.e., \(U \otimes V \in M^H\). If \(f : U \rightarrow U'\) and \(g : V \rightarrow V'\) are morphisms in \(M^H\) and \(M^A\) resp., then \(f \otimes g : U \otimes V \rightarrow U' \otimes V'\) is a morphism in \(M^H\). Thus we get a functor

\[
G : M^X \times M^A \rightarrow M^H
\]

\[
G(U, V) = U \otimes V, G(f, g) = f \otimes g,
\]

where \((U, V) \in \text{Ob}(M^X \times M^A)\), \((f, g) \in \text{Hom}(M^X \times M^A)\).
LEMMA 3.5. For any objects \((U, V), (U', V')\) of \(M^X \times M^A\), define
\[
\psi_2((U, V), (U', V')) \colon G(U, V) \otimes G(U', V') \to G((U, V) \otimes (U', V'))
\]
\[
(u \otimes v) \otimes (u' \otimes v') \mapsto \sum (u \otimes u_0') \otimes (v_0 \otimes v') \tau^{-1}(u'_1, v_1).
\]
Then \(\{\psi_2((U, V), (U', V'))\}\) is a family of natural isomorphisms indexed by all couples \(((U, V), (U', V'))\) of objects of \(M^X \times M^A\).

PROOF. Write \(\psi_2 = \psi_2((U, V), (U', V'))\), \(\rho_l = \rho_{G(U, V) \otimes G(U', V')}\) and \(\rho_r = \rho_{G(U, V) \otimes (U', V')}\) resp., then by definition,
\[
G(U, V) \otimes G(U', V') = (U \otimes V) \otimes (U' \otimes V'),
\]
\[
G((U, V) \otimes (U', V')) = G(U \otimes U', V \otimes V') = (U \otimes U') \otimes (V \otimes V'),
\]
\[
\rho_l((u \otimes v) \otimes (u' \otimes v')) = \sum (u_0 \otimes v_0) \otimes (u'_0 \otimes v'_0) \otimes (u_1 \bowtie v_1)(u'_1 \bowtie v'_1)
\]
and
\[
\rho_r((u \otimes u') \otimes (u \otimes v')) = \sum (u_0 \otimes u'_0) \otimes (v_0 \otimes v'_0) \otimes (u_1 u'_1 \bowtie v_1 v'_1)
\]
for all \(u \in U, u' \in U', v \in V, v' \in V'\). Therefore,
\[
\rho_l \psi_2((u \otimes v) \otimes (u' \otimes v'))
\]
\[
= \rho_l \left( \sum (u \otimes u_0') \otimes (v_0 \otimes v') \tau^{-1}(u'_1, v_1) \right)
\]
\[
= \sum (u_0 \otimes u'_0) \otimes (v_0 \otimes v'_0) \otimes (u_1 u'_1 \bowtie v_1 v'_1) \tau^{-1}(u'_2, v_2),
\]
and
\[
(\psi_2 \otimes id_H)\rho_l((u \otimes v) \otimes (u' \otimes v'))
\]
\[
= (\psi_2 \otimes id_H) \left( \sum (u_0 \otimes v_0) \otimes (u'_0 \otimes v'_0) \otimes (u_1 \bowtie v_1)(u'_1 \bowtie v'_1) \right)
\]
\[
= \sum (u_0 \otimes u_0') \otimes (v_0 \otimes v'_0) \tau^{-1}(u'_1, v_1) \otimes (u_1 \bowtie v_2)(u'_2 \bowtie v'_2)
\]
\[
= \sum (u_0 \otimes u'_0) \otimes (v_0 \otimes v'_0) \tau^{-1}(u'_1, v_1) \otimes \tau(u_2, v_2)(u_1 u'_1 \bowtie v_3 v'_1) \tau^{-1}(u'_4, v_4)
\]
\[
= \sum (u_0 \otimes u'_0) \otimes (v_0 \otimes v'_0) \otimes (u_1 u'_1 \bowtie v_1 v'_1) \tau^{-1}(u'_2, v_2),
\]
and so \(\rho_l \psi_2 = (\psi_2 \otimes id_H)\rho_l\), that is, \(\psi_2\) is a morphism in \(M^H\). The rest is obvious.

THEOREM 3.6. \((G, \psi_0, \psi_2)\) is a monoidal functor from the monoidal category \(M^X \times M^A\) to \(M^H\), where \(G\) and \(\psi_2\) are given above, \(\psi_0 : k \to G(k, k) = k \otimes k\) is the natural isomorphism.
Proof. We need to show that the following three diagrams commute:

\[
(G(U, V) \otimes G(U', V')) \otimes G(U'', V'') \xrightarrow{\alpha} G(U, V) \otimes (G(U', V') \otimes G(U'', V''))
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
& \downarrow \psi_2((U, V'), (U', V')) \otimes \text{id}_{G(U'', V'')} \\
& \downarrow \text{id}_{G(U, V)} \otimes \psi_2((U', V'), (U'', V'')) \\
G((U, V) \otimes G(U', V')) \otimes G(U'', V'') & \xrightarrow{G(a)} G(U, V) \otimes G((U', V') \otimes (U'', V''))
\end{align*}
\]

\[
G(((U, V) \otimes (U', V')) \otimes (U'', V'')) \xrightarrow{G(a)} G(((U, V) \otimes ((U', V') \otimes (U'', V''))))
\]

(3.4)

\[
\begin{align*}
& \xrightarrow{k \otimes G(U, V)} G(U, V) \\
& \downarrow \psi_0 \otimes \text{id}_{G(U, V)} \\
& \downarrow G(l_{G(U, V)}) \\
G(k, k) \otimes G(U, V) & \xrightarrow{\psi_2((k, k), (U, V))} G((k, k) \otimes (U, V))
\end{align*}
\]

(3.5)

\[
\begin{align*}
& \xrightarrow{G(U, V) \otimes k} G(U, V) \\
& \downarrow \text{id}_{G(U, V)} \otimes \psi_0 \\
& \downarrow G(r_{G(U, V)}) \\
G(U, V) \otimes G(k, k) & \xrightarrow{\psi_2((U, V), (k, k))} G((U, V) \otimes (k, k)).
\end{align*}
\]

(3.6)

By definition, we rewrite the three diagrams as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
& (((U \otimes V) \otimes (U' \otimes V')) \otimes (U'' \otimes V'')) \xrightarrow{\alpha} (U \otimes V) \otimes ((U' \otimes V') \otimes (U'' \otimes V'')) \\
& \downarrow \psi_2 \otimes \text{id}_{U \otimes V} \\
& \downarrow \text{id}_{U \otimes V} \otimes \psi_2 \\
& (U \otimes V) \otimes ((U' \otimes U'') \otimes (V' \otimes V'')) \\
& \downarrow \psi_2 \\
& (((U \otimes U') \otimes U'') \otimes (V' \otimes V')) \otimes (V' \otimes V'') \xrightarrow{a \otimes a} (U \otimes ((U' \otimes U'') \otimes (V' \otimes V''))) \\
& \end{align*}
\]

(3.4)

\[
\begin{align*}
& \xrightarrow{k \otimes (U \otimes V)} U \otimes V \\
& \downarrow \psi_0 \otimes \text{id}_{U \otimes V} \\
& \downarrow l_{U \otimes V} \\
& (k \otimes k) \otimes (U \otimes V) \xrightarrow{\psi_2} (k \otimes U) \otimes (k \otimes V)
\end{align*}
\]

(3.5)
Braiding Structures of Double

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
(U \otimes V) \otimes k & \xrightarrow{r_{U \otimes V}} & U \otimes V \\
\downarrow^{id_{U \otimes V} \otimes \psi_0} & & \uparrow^{r_{U} \otimes r_V} \\
(U \otimes V) \otimes (k \otimes k) & \xrightarrow{\psi_2} & (U \otimes k) \otimes (V \otimes k).
\end{array}
\]

(3.6)

By [DT, lemma 1.4], one can easily check that (3.5) and (3.6) are commutative.

As to (3.4), let \( u \in U, v \in V, u' \in U', v' \in V', u'' \in U'' \) and \( v'' \in V'' \), then

\[
(a \otimes a)\psi_2(\psi_2 \otimes id_U \otimes \nu\rangle(((u \otimes v) \otimes (u' \otimes v')) \otimes (u'' \otimes v'')) \\
= (a \otimes a)\psi_2(\sum((u \otimes u'_0) \otimes (v_0 \otimes v') \tau^{-1}(u'_0, v))) \otimes (u'' \otimes v'')) \\
= (a \otimes a)\psi_2((\sum(u \otimes u'_0) \otimes (v_0 \otimes v') \otimes (u'' \otimes v'')) \otimes (u'' \otimes v'')) \\
= \sum((u \otimes u'_0) \otimes (v_0 \otimes (v'_0 \otimes v'')) \otimes (u'' \otimes v'')) \otimes (u'' \otimes v'')) \\
= \sum((u \otimes (u'_0 \otimes u''_0)) \otimes (v_0 \otimes (v'_0 \otimes v''))) \otimes (u'' \otimes v'')) \\
= \sum((u \otimes (u'_0 \otimes u''_0)) \otimes (v_0 \otimes (v'_0 \otimes v''))) \otimes (u'' \otimes v'')) \\
\tau^{-1}(u'_0, v) = (a \otimes a)\psi_2(\psi_2 \otimes id_U \otimes \nu\rangle(((u \otimes v) \otimes (u' \otimes v')) \otimes (u'' \otimes v''))
\]

and

\[
\psi_2(id_U \otimes \nu \otimes \psi_2)\rho((u \otimes v) \otimes (u' \otimes v')) \otimes (u'' \otimes v'')) \\
= \sum((u \otimes u'_0) \otimes (v_0 \otimes v') \otimes (u'' \otimes v'')) \\
= \sum((u \otimes (u'_0 \otimes u''_0)) \otimes (v_0 \otimes (v'_0 \otimes v''))) \otimes (u'' \otimes v'')) \\
= \sum((u \otimes (u'_0 \otimes u''_0)) \otimes (v_0 \otimes (v'_0 \otimes v''))) \otimes (u'' \otimes v'')) \\
\tau^{-1}(u'_0, v) = \psi_2(id_U \otimes \nu \otimes \psi_2)((u \otimes v) \otimes (u' \otimes v')) \otimes (u'' \otimes v''))
\]

It follows that the diagram (3.4) commutes. So \((G, \psi_0, \psi_2)\) is a monoidal functor from \(\mathcal{M}^X \times \mathcal{M}^A\) to \(\mathcal{M}^H\).

Now assume that \((X, \sigma)\) and \((A, \eta)\) are braided bialgebras then \((H, [\sigma, \eta])\) is also a braided bialgebra by proposition 2.4. Thus \(\mathcal{M}^X, \mathcal{M}^A\) and \(\mathcal{M}^H\) are braided monoidal categories with braidings given by

\[
t_{U, U'} : U \otimes U' \rightarrow U' \otimes U, u \otimes u' \rightarrow \sum \sigma(u_1, u'_1)u'_0 \otimes u_0, \\
t_{V, V'} : V \otimes V' \rightarrow V' \otimes V, v \otimes v' \rightarrow \sum \eta(v_1, v'_1)v'_0 \otimes v_0,
\]

and

\[
t_{M, N} : M \otimes N \rightarrow N \otimes M, m \otimes n \rightarrow \sum [\sigma, \eta](m_1, n_1)m_0 \otimes m_0.
\]
respectively, where \( U, U' \in M^X \), \( V, V' \in M^A \) and \( M, N \in M^H \). Henceby, \( M^X \times M^A \) is also a braided monoidal category with braiding \( t_{(U, V), (U', V')} = (t_{U, U'}, t_{V, V'}) \). In this case we have:

**Theorem 3.7.** \((G, \psi_0, \psi_2)\) is a braided monoidal functor from \( M^X \times M^A \) to \( M^H \).

**Proof.** By definition (see [K, p. 327]), we only need to show the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
G(U, V) \otimes G(U', V') & \overset{\psi_2((U, V), (U', V'))}{\longrightarrow} & G((U, V) \otimes (U', V')) \\
\downarrow t_{(U, V), (U', V')} & & \downarrow G(t_{(U, V), (U', V')}) \\
G(U', V') \otimes G(U, V) & \overset{\psi_2((U', V'), (U, V))}{\longrightarrow} & G((U', V') \otimes (U, V))
\end{array}
\]

commutes. Let us rewrite (3.7) as follows:

\[
(U \otimes V) \otimes (U' \otimes V') \overset{\psi_2}{\longrightarrow} (U \otimes U') \otimes (V \otimes V')
\]

\[
\downarrow t_{U \otimes V, U' \otimes V'}
\]

\[
(U' \otimes V') \otimes (U \otimes V) \overset{\psi_2}{\longrightarrow} (U' \otimes U) \otimes (V' \otimes V).
\]

For any \( u \in U \), \( v \in V \), \( u' \in U' \), \( v' \in V' \), we have

\[
(t_{U, U'} \otimes t_{V, V'})(u \otimes v) \otimes (u' \otimes v')
\]

\[
= (t_{U, U'} \otimes t_{V, V'})(\sum (u \otimes u_0) \otimes (v_0 \otimes v')\tau^{-1}(u'_1, v_1))
\]

\[
= \sum \sigma(u_1, u'_1)(u_0 \otimes u_0) \otimes \eta(v_1, v'_1)(v'_0 \otimes v_0)\tau^{-1}(u'_2, v_2)
\]

\[
= \sum (u'_0 \otimes u_0) \otimes (v'_0 \otimes v_0)\sigma(u_1, u'_1)\eta(v_1, v'_1)\tau^{-1}(u'_2, v_2),
\]

and

\[
\psi_2(t_{U \otimes V, U' \otimes V'}((u \otimes v) \otimes (u' \otimes v'))
\]

\[
= \psi_2\left(\sum [\sigma, \eta](u_1 \bowtie v_1, u'_1 \bowtie v'_1)(u'_0 \otimes v'_0)(u_0 \otimes v_0)\right)
\]

\[
= \sum (u'_0 \otimes u_0) \otimes (v'_0 \otimes v_0)\tau^{-1}(u_1, v_1)[\sigma, \eta](u_2 \bowtie v_1, u'_1 \bowtie v'_2)
\]

\[
= \sum (u'_0 \otimes u_0) \otimes (v'_0 \otimes v_0)\tau^{-1}(u_1, v_1')\tau(u_2, v'_2)\sigma(u_3, u'_1)\eta(v_1, v'_3)\tau^{-1}(u'_2, v_2)
\]

\[
= \sum (u'_0 \otimes u_0) \otimes (v'_0 \otimes v_0)\sigma(u_1, u'_1)\eta(v_1, v'_1)\tau^{-1}(u'_2, v_2).
\]

Completing the proof.
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