

ON THE BRUN-TITCHMARSH THEOREM

By

Hiroshi MIKAWA

1. Introduction.

Let $\pi(x; q, a)$ denote the number of primes not exceeding x and being congruent to a modulo q . In 1936 P. Turán [6] showed that, under the extended Riemann hypothesis,

$$\pi(x; q, a) \sim \frac{x}{\varphi(q) \log x} \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty$$

for all $q \leq x(\log x)^{-2-\varepsilon}$ ($\varepsilon > 0$) and almost-all reduced residue classes a modulo q . The terminology “almost-all” means that the number of exceptional reduced classes is $o(\varphi(q))$ as $q \rightarrow \infty$.

In 1972 C. Hooley [1] demonstrated that there holds the inequality

$$\pi(x; q, a) \leq \frac{(4+\varepsilon)x}{\varphi(q) \log(x^2/q)} \quad (\varepsilon > 0, x > x_0(\varepsilon))$$

for all $q \leq x^{2/3}$ and almost-all a . Later Y. Motohashi [4] proved that the same is valid for $x^{2/3} < q \leq x^{1-\varepsilon}$ as well. The purpose of this paper is to make an improvement upon this upper bound to large moduli.

THEOREM. *Let ε be a small positive constant and assume $x > x_0(\varepsilon)$. If q be given and $x^{6/7} \leq q \leq x(\log x)^A$ with $A > 5$, then we have*

$$\pi(x; q, a) \leq \frac{(18+\varepsilon)x}{\varphi(q) \log(x^6/q)}$$

for almost-all reduced classes a modulo q .

REMARK. It is of some interest to note that, using the argument of H. Iwaniec [3, section 2], one may easily show that

$$\pi(x; q, a) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{(2+\varepsilon)x}{\varphi(q) \log(xq^{-3/8})} & \text{if } q \leq x^{5/6-\delta} \\ \frac{(1/2+\varepsilon)x}{\varphi(q) \log(x/q)} & \text{if } x^{5/6-\delta} \leq q \leq x^{6/7-\delta} \quad (0 < \delta < 1/200) \end{cases}$$

for almost-all a .

Received June 6, 1990

We use the standard notation in number theory. Especially, \bar{r} , used in either \bar{r}/s or congruence $(\text{mod } s)$, means $\bar{r}r \equiv 1 \pmod{s}$. ε denotes a small positive constant and the constants implied in the symbols \ll and O may depend only on ε . For convenience, we write $n \sim N$ when $N \leq N_1 < n \leq N_2 \leq 2N$ for some N_1 and N_2 .

I would like to thank Professor S. Uchiyama for encouragement and careful reading of the original manuscript. I would also thank the referee for making the paper easier to read.

2. Lemmas.

We first state the inequality of Rosser-Iwaniec sieve [2, 5] in a simplified form that is sufficient for our present aim.

LEMMA 1. *We have for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and all $x > x_0(\varepsilon)$*

$$\pi(x; q, a) \leq \frac{(2+\varepsilon)x}{\varphi(q) \log D} + \sum_{(d, q)=1} \lambda_d(D) r_d(x; q, a)$$

where $D \geq 1$ is an arbitrary parameter;

$$r_d(x; q, a) = |\{n : n \leq x, n \equiv a \pmod{q}, d \mid n\}| - \frac{x}{qd};$$

the sieving weights $(\lambda_d) = (\lambda_d(D))$ have the following properties:

$$\lambda_d = 0 \quad \text{if } d \geq D,$$

$$|\lambda_d| \leq \mu^2(d),$$

and for any $M, N \geq 1, MN = D$,

$$\lambda_d = \sum_{l \leq \log D} \sum_{\substack{m \leq M \\ d = mn}} \sum_{n \leq N} a_m(l, M, N) b_n(l, M, N)$$

with certain sequences (a) and (b), $|a_m|, |b_n| \leq 1$.

LEMMA 2. *Let $\phi(t) = [t] - t + 1/2$. For $H > 2$ we have*

$$\phi(t) = \sum_{0 < |h| \leq H} \frac{e(ht)}{2\pi i h} + O\left(\min\left(1, \frac{1}{H \|t\|}\right)\right)$$

where $e(x) = e^{2\pi i x}$ and $\|x\| = \min_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |x - n|$. Moreover,

$$\min\left(1, \frac{1}{H \|t\|}\right) = \sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z}} C_h e(ht)$$

with

$$C_n \ll \min\left(\frac{\log H}{H}, \frac{H}{h^2}\right).$$

LEMMA 3. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$\sum_{\substack{\bar{n} \sim N \\ (n, cd)=1}} e\left(b \frac{\bar{n}}{d}\right) \ll \tau(c)(b, d)^{1/2} d^{1/2+\varepsilon} \left(1 + \frac{N}{d}\right).$$

Lemma 2 is well known. Lemma 3 is the Hooley's version of bounds for incomplete Kloosterman sums [1].

3. Proof of Theorem.

Maintaining the notation introduced in Lemma 1, we put

$$E_a = \sum_{(d, q)=1} \lambda_d r_d(x; q, a).$$

We use the following lemma:

LEMMA 4. If $M = x^{4/3-4\varepsilon} q^{-8/9}$ and $N = q^{7/9} x^{-2/3}$, then we have

$$\sum_{\substack{a=1 \\ (a, q)=1}}^q |E_a|^2 \ll x(\log x)^3 + \frac{x^{2-\varepsilon}}{q}$$

uniformly for $x^{6/7} \leq q < x$.

We postpone the proof of Lemma 4 until the final section. By Lemma 1, on choosing M and N as in Lemma 4, we have

$$(1) \quad \pi(x; q, a) \leq \frac{(18+99\varepsilon)x}{\varphi(q) \log(x^6/q)} + E_a.$$

We denote by \mathcal{E} the exceptional set of reduced classes modulo q , i.e.

$$\mathcal{E} = \left\{ a : 1 \leq a \leq q, (a, q) = 1, \pi(x; q, a) > \frac{(18+99\varepsilon)x}{\varphi(q) \log(x^6/q)} \right\}.$$

We shall show that $|\mathcal{E}| = o(\varphi(q))$, from which Theorem follows.

By (1) we see that $a \notin \mathcal{E}$ unless

$$E_a > \frac{\varepsilon x}{\varphi(q) \log(x^6/q)}.$$

We therefore get, by Lemma 4, that uniformly for $x^{6/7} \leq q \leq x(\log x)^{-A}$ with $A > 5$

$$|\mathcal{E}| \left(\frac{\varepsilon x}{\varphi(q) \log(x^6/q)} \right)^2 < \sum_{a \in \mathcal{E}} |E_a|^2 \leq \sum_{\substack{a=1 \\ (a, q)=1}}^q |E_a|^2 \ll x(\log x)^3 + \frac{x^2}{q} (\log x)^{-3}$$

or

$$|\mathcal{E}| \ll \varphi(q) \left\{ \frac{q(\log x)^5}{x} + (\log x)^{-1} \right\}$$

$$\ll \varphi(q) \{ (\log x)^{5-A} + (\log x)^{-1} \}$$

as required.

4. Proof of Lemma 4, preliminaries.

In this section we reduce the proof of Lemma 4 to the estimation of R defined by (5) below. Since

$$E_a = \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \equiv a \pmod{q}}} \left(\sum_{\substack{d|n \\ (d, q)=1}} \lambda_d \right) - \left(\sum_{(d, q)=1} \frac{\lambda_d}{d} \right) \frac{x}{q},$$

we have

$$(2) \quad \sum_{\substack{a=1 \\ (a, q)=1}}^q |E_a|^2 \leq \sum_{a=1}^q |E_a|^2 = W - 2V + U$$

where

$$U = \frac{x^2}{q^2} \left(\sum_{(d, q)=1} \frac{\lambda_d}{d} \right)^2$$

$$V = \sum_{n \leq x} \left(\sum_{\substack{d_1|n \\ (d_1, q)=1}} \lambda_{d_1} \right) \left(\sum_{(d_2, q)=1} \frac{\lambda_{d_2}}{d_2} \right) \frac{x}{q}$$

$$W = \sum_{\substack{n_1, n_2 \leq x \\ n_1 \equiv n_2 \pmod{q}}} \left(\sum_{\substack{d_1|n_1 \\ (d_1, q)=1}} \lambda_{d_1} \right) \left(\sum_{\substack{d_2|n_2 \\ (d_2, q)=1}} \lambda_{d_2} \right).$$

We first consider W . We interpret the congruence $n_1 \equiv n_2 \pmod{q}$ as $n_2 = n_1 + ql$. Changing the order of summation we have

$$W = 2 \sum_{0 < l \leq x/q} \sum_{\substack{d_1 \\ (d_1, d_2, q)=1}} \sum_{\substack{d_2 \\ (d_2, q)=1}} \lambda_{d_1} \lambda_{d_2} \sum_{\substack{n \leq x-ql \\ n \equiv 0 \pmod{d_1} \\ n+ql \equiv 0 \pmod{d_2}}} 1 + \sum_{n \leq x} \left(\sum_{\substack{d|n \\ (d, q)=1}} \lambda_d \right)^2.$$

The simultaneous congruences $n \equiv 0 \pmod{d_1}$, $n + ql \equiv 0 \pmod{d_2}$ are soluble if and only if $(d_1, d_2) | l$, and, in case of $(d_1, d_2) | l$, reduce to the single congruence $n \equiv b \pmod{[d_1, d_2]}$ where

$$(3) \quad \begin{cases} b \equiv 0 & (\text{mod } d_1) \\ b \equiv -ql & (\text{mod } d_2^*) \end{cases}$$

with $d_j^* = d_j / (d_1, d_2)$, $j=1, 2$. Thus,

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} W &= 2 \sum_{0 < l \leq x/q} \sum_{\substack{d_1 \\ (d_1, d_2, q)=1}} \sum_{\substack{d_2 \\ (d_2, q)=1}} \lambda_{d_1} \lambda_{d_2} \sum_{\substack{n \leq x-ql \\ n \equiv b \pmod{[d_1, d_2]}}} 1 + O\left(\sum_{n \leq x} \tau(n)^2\right) \\ &= W_1 + 2R + O(x(\log x)^3) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$W_1 = 2 \sum_{0 < l \leq x/q} \sum_{\substack{d_1, d_2 \\ (d_1, d_2, q) \equiv 1 \\ (d_1, d_2) | l}} \lambda_{d_1} \lambda_{d_2} \frac{x - ql}{[d_1, d_2]}$$

and

$$(5) \quad R = \sum_{0 < l \leq x/q} \sum_{\substack{d_1, d_2 \\ (d_1, d_2, q) \equiv 1 \\ (d_1, d_2) | l}} \lambda_{d_1} \lambda_{d_2} \left(\sum_{\substack{n \leq x-ql \\ n \equiv b \pmod{[d_1, d_2]}}} 1 - \frac{x-ql}{[d_1, d_2]} \right).$$

Leaving the estimation of R to the next section, we here carry out the summation over l in W_1 .

$$W_1 = \sum_{\substack{d_1, d_2 \\ (d_1, d_2, q) \equiv 1}} \frac{\lambda_{d_1} \lambda_{d_2}}{[d_1, d_2]} \sum_{\substack{l \leq x/q \\ (d_1, d_2) | l}} 2(x - ql).$$

We may assume $(d_1, d_2) \leq x/q$, otherwise the sum over l is empty. By an elementary argument we see that the inner sum is equal to

$$\frac{x^2}{q(d_1, d_2)} + O(x).$$

Hence,

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} W_1 &= \sum_{\substack{d_1, d_2 \\ (d_1, d_2, q) \equiv 1 \\ (d_1, d_2) \leq x/q}} \frac{\lambda_{d_1} \lambda_{d_2}}{[d_1, d_2]} \frac{x^2}{q(d_1, d_2)} + O\left(\sum_{\substack{d_1, d_2 \\ (d_1, d_2) \leq x/q}} \frac{x}{[d_1, d_2]} \right) \\ &= \frac{x^2}{q} \left(\sum_{(d, q) \equiv 1} \frac{\lambda_d}{d} \right)^2 + O\left(\frac{x^2}{q} \sum_{\substack{d_1, d_2 \\ (d_1, d_2) > x/q}} \frac{1}{d_1 d_2} \right) + O(x(\log x)^3) \\ &= U + O(x(\log x)^3). \end{aligned}$$

We turn to V . Since

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \leq x} \left(\sum_{\substack{d_1 | n \\ (d_1, q) = 1}} \lambda_{d_1} \right) &= \sum_{(d_1, q) = 1} \lambda_{d_1} \left(\frac{x}{d_1} + O(1) \right) \\ &= \left(\sum_{(d_1, q) = 1} \frac{\lambda_{d_1}}{d_1} \right) x + O(D), \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} V &= \left\{ \left(\sum_{(d_1, q) = 1} \frac{\lambda_{d_1}}{d_1} \right) x + O(D) \right\} \left(\sum_{(d_2, q) = 1} \frac{\lambda_{d_2}}{d_2} \right) \frac{x}{q} \\ &= U + O\left(\frac{x}{q} D \log D \right). \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (2), (4) and (6), we get

$$(7) \quad \sum_{\substack{\alpha \\ (\alpha, q) = 1}}^q |E_\alpha|^2 \ll |R| + x(\log x)^3 + \frac{x}{q} D \log D$$

where R is defined by (5).

5. Proof of Lemma 4.

In this section we estimate R by appealing to Lemmas 2 and 3. We shall show that $R \ll x^{2-\varepsilon} q^{-1}$, from which Lemma 4 follows by (7). We begin with expressing the innermost sum in (5) as

$$(8) \quad \psi\left(\frac{x-ql}{[d_1, d_2]} - \frac{b}{[d_1, d_2]}\right) - \psi\left(-\frac{b}{[d_1, d_2]}\right)$$

By the definition (3) of $b(\bmod [d_1, d_2])$ and the relation

$$\frac{\bar{m}}{n} + \frac{\bar{n}}{m} \equiv \frac{1}{mn} \pmod{1} \quad \text{for } (m, n)=1,$$

we have

$$(9) \quad -\frac{b}{[d_1, d_2]} \equiv -\frac{b\bar{d}_2^*}{d_1} - \frac{b\bar{d}_1}{d_2^*} \equiv ql \frac{\bar{d}_1}{d_2^*} \equiv q \frac{l}{(d_1, d_2)} \frac{\bar{d}_1^*}{d_2^*} \pmod{1}$$

since $\mu^2(d_1)=\mu^2(d_2)=1$ and $(d_1, d_2)|l$. Furthermore we decompose (λ_{d_2}) by Lemma 1, getting

$$(10) \quad \lambda_{d_2} = \sum_{c \leq \log MN} \sum_{rs=(d_1, d_2)} \sum_{m\bar{n}=d_2} a_{rm}(c, M, N) b_{sn}(c, M, N).$$

In conjunction with (5), (8), (9) and (10) we may write

$$(11) \quad R = \sum_{\substack{\delta l \leq x/q \\ (\delta, q)=1}} \sum_{(k, q)=1} \lambda_{\delta k} \sum_{c \leq \log MN} \sum_{rs=\delta} \sum_m \sum_n a_{rm}(c, M, N) b_{sn}(c, M, N) \\ \cdot \left\{ \psi\left(\frac{x-q\delta l}{kmn} + ql \frac{\bar{k}}{mn}\right) - \psi\left(ql \frac{\bar{k}}{mn}\right) \right\} \\ \ll \sum_{\delta \leq x/q} \tau(\delta) \log x \sum_{K \leq M_0} \sum_{M \leq M_0} \sum_{N \leq N_0} \sup_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} |R_1(\delta, K, M, N, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)|$$

with

$$R_1 = R_1(\delta, K, M, N, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) \\ = \sum_{\substack{k \sim K \\ (k, m, n)=1 \\ (kmn, q)=1}} \sum_{l \leq L} \sum_{m \sim M} \sum_{n \sim N} \alpha(k) \beta(m) \gamma(n) \left\{ \psi\left(\frac{x-q\delta l}{kmn} + ql \frac{\bar{k}}{mn}\right) - \psi\left(ql \frac{\bar{k}}{mn}\right) \right\}$$

where $M_0 = x^{4/3-4\varepsilon} q^{-8/3}$, $N_0 = q^{7/3} x^{-2/3}$; K, M, N 's run through powers of 2; the supremum is taken over all sequences $(\alpha), (\beta), (\gamma)$ such that $|\alpha|, |\beta|, |\gamma| \leq 1$; and $L = x/q\delta$. When $KMN \leq x^{1-2\varepsilon}$, we trivially have

$$(12) \quad R_1 \ll \frac{x^2}{q\delta} x^{-2\varepsilon}.$$

From now on we assume

$$(13) \quad KMN > x^{1-2\varepsilon}.$$

We apply Lemma 2 to ϕ -function in R_1 , getting

$$(14) \quad R_1 = R_2 + R_3$$

where

$$R_2 = \sum_{k \sim K} \sum_{\substack{l \leq L \\ (k, m, n) = 1 \\ (k, mn, q) = 1}} \sum_{m \sim M} \sum_{n \sim N} \frac{\alpha(k)\beta(m)\gamma(n)}{\delta kmn} \sum_{0 < |h| \leq H} e\left(hql \frac{\bar{k}}{mn}\right) \int_0^{x-q\delta l} e\left(\frac{ht}{\delta kmn}\right) dt$$

$$R_3 \ll \sum_{j=1, 2} \sum_{k \sim K} \sum_{\substack{l \leq L \\ (k, m, n) = (mn, q) = 1}} \sum_{m \sim M} \sum_{n \sim N} \min\left(1, \frac{1}{H \|(x_j/\delta kmn) + ql(\bar{k}/mn)\|}\right)$$

with $x_1=0$ and $x_2=x-q\delta l$.

First we treat R_3 . By Lemma 2,

$$(15) \quad R_3 \ll \sum_{j=1, 2} \sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z}} |C_h| |S_h|$$

where

$$S_h = \sum_{k \sim K} \sum_{\substack{l \leq L \\ (k, m, n) = (mn, q) = 1}} \sum_{m \sim M} \sum_{n \sim N} e\left(\frac{hx_j}{\delta kmn}\right) e\left(hql \frac{\bar{k}}{mn}\right).$$

We proceed to the estimation of S_h . Trivially,

$$(16) \quad S_h \ll KLMN.$$

For $h \neq 0$ we have, by partial summation and Lemma 3,

$$\begin{aligned} S_h &\ll \sum_l \sum_m \sum_n \left| \sum_{\substack{k \sim K \\ (k, m, n) = 1}} e\left(hql \frac{\bar{k}}{mn}\right) \right| \left(1 + \frac{hx}{\delta Kmn}\right) \\ &\ll \left(1 + \frac{hx}{\delta KMN}\right) \sum_l \sum_m \sum_n (hql, mn)^{1/2} (mn)^{1/2+\varepsilon} \left(1 + \frac{K}{mn}\right) \\ &\ll x^\varepsilon \left(1 + \frac{hx}{KMN}\right) \sum_l \left(\sum_m \sum_n \frac{(hl, mn)}{mn} \right)^{1/2} \{ (\sum_m \sum_n (mn)^2)^{1/2} + K (\sum_m \sum_n 1)^{1/2} \} \\ &\ll x^\varepsilon \left(1 + \frac{hx}{KMN}\right) \sum_l \tau(hl) \{ (MN)^{3/2} + K(MN)^{1/2} \} \\ &\ll x^\varepsilon \left(1 + \frac{hx}{KMN}\right) \tau(h) L(\log x) (M_0 N_0)^{3/2} \\ (17) \quad &\ll Lx^{1-5\varepsilon} (\log x) \left(1 + \frac{hx}{KMN}\right) \tau(h), \end{aligned}$$

since $M_0 N_0 \leq x^{2/3-4\varepsilon}$. Now we choose

$$H = \frac{KMN}{x^{1-3\varepsilon}};$$

then $H > 2$ by (13). Thus, by (15), (16), (17) and Lemma 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
R_3 &\ll (|C_0| + \sum_{h_1 > H^2} |C_h|) KLMN + \sum_{0 < h_1 \leq H^2} |C_h| Lx^{1-5\epsilon}(\log x) \left(1 + \frac{hx}{KMN}\right) \tau(h) \\
&\ll \left(\frac{\log H}{H} + \sum_{h > H^2} \frac{H}{h^2}\right) KLMN \\
&\quad + Lx^{1-5\epsilon}(\log x) \left\{ \sum_{0 < h \leq H} \tau(h) \left(1 + \frac{Hx}{KMN}\right) \frac{\log H}{H} + \sum_{H < h \leq H^2} \tau(h) \left(1 + \frac{hx}{KMN}\right) \frac{H}{h^2} \right\} \\
&\ll Lx^{1-2\epsilon} + Lx^{1-5\epsilon}(\log x) \cdot x^{3\epsilon}(\log x)^2 \\
(18) \quad &\ll \frac{x^2}{q\delta} x^{-2\epsilon}(\log x)^3.
\end{aligned}$$

We turn to R_2 . We have

$$\begin{aligned}
R_2 &\leq 2 \int_0^x \sum_{\substack{k \sim K \\ (k, m) = (n, q) = 1}} \sum_{m \sim M} \frac{|\alpha(k)\beta(m)|}{\delta kmN} \\
&\quad \cdot \left| \sum_{0 < h \leq H} \sum_{l \leq (x-t)/q\delta} \sum_{\substack{n \sim N \\ (n, kq) = 1}} \gamma(n) \frac{N}{n} e\left(\frac{ht}{\delta kmn}\right) e\left(hql \frac{\bar{k}}{mn}\right) \right| dt \\
&\ll \frac{x}{\delta KMN} \sup_{t, c} \sum_k \sum_m \left| \sum_h \sum_l \sum_n c_n e\left(\frac{ht}{\delta kmn}\right) e\left(hql \frac{\bar{k}}{mn}\right) \right|
\end{aligned}$$

where the supremum is taken over all sequences (c) , $|c| \leq 1$, and all $0 \leq t \leq x$. Thus,

$$(19) \quad R_2 \ll \frac{x}{\delta KMN} \sup_{t, c} (KM)^{1/2} (S(t, c))^{1/2}$$

where

$$S = S(t, c) = \sum_{\substack{k \sim K \\ (kq, m) = 1}} \sum_{m \sim M} \left| \sum_{0 < h \leq H} \sum_{l \leq (x-t)/q\delta} \sum_{\substack{n \sim N \\ (n, kq) = 1}} c_n e\left(\frac{ht}{\delta kmn}\right) e\left(hql \frac{\bar{k}}{mn}\right) \right|^2.$$

We proceed to the estimation of S . Expanding the square and changing the order of summation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
S &= \sum_{h_1, h_2} \sum_{l_1, l_2} \sum_{n_1, n_2} c_{n_1} c_{n_2} \sum_k \sum_m e\left(\left(\frac{h_1}{n_1} - \frac{h_2}{n_2}\right) \frac{t}{\delta km}\right) e\left(h_1 q l_1 \frac{\bar{k}}{m n_1} - h_2 q l_2 \frac{\bar{k}}{m n_2}\right) \\
&\leq \sum_{0 < h_1, h_2 \leq H} \sum_{l_1, l_2 \leq L} \sum_{\substack{n_1, n_2 \sim N \\ (m n_1 n_2, q) = 1}} \sum_{m \sim M} \\
&\quad \cdot \left| \sum_{\substack{k \sim K \\ (k, m n_1 n_2) = 1}} e\left(\frac{(h_1 n_2 - h_2 n_1) t}{\delta k m n_1 n_2}\right) e\left((h_1 l_1 n_2 - h_2 l_2 n_1) q \frac{\bar{k}}{m n_1 n_2}\right) \right|
\end{aligned}$$

Here, the contribution of the diagonal terms $h_1 l_1 n_2 - h_2 l_2 n_1 = 0$ is at most

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{h_1 l_1 n_2 = h_2 l_2 n_1} KM \ll KM \sum_{r \leq 2HLN} \tau_3(r)^2 \\
&\ll x^3 HKLMN \\
(20) \quad &\ll x^{1-2\epsilon} H^2 L.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3, the non-diagonal terms contribute to S at most

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{h_1, h_2, l_1, l_2, n_1, n_2 \\ h_1 l_1 n_2 \neq h_2 l_2 n_1 \\ (mn_1 n_2, q)=1}} \sum_m \left(1 + \frac{HNx}{\delta KMN^2} \right) \left| \sum_{\substack{k \sim K \\ (k, mn_1 n_2)=1}} e\left((h_1 l_1 n_2 - h_2 l_2 n_1) q \frac{\bar{k}}{mn_1 n_2} \right) \right| \\
& \ll \left(1 + \frac{Hx}{KMN} \right) \sum_{h_1, h_2} \sum_{l_1, l_2} \sum_{n_1, n_2} \sum_m x^\varepsilon ((h_1 l_1 n_2 - h_2 l_2 n_1) q, mn_1 n_2)^{1/2} \\
& \quad \cdot (mn_1 n_2)^{1/2} \left(1 + \frac{K}{mn_1 n_2} \right) \\
& \ll x^{4\varepsilon} \sum_{h_1, h_2} \sum_{l_1, l_2} \left(\sum_{\substack{m, n_1, n_2 \\ h_1 l_1 n_2 \neq h_2 l_2 n_1}} \frac{(h_1 l_1 n_2 - h_2 l_2 n_1, mn_1 n_2)}{mn_1 n_2} \right)^{1/2} \\
& \quad \cdot \left\{ \left(\sum_{m, n_1, n_2} (mn_1 n_2)^2 \right)^{1/2} + K \left(\sum_{m, n_1, n_2} 1 \right)^{1/2} \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we easily see

$$\sum_{\substack{m, n_1, n_2 \\ h_1 l_1 n_2 \neq h_2 l_2 n_1}} \frac{(h_1 l_1 n_2 - h_2 l_2 n_1, mn_1 n_2)}{mn_1 n_2} \ll x^\varepsilon.$$

Therefore, the contribution of the non-diagonal terms is

$$\begin{aligned}
& \ll x^{5\varepsilon} (HL)^2 \{ (MN^2)^{3/2} + K(MN^2)^{1/2} \} \\
& \ll x^{5\varepsilon} H^2 L^2 M_0^{3/2} N_0^3.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (19) and (20), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
R_2 & \ll \frac{x^{3\varepsilon}}{\delta H} \{ M_0^2 N_0 (x^{1-2\varepsilon} H^2 L + x^{5\varepsilon} H^2 L^2 M_0^{3/2} N_0^3) \}^{1/2} \\
& \ll \frac{1}{\delta} \left\{ \frac{x^2}{q} x^{4\varepsilon} M_0^2 N_0 + \left(\frac{x}{q} \right)^2 x^{11\varepsilon} M_0^{7/2} N_0^4 \right\}^{1/2} \\
& \ll \frac{1}{\delta} \left\{ \frac{x^{2-4\varepsilon}}{q} \left(\frac{x^{4/3}}{q^{8/9}} \right)^2 \left(\frac{q^{7/9}}{x^{2/3}} \right) + \left(\frac{x}{q} \right)^2 x^{-3\varepsilon} \left(\frac{x^{4/3}}{q^{8/9}} \right)^{7/2} \left(\frac{q^{7/9}}{x^{2/3}} \right)^4 \right\}^{1/2} \\
(21) \quad & \ll \frac{x^2}{q\delta} x^{-3\varepsilon/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

In conjunction with (11), (12), (14), (18) and (21) we get

$$R \ll \sum_{\delta \leq x/q} \tau(\delta) (\log x)^4 \frac{x^2}{q\delta} x^{-3\varepsilon/2} \ll \frac{x^{2-\varepsilon}}{q},$$

as required.

This completes the proof of our Theorem.

References

- [1] Hooley, C., On the Brun-Titchmarsh theorem., *J. reine angew. Math.* **225** (1972), 60-79.
- [2] Iwaniec, H., A new form of the error term in the linear sieve., *Acta Arith.* **37** (1980), 307-320.
- [3] Iwaniec, H., On the Brun-Titchmarsh theorem., *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **34** (1982), 95-123.
- [4] Motohashi, Y., On some improvements of the Brun-Titchmarsh theorem., *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **26** (1974), 306-327.
- [5] Motohashi, Y., *Sieve methods and prime number theory.*, Tata Inst. Lect. Math. **72**, 1983.
- [6] Turán, P., Über die Primzahlen der arithmetischen Progression., *Acta Sci. Math. Szeged.* **8** (1936/37), 226-235.

Institute of Mathematics
University of Tsukuba