

THE DIFFERENCES BETWEEN CONSECUTIVE ALMOST-PRIMES

By

Hiroshi MIKAWA

1. Introduction.

In 1940 P. Erdős [1] proposed the problem to estimate the sum

$$D(x) = \sum_{p_n \leq x} (p_{n+1} - p_n)^2$$

where p_n denotes the n -th prime. A. Selberg [10] and D. R. Heath-Brown [4] proved that

$$D(x) \ll x(\log x)^3$$

under the Riemann hypothesis, and that, for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$D(x) \ll x^{7/6+\varepsilon}$$

under the Lindelöf hypothesis, respectively. Furthermore, Heath-Brown [5] showed unconditionally that, for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$D(x) \ll x^{23/18+\varepsilon},$$

and he [6] conjectured that

$$D(x) \sim 2x(\log x) \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

U. Meyer considered in his Dissertation the almost-prime analogy of $D(x)$. Let P_2 denote the set of integers with at most two prime factors, multiple factors being counted multiplicity. We replace the primes in $D(x)$ by the almost-primes P_2 , and denote the resulting sum $D_2(x)$. In [8] he showed, by the weighted version of a zero density estimate for the Riemann zeta-function, that

$$D_2(x) \ll x^{1.285}(\log x)^{10}.$$

It is the purpose of this paper to make an improvement upon this upper bound.

THEOREM. *We have*

$$D_2(x) \ll x^{1.023}$$

where the implied constant is effectively computable.

In contrast to the Meyer's argument, we appeal to sieve methods, which are the weighted linear sieve of Greaves' type [3] and the prototype of an additive

large sieve inequality [9]. J. B. Friedlander [2] considered the related problem from a different point of view. Our argument should be compared with [2], in which also sieve methods were employed.

We use the standard notation in number theory. Especially, for an integer a , $\Omega(a)$ and $\nu(a)$ denote the number of prime factors counted multiplicity and the number of different prime factors of a , respectively. All the implied constants are effectively computable.

I would like to thank Professor G. Greaves for sending me a copy of the preprint of his paper [3].

The present paper is a revised version of part of my Master thesis at Okayama University. I would like to thank Professor S. Uchiyama for encouraging me to publish the paper and careful reading the original manuscript. I would also thank the referee for making the paper easier to read.

2. Reduction of the problem.

In this section we deduce Theorem from Lemma 1 below. We postpone the proof of Lemma 1 until the final section. To simplify the notation, let p_n denote the n -th almost-prime P_2 and write $d_n = p_{n+1} - p_n$. Put $\theta = 1.023$.

We will show that

$$(1) \quad \sum_{x < p_n \leq 2x} d_n^2 \ll x^\theta$$

for all sufficiently large x . The assertion of Theorem immediately follows from this by the routine argument.

LEMMA 1. *We have uniformly for $x \leq y \leq 2x$, $(\log x)^3 < \Delta \leq x/2$,*

$$\sum_{\substack{y-\Delta < a \leq y \\ \Omega(a) \leq 2}} 1 > C\Delta(\log x)^{-1} + O(\Delta(\log x)^{-3}) + E_1(y, \Delta) + E_2(y, \Delta),$$

where the $E_j(y, \Delta)$ ($j=1, 2$) are some quantities depending on y and Δ to be given explicitly in §4 below and satisfying

$$(2) \quad \int_x^{2x} |E_j(y, \Delta)|^j dy \ll \Delta^{j-1} x^\theta (\log x)^{-j-1}, \quad j=1, 2.$$

Here the positive constant C is effectively computable.

Now, let

$$\Pi(\Delta) = \{p_n \in P_2; x < p_n \leq 2x, 2\Delta < d_n \leq 4\Delta, p_{n+1} \leq 2x\}.$$

It is well known that $d_n \ll p_n^{1/2}$ for sufficiently large $p_n \in P_2$, so we may assume

$$(3) \quad \Delta \ll x^{1/2}.$$

For any fixed $p_n \in \Pi(\Delta)$, suppose that

$$|E_j(y, \Delta)| \leq \frac{C}{3} \frac{\Delta}{\log x}, \quad j=1, 2$$

for some $y \in [p_n + d_n/2, p_{n+1})$. Then the right-hand side of (1) is positive for sufficiently large x . But, since

$$y - \Delta > \frac{d_n}{2} + p_n - \frac{d_n}{2} = p_n,$$

the left-hand side of (1) is zero, which is impossible. Thus,

$$|E_1(y, \Delta)| > \frac{C}{3} \frac{\Delta}{\log x} \quad \text{or} \quad |E_2(y, \Delta)| > \frac{C}{3} \frac{\Delta}{\log x},$$

for all $y \in [p_n + d_n/2, p_{n+1})$. Namely,

$$(4) \quad \Pi(\Delta) \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^2 \Pi_j(\Delta)$$

where

$$\Pi_j(\Delta) = \{p_n \in \Pi(\Delta) ; |E_j(y, \Delta)| > \frac{C}{3} \frac{\Delta}{\log x} \text{ for all } y \in [p_n + \frac{d_n}{2}, p_{n+1})\}.$$

Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_x^{2x} |E_j(y, \Delta)|^j dy &\geq \sum_{p_n \in \Pi_j(\Delta)} \int_{p_n + d_n/2}^{p_{n+1}} |E_j(y, \Delta)|^j dy \\ &> \sum_{p_n \in \Pi_j(\Delta)} \left(\frac{C}{3} \frac{\Delta}{\log x}\right)^j \frac{d_n}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

since the intervals $[p_n + d_n/2, p_{n+1}]$ are mutually disjoint. Hence, by (2)

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{p_n \in \Pi_j(\Delta)} d_n &\ll \left(\frac{\Delta}{\log x}\right)^{-j} \int_x^{2x} |E_j(y, \Delta)|^j dy \\ &\ll \Delta^{-1} x^\theta (\log x)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since there is at most one element $p_n \in P_2$ such that

$$x < p_n \leq 2x, \quad 2\Delta < d_n \leq 4\Delta, \quad p_{n+1} > 2x,$$

we have uniformly for $(\log x)^3 < \Delta \leq x^{1/2}$,

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{x < p_n \leq 2x \\ 2\Delta < d_n \leq 4\Delta}} d_n &\leq \sum_{p_n \in \Pi(\Delta)} d_n + 4\Delta \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^2 \sum_{p_n \in \Pi_j(\Delta)} d_n + 4\Delta \\ &\ll \Delta^{-1} x^\theta (\log x)^{-1} + \Delta \\ &\ll \Delta^{-1} x^\theta (\log x)^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

by (4), (5) and (3).

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{x < p_n \leq 2x} d_n^2 &= \sum_{\substack{x < p_n \leq 2x \\ d_n \leq x^{\theta-1}}} d_n^2 + \sum_{\substack{x < p_n \leq 2x \\ d_n > x^{\theta-1}}} d_n^2 \\ &\leq x^{\theta-1} \sum_{x < p_n \leq 2x} d_n + \sum_{x^{\theta-1} \ll d \ll x^{1/2}} \sum_{\substack{x < p_n \leq 2x \\ 2d < d_n \leq 4d}} d_n^2 \end{aligned}$$

where d 's run through the powers of 2. By (6) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{x < p_n \leq 2x} d_n^2 &\ll x^\theta + \sum_d d \sum_{\substack{x < p_n \leq 2x \\ 2d < d_n \leq 4d}} d_n \\ &\ll x^\theta + \sum_d x^\theta (\log x)^{-1} \\ &\ll x^\theta, \end{aligned}$$

as required.

3. Lemmas.

Firstly we state the results of [3] merely in as simple a way as is sufficient for our application.

LEMMA 2. *If $\Lambda = (\log 2x)(\log D)^{-1} < 1.95544$, then we have*

$$\sum_{\substack{y-d < a \leq y \\ \Omega(a) \leq 2}} 1 > C(\Lambda) d (\log x)^{-1} + \sum_{d < D} \lambda_a r_a(y, d) - \sum_{\substack{y-d < n \leq y \\ p^2 | n \\ D^v \leq p < D^u}} 1,$$

uniformly for

$$x \leq y \leq 2x, \quad 2 < d \leq x/2$$

where the positive constant $C(\Lambda)$ is effective and depends on Λ only,

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda_a| &\leq \mu(d)^{23^v(a)}, \\ r_a(y, d) &= \left[\frac{y}{d} \right] - \left[\frac{y-d}{d} \right] - \frac{d}{d}, \end{aligned}$$

and u and v are the absolute constants such that $0.01 < v < u < 1$.

LEMMA 3. *For any real t , and $H > 2$, we have*

$$t - [t] - \frac{1}{2} = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{0 < |h| < H} \frac{1}{h} e(ht) + O\left(\min\left(1, \frac{1}{H||t||}\right)\right)$$

where

$$e(t) = e^{2\pi it},$$

and

$$||t|| = \min_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} |t - n|.$$

PROOF. See [7], for example.

LEMMA 4. Let $1 < A \ll 1$. For any different real numbers (b_n) and any complex numbers (c_n) , we have

$$\int_x^{Ax} \left| \sum_n c_n e(b_n u) \right|^2 du \ll (x + \delta^{-1}) \sum_n |c_n|^2$$

where

$$\delta = \min_{m \neq n} |b_m - b_n|.$$

PROOF. This is the corollary 2 in [9].

LEMMA 5. Let $1 < A \ll 1$. For any $H > 2$, we have

$$\int_x^{Ax} \min\left(H, \frac{1}{\|u\|}\right) du \ll x(\log H).$$

PROOF.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{x-1 < n \leq Ax \\ n \in \mathbb{Z}}} \int_n^{n+1} \min\left(H, \frac{1}{\|u\|}\right) du &\ll \sum_n \int_0^{1/2} \min\left(H, \frac{1}{u}\right) du \\ &\ll x(\log H). \end{aligned}$$

4. Proof of Lemma 1.

We begin with considering

$$\sum_{\substack{y-d < n \leq y \\ p^2 | n \\ D^v \leq p < D^u}} 1 = \sum_{\substack{y-d < n \leq y \\ p^2 | n \\ D^v \leq p < x^{1/3}}} 1 + \sum_{\substack{y-d < n \leq y \\ p^2 | n \\ x^{1/3} \leq p < D^u}} 1 = R_1 + R_2, \text{ say.}$$

By Lemma 3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} R_1 &= \sum_{D^v \leq p < x^{1/3}} \sum_{(y-d)/p^2 < m \leq y/p^2} 1 \\ &= \sum_{D^v \leq p < x^{1/3}} \frac{d}{p^2} + \sum_p r_{p^2}(y, d) \\ &= O(d(\log x)^{-3}) + \sum_p \sum_{0 < |h| < p} \frac{1}{2\pi i h} \left\{ 1 - e\left(-\frac{h}{p^2} d\right) \right\} e\left(\frac{h}{p^2} y\right) + \\ &\quad + \sum_p O\left(\min\left(1, \frac{1}{p\|y/p^2\|}\right) + \min\left(1, \frac{1}{p\|(y-d)/p^2\|}\right)\right) \\ &= O(d(\log x)^{-3}) + R_{12} + R_{11}, \text{ say.} \end{aligned}$$

We have then

$$\int_x^{2x} |R_{12}|^2 dy \ll (\log x) \max_{D^v \leq p < x^{1/3}} \left| \int_x^{2x} \sum_{\substack{0 < h < p \\ p < p \leq 2p}} \frac{1}{h} \left\{ 1 - e\left(-\frac{h}{p^2} d\right) \right\} e\left(\frac{h}{p^2} y\right) \right|^2 dy$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\ll (\log x) \max_P (x+P^4) \sum_{h,p} \frac{1}{h^2} \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi h \Delta}{p^2}\right) \\ &\ll (\log x) \max_P (x+P^4) \frac{\Delta}{P} \\ &\ll \Delta x (\log x). \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 4. Moreover we have, by Lemma 5,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_x^{2x} |R_{11}| dy &\ll \sum_{D^v \leq p < x^{1/3}} \int_x^{2x} \min\left(1, \frac{1}{p||y/p^2||}\right) dy \\ &= \sum_p \int_{x/p^2}^{2x/p^2} \min\left(p, \frac{1}{||u||}\right) du \\ &\ll \sum_p \frac{x}{p^2} (\log x) \\ &\ll x (\log x). \end{aligned}$$

We next deal with R_2 .

$$\begin{aligned} R_2 &= \sum_{xD^{-2u} < m \leq 2x^{1/3}} \sum_{(y-D)/m < p^2 \leq y/m} 1 \\ &\leq \sum_m \sum_{\substack{(y-D)/m < k^2 \leq y/m \\ k \in \mathbf{Z}}} 1 \\ &\leq \sum_m \sum_{\substack{\sqrt{y/m} - \Delta/\sqrt{mx} < k \leq \sqrt{y/m} \\ k \in \mathbf{Z}}} 1 \\ &= \sum_m \frac{\Delta}{\sqrt{mx}} + \sum_m r_{\sqrt{m}}(\sqrt{y}, \Delta x^{-1/2}) \\ &= 0(\Delta (\log x)^{-3}) + \sum_m \sum_{0 < |h| < m} \frac{1}{2\pi i h} \left\{ 1 - e\left(-\frac{h}{\sqrt{m}} \Delta x^{-1/2}\right) \right\} e\left(\frac{h}{\sqrt{m}} y\right) + \\ &\quad + \sum_m \left(\min\left(1, \frac{1}{m||\sqrt{y/m}||}\right) + \min\left(1, \frac{1}{m||\sqrt{y/m} - \Delta/\sqrt{mx}||}\right) \right) \\ &= 0(\Delta (\log x)^{-3}) + R_{22} + R_{21}, \text{ say.} \end{aligned}$$

We have as before

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_x^{2x} |R_{22}|^2 dy \\ &\ll \int_x^{2x} \left| \sum'_{\substack{0 < h < m \\ xD^{-2u} < m \leq 2x^{1/3}}} \left(\sum_{k < x^{1/3}} \frac{1}{k} \right) \frac{1}{h} \left\{ 1 - e\left(-\frac{h}{\sqrt{m}} \Delta x^{-1/2}\right) \right\} e\left(\frac{h}{\sqrt{m}} \sqrt{y}\right) \right|^2 dy \\ &\ll \sqrt{x} \int_{\sqrt{x}}^{\sqrt{2x}} \left| \sum'_{h,m} \left(\sum_k \frac{1}{k} \right) \frac{1}{h} \left\{ 1 - e\left(-\frac{h}{\sqrt{m}} \Delta x^{-1/2}\right) \right\} e\left(\frac{h}{\sqrt{m}} u\right) \right|^2 du \end{aligned}$$

where \sum' indicates that h/\sqrt{m} 's are different from each other. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sqrt{m_1} + \sqrt{m_2} \right) \left| \frac{h_1}{\sqrt{m_1}} - \frac{h_2}{\sqrt{m_2}} \right| &> \left(\frac{h_1}{\sqrt{m_1}} + \frac{h_2}{\sqrt{m_2}} \right) \left| \frac{h_1}{\sqrt{m_2}} - \frac{h_2}{\sqrt{m_2}} \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{h_1^2}{m_1} - \frac{h_2^2}{m_2} \right| \\ &\geq \frac{1}{m_1 m_2}, \end{aligned}$$

we see that

$$\min_{\neq} \left| \frac{h_1}{\sqrt{m_1}} - \frac{h_2}{\sqrt{m_2}} \right| \gg (x^{1/3})^{-5/2}.$$

Hence, by Lemma 4, (5) contributes at most

$$\begin{aligned} & \sqrt{x} (\sqrt{x} + (x^{1/3})^{5/2}) \sum_{\substack{0 < h < m \\ xD^{-2u} < m \leq 2x^{1/3}}} \left(\sum_{k < x^{1/3}} \frac{1}{k} \right)^2 \frac{1}{h^2} \sin^2 \left(\frac{\pi h \Delta}{\sqrt{mx}} \right) \\ & \ll \sqrt{x} (\sqrt{x} + (x^{1/3})^{5/2}) (\log x)^2 \sum_{m \leq 2x^{1/3}} \frac{\Delta}{\sqrt{mx}} \\ & \ll \Delta (\sqrt{x} + (x^{1/3})^{5/2}) (x^{1/3})^{1/2} (\log x)^2 \\ & \ll \Delta x (\log x)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_x^{2x} |R_{21}| dy & \ll \sum_{xD^{-2u} < m \leq 2x^{1/3}} \int_x^{2x} \min \left(1, \frac{1}{m ||\sqrt{y/m}||} \right) dy \\ & \ll \sum_m \frac{1}{m} m \sqrt{x} \int_{\sqrt{x/m}}^{\sqrt{2x/m}} \min \left(m, \frac{1}{||u||} \right) du \\ & \ll \sum_m \frac{x}{m} (\log x) \\ & \ll x (\log x)^2, \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 5.

Now we proceed to the remainder terms with the sieve estimate.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{d < D} \lambda_d r_d(y, \Delta) & = \sum_{d < D} \lambda_d \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{0 < |h| < d} \frac{1}{h} \left\{ 1 - e \left(-\frac{h}{d} \Delta \right) \right\} e \left(\frac{h}{d} y \right) + \\ & \quad + \sum_{d < D} \lambda_d O \left(\min \left(1, \frac{1}{d ||y/d||} \right) + \min \left(1, \frac{1}{d ||(y-\Delta)/d||} \right) \right) \\ & = R_{32} + R_{31}, \text{ say.} \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_x^{2x} |R_{32}|^2 dy & \ll \int_x^{2x} \left| \sum_{\substack{0 < h < d < D \\ (h, d) = 1}} \left(\sum_m \frac{\lambda_{dm}}{m} \right) \frac{1}{h} \left\{ 1 - e \left(-\frac{h}{d} \Delta \right) \right\} e \left(\frac{h}{d} y \right) \right|^2 dy \\ & \ll (x + D^2) \sum_{h, d} \left(\sum_m \frac{\lambda_{dm}}{m} \right)^2 \frac{1}{h^2} \sin^2 \left(\frac{\pi h \Delta}{d} \right) \\ & \ll \Delta (x + D^2) \sum_{d < D} \frac{9^{\nu(d)}}{d} \left(\sum_{m < D} \frac{3^{\nu(m)}}{m} \right)^2 \\ & \ll \Delta (x + D^2) (\log x)^{15}, \end{aligned}$$

since $\mu(dm)^2 = 1$. Moreover, Lemma 5 yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_x^{2x} |R_{31}| dy & \ll \sum_{d < D} |\lambda_d| \int_x^{2x} \min \left(1, \frac{1}{d ||y/d||} \right) dy \\ & \ll \sum_{d < D} |\lambda_d| \int_{x/d}^{2x/d} \min \left(d, \frac{1}{||u||} \right) du \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\ll \sum_{d < D} 3^{v(d)} \frac{x}{d} (\log x) \\ &\ll x (\log x)^4. \end{aligned}$$

Put

$$E_j(y, \mathcal{A}) = R_{1j} + R_{2j} + R_{3j}, \quad j=1, 2.$$

Then, by the above argument, we see

$$\int_x^{2x} |E_1(y, \mathcal{A})| dy \ll x (\log x)^4$$

and

$$\int_x^{2x} |E_2(y, \mathcal{A})|^2 dy \ll \mathcal{A} (x + D^2) (\log x)^{15}.$$

Taking

$$D = (2x)^{0.5115} (\log x)^{-9},$$

so that

$$A = \frac{\log 2x}{0.5115(\log 2x) - 9(\log \log x)} < \frac{1}{0.5114} < 1.95544,$$

we get Lemma 1.

This completes our proof.

References

- [1] Erdős, P., The difference of consecutive primes., *Duke Math. J.* **6** (1940), 438-441.
- [2] Friedlander, J. B., Moments of sifted sequences., *Math. Ann.* **267** (1984), 101-106.
- [3] Greaves, G., The weighted linear sieve and Selberg's λ^2 -method., *Acta Arith.* **47** (1986), 71-96.
- [4] Heath-Brown, D. R., The differences between consecutive primes II., *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) **19** (1979), 207-220.
- [5] Heath-Brown, D. R., The differences between consecutive primes III., *ibid* (2) **20** (1979), 177-178.
- [6] Heath-Brown, D. R., Gaps between primes, and the pair correlation of zeros of the zeta-function., *Acta Arith.* **41** (1983), 85-99.
- [7] Huxley, M. N., *The distribution of prime numbers.*, Oxford, 1972.
- [8] Meyer, U., Eine Summe über Differenzen aufeinanderfolgender Fastprimzahlen P_2 ., *Arch. Math.* **42** (1984), 448-454.
- [9] Montgomery, M. H., and Vaughan, R. C., Hilbert's inequality., *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) **8** (1974), 73-82.
- [10] Selberg, A., On the normal density of primes in short intervals and the difference between consecutive primes., *Arch. Math. Naturvid.* **47** (1943), 83-105.

Institute of Mathematics
University of Tsukuba
Ibaraki Pref.
305 Japan