Weakly hyperbolic systems with Hölder continuous coefficients

Piero D'Ancona Tamotu Kinoshita Sergio Spagnolo (Univ. Roma I) (Univ. Tsukuba) (Univ. Pisa)

ABSTRACT – We study the Cauchy Problem for hyperbolic systems with multiple characteristics and nonsmooth coefficients depending on time. We prove in particular that, if the leading coefficients are α -Hölder continuous, and the system has size $m \leq 3$, then the Cauchy Problem is well posed in each Gevrey class of exponent $s < 1+\alpha/m$.

§1. Introduction

We consider the Cauchy problem, on $[0,T] \times \mathbf{R}_x$, for the system

(1)
$$\begin{cases} \partial_t U = A(t)\partial_x U + B(t)U \\ U(0,x) = U_0(x), \end{cases}$$

where $U \in \mathbf{C}^m$, A(t) is a $m \times m$ matrix with real eigenvalues $\{\lambda_1(t), \dots, \lambda_m(t)\}$. We say that (1) is well posed in a class \mathcal{X} of functions on \mathbf{R}_x , when, for all $U_0 \in [\mathcal{X}]^m$, it admits a unique solution $U \in C^1([0,T],[\mathcal{X}]^m)$.

If the entries of A(t) are sufficiently smooth functions of t (e.g., of class C^2), we know by Bronshtein and Kajitani ([1], [9], see also [5]) that (1) is well posed in the Gevrey class $\gamma^s = \gamma^s(\mathbf{R}_x)$ provided

$$1 < s < 1 + \frac{1}{m-1} \ .$$

When the leading coefficients are only Hölder continuous, i.e., $A(t) \in C^{0,\alpha}$ for some $\alpha \leq 1$, we expect a similar conclusion with $1 < s < \bar{s}$, for some smaller bound $\bar{s} = \bar{s}(m,\alpha)$. The first result in this direction, due to Colombini, Jannelli and Spagnolo [4], was concerned with the scalar equation

$$\partial_t^2 u = a(t)\partial_x^2 u + b(t)\partial_x u, \qquad a(t) \ge 0, \quad a(t) \in C^{0,\alpha},$$

for which the γ^s well-posedness for $s < 1 + \alpha/2$ was proved. This upper bound is sharp.

Subsequently, such a result was extended by Nishitani [11] to the second order equations with coefficients also depending on x, and, finally, by Ohya and Tarama [12] to any scalar equation of order m. In the last case, the range of s for γ^s well-posedness is:

$$1 < s < 1 + \frac{\alpha}{m} .$$

The purpose of this paper is investigate the *vector case*, and prove that the same range of well-posedness holds for any $m \times m$ system (1), at least for $m \leq 3$:

Theorem 1. Let m = 2, 3. Assume that A(t) is hyperbolic, i.e., has real eigenvalues $\lambda_j(t)$, and $A(t) \in C^{0,\alpha}([0,T])$, $B(t) \in C^0([0,T])$. Therefore, (1) is well posed in γ^s for all $s < 1 + \alpha/m$, more precisely for

$$1 < s < 1 + \frac{\alpha}{r}$$
 $(r = 2,3)$

where r is the maximum multiplicity of the $\lambda_i(t)$.

If r = 1, i.e., in the strictly hyperbolic case, we have γ^s well-posedness for

$$1 < s < \frac{1}{1-\alpha}.$$

It should be mentioned that the case r=1 was already proved by Jannelli [6] in full generality, i.e., for a differential system with arbitrary size and x-depending coefficients, and then extended by Cicognani [2] to pseudodifferential systems. We also recall that Kajitani [10] (cf. Yuzawa [13]) proved the γ^s well-posedness for any size m, but with a smaller range of s than in Theorem 1:

$$1 < s < 1 + \min\{\alpha/(r+1), (2-\alpha)/(2r-1)\}.$$

In this paper we also prove a result of well-posedness for a special class of systems with arbitrary size m: the systems (1) where the *square* of the matrix A(t) is Hermitian. Note that, if A(t) is Hermitian, then (1) is a *symmetric system*, hence the Cauchy Problem is well posed in C^{∞} no matter how regular the coefficients are. However, A^2 may be Hermitian even if A is not; for instance, A^2 is Hermitian for any 2×2 hyperbolic matrix A with trace zero.

Theorem 2. If A(t) is hyperbolic, $A(t) \in C^{0,\alpha}([0,T])$, $B(t) \in C^{0}([0,T])$, and

(2)
$$A(t)^2$$
 is Hermitian,

then (1) is well posed in γ^s for

$$1 < s < 1 + \frac{\alpha}{2} .$$

If, in addition, $\lambda_1(t)^2 + \cdots + \lambda_m(t)^2 \neq 0$ for all $t \in [0, T]$, then (1) is well posed for

$$1 < s < \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} .$$

REMARK 1: By (2), the condition $\lambda_1(t)^2 + \cdots + \lambda_m(t)^2 \neq 0$ is equivalent to $A(t)^2 \neq 0$.

REMARK 2: The case m=2 of Theorem 1 can be easily derived from Theorem 2: indeed, it is not restrictive to assume that the 2×2 matrix A(t) has trace zero (see §2), which implies that $A(t)^2$ is Hermitian. The case m=2 of Theorem 1 is also a special case of the case m=3; indeed, any 2×2 system can be viewed as a 3×3 system with maximum multiplicity $r\leq 2$. However, we prefer to give here a direct proof of Theorem 1 even for m=2.

REMARK 3: The conclusions of Theorems 1 and 2 can easily be extended to spatial dimension $n \geq 2$. Here, for the sake of simplicity, we shall consider only the one dimensional case.

Our proof of Theorem 1 is rather elementary, relying on an appropriate choice of the energy function. To define such an energy, we suitably approximate the characteristic invariants of A(t) and apply the Hamilton-Cayley equation. Due to its simplicity, the case m = 2 will be treated in a direct way (see §3), while the case m = 3 (see §5) can be better understood in the framework of quasi-symmetrizers introduced in [5] (see also [7, 8]).

§2. Preliminaries

In order to prove Theorem 1, we can assume that the matrix A(t) satisfies

(3)
$$\operatorname{tr}(A(t)) = 0, \quad \forall t \in [0, T].$$

Indeed, if we put $U(t,x) = \widetilde{U}(t,x+\int_0^t \operatorname{tr}(A(\tau))d\tau/m)$, we can reduce (1) to

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \widetilde{U} = \widetilde{A}(t) \partial_x \widetilde{U} + B(t) \widetilde{U} \\ \widetilde{U}(0, x) = U_0(x), \end{cases}$$

where the matrix $\widetilde{A}(t) \equiv A(t) - \{\operatorname{tr}(A(t))/m\}I$ is traceless. Note that, if \widetilde{U} belongs to $C^1([0,T],[\gamma^s]^m)$, then also $U \in C^1([0,T],[\gamma^s]^m)$.

By a standard argument based on Holmgren uniqueness theorem and on Paley-Wiener theorem (see for instance [4], or [3]), the γ^s well-posedness of (1) follows from the *a priori* estimate in $\widehat{\gamma}^s$ of $\widehat{U}(t,\xi)$, the Fourier transform w.r. t. x of a smooth solution U(t,x) with compact support in \mathbf{R}_x for each t.

Now, by Fourier transform (1) yields

(4)
$$\begin{cases} V' = i\xi A(t)V + B(t)V \\ V(0,\xi) = V_0(\xi) \end{cases}$$

where $V = \hat{U}(t, \xi)$, and a compactly supported function f(x) belongs to $\gamma^{s}(\mathbf{R})$ if and only if, for some $C, \delta > 0$, one has

$$|\widehat{f}(\xi)| \le Ce^{-\delta|\xi|^{1/s}} \quad \text{for } |\xi| \ge 1.$$

Thus, to conclude that $U(t,x) \in C^1([0,T],[\gamma^s]^m)$ for all $s < \sigma$, it will be sufficient to prove that there are some ν and C for which

(5)
$$|V(t,\xi)| \le |\xi|^{\nu} |V_0(\xi)| e^{C|\xi|^{1/\sigma}} \quad \text{for } |\xi| \ge 1.$$

Given a non-negative function $\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbf{R})$ with $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(\tau) d\tau = 1$, and $0 < \varepsilon \le 1$, we extend A(t) as a Hölder function on \mathbf{R} , constant outside of]0, T[, and define the mollified matrix

(6)
$$A_{\varepsilon}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} A(t - \varepsilon \tau) \varphi(\tau) d\tau.$$

Since $A(t) \in C^{0,\alpha}$, we can find a constant M for which

(7)
$$||A_{\varepsilon}(t)|| \leq M, \quad ||A'_{\varepsilon}(t)|| \leq M\varepsilon^{\alpha-1}, \quad ||A_{\varepsilon}(t) - A(t)|| \leq M\varepsilon^{\alpha},$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$, where $\| \cdot \|$ denotes the matrix norm.

§3. Proof of Theorem 1 in the case m=2

For the sake of brevity, we shall limit ourselves to assuming $B(t) \equiv 0$, the general case requires only minor changes. We put

$$h_A(t) = -\det(A(t)), \quad h_{A_{\varepsilon}}(t) = -\det(A_{\varepsilon}(t)), \quad h_{\varepsilon}(t) = \Re h_{A_{\varepsilon}}(t).$$

Note that $h_A(t) \geq 0$, by (3), whereas $h_{A_{\varepsilon}}(t)$ is only complex valued. The characteristic equation and the Hamilton-Cayley equality have, respectively, the forms:

$$\lambda^2 - h_A(t) = 0,$$
 $A(t)^2 - h_A(t)I = 0.$

Since $\operatorname{tr}(A_{\varepsilon}(t)) = \operatorname{tr}(A(t)) = 0$, we also get

(8)
$$A_{\varepsilon}(t)^2 - h_{A_{\varepsilon}}(t)I = 0.$$

From (7) we obtain, for possibly a larger constant M,

$$|h'_{A_{\varepsilon}}(t)| \le M\varepsilon^{\alpha-1}, \qquad |h_{A_{\varepsilon}}(t) - h_{A}(t)| \le M\varepsilon^{\alpha},$$

hence

$$(9) |h'_{\varepsilon}(t)| \leq M\varepsilon^{\alpha-1}, |h_{\varepsilon}(t) - h_{A}(t)| \leq M\varepsilon^{\alpha}, |\Im h_{A_{\varepsilon}}(t)| \leq M\varepsilon^{\alpha}.$$

Now, having fixed a constant M which fulfills (7) and (9), we define, for any solution $V(t,\xi)$ of (4) and for any ε , the energy

(10)
$$E(t,\xi) = |A_{\varepsilon}(t)V|^2 + \{h_{\varepsilon}(t) + 2M\varepsilon^{\alpha}\}|V|^2.$$

From (9) we have, observing that $h_A(t) \ge c > 0$ in the strictly hyperbolic case,

$$h_{\varepsilon}(t) + 2M\varepsilon^{\alpha} \geq h_{A}(t) + M\varepsilon^{\alpha} \geq \begin{cases} c & \text{if } r = 1, \\ M\varepsilon^{\alpha} & \text{if } r = 2, \end{cases}$$

hence

(11)
$$C(M)|V|^2 \ge E(t,\xi) \ge \begin{cases} |A_{\varepsilon}(t)V|^2 + c|V|^2 & \text{if } r = 1, \\ |A_{\varepsilon}(t)V|^2 + M\varepsilon^{\alpha}|V|^2 & \text{if } r = 2. \end{cases}$$

Differentiating the energy w.r.t. time, and using (4), we find the equality

$$E'(t,\xi) = 2\Re(A_{\varepsilon}V', A_{\varepsilon}V) + 2\Re(A'_{\varepsilon}V, A_{\varepsilon}V) + h'_{\varepsilon}|V|^{2} + 2\{h_{\varepsilon} + 2M\varepsilon^{\alpha}\}\Re(V', V)$$

$$= -2\xi\Im(A_{\varepsilon}^{2}V, A_{\varepsilon}V) - 2\xi\Im(A_{\varepsilon}\{A - A_{\varepsilon}\}V, A_{\varepsilon}V) + 2\Re(A'_{\varepsilon}V, A_{\varepsilon}V) + h'_{\varepsilon}|V|^{2}$$

$$- 2\{h_{\varepsilon} + 2M\varepsilon^{\alpha}\}\xi\Im(A_{\varepsilon}V, V) - 2\{h_{\varepsilon} + 2M\varepsilon^{\alpha}\}\xi\Im(\{A - A_{\varepsilon}\}V, V)$$

$$\equiv I_{1} + I_{2} + I_{3} + I_{4} + I_{5} + I_{6}.$$

Recalling that $\Re h_{A_{\varepsilon}} = h_{\varepsilon}$ we see, by (8), that

$$\Im(A_{\varepsilon}^{2}V, A_{\varepsilon}V) = h_{\varepsilon}\Im(V, A_{\varepsilon}V) + \Im h_{A_{\varepsilon}}\Re(V, A_{\varepsilon}V),$$

hence, by (7) and (10), we find

$$I_{1}+I_{5} = -2\xi \Im h_{A_{\varepsilon}} \Re (V, A_{\varepsilon}V) - 4M\varepsilon^{\alpha}\xi \Im (A_{\varepsilon}V, V) \leq 6M\varepsilon^{\alpha}|\xi||V||A_{\varepsilon}V|$$

$$I_{2} \leq 2|\xi| \parallel A_{\varepsilon} \parallel \parallel A - A_{\varepsilon} \parallel |V||A_{\varepsilon}V| \leq 2M^{2}\varepsilon^{\alpha}|\xi||V||A_{\varepsilon}V|$$

$$I_{3} \leq 2 \parallel A_{\varepsilon}' \parallel |V||A_{\varepsilon}V| \leq 2M\varepsilon^{\alpha-1}|V||A_{\varepsilon}V|$$

$$I_{4} \leq |h_{\varepsilon}'||V|^{2} \leq M\varepsilon^{\alpha-1}|V|^{2}$$

$$I_{6} \leq 2|\xi| \parallel A - A_{\varepsilon} \parallel \{h_{\varepsilon} + 2M\varepsilon^{\alpha}\}|V|^{2} \leq 2M\varepsilon^{\alpha}|\xi|E(t,\xi).$$

Thus, choosing

$$\varepsilon = \begin{cases} |\xi|^{-1} & \text{if } r = 1, \\ |\xi|^{-1/(1+\alpha/2)} & \text{if } r = 2, \end{cases}$$

and recalling (11), we find a constant C = C(M) such that, for all $|\xi| \ge 1$,

$$E'(t,\xi) \leq \begin{cases} CE(t,\xi) \left\{ \varepsilon^{\alpha} |\xi| + \varepsilon^{\alpha-1} \right\} \leq 2CE(t,\xi) |\xi|^{1-\alpha} & \text{if } r = 1, \\ CE(t,\xi) \left\{ \varepsilon^{\alpha/2} |\xi| + \varepsilon^{-1} \right\} \leq 2CE(t,\xi) |\xi|^{1/(1+\alpha/2)} & \text{if } r = 2. \end{cases}$$

Gronwall's inequality and (11) yield the estimate (5) with $\sigma = 1/(1 - \alpha)$ or $\sigma = 1 + \alpha/2$ respectively. This concludes the proof of Theorem 1 for m = 2. \square

§4. Proof of Theorem 2

Theorem 2 can be proved in a similar way to the proof of Theorem 1 for m=2, but we do not need to suppose (3). We still assume $B\equiv 0$.

Let us first observe that $||A_{\varepsilon}^2 - A^2|| \le (||A_{\varepsilon}|| + ||A||) ||A_{\varepsilon} - A||$, thus recalling that $A^2 = (A^2)^*$, we can choose a constant M large enough to satisfy, besides (7),

(12)
$$\|A_{\varepsilon}(t)^{2} - A(t)^{2}\| \leq M\varepsilon^{\alpha}, \qquad \|A_{\varepsilon}(t)^{2} - (A_{\varepsilon}(t)^{2})^{*}\| \leq M\varepsilon^{\alpha}.$$

Then we define, instead of (10), the following energy:

$$E(t,\xi) = |A_{\varepsilon}(t)V|^{2} + \Re(\{A_{\varepsilon}(t)^{2} + 2M\varepsilon^{\alpha}\}V, V).$$

By the first inequality in (12) we derive:

$$\Re(\{A_{\varepsilon}(t)^2 + 2M\varepsilon^{\alpha}\}V, V) \ge (A(t)^2V, V) + M\varepsilon^{\alpha}|V|^2.$$

But the Hermitian matrix A^2 has eigenvalues $\lambda_j^2 \geq 0$, hence we see that $(A^2V, V) \geq 0$, while $(A^2V, V)|V|^{-2} \geq c > 0$ when $\lambda_1(t)^2 + \cdots + \lambda_m(t)^2 \neq 0$. Thus, we obtain the estimates

(13)
$$C(M)|V|^2 \ge E(t,\xi) \ge \begin{cases} |A_{\varepsilon}(t)V|^2 + c|V|^2 & \text{if } \lambda_1^2 + \dots + \lambda_m^2 \ne 0, \\ |A_{\varepsilon}(t)V|^2 + M\varepsilon^{\alpha}|V|^2 & \text{if } \lambda_1^2 + \dots + \lambda_m^2 \ge 0. \end{cases}$$

We differentiate the energy and use (2) and (4) to get the equality

$$E'(t,\xi) = 2\Re(A_{\varepsilon}V',A_{\varepsilon}V) + 2\Re(A'_{\varepsilon}V,A_{\varepsilon}V) + \Re(\{A_{\varepsilon}^{2}\}'V,V) + \Re(\{A_{\varepsilon}^{2} + A_{\varepsilon}^{2*} + 4M\varepsilon^{\alpha}\}V',V)$$

$$= -2\xi\Im(A_{\varepsilon}^{2}V,A_{\varepsilon}V) - 2\xi\Im(A_{\varepsilon}\{A - A_{\varepsilon}\}V,A_{\varepsilon}V) + 2\Re(A'_{\varepsilon}V,A_{\varepsilon}V) + \Re(\{A_{\varepsilon}^{2}\}'V,V)$$

$$-\xi\Im(\{A_{\varepsilon}^{2} + A_{\varepsilon}^{2*} + 4M\varepsilon^{\alpha}\}A_{\varepsilon}V,V) - \xi\Im(\{A_{\varepsilon}^{2} + A_{\varepsilon}^{2*} + 4M\varepsilon^{\alpha}\}(A - A_{\varepsilon})V,V)$$

$$\equiv I_{1} + I_{2} + I_{3} + I_{4} + I_{5} + I_{6}.$$

Using (7) and the second inequality in (12), we find a constant C = C(M) for which

$$I_{1} + I_{5} = -\xi \Im \Big[2 \left(A_{\varepsilon}^{2} V, A_{\varepsilon} V \right) + \left(\left\{ A_{\varepsilon}^{2} + A_{\varepsilon}^{2^{*}} \right\} A_{\varepsilon} V, V \right) \Big] - 4M \varepsilon^{\alpha} \xi \Im \left(A_{\varepsilon} V, V \right)$$

$$= -\xi \Im \Big[\left(\left\{ A_{\varepsilon}^{2} - A_{\varepsilon}^{2^{*}} \right\} V, A_{\varepsilon} V \right) \Big] - 4M \varepsilon^{\alpha} \xi \Im \left(A_{\varepsilon} V, V \right) \le C \varepsilon^{\alpha} |\xi| |V| |A_{\varepsilon} V|,$$

$$I_{2} \le C \varepsilon^{\alpha} |\xi| |V| |A_{\varepsilon} V|, \qquad I_{3} \le C \varepsilon^{\alpha - 1} |V| |A_{\varepsilon} V|, \qquad I_{4} \le C \varepsilon^{\alpha - 1} |V|^{2},$$

$$I_{6} \le |\xi| \|A_{\varepsilon}^{2} + A_{\varepsilon}^{2^{*}} + 4M \varepsilon^{\alpha} \|^{1/2} \|A - A_{\varepsilon} \| |V| \sqrt{2E(t)} \le C \varepsilon^{\alpha} |\xi| |V| \sqrt{E(t)}.$$

Note that, to estimate I_6 , we have applied the Schwarz's inequality for the scalar product (TV, V) where $T \equiv T^* = A_{\varepsilon}^2 + A_{\varepsilon}^{2^*} + 4M\varepsilon^{\alpha} \geq 0$, to get

$$|(TSV, V)| \le (TSV, SV)^{1/2} (TV, V)^{1/2} \le ||T||^{1/2} ||S|| |V| (TV, V)^{1/2},$$

where $S = A - A_{\varepsilon}$. Also note that $E(t) = |A_{\varepsilon}V|^2 + (TV, V)/2$.

In conclusion, recalling (13) and choosing

$$\varepsilon = \begin{cases} |\xi|^{-1} & \text{if } \lambda_1^2 + \dots + \lambda_m^2 \neq 0, \\ |\xi|^{-1/(1+\alpha/2)} & \text{if } \lambda_1^2 + \dots + \lambda_m^2 \geq 0, \end{cases}$$

we have the following estimate for $|\xi| \geq 1$:

$$E'(t,\xi) \leq \begin{cases} CE(t,\xi) \left[\varepsilon^{\alpha} |\xi| + \varepsilon^{\alpha-1} \right] \leq 2CE(t,\xi) |\xi|^{1-\alpha} & \text{if } \lambda_1^2 + \dots + \lambda_m^2 \neq 0, \\ CE(t,\xi) \left[\varepsilon^{\alpha/2} |\xi| + \varepsilon^{-1} \right] \leq 2CE(t,\xi) |\xi|^{1/(1+\alpha/2)} & \text{if } \lambda_1^2 + \dots + \lambda_m^2 \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

This yields (5) with $\sigma = 1/(1-\alpha)$, or $\sigma = 1+\alpha/2$, respectively. Hence, the conclusion of Theorem 2 follows. \square

§5. Proof of Theorem 1 in the case m=3

We now define:

$$h_A(t) = \det(A(t)) = \lambda_1(t)\lambda_2(t)\lambda_3(t)$$

$$k_A(t) = \sum_{1 \le i,j \le 3} \left\{ a_{ij}(t)a_{ji}(t) - a_{ii}(t)a_{jj}(t) \right\} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{3} \lambda_j(t)^2,$$

thus, by (3), the characteristic equation and the Hamilton-Cayley equality are

$$\lambda^3 - k_A(t)\lambda - h_A(t) = 0,$$
 $A(t)^3 - k_A(t)A(t) - h_A(t)I = 0.$

By the assumption of hyperbolicity, we see that $k_A(t)$ is a non-negative function, and, in particular, $k_A(t) \ge c > 0$ when $r \le 2$. Moreover we have

$$\triangle_A(t) \equiv \prod_{1 \le i < j \le 3} (\lambda_i(t) - \lambda_j(t))^2 = 4k_A(t)^3 - 27h_A(t)^2 \ge 0$$

Similarly as case m = 2, since $\operatorname{tr}(A_{\varepsilon}(t)) = \operatorname{tr}(A(t)) = 0$, the regularized matrix (6) satisfies the equality

(14)
$$A_{\varepsilon}(t)^{3} - k_{A_{\varepsilon}}(t)A_{\varepsilon}(t) - h_{A_{\varepsilon}}(t)I = 0.$$

However, the eigenvalues of $A_{\varepsilon}(t)$ may be non real, thus $k_{A_{\varepsilon}}(t)$ and $h_{A_{\varepsilon}}(t)$ are complex valued. To overcome this difficulty, we introduce the real functions

(15)
$$h_{\varepsilon}(t) = \Re h_{A_{\varepsilon}}(t), \qquad k_{\varepsilon}(t) = \left\{ \left\{ \Re k_{A_{\varepsilon}}(t) + M \varepsilon^{\alpha} \right\}^{3/2} + 12 M^{3/2} \varepsilon^{\alpha} \right\}^{2/3}.$$

Here M is a constant ≥ 1 , which is chosen large enough to satisfy, besides (7), the following inequalities on [0, T]:

(16)
$$\begin{cases} |h_{\varepsilon}(t) - h_{A}(t)| \leq M \varepsilon^{\alpha}, & |\Im h_{A_{\varepsilon}}(t)| \leq M \varepsilon^{\alpha}, & |h'_{\varepsilon}(t)| \leq M \varepsilon^{\alpha-1}, \\ |k_{A_{\varepsilon}}(t)| \leq M, & |k_{A_{\varepsilon}}(t) - k_{A}(t)| \leq M \varepsilon^{\alpha}, & |k'_{A_{\varepsilon}}(t)| \leq M \varepsilon^{\alpha-1}, \end{cases}$$

which imply, in particular,

$$(17) |\Re k'_{A_{\varepsilon}}(t)| \leq M\varepsilon^{\alpha-1}, |\Re k_{A_{\varepsilon}}(t) - k_{A}(t)| \leq M\varepsilon^{\alpha}, |\Im k_{A_{\varepsilon}}(t)| \leq M\varepsilon^{\alpha}.$$

We also define

$$\Delta_{\varepsilon}(t) = 4k_{\varepsilon}(t)^3 - 27h_{\varepsilon}(t)^2.$$

Next we show that $\Delta_{\varepsilon}(t) \geq 0$, thus $z^3 - k_{\varepsilon}(t)z + h_{\varepsilon}(t)$ is a hyperbolic polynomial, and we also prove some crucial estimates on $k_{\varepsilon}(t)$:

Lemma 1. We have for C = C(M) and c > 0

(19)
$$k_{\varepsilon}(t) \geq \begin{cases} c & \text{if } r = 1, 2, \\ M \varepsilon^{2\alpha/3} & \text{if } r = 3, \end{cases}$$

$$(20) |k_{\varepsilon}'(t)| \leq C\varepsilon^{\alpha-1}, |k_{\varepsilon}(t) - k_{A_{\varepsilon}}(t)| \leq C\varepsilon^{\alpha}k_{\varepsilon}(t)^{-1/2},$$

(21)
$$\triangle_{\varepsilon}(t) \geq \begin{cases} c & \text{if } r = 1, \\ M^{3/2} \varepsilon^{\alpha} k_{\varepsilon}(t)^{3/2} & \text{if } r = 2, 3, \end{cases}$$

$$(22) |h_{\varepsilon}(t)| \leq \sqrt{\frac{4}{27}} k_{\varepsilon}(t)^{3/2}.$$

Proof: We write for brevity (15) in the form

$$k_{\varepsilon}(t) = \{\widetilde{k}_{\varepsilon}(t)^{3/2} + 12M^{3/2}\varepsilon^{\alpha}\}^{2/3}, \text{ where } \widetilde{k}_{\varepsilon}(t) = \Re k_{A_{\varepsilon}}(t) + M\varepsilon^{\alpha},$$

and observe that, by (17), we have

$$\widetilde{k}_{\varepsilon}(t) = \left\{ \Re k_{A_{\varepsilon}}(t) - k_{A}(t) \right\} + k_{A}(t) + M \varepsilon^{\alpha} \ge k_{A}(t) \ge \begin{cases} c & \text{if } r = 1, 2, \\ 0 & \text{if } r = 3. \end{cases}$$

This yields (19). Let us now prove (20). From (15) and (17) it follows that

$$|k_{\varepsilon}'| = |\widetilde{k}_{\varepsilon}'| \ \widetilde{k}_{\varepsilon}^{1/2} \ \{\widetilde{k}_{\varepsilon}^{3/2} + 12M^{3/2}\varepsilon^{\alpha}\}^{-1/3} \le |\widetilde{k}_{\varepsilon}'| = |\Re k_{A_{\varepsilon}}'| \le M\varepsilon^{\alpha-1}.$$

Moreover we get, since $k_{\varepsilon}(t) \geq \widetilde{k}_{\varepsilon}(t)$,

$$|k_{\varepsilon} - \widetilde{k}_{\varepsilon}| = \frac{\left\{k_{\varepsilon}^{3/2} - \widetilde{k}_{\varepsilon}^{3/2}\right\} \left\{k_{\varepsilon}^{3/2} + \widetilde{k}_{\varepsilon}^{3/2}\right\}}{k_{\varepsilon}^{2} + k_{\varepsilon}\widetilde{k}_{\varepsilon} + \widetilde{k}_{\varepsilon}^{2}} \leq \frac{12M^{3/2}\varepsilon^{\alpha} \cdot 2k_{\varepsilon}^{3/2}}{k_{\varepsilon}^{2}} = 24M^{3/2}\varepsilon^{\alpha}k_{\varepsilon}^{-1/2} ,$$

and hence, using again (17),

$$|k_{\varepsilon} - k_{A_{\varepsilon}}| \leq |k_{\varepsilon}(t) - \widetilde{k}_{\varepsilon}(t)| + |\widetilde{k}_{\varepsilon}(t) - \Re k_{A_{\varepsilon}}(t)| + |\Im k_{A_{\varepsilon}}(t)| \leq C\varepsilon^{\alpha}k_{\varepsilon}^{-1/2}.$$

This completes the proof of (20).

To prove (21), we first derive the following estimate by (16) and (17), recalling that $\widetilde{k}_{\varepsilon}(t) \geq k_A(t)$,

$$(23) \quad \left| \widetilde{k}_{\varepsilon}^{3/2} - k_{A}^{3/2} \right| = \left| \widetilde{k}_{\varepsilon} - k_{A} \right| \cdot \frac{\widetilde{k}_{\varepsilon} + \widetilde{k}_{\varepsilon}^{1/2} k_{A}^{1/2} + k_{A}}{\widetilde{k}_{\varepsilon}^{1/2} + k_{A}^{1/2}} \leq \left\{ \left| \Re k_{A_{\varepsilon}} - k_{A} \right| + M \varepsilon^{\alpha} \right\} \cdot \frac{3 \widetilde{k}_{\varepsilon}}{\widetilde{k}_{\varepsilon}^{1/2}}$$

$$\leq 2M \varepsilon^{\alpha} \cdot 3 \widetilde{k}_{\varepsilon}^{1/2} \leq 2M \varepsilon^{\alpha} \cdot 3 \left(\left| \Re k_{A_{\varepsilon}} \right| + M \varepsilon^{\alpha} \right)^{1/2} \leq 6\sqrt{2} M^{3/2} \varepsilon^{\alpha},$$

Then, we write

$$(24) \qquad \triangle_{\varepsilon} = 4 \left\{ 2k_{\varepsilon}^{3/2} + \sqrt{27} h_{\varepsilon} \right\} \left\{ 2k_{\varepsilon}^{3/2} - \sqrt{27} h_{\varepsilon} \right\}.$$

We know that

$$\left\{2k_A^{3/2} + \sqrt{27}\,h_A\right\}\left\{2k_A^{3/2} - \sqrt{27}\,h_A\right\} = \triangle_A(t) \ge 0, \quad \text{and} \quad k_A(t) \ge 0,$$

thus

(25)
$$\left\{2k_A(t)^{3/2} \pm \sqrt{27} \, h_A(t)\right\} \ge 0.$$

For each fixed $t \in [0, T]$, we have either $h_{\varepsilon}(t) \geq 0$ or $h_{\varepsilon}(t) \leq 0$. In the first case, we have $\{2k_{\varepsilon}(t)^{3/2} + \sqrt{27} h_{\varepsilon}(t)\} \geq k_{\varepsilon}(t)^{3/2}$, while, by (16), (23) and (25), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\{2k_{\varepsilon}(t)^{3/2} - \sqrt{27}\,h_{\varepsilon}(t)\right\} &= 24\,M^{3/2}\varepsilon^{\alpha} + \left\{2\widetilde{k}_{\varepsilon}^{3/2} - \sqrt{27}\,h_{\varepsilon}\right\} \\
&= 24\,M^{3/2}\varepsilon^{\alpha} + 2\left\{\widetilde{k}_{\varepsilon}^{3/2} - k_{A}^{3/2}\right\} + \left\{2k_{A}^{3/2} - \sqrt{27}\,h_{A}\right\} + \sqrt{27}\left(h_{A} - h_{\varepsilon}\right) \\
&\geq 24\,M^{3/2}\varepsilon^{\alpha} - 2\left|\widetilde{k}_{A}^{3/2} - k_{\varepsilon}^{3/2}\right| + \left\{2k_{A}^{3/2} - \sqrt{27}\,h_{A}\right\} - \sqrt{27}\left|h_{A} - h_{\varepsilon}\right| \\
&\geq \left[24 - 12\sqrt{2} - \sqrt{27}\right]M^{3/2}\varepsilon^{\alpha} + \left\{2k_{A}^{3/2} - \sqrt{27}h_{A}\right\} \\
&\geq M^{3/2}\varepsilon^{\alpha}.
\end{aligned}$$

In the same way, when $h_{\varepsilon}(t) \leq 0$ we obtain

$$\left\{2k_{\varepsilon}^{3/2} - \sqrt{27}\,h_{\varepsilon}(t)\right\} \geq k_{\varepsilon}(t)^{3/2}, \qquad \left\{2k_{\varepsilon}(t)^{3/2} + \sqrt{27}\,h_{\varepsilon}(t)\right\} \geq M^{3/2}\varepsilon^{\alpha}.$$

Thus, in both the cases we get by (24)

$$\triangle_{\varepsilon}(t) \geq 4M^{3/2} \varepsilon^{\alpha} k_{\varepsilon}(t)^{3/2}$$
.

In the special case when r = 1, the discriminant $\Delta_A(t)$ is strictly positive, hence both the inequalities in (25) are strict, and we conclude that $\Delta_{\varepsilon}(t) \geq c > 0$.

Finally, (22) follows directly from (21) and the definition (18) of $\triangle_{\varepsilon}(t)$. \square

In the following Lemma, we exhibit an exact (but possibly non-coercive) symmetrizer $Q_{\varepsilon}(t)$ for the 3×3 Sylvester matrix whose characteristic polynomial is the polynomial $z^3 - k_{\varepsilon}(t)z + h_{\varepsilon}(t)$. We also give a lower estimate for such a symmetrizer $Q_{\varepsilon}(t)$, which will be decisive in our proof.

Lemma 2. Let us define

$$(26) A_{\varepsilon}^{\sharp}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ h_{\varepsilon}(t) & k_{\varepsilon}(t) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, Q_{\varepsilon}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} k_{\varepsilon}(t)^{2} & 3h_{\varepsilon}(t) & -k_{\varepsilon}(t) \\ 3h_{\varepsilon}(t) & 2k_{\varepsilon}(t) & 0 \\ -k_{\varepsilon}(t) & 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, the matrix $Q_{\varepsilon}(t)$ is Hermitian and satisfies

$$(27) Q_{\varepsilon}(t) A_{\varepsilon}^{\sharp}(t) = A_{\varepsilon}^{\sharp}(t)^{*} Q_{\varepsilon}(t).$$

where

$$L_{\varepsilon}(t) = \triangle_{\varepsilon}(t)^{1/2} \begin{pmatrix} k_{\varepsilon}(t)^{-1/2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & k_{\varepsilon}(t)^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & k_{\varepsilon}(t)^{-3/2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof: (27) follows from the definitions (26). Let us prove (28). Since

$$L_{\varepsilon}^{-1} = (L_{\varepsilon}^{-1})^* = \Delta_{\varepsilon}^{-1/2} \begin{pmatrix} k_{\varepsilon}^{1/2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & k_{\varepsilon} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & k_{\varepsilon}^{3/2} \end{pmatrix},$$

we have

$$(29) (L_{\varepsilon}^{-1})^* Q_{\varepsilon} L_{\varepsilon}^{-1} = \frac{k_{\varepsilon}^3}{\Delta_{\varepsilon}} \widetilde{Q}_{\varepsilon},$$

where

$$\widetilde{Q}_{\varepsilon}(t) \equiv \left[\widetilde{q}_{ij}(t)\right]_{1 \leq i,j \leq 3} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3h_{\varepsilon}k_{\varepsilon}^{-3/2} & -1 \\ 3h_{\varepsilon}k_{\varepsilon}^{-3/2} & 2 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now, by (22) we see that $\|\widetilde{Q}_{\varepsilon}(t)\| \leq C$ on [0,T]. Moreover, by (19) and (20), the determinant and the minor determinants of $\widetilde{Q}_{\varepsilon}(t)$ satisfy

$$\det \widetilde{Q}_{\varepsilon}(t) = 4 - \frac{27h_{\varepsilon}^{2}}{k_{\varepsilon}^{3}} = \frac{\triangle_{\varepsilon}}{k_{\varepsilon}^{3}} > 0$$

$$\widetilde{q}_{11}(t)\widetilde{q}_{22}(t) - \widetilde{q}_{12}(t)\widetilde{q}_{21}(t) = 2 - \frac{9h_{\varepsilon}^{2}}{k_{\varepsilon}^{3}} = \frac{2}{3} + \frac{\triangle_{\varepsilon}}{3k_{\varepsilon}^{3}} > 0, \qquad \widetilde{q}_{11}(t) = 1 > 0.$$

This implies that the eigenvalues $\mu_1(t), \mu_2(t), \mu_3(t)$ of $\widetilde{Q}_{\varepsilon}(t)$ are non-negative, and thus we have, for $\{i, j, k\} = \{1, 2, 3\}$,

$$\mu_i(t) = \frac{\mu_i(t)\mu_j(t)\mu_k(t)}{\mu_j(t)\mu_k(t)} \ge \frac{\det\left(\widetilde{Q}_{\varepsilon}(t)\right)}{\|\widetilde{Q}_{\varepsilon}(t)\|^2} \ge c \frac{\Delta_{\varepsilon}(t)}{k_{\varepsilon}(t)^3}, \qquad c > 0.$$

Hence we get

$$(\widetilde{Q}_{\varepsilon}(t)\widetilde{W},\widetilde{W}) \geq c \frac{\Delta_{\varepsilon}(t)}{k_{\varepsilon}(t)^3} |\widetilde{W}|^2 \quad \text{for all } \widetilde{W} \in \mathbf{C}^3,$$

and consequently, taking $\widetilde{W} = L_{\varepsilon}(t)W$ and recalling (29),

$$\left(Q_{\varepsilon}(t)W,W\right) = \frac{k_{\varepsilon}(t)^{3}}{\Delta_{\varepsilon}(t)}\left(\widetilde{Q}_{\varepsilon}(t)\widetilde{W},\widetilde{W}\right) \geq c|\widetilde{W}|^{2} = c|L_{\varepsilon}(t)W|^{2}.$$

Lemma 2 also applicable to 9×9 block-matrices whose blocks are 3×3 matrices of scalar type. Indeed, denoting by I the 3×3 identity matrix, we have:

Lemma 3. Let us define the 9×9 matrices

$$(30) \quad \mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I \\ h_{\varepsilon}(t)I & k_{\varepsilon}(t)I & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} k_{\varepsilon}(t)^{2}I & 3h_{\varepsilon}(t)I & -k_{\varepsilon}(t)I \\ 3h_{\varepsilon}(t)I & 2k_{\varepsilon}(t)I & 0 \\ -k_{\varepsilon}(t)I & 0 & 3I \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore, $Q_{\varepsilon}(t)$ is Hermitian and satisfies

(31)
$$Q_{\varepsilon}(t)\mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon}(t) = \mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon}(t)^*Q_{\varepsilon}(t),$$

(32)
$$(\mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon}(t)\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}) \geq c |\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}(t)\mathcal{W}|^2 \quad \text{for all } \mathcal{W} \in \mathbf{C}^9, \quad c > 0,$$

where

(33)
$$\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}(t) = \triangle_{\varepsilon}(t)^{1/2} \begin{pmatrix} k_{\varepsilon}(t)^{-1/2}I & 0 & 0\\ 0 & k_{\varepsilon}(t)^{-1}I & 0\\ 0 & 0 & k_{\varepsilon}(t)^{-3/2}I \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof: Since the 3×3 submatrices in $\mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon}(t)$, $\mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon}(t)$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}(t)$ consist of the 3×3 identity matrix I, (31) and (32) can be easily derived from (27) and (28) respectively. \square

Now, we transform the 3×3 system (4) into a 9×9 system whose principal part is the block Sylvester matrix $\mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon}(t)$ of Lemma 3. We deduce from (4) that

(i)
$$V' = i\xi AV + BV = i\xi A_{\varepsilon}V + i\xi (A - A_{\varepsilon})V + BV,$$

$$(ii) \quad (A_{\varepsilon}V)' = i\xi A_{\varepsilon}^2 V + i\xi A_{\varepsilon} (A - A_{\varepsilon}) V + A_{\varepsilon}' V + A_{\varepsilon} B V,$$

$$(iii) \quad (A_{\varepsilon}^{2}V)' = i\xi A_{\varepsilon}^{3}V + i\xi A_{\varepsilon}^{2}(A - A_{\varepsilon})V + (A_{\varepsilon}^{2})'V + A_{\varepsilon}^{2}BV$$

$$= \left[i\xi h_{\varepsilon}V + i\xi k_{\varepsilon}A_{\varepsilon}V\right] - \xi \Im h_{A_{\varepsilon}}V + i\xi (k_{A_{\varepsilon}} - k_{\varepsilon})A_{\varepsilon}V + i\xi A_{\varepsilon}^{2}(A - A_{\varepsilon})V + (A_{\varepsilon}^{2})'V + A_{\varepsilon}^{2}BV,$$

where, in the last equality, we have used the Hamilton-Cayley equality (14). Putting

$$\mathcal{V} \equiv \mathcal{V}(t,\xi) = \left(egin{array}{c} V \ A_{arepsilon}V \ A_{arepsilon}^2V \end{array}
ight) \in \mathbf{C}^9,$$

we combine together (i), (ii) and (iii) to get the 9×9 system:

(34)
$$\mathcal{V}' = i\xi \mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon}(t)\mathcal{V} + i\xi \mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon}(t)\mathcal{V} - \xi \mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon}(t)\mathcal{V} + \mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}(t)\mathcal{V} + \mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon}(t)\mathcal{V},$$

where $A_{\varepsilon}(t)$ is defined in (30), and:

$$\mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} A - A_{\varepsilon} & 0 & 0 \\ A_{\varepsilon}(A - A_{\varepsilon}) & 0 & 0 \\ A_{\varepsilon}^{2}(A - A_{\varepsilon}) & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \Im h_{A_{\varepsilon}}I & -i(k_{A_{\varepsilon}} - k_{\varepsilon})I & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ A'_{\varepsilon} & 0 & 0 \\ (A_{\varepsilon}^{2})' & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} B & 0 & 0 \\ A_{\varepsilon}B & 0 & 0 \\ A_{\varepsilon}^{2}B & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, recalling (30), we define the energy:

$$E(t,\xi) = (Q_{\varepsilon}(t)\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}).$$

By the definition (33) of $\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}(t)$, using (19) and (21), we see that

(35)
$$\left| \mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}(t) \mathcal{W} \right|^{2} \geq c_{1} \triangle_{\varepsilon}(t) k_{\varepsilon}(t)^{-1} \left| \mathcal{W} \right|^{2} \geq c_{2} \varepsilon^{4\alpha/3} \left| \mathcal{W} \right|^{2},$$

hence, remarking that $\|Q_{\varepsilon}(t)\| \leq C$, and $|V|^2 \leq |V|^2 \leq C|V|^2$, we deduce from (32) and (35):

(36)
$$c \varepsilon^{4\alpha/3} |V|^2 \le E(t,\xi) \le C |V|^2.$$

By (31) and (34), considering that Q_{ε} is Hermitian, we get the equality

$$E'(t,\xi) = (\mathcal{Q}'_{\varepsilon}\mathcal{V},\mathcal{V}) + (\mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon}\mathcal{V}',\mathcal{V}) + (\mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon}\mathcal{V},\mathcal{V}')$$

$$= (\mathcal{Q}'_{\varepsilon}\mathcal{V},\mathcal{V}) + i\xi(\{\mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon}\mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon} - \mathcal{A}^{*}_{\varepsilon}\mathcal{Q}^{*}_{\varepsilon}\}\mathcal{V},\mathcal{V})$$

$$+ (\mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon}\{i\xi\mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon} - \xi\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon} + \mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon} + \mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon}\}\mathcal{V},\mathcal{V}) + \overline{(\mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon}\{i\xi\mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon} - \xi\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon} + \mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon} + \mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon}\}\mathcal{V},\mathcal{V})}$$

$$= (\mathcal{Q}'_{\varepsilon}\mathcal{V},\mathcal{V}) - 2\xi\Im(\mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon}\mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon}\mathcal{V},\mathcal{V}) - 2\xi\Re(\mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon}\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon}\mathcal{V},\mathcal{V}) + 2\Re(\mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon}\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}\mathcal{V},\mathcal{V}) + 2\Re(\mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon}\mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon}\mathcal{V},\mathcal{V}).$$

In order to prove the energy estimate, we use the following:

Lemma 4. If S be a 9×9 matrix, then we have, for all $W \in \mathbb{C}^9$,

(37)
$$(\mathcal{SW}, \mathcal{W}) \leq C \parallel \mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}^{-1} \mathcal{S} \mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}^{-1} \parallel (\mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon} \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}),$$

(38)
$$(\mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon}\mathcal{S}\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}) \leq C \parallel \mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}^{-1}(\mathcal{S}^*\mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon}\mathcal{S})\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}^{-1} \parallel^{1/2} (\mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon}\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}).$$

Proof: (37) follows directly from (32); indeed, noting that $\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}^* = \mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}$, we find

$$(\mathcal{SW}, \mathcal{W}) = (\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}^{-1} \mathcal{S} \mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}^{-1} \mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon} \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}^{*} \mathcal{W}) \leq || \mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}^{-1} \mathcal{S} \mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}^{-1} || |\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}(t) \mathcal{W}|^{2}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{c} || \mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}^{-1} \mathcal{S} \mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}^{-1} || (\mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon} \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}).$$

To prove (38), we use the Schwarz's inequality for the scalar product $\langle \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{W} \rangle \equiv (\mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon} \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{W})$, and (37) with $\mathcal{S}^* \mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon} \mathcal{S}$ in place of \mathcal{S} . Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon} \mathcal{S} \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W} \right) &= \left(\mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon} \mathcal{S} \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{S} \mathcal{W} \right)^{1/2} \left(\mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon} \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W} \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq C \parallel \mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}^{-1} (\mathcal{S}^{*} \mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon} \mathcal{S}) \mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}^{-1} \parallel^{1/2} (\mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon} \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}). \end{aligned} \qquad \Box$$

By (37) and (38), it follows

$$E'(t,\xi) \leq C E(t,\xi) \bigg\{ \| \mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}^{-1} \mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon}' \mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}^{-1} \| + |\xi| \| \mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}^{-1} (\mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon}^{*} \mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon} \mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon}) \mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}^{-1} \|^{1/2}$$

$$+ |\xi| \| \mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}^{-1} (\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon}^{*} \mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon} \mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon}) \mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}^{-1} \|^{1/2} + \| \mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}^{-1} (\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}^{*} \mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon} \mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}) \mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}^{-1} \|^{1/2} + \| \mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}^{-1} (\mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon}^{*} \mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon} \mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon}) \mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}^{-1} \|^{1/2} \bigg\}.$$

Now we estimate the five summands on the left hand side. To this end, let us firstly observe that, for any 9×9 block matrix $S = [S_{ij}]_{1 \le i,j \le 3}$, one has

(39)
$$\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}^{-1} \mathcal{S} \mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}^{-1} = \frac{1}{\triangle_{\varepsilon}} \left[k_{\varepsilon}^{(i+j)/2} S_{ij} \right]_{1 \leq i, j \leq 3}.$$

1) Estimate of $\parallel \mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}^{-1} \mathcal{Q}'_{\varepsilon} \mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}^{-1} \parallel$: By using (39), we see that

$$\mathcal{L}_{arepsilon}^{-1}\mathcal{Q}_{arepsilon}^{\prime}\mathcal{L}_{arepsilon}^{-1} = rac{k_{arepsilon}^{3/2}}{\triangle_{arepsilon}} \left(egin{array}{ccc} 2k_{arepsilon}^{1/2}k_{arepsilon}^{\prime}I & 3h_{arepsilon}^{\prime}I & -k_{arepsilon}^{1/2}k_{arepsilon}^{\prime}I \ -k_{arepsilon}^{1/2}k_{arepsilon}^{\prime}I & 0 & 0 \end{array}
ight),$$

thus, by (16) and (20), we get

2) Estimate of $\parallel \mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}^{-1}(\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon}^{*}\mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon}\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon})\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}^{-1} \parallel$: By the equality

$$\begin{pmatrix} & 0 & 0 & Y_1^* \\ & 0 & 0 & Y_2^* \\ & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} & k^2I & 3hI & -I \\ & 3hI & 2kI & 0 \\ & -kI & 0 & 3I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & Y_1 & Y_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 3 \begin{pmatrix} & Y_1^*Y_1 & Y_1^*Y_2 & 0 \\ & Y_2^*Y_1 & Y_2^*Y_2 & 0 \\ & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and by (39), we find

$$\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}^{-1}(\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon}^{*}\mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon}\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon})\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}^{-1} = \frac{3k_{\varepsilon}}{\triangle_{\varepsilon}} \begin{pmatrix} (\Im h_{A_{\varepsilon}})^{2}I & -ik_{\varepsilon}^{1/2}(k_{A_{\varepsilon}} - k_{\varepsilon})\Im h_{A_{\varepsilon}}I & 0\\ ik_{\varepsilon}^{1/2}(\overline{k_{A_{\varepsilon}} - k_{\varepsilon}})\Im h_{A_{\varepsilon}}I & k_{\varepsilon}|k_{A_{\varepsilon}} - k_{\varepsilon}|^{2}I & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence, by (16) and (20),

$$(41) \parallel \mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}^{-1}(\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon}^{*}\mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon}\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon})\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}^{-1} \parallel \leq \frac{k_{\varepsilon}}{\triangle_{\varepsilon}} C\left\{\varepsilon^{2\alpha} + k_{\varepsilon}^{1/2} |k_{A_{\varepsilon}} - k_{\varepsilon}|\varepsilon^{\alpha} + k_{\varepsilon} |k_{A_{\varepsilon}} - k_{\varepsilon}|^{2}\right\} \leq \frac{k_{\varepsilon}}{\triangle_{\varepsilon}} C_{2} \varepsilon^{2\alpha}.$$

To compute the products $\mathcal{X}^*\mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon}\mathcal{X}$ with $\mathcal{X}=\mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon},\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon},\mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon}$, we note that

$$\begin{pmatrix}
X_1^* & X_2^* & X_3^* \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
k_{\varepsilon}^2 I & 3h_{\varepsilon} I & -k_{\varepsilon} I \\
3h_{\varepsilon} I & 2k_{\varepsilon} I & 0 \\
-k_{\varepsilon} I & 0 & 3I
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
X_1 & 0 & 0 \\
X_2 & 0 & 0 \\
X_3 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix} = Z_{\varepsilon} \mathcal{J}$$

where

$$Z_{\varepsilon} = k_{\varepsilon}^{2} X_{1}^{*} X_{1} + 3h_{\varepsilon} (X_{1}^{*} X_{2} + X_{2}^{*} X_{1}) - k_{\varepsilon} (X_{1}^{*} X_{3} + X_{3}^{*} X_{1} - 2X_{2}^{*} X_{2}) + 3X_{3}^{*} X_{3}$$

and

$$\mathcal{J} = \left(\begin{array}{rrr} I & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right).$$

3) Estimate of $\|\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}^{-1}(\mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon}^*\mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon}\mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon})\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}^{-1}\|$: From (42) with $X_j = A_{\varepsilon}^{j-1}(A - A_{\varepsilon})$, j = 1, 2, 3, recalling (39), we see that

$$\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}^{-1}(\mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon}^{*}\mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon}\mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon})\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}^{-1} \ = \ \frac{k_{\varepsilon}}{\triangle_{\varepsilon}} \, F_{\varepsilon} \, \mathcal{J},$$

where

$$F_{\varepsilon} = (A - A_{\varepsilon})^* \left\{ k_{\varepsilon}^2 I + 3h_{\varepsilon} (A_{\varepsilon} + A_{\varepsilon}^*) - k_{\varepsilon} (A_{\varepsilon} - A_{\varepsilon}^*)^2 + 3A_{\varepsilon}^{*2} A_{\varepsilon}^2 \right\} (A - A_{\varepsilon}).$$

Hence, by using (7), we get

4) Estimate of $\|\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}^*\mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon}\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon})\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}^{-1}\|$: From (42) with $X_1 = 0$, $X_2 = A_{\varepsilon}'$ and $X_3 = (A_{\varepsilon}^2)'$, by (39) we see that

$$\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}^{*}\mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon}\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon})\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}^{-1} = \frac{k_{\varepsilon}}{\triangle_{\varepsilon}}G_{\varepsilon}\mathcal{J},$$

where $G_{\varepsilon} = 2k_{\varepsilon}A_{\varepsilon}^{\prime *}A_{\varepsilon}^{\prime} + 3(A_{\varepsilon}^{2})^{\prime *}(A_{\varepsilon}^{2})^{\prime}$. Hence we get, by using (7),

5) Estimate of $\| \mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}^{-1}(\mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon}^*\mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon}\mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon})\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}^{-1} \|$: From (42) with $X_1 = B$, $X_2 = A_{\varepsilon}B$, $X_3 = A_{\varepsilon}^2B$, and by using (39), we see that

$$\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}^{-1}(\mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon}^{*}\mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon}\mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon})\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}^{-1} \ = \ \frac{k_{\varepsilon}}{\triangle_{\varepsilon}}\,H_{\varepsilon}\,\mathcal{J},$$

where

$$H_{\varepsilon} = B^* \left\{ k_{\varepsilon}^2 + 3h_{\varepsilon} (A_{\varepsilon} + A_{\varepsilon}^*) - k_{\varepsilon} (A_{\varepsilon} - A_{\varepsilon}^*)^2 + 3A_{\varepsilon}^{*2} A_{\varepsilon}^2 \right\} B.$$

Hence

From (40), (41), (43), (44), (45) and (19), (21), recalling that $||B(t)|| \le C$, and choosing

$$\varepsilon = \begin{cases} |\xi|^{-1} & \text{if } r = 1, \\ |\xi|^{-1/(1+\alpha/2)} & \text{if } r = 2, \\ |\xi|^{-1/(1+\alpha/3)} & \text{if } r = 3, \end{cases}$$

we have the following estimate, for $|\xi| \geq 1$,

$$E'(t,\xi) \leq C_{6}E(t,\xi) \left[\varepsilon^{\alpha-1} \frac{k_{\varepsilon}^{3/2}}{\Delta_{\varepsilon}} + \varepsilon^{\alpha} \frac{k_{\varepsilon}^{1/2}}{\Delta_{\varepsilon}^{1/2}} |\xi| + \varepsilon^{\alpha-1} \frac{k_{\varepsilon}^{1/2}}{\Delta_{\varepsilon}^{1/2}} \right]$$

$$\leq \begin{cases} C_{7}E(t,\xi) \left[\varepsilon^{\alpha-1} k_{\varepsilon}^{3/2} + \varepsilon^{\alpha} k_{\varepsilon}^{1/2} |\xi| + \varepsilon^{\alpha-1} k_{\varepsilon}^{1/2} \right] & \text{if } r = 1 \\ C_{7}E(t,\xi) \left[\varepsilon^{-1} + \varepsilon^{\alpha/2} k_{\varepsilon}^{-1/4} |\xi| + \varepsilon^{\alpha/2-1} k_{\varepsilon}^{-1/4} \right] & \text{if } r = 2, 3 \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} CE(t,\xi) \left[\varepsilon^{\alpha} |\xi| + \varepsilon^{\alpha-1} \right] \leq 2 CE(t,\xi) |\xi|^{1-\alpha} & \text{if } r = 1, \\ CE(t,\xi) \left[\varepsilon^{\alpha/2} |\xi| + \varepsilon^{-1} \right] \leq 2 CE(t,\xi) |\xi|^{1/(1+\alpha/2)} & \text{if } r = 2, \end{cases}$$

$$CE(t,\xi) \left[\varepsilon^{\alpha/3} |\xi| + \varepsilon^{-1} \right] \leq 2 CE(t,\xi) |\xi|^{1/(1+\alpha/3)} & \text{if } r = 3, \end{cases}$$

which gives, by (36), the required a priori estimate (5) with σ equal respectively to $1/(1-\alpha)$, $1+\alpha/2$, or $1+\alpha/3$. This concludes the proof of Theorem 1 for m=3. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] M.D. Bronsthein, The Cauchy Problem for hyperbolic operators with characteristics of variable multiplicity, Trudy Mos. Mat. Obsc. 41 (1980) 83-99. English translation: Trans. Moscow Math. Soc. 1 (1982) 87-103.
- [2] M. Cicognani, On the strictly hyperbolic equations which are Hölder continuous with respect to time, Italian J. Pure Appl. Math. 4 (1998) 73-82.
- [3] F. Colombini, E. De Giorgi, S. Spagnolo, Sur les équations hyperboliques avec des coefficients qui ne dépendent que du temps, Ann. Scu. Norm. Sup. Pisa 6 (1979) 511-559.
- [4] F. Colombini, E. Jannelli, S. Spagnolo, Wellposedness in the Gevrey classes of the Cauchy problem for a non strictly hyperbolic equation with coefficients depending on time, Ann. Scu. Norm. Sup. Pisa 10 (1983) 291-312.

- [5] P. D'Ancona, S. Spagnolo, Quasi-symmetrization of hyperbolic systems and propagation of the analytic regularity, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. 1-B (1998) 169-185.
- [6] E. Jannelli, Regularly hyperbolic systems and Gevrey classes, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 140 (1985) 133-145.
- [7] E. Jannelli, On the symmetrization of the principal symbol of hyperbolic equation, Comm. Part. Diff. Equat. 14 (1989) 1617-1634.
- [8] E. Jannelli, Sharp quasi-symmetrizers for hyperbolic Sylvester matrices, Lecture held in the "Workshop on Hyperbolic Equations", Venice, April 2002.
- [9] K. Kajitani, Cauchy problem for non strictly hyperbolic systems in Gevrey classes, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 23 (1983) 599-616.
- [10] K. Kajitani, The Cauchy problem for nonlinear hyperbolic systems, Bull. Sci. Math. 110 (1986) 3-48.
- [11] T. Nishitani, Sur les équations hyperboliques à coefficients hölderiens en t et de classes de Gevrey en x, Bull. Sci. Math. 107 (1983), 113-138.
- [12] Y. Ohya, S. Tarama, Le problème de Cauchy à caractéristiques multiples dans la classe de Gevrey coefficients hölderiens en t, in: "Hyperbolic Equations and Related Topics", S. Mizohata (Ed.), Kinokuniya, Tokyo 1986, 273-306.
- [13] Y. Yuzawa, Local solutions of the Cauchy problem for nonlinear hyperbolic systems in Gevrey classes, Doctoral Thesis, University of Tsukuba, 2003.