

Association between markers of arterial stiffness and atrial fibrillation in the Circulatory Risk in Communities Study (CIRCS)

Renzhe Cui¹, Kazumasa Yamagishi², Isao Muraki^{1,3}, Mina Hayama-Terada³, Mitsumasa Umesawa⁴, Hironori Imano^{1,3}, Yuanying Li⁵, Ehab S Eshak^{1,6}, Tetsuya Ohira^{3,7}, Masahiko Kiyama³, Takeo Okada³, Akihiko Kitamura^{3,8}, Takeshi Tanigawa⁹, Hiroyasu Iso¹, and for CIRCS investigators

1. Public Health, Department of Social Medicine, Graduate School of Medicine, Osaka University, Osaka, Japan.
2. Department of Public Health Medicine, Graduate School of Comprehensive Human Sciences, and Institute of Community Medicine, University of Tsukuba, Ibaraki, Japan
3. Osaka Center for Cancer and Cardiovascular Diseases Prevention, Osaka, Japan
4. Department of Public Health, Dokkyo Medical University, School of Medicine, Tochigi, Japan
5. Department of Public Health, Fujita Health University School of Medicine, Aichi, Japan
6. Department of Public Health and Preventive Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Minia University, Egypt.
7. Radiation Medical Science Center for the Fukushima Health Management Survey, Fukushima Medical University, Fukushima, Japan
8. Tokyo Metropolitan Institute of Gerontology, Tokyo, Japan
9. Department of Public Health, Graduate School of Medicine, Juntendo University, Tokyo, Japan.

Number of tables: 2.

Number of figures: 0. **Supplemental figure:** 1.

Running title: arterial stiffness and atrial fibrillation.

Word counts: 3,853

Corresponding to:

Renzhe Cui, MD, PhD

Public Health, Department of Social Medicine,

Osaka University Graduate School of Medicine, Osaka, Japan

2-2 Yamadaoka, Suita-shi, Osaka, 565-0871 Japan.

Phone: +81-6-6879-3911 Fax : +81-6-6879-3919

E-mail: cui@pbhel.med.osaka-u.ac.jp

Highlights

- Limited evidence is available on the association between markers of arterial stiffness and atrial fibrillation amongst Asian populations.
- Augmentation index (AI), a marker of arterial stiffness, was measured by an automated tonometer the HEM-9000AI device.
- Atrial fibrillation was estimated by using resting electrocardiograph (ECG).
- AI values were positively associated with the prevalence of atrial fibrillation and total arrhythmia, independent of cardiovascular risk factors.

Background and aims: Limited evidence is available on the association between markers of arterial stiffness and the prevalence of atrial fibrillation among Asian populations. Therefore, we examined those associations amongst Japanese population.

Methods: We conducted a cross-sectional population-based study of 4,264 men and women aged 40-79 years. The augmentation index (AI), a marker of arterial stiffness, was calculated as the ratio of central pulse pressure/brachial pulse pressure, where the AI and central aortic pressure were measured by an automated tonometer the HEM-9000AI device (Omron Healthcare co., Kyoto, Japan). Atrial fibrillation was estimated by the Minnesota codes using resting electrocardiograph (ECG).

Results: The prevalence of atrial fibrillation and total arrhythmia were higher with larger AI values. These associations did not change after adjustment for known cardiovascular risk factors. The multivariable odd ratios (95% confidence intervals) in the highest versus lowest tertiles of AI were 3.4 (1.4-8.6, P for trend = 0.008) for atrial fibrillation and 1.8 (1.2-2.7, P for trend = 0.004) for total arrhythmia. There was no association of central or brachial pulse pressure levels with the prevalence of atrial fibrillation or total arrhythmia.

Conclusion: AI values, but not brachial or central pulse pressures, were positively associated with the prevalence of atrial fibrillation and total arrhythmia, independent of cardiovascular risk factors. (Words: 211)

Key words: Arterial stiffness, central pulse pressure; augmentation index; atrial fibrillation; epidemiology

1. Introduction

The speed of the left ventricular ejected pulse into the systemic arteries increases steadily in patients with aortic stiffness, and this makes the reflected pressure returns back early [1]. A meta-analysis of 11 prospective cohort studies has confirmed that markers of aortic stiffness such as central and brachial pulse pressures (PP) and augmentation index (AI) were associated with risk of cardiovascular and total mortalities and those associations were more evident for central PP than for brachial PP [2]. However, brachial PP was also associated with risk of new-onset atrial fibrillation [3]; which is a well-known risk factor for stroke and total death [4].

Few studies, however, have investigated the association between these markers of aortic stiffness and risk of atrial fibrillation [5-7]. AI values, but not brachial PP values, were positively associated with risk of atrial fibrillation in a Japanese case-control study; in which associations with central PP were not examined [5]. An Australian patients-based study showed that higher values of AI and central PP were more strongly associated with recurrence of atrial fibrillation than that brachial PP [6]. The association was stronger for AI than for central PP according to an American population-based cohort study [7]. On the light of the above limited evidence, we aimed to investigate the relationships of AI, central PP and brachial PP with risk of arrhythmia including atrial fibrillation and premature beats by using data from a large population-based cohort of Japanese population. Our hypothesis is that markers of arterial stiffness, especially AI, may be associated with risk of higher prevalence of atrial fibrillation and total arrhythmia.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Subjects

Since 1963, the CIRCS has started annual cardiovascular risk surveys in a Japanese community-based cohort [8]. A total of 5,705 persons (2,253 men and 3,452 women) aged 40-79 years who attended the annual cardiovascular risk surveys between January 2010 and November 2012 in three communities of CIRCS: Yao City of Osaka Prefecture; Ikawa town of Akita Prefecture and Kyowa town of Ibaraki Prefecture. Out of them, 4,264 participants (1,593 men and 2,671 women) undertook AI measurement and thus eligible for this study (**Supplemental Figure 1**). Participants were 549 men and 912 women in Yao (recruitment rate among the cardiovascular surveys participants =78% for men and 74% for women), 472 men and 763 women in Ikawa (recruitment rate =79% for men and 85% for women) and 572 men and 996 women in Kyowa (recruitment rate= 60% for men and 76% for women). The informed consent was obtained from all subjects. The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of Osaka University, and was explained in detail by physicians, epidemiologists and trained staff members, for each participant.

2.2. Measurement of AI and cardiovascular risk factors

Protocol-standardized measurements of radial AI and central aortic pressure [9,10] using the automated tonometer, HEM-9000AI (Omron, Healthcare co., Kyoto, Japan) by trained technicians were conducted for participants in a sitting position after 5 minutes of rest. The second systolic peaks of the radial artery systolic blood pressure (SBP2) were obtained by calibrating the radial waveforms with brachial systolic

pressure, and the SBP2 values were considered as the central aortic pressure levels [10]. The central PP was defined as the central aortic systolic pressure minus the brachial diastolic blood pressure, and the brachial PP was defined as the systolic minus the diastolic brachial blood pressures. The AI value was calculated as central PP/brachial PP \times 100 (%) [10], and AI measurement was normalized by the heart rate of 75 bpm for the analysis [11].

The details for cardiovascular risk factors and other covariates' measurements and procedures of quality-control for the current study have been reported earlier [9,12]. In brief, body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight (kg) divided by the square of height (m²). Seated right arm brachial systolic and diastolic blood pressures were measured by trained technicians via standard mercury sphygmomanometers after giving 5 minutes rest for the participants [13]. Two trained physician-epidemiologists used the Minnesota code to classify the resting electrocardiograph (ECG) that was obtained in supine position [14]. Minnesota codes 8-3-1 and 8-3-2 were defined as atrial fibrillation; codes 8-1-1 and 8-1-2 were defined as premature beats including atrial and ventricular premature beats; and the codes 8-1-1, 8-1-2, 8-3-1, 8-3-2, and 8-9-1 were defined as total arrhythmia. A fasting glucose level of ≥ 7.8 mmol/L, a non-fasting glucose level of ≥ 11.1 mmol/L or the use of medication for diabetes mellitus defined participants with diabetes mellitus. A systolic blood pressure ≥ 140 mmHg and diastolic blood pressure ≥ 90 mmHg or the use of medications to lower blood pressure defined hypertensive participants.

Serum was separated within 30 minutes from collecting non-fasting blood samples that were drawn from participants in sitting position and collected in plain

siliconised glass tube. An automatic analyser (Hitachi 7250, Hitachi Medical Corp., Ibaraki, Japan) provided readings for serum total and HDL-cholesterol and triglycerides levels using enzymatic method and serum glucose levels using hexokinase method at an international member of the US National Cholesterol Reference Method Laboratory Network (CRMLN); the Osaka Medical Centre for Health Science and Promotion [15].

Current smokers were participants who smoked ≥ 1 cigarette per day. Via an interview, the weekly alcohol intake in the traditional Japanese “go” units, that was then converted into daily grams ethanol intake (1 “go” unit = 23 g of ethanol) was assessed.

2.3. Statistical analysis

We analysed the association of brachial PP, central PP and AI values with the prevalence of atrial fibrillation, premature beats and total arrhythmia in all subjects, because those association did not vary by sex; P for interaction > 0.05 . Differences in age- and sex-adjusted and multivariable-adjusted odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for atrial fibrillation, premature beats and total arrhythmia were calculated by using logistic regression analysis across increasing tertiles of brachial PP levels (< 42 , 42-52, and > 53 mmHg); central PP levels (< 43 , 43-55, and > 56 mmHg) and AI values (< 79 , 79-87, and $> 88\%$) with the lowest tertile of each as reference.

The hypothesized confounders in the current study included age (years), communities, sex, BMI (kg/m^2), systolic blood pressure (mmHg), smoking status

(never, ex- and current), drinking status (never, ex- and current: <46 and excessive drinking of ≥ 46 g/day), heart rate (beats/minute), history of diabetes mellitus (yes or no), the use of antihypertensive medication (yes or no) and cholesterol-lowering medication (yes or no) and serum total and HDL-cholesterol and triglycerides levels (mmol/L). All p values for statistical tests were two-tailed, and values of $p < 0.05$ were regarded as indicative of statistical significance, using the SAS statistical package version 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, CA).

3. Results

Table 1 shows the age- and sex- adjusted population characteristics of selected cardiovascular risk factors according to tertiles of brachial and central PP and AI levels. When compared with participants in the lowest tertiles of brachial and central PP and AI levels, those in the highest tertiles were older, with higher levels of AI, central aortic and brachial systolic blood pressures and were more likely to be hypertensives. Mean values of alcohol consumption, triglyceride levels, prevalence of diabetes and medication use for hypertension were positively associated with brachial and central PP levels. Whereas, the prevalence of diabetes and use of cholesterol-lowering medications were lower across increasing AI levels. There were no statistical differences for total and HDL-cholesterol levels and smoking status among tertiles of brachial and central PP and AI levels.

The ORs (95% CI) of ECG-confirmed arrhythmias according to tertiles and 1-SD increment of central and brachial PP and AI levels were shown in Table 2. The 1-SD increment of AI was associated with 1.6, 1.3 and 1.3-fold increased odds for risks of atrial fibrillation, premature beats and total arrhythmia, respectively. Compared with participants in the lowest tertile of AI levels, age- and sex- adjusted prevalence of atrial fibrillation and total arrhythmia, but not of premature beats were higher for those in the highest tertile of AI levels. These associations did not change substantially after adjustment for known cardiovascular risk factors; the multivariable ORs (95% CI) were 3.4 (1.4-8.6, P for trend = 0.008) for atrial fibrillation, 1.4 (0.7-2.6, P for trend = 0.30) for premature beats and 1.8 (1.2-2.7, P for trend = 0.004) for total arrhythmia.

There were no association of brachial or central PP levels with prevalence of atrial fibrillation, premature beats or total arrhythmia.

4. Discussion

In the present community population-based study of 4,264 Japanese men and women aged 40-79 years, participants in the highest AI tertile displayed approximately two- to three-fold higher prevalence of atrial fibrillation and total arrhythmia than those in the lowest tertile. There were no associations of brachial or central PP with the prevalence of arrhythmia including atrial fibrillation.

Our finding of association between AI values and arrhythmia is consistent with the results from previous studies [5-7]. A 7-year follow-up study of 5,797 Americans aged ≥ 45 years has shown that hazard ratio (95%CI) of atrial fibrillation was 1.16 (1.02-1.32) per 1-SD (12.4%) increment of AI values [7]. A 3-year follow-up study of 68 atrial fibrillation patients, after initial catheter ablation procedure, indicated that patients in the highest quartile of AI values versus those in the lowest quartile had 1.6-fold increased recurrent rates of atrial fibrillation [6]. A case-reference study of 244 outpatients with atrial fibrillation showed that atrial fibrillation patients had higher mean of AI values than control subjects: mean \pm SD = 88.9 ± 1.0 versus 81.8 ± 1.0 , respectively, $p < 0.001$ [5].

Values of AI [6,7], central PP [6,7] and brachial PP [3] were associated with risk of new-onset atrial fibrillation. Increased levels of AI values and central and brachial PP levels are markers for increased left ventricular load and left ventricular hypertrophy [16], which can elevate the pressure in the left atrium causing left atrial dilatation and atrial fibrillation [17]. However, after atrial fibrillation occurs, central and brachial blood pressures and their PPs decline [18-20]; while AI, the ratio of central and brachial PPs, remains a significant covariate for atrial fibrillation. This

implies that high AI may be the better surrogate marker for atrial fibrillation as well as aortic stiffness. Moreover, AI values but not brachial systolic pressure levels were positively associated with higher prevalence of left ventricular mass index [21]. In Australian patients-based study showed that higher values of AI and central PP were associated with higher risk of atrial fibrillation [6]. A population-based cohort study followed 5,797 American men and women aged ≥ 45 years for 7.1 years indicated that AI values rather than central PP levels were associated with risk of atrial fibrillation. The multivariable HR per 1-SD increment of AI values and central PP levels in that study were 1.16 (1.02-1.32, $P=0.02$) and 1.11(0.98-1.25, $P=0.09$), respectively [7]. Elevated AI might be a marker of concentric left ventricular hypertrophy, which may explain the association with incident atrial fibrillation [7].

On the other hand, the correlation of central PP with the intima media thickness of carotid arteries was stronger than that of brachial PP among 3,520 Americans aged 18 to 88 years ($r=0.293$ vs. 0.249 , respectively, $p < 0.002$) [22]. A meta-analysis of 5,648 subjects with a mean of 45 months follow-up revealed that central PP was associated more strongly with mortality from cardiovascular disease and all causes than brachial PP: the relative risk (95%CI) was 1.32(1.22-1.42) versus 1.19(1.10-1.28), $p=0.057$ [2].

The strengths of the present study include the validated population-based assessment of cardiovascular risk factors [8,12] and measuring central aortic pressure and AI values via a non-invasive technique. We used the automated tonometer HEM-9000AI to assess central aortic pressure and AI values rather than the invasive standard direct cardiac catheterisation measurements; however, the correlation of

central aortic pressure levels was high between the two measurement systems ($r = 0.95$, $p < 0.001$) among 18 hypertension patients aged 47-78 years [23]. Second, the cross-sectional design of the current study disabled us from drawing a causal relationship. Third, the ECGs were examined only once; however, the internal quality control for data collection and ECG reading in CIRCS [8] made the misclassification for evaluated arrhythmias small.

In conclusion, high AI values were associated with increased prevalence of atrial fibrillation and total arrhythmia independent of cardiovascular risk factors among general population.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Financial support

This study was supported by Grants-in-Aid research C (No. 21590691 in 2009-2011) from the Ministry of Health, Welfare and Labour, Japan.

Author contributions

Renzhe Cui, Kazumasa Yamagishi, Isao Muraki, Mina Hayama-Terada, Mitsumasa Umesawa, Hironori Imano, Yuanying Li, Ehab S Eshak, Tetsuya Ohira, Masahiko Kiyama, Takeo Okada, Akihiko Kitamura, Takeshi Tanigawa, Hiroyasu Iso participated in the study design and data collection; Renzhe Cui, Hiroyasu Iso and Ehab S. Eshak analyzed the data; Renzhe Cui, Hiroyasu Iso and Ehab S. Eshak participated in interpretation of data and drafting of the manuscript; Renzhe Cui and Ehab S. Eshak provided statistical expertise. Kazumasa Yamagishi, Isao Muraki, Mina Hayama-Terada, Mitsumasa Umesawa, Hironori Imano, Yuanying Li, Ehab S Eshak, Tetsuya Ohira, Masahiko Kiyama, Takeo Okada, Akihiko Kitamura, Takeshi Tanigawa, Hiroyasu Iso participated in the study concept and design, acquisition of data and interpretation of data, and critical revision of the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Professor Emeritus Yoshio Komachi (University of Tsukuba), Professor Emeritus Hideki Ozawa (Oita Medical University), Former professor Minoru Iida (Kansai University of Welfare Sciences), Dr Yoshinori Ishikawa (Consultant of Osaka Center for Cancer and Cardiovascular Disease Prevention), Professor Yoshihiko Naito (Mukogawa Women's University), Dr Shinichi Sato (Chiba Prefectural Institute of Public Health), Professor Tomonori Okamura (Keio University) for their support in conducting long-term cohort studies, and Ph.D Wen Zhang, Dr Krisztina Gero, and Keyang Liu, Osaka University, for their excellent technical assistance and help with data collection.

Appendix

CIRCS collaborators

The CIRCS Investigators are: Isao Muraki, Mina Hayama-Terada, Shinichi Sato, Yuji Shimizu, Takeo Okada, and Masahiko Kiyama, Osaka Center for Cancer and Cardiovascular Disease Prevention; Akihiko Kitamura, Hironori Imano, Renzhe Cui and Hiroyasu Iso, Osaka University; Kazumasa Yamagishi and Tomoko Sankai, University of Tsukuba; Isao Koyama and Masakazu Nakamura, National Cerebral and Cardiovascular Center; Masanori Nagao and Mitsumasa Umesawa, Dokkyo Medical University School of Medicine; Tetsuya Ohira, Fukushima Medical University; Isao Saito, Ehime University, Ai Ikeda, Koutatsu Maruyama and Takeshi Tanigawa, Juntendo University.

References

- 1 Butlin M, Qasem A. Large Artery Stiffness Assessment Using SphygmoCor Technology. *Pulse* 2016;4:180-192.
- 2 Vlachopoulos C, Aznaouridis K, O'Rourke MF, Safar ME, Baou K, Stefanadis C. Prediction of cardiovascular events and all-cause mortality with central haemodynamics: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur Heart J* 2010;31:1865-71.
- 3 Mitchell GF¹, Vasani RS, Keyes MJ, Parise H, Wang TJ, Larson MG, D'Agostino RB Sr, Kannel WB, Levy D, Benjamin EJ. Pulse pressure and risk of new-onset atrial fibrillation. *JAMA* 2007;297:709-15.
- 4 Emdin CA, Wong CX, Hsiao AJ, Altman DG, Peters SA, Woodward M, Oduyayo AA. Atrial fibrillation as risk factor for cardiovascular disease and death in women compared with men: systematic review and meta-analysis of cohort studies. *BMJ* 2016;532:h7013.
- 5 Doi M, Miyoshi T, Hirohata S, Iwabuchi A, Tominaga Y, Kaji Y, Kamikawa S, Sakane K, Kitawaki T, Kusano KF, Kusachi S. Increased augmentation index of the radial pressure waveform in patients with paroxysmal atrial fibrillation. *Cardiology* 2009; 113: 138–145.
- 6 Lau DH, Middeldorp ME, Brooks AG, Ganesan AN, Roberts-Thomson KC, Stiles MK, Leong DP, Abed HS, Lim HS, Wong CX, Willoughby SR, Young GD, Kalman JM, Abhayaratna WP, Sanders P. Aortic stiffness in lone atrial fibrillation: a novel risk factor for arrhythmia recurrence. *PLoS One* 2013;8:1-9.

- 7 Shaikh AY, Wang N, Yin X, Larson MG, Vasan RS, Hamburg NM, Magnani JW, Ellinor PT, Lubitz SA, Mitchell GF, Benjamin EJ, McManus DD. Relations of arterial stiffness and brachial flow-mediated dilation with new-onset atrial fibrillation: the Framingham Heart Study. *Hypertension* 2016;68:590-6.
- 8 Imano H, Kitamura A, Sato S, Kiyama M, Ohira T, Yamagishi K, Noda H, Tanigawa T, Iso H, Shimamoto T. Trends for blood pressure and its contribution to stroke incidence in the middle-aged Japanese population: the circulatory risk in communities study (CIRCS). *Stroke* 2009;40:1571-7.
- 9 Kohara K¹, Tabara Y, Oshiumi A, Miyawaki Y, Kobayashi T, Miki T. Radial augmentation index: a useful and easily obtainable parameter for vascular aging. *Am J Hypertens* 2005;18:11S-14S.
- 10 Tabara Y, Saito I, Nishida W, et al. Relatively lower central aortic pressure in patients with impaired insulin sensitivity and resistance: the Toon Health Study. *J Hypertens* 2011;29:1948-54.
- 11 Wilkinson IB, MacCallum H, Flint L, Cockcroft JR, Newby DE, Webb DJ. The influence of heart rate on augmentation index and central arterial pressure in humans. *J Physiol* 2000;525 Pt 1:263-70.
- 12 Cui R, Li Y, Krisztina G, Yamagishi K, Umesawa M, Imano H, Ohira T, Kiyama M, Okada T, Kitamura A, Hitsumoto S, Tanigawa T, Iso H; CIRCS Investigators. An association between central aortic pressure and subclinical organ damage of the heart among a general Japanese cohort: Circulatory Risk in Communities Study (CIRCS). *Atherosclerosis* 2014;232:94-8.

- 13 Kirkendall WM, Feinleib M, Freis ED, Mark AL. Recommendations:
subcommittee of the AHA Postgraduate Education Committee. *Circulation*
1980;62:1146A–1155A.
- 14 De Bacquer D, De Backer G, Kornitzer M, Blackburn H. Prognostic value of ECG
findings for total, cardiovascular disease, and coronary heart disease death in men
and women. *Heart* 1998;80:570–7.
- 15 Nakamura M, Sato S, Shimamoto T. Improvement in Japanese clinical laboratory
measurements of total cholesterol and HDL-cholesterol by the US cholesterol
reference method laboratory network. *J Atheroscler Thromb* 2003;10:145-53.
- 16 Chirinos JA, Khan A, Bansal N, Dries DL, Feldman HI, Ford V, Anderson AH,
Kallem R, Lash JP, Ojo A, Schreiber M, Sheridan A, Strelsin J, Teal V, Roy J, Pan
Q, Go AS, Townsend RR. Arterial stiffness, central pressures, and incident
hospitalized heart failure in the chronic renal insufficiency cohort study. *Circ Heart
Fail* 2014;7:709-16.
- 17 Huang JL1, Tai CT, Chen JT, Ting CT, Chen YT, Chang MS, Chen SA. Effect of
atrial dilatation on electrophysiologic properties and inducibility of atrial
fibrillation. *Basic Res Cardiol* 2003;98:16-24.
- 18 Alboni P, Scarfò S, Fucà G, Paparella N, Yannacopulu P. Hemodynamics of
idiopathic paroxysmal atrial fibrillation. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*
1995;18:980-5.
- 19 Sykes D, Dewar R, Mohanaruban K, Donovan K, Nicklason F, Thomas DM,
Fisher D. Measuring blood pressure in the elderly: does atrial fibrillation increase
observer variability? *BMJ* 1990;300:162-3.

- 20 Pagonas N, Schmidt S, Eysel J, Compton F, Hoffmann C, Seibert F, Hilpert J, Tschöpe C, Zidek W, Westhoff TH. Impact of Atrial Fibrillation on the Accuracy of Oscillometric Blood Pressure Monitoring. *Hypertension* 2013;62:579-84.
- 21 Hashimoto J, Watabe D, Hatanaka R, Hanasawa T, Metoki H, Asayama K, Ohkubo T, Totsune K, Imai Y. Enhanced radial late systolic pressure augmentation in hypertensive patients with left ventricular hypertrophy. *Am J Hypertens* 2006;19:27-32.
- 22 Hashimoto J, Watabe D, Hatanaka R, Hanasawa T, Metoki H, Asayama K, Ohkubo T, Totsune K, Imai Y. Enhanced radial late systolic pressure augmentation in hypertensive patients with left ventricular hypertrophy. *Am J Hypertens* 2006;19:27-32.
- 23 Takazawa K, Kobayashi H, Shindo N, Tanaka N, Yamashina A. Relationship between radial and central arterial pulse wave and evaluation of central aortic pressure using the radial arterial pulse wave. *Hypertens Res* 2007;30:219–28.

Table 1 Age- and sex-adjusted population characteristics according to tertiles of brachial and central pulse pressure levels and augmentation index values

Tertile	Brachial pulse pressure, mmHg			Central pulse pressure, mmHg			Augmentation index, %		
	Low	Middle	High	Low	Middle	High	Low	Middle	High
Total number	1,388	1,511	1,365	1,404	1,443	1,417	1,467	1,311	1,486
Men, %	39	37	36	41	37*	34‡	38	38	36
Age, year	58.4	61.8‡	66.2‡	58.5	61.8‡	65.8‡	59.6	62.8‡	63.9‡
Brachial pulse pressure, mmHg	36.2	47.7‡	63.1‡	42.3	47.8‡	56.6‡	48.2	48.8	49.7‡
Systolic blood pressure, mmHg	111.6	123.6‡	137.9‡	118.3	123.1‡	131.5‡	121.4	124.5‡	127.0‡
Diastolic blood pressure, mmHg	75.4	75.9	74.8	76.0	75.3	74.9†	73.2	75.7‡	77.3‡
Central aortic pressure, mmHg	118.1	125.6‡	136.6‡	111.0	124.6‡	144.2‡	116.7	127.1‡	136.1‡
Augmentation index, %	83.0	83.2	84.5‡	78.6	83.1‡	88.8‡	72.9	83.4‡	94.1‡
Body mass index, kg/m ²	23.1	23.4†	23.6‡	23.2	23.4	23.6†	23.5	23.5	23.1†
Total cholesterol, mmol/L	5.48	5.52	5.48	5.46	5.48	5.54*	5.49	5.49	5.50
HDL-cholesterol, mmol/L	1.67	1.66	1.64	1.66	1.66	1.66	1.67	1.66	1.65
Triglycerides, mmol/L	1.18	1.22	1.32‡	1.21	1.22	1.29*	1.22	1.23	1.28
Alcohol intake, g/day	15.6	17.8	20.1†	16.2	17.8	19.7*	17.8	17.5	18.1
Current smoker, %	37	37	38	37	38	36	35	38	38
Hypertension, %	23	29‡	59‡	27	33†	51‡	33	36	41‡
Antihypertensive medication, %	10	14†	18‡	10	13*	18‡	14	14	13
Cholesterol-lowering medication, %	10	10	12	10	11	10	12	10	9†
Diabetes mellitus, %	8	11†	17‡	10	13*	13*	13	13	10*

*p<0.05, †p<0.01, ‡p<0.001 compared with the lowest tertile.

Table 2. Odds ratios (95% CIs) of arrhythmia according to tertiles of brachial and central pulse pressure levels and augmentation index values

Tertiles	Brachial pulse pressure, mmHg			P for trend	Central pulse pressure, mmHg			P for trend	Augmentation index, %			P for trend
	Low	Middle	High		Low	Middle	High		Low	Middle	High	
Total number	1,388	1,511	1,365		1,404	1,443	1,417		1,467	1,311	1,486	
Atrial fibrillation ¹ , n	13	12	12		15	10	12		8	10	19	
Age- and sex- adjusted OR	1.0	0.7 (0.3-1.6)	0.5 (0.2-1.2)	0.13	1.0	0.6 (0.3-1.4)	0.7 (0.3-1.7)	0.51	1.0	1.3 (0.5-3.4)	2.4 (1.0-5.8)	0.03
Multivariable adjusted OR	1.0	0.7 (0.3-1.7)	0.5 (0.2-1.2)	0.53	1.0	0.7 (0.3-1.8)	1.1 (0.5-2.9)	0.75	1.0	1.5 (0.6-4.2)	3.4 (1.4-8.6) [†]	0.008
1-SD increment OR**		1.0 (0.6-1.7)				0.9 (0.6-1.4)				1.6 (1.1-2.3) [†]		
Premature beats ² , n	18	28	24		27	20	23		18	26	26	
Age- and sex- adjusted OR	1.0	1.3 (0.7-2.4)	1.1 (0.6-2.4)	0.88	1.0	0.6 (0.4-1.2)	0.7 (0.4-1.2)	0.22	1.0	1.5 (0.8-2.8)	1.3 (0.7-2.4)	0.40
Multivariable adjusted OR	1.0	1.3 (0.7-2.3)	1.1 (0.6-2.1)	0.16	1.0	0.7 (0.4-1.3)	0.8 (0.4-1.5)	0.54	1.0	1.6 (0.8-2.9)	1.4 (0.7-2.6)	0.30
1-SD increment OR**		1.2 (0.8-1.7)				0.9 (0.6-1.1)				1.3 (1.0-1.7)*		
Total arrhythmia ³ , n	57	70	66		71	58	64		46	70	77	
Age- and sex- adjusted OR	1.0	1.0 (0.7-1.4)	0.9 (0.6-1.2)	0.39	1.0	0.7 (0.5-1.0)	0.7 (0.5-1.1)	0.14	1.0	1.6 (1.1-2.4)*	1.6 (1.1-2.3)*	0.02
Multivariable adjusted OR	1.0	1.0 (0.7-1.4)	0.8 (0.6-1.2)	0.66	1.0	0.8 (0.5-1.1)	0.9 (0.6-1.3)	0.53	1.0	1.7 (1.2-2.5) [†]	1.8 (1.2-2.7) [†]	0.004
1-SD increment OR**		0.8 (0.7-1.1)				0.9 (0.8-1.1)				1.3 (1.1-1.6) [‡]		

¹ Minnesota codes 8-3-1 and 8-3-2. ² Minnesota codes 8-1-1 and 8-1-2. ³ Minnesota codes 8-1-1, 8-1-2, 8-3-1, 8-3-2, and 8-9-1.

*p<0.05, [†]p<0.01, [‡]p<0.001, compared with the lowest tertile.

** Multivariable OR. 1-SD of brachial PP, central PP and augmentation index were respective 16.5, 12.9 and 11.0.

OR: odds ratio. Multivariable adjustment for age, sex, BMI, heart rate, systolic blood pressure, smoking and drinking status, serum total and HDL-cholesterol, triglycerides, diabetes mellitus, antihypertensive medication and cholesterol-lowering medication use and communities.

Supplemental Figure legend

Supplemental Figure 1.

Recruitment of study participants aged 40 to 79 years in annual cardiovascular risk survey from January, 2010 to November, 2012

Participant's flow chart

