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Abstract
Various kinds of organisms, including microalgae, accumulate neutral lipids in distinct intracellular compartments called lipid droplets. Generally, lipid droplets are generated from the endoplasmic reticulum and particular proteins localize on their surface. Some of these proteins function as structural proteins to prevent fusion between the lipid droplets, and the others could have an enzymatic role or might be involved in intracellular membrane trafficking. However, information about lipid droplet proteins in microalgae is scarce as compared with that in animals and land plants. We focused on the oil-producing, marine, pennate diatom *Phaeodactylum tricornutum* that forms lipid droplets during nitrogen deprivation and we investigated the proteins located on the lipid droplets. After 6 days of cultivation in a nitrate-deficient medium, the mature lipid droplets were isolated by sucrose density gradient centrifugation. Proteomic analyses revealed five proteins, with Stramenopile-type lipid droplet protein (StLDP) being the most abundant protein in the lipid droplet fraction. Though the primary sequence of StLDP did not have homology to any known lipid droplet proteins, StLDP had a central hydrophobic domain. This structural feature is also detected in oleosin of the land plant and lipid droplet surface protein (LDSP) of the *Nannochloropsis*. As a proline knot motif of oleosin, conservative proline residues existed in the hydrophobic domain. StLDP was upregulated during nitrate deprivation and fluctuations of StLDP expression levels corresponded with the size of the lipid droplets.
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Introduction

Microalgae have great potential as a sustainable feedstock for biofuel and oils because of their high biomass productivity per area or per time (Chisti 2007). Many microalgae have the ability to store triacylglycerol (TAG) in cell compartments called lipid droplets (Goold et al. 2015). The marine pennate diatom *Phaeodactylum tricornutum* also accumulates TAG in lipid droplets under nitrogen (N)-limited conditions (Yang et al. 2013). The biomass of this microalga is expected to be used as an oil resource, and mass cultivation trials have been performed for oil production (Acién Fernández et al. 2003, Fernández Sevilla et al. 2004). Moreover, molecular biological tools such as genetic modifications, real-time quantitative reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) (Siaut et al. 2007), and complete genome information of *P. tricornutum* (Bowler et al. 2008) are currently available. Thus, *P. tricornutum* is a suitable model organism in molecular and applied phycology.

Lipid droplets are intracellular compartments that pool lipophilic molecular species. Various kinds of organisms including animals, land plants, yeasts, algae, and bacteria can form lipid droplets in their cells (Murphy and Vance 1999, Murphy 2012). Common features of lipid droplets are as follows: they arise from the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) and are released into the cytosol, they are mainly filled with TAG, and they are surrounded by a phospholipid monolayer derived from the ER membrane and contain specific proteins on their surface (Ohsaki et al. 2014, Pol et al. 2014). These proteins play a role as structural proteins to stabilize the lipid droplet, as enzymes for lipid metabolism, and as intracellular membrane trafficking (Murphy and Vance 1999, Martin and Parton 2006, Murphy 2012). Proteomic analyses of the lipid droplets in various organisms have recently been reported (Yang et al. 2012). Although lipid droplets are ubiquitous organelles, their surface proteins are quite diverse; for example, the PAT family proteins on mammalian cytosolic lipid droplets include perilipin, adipophilin, TIP47, S3-12, and OXPAT (Wolins et al. 2006, Brasamile 2007). In spermatophyta (seed plants), the major proteins on lipid droplets are oleosin family proteins, and they include oleosin, caleosin, and steroleosin (Frandsen et al. 2001, Chapman et al. 2012). Although lipid droplets in mammalian adipocytes and plant seeds have similar roles, the sequences of these two protein families located on the lipid droplet are very low. On the other hand, information about algal lipid droplets and their surface proteins is scarce, and only a few cases have been reported for the model algae. For example, proteins that regulate lipid droplet size, i.e., major lipid droplet protein (MLDP), were identified in
Chlamydomonas reinhardtii (Moellering and Benning 2010, Nguyen et al. 2011) and Dunaliella salina (Davidi et al. 2012). Not only cytoplasmic but also plastidic β-carotene lipid body proteins were analyzed in Dunaliella bardawil (Davidi et al. 2014). In an astaxanthin-accumulating alga, Haematococcus pluvialis, the lipid droplet surface protein termed Haematococcus oil globule protein (HOGP) was revealed to be an ortholog of MLDP (Peled et al. 2011). In addition, putative caleosin was discovered to be the main protein in the lipid droplet fraction of Chlorella sp. (Lin et al. 2012), as well as caleosin-related Symbiodinium lipid droplet protein (SLDP) identified in the endosymbiotic dinoflagellates, Symbiodinium (Pasaribu et al. 2014). Moreover, alkenone body-associated proteins were analyzed in the haptophyte alga Tisochrysis lutea (Shi et al. 2015).

Lipid droplet proteins in the Stramenopiles have only been analyzed in two species, Nannochloropsis oceanica (Vieler et al. 2012) and Fistulifera sp. JPCC DA0580, named F. solaris (Nojima et al. 2013). Surface proteins of lipid droplets were identified in N. oceanica and were found to have a central hydrophobic domain (Vieler et al. 2012). Nojima et al. (2013) identified five candidates for lipid droplet proteins in the diatom F. solaris.

We focused on the oil-producing diatom P. tricornutum and investigated its lipid droplet proteins to understand the mechanism of lipid accumulation. In this study, we firstly isolated the lipid droplets by sucrose gradient centrifugation, and then we identified the proteins by performing SDS-PAGE followed by ESI-Q/TOF mass spectrometry of target peptide fragments. Obtained m/z data were processed using the P. tricornutum genome-based database search for identification. The sequence of the lipid droplet protein identified was then confirmed by GenBank database search and the presence of similar sequences of lipid droplet-associated proteins in a variety of organisms were suggested through a BLAST search.

Results

Isolation of lipid droplets and evaluation of contaminants

The isolated lipid droplet fraction was analyzed by microscopy, spectrophotometry, thin layer chromatography (TLC), and immunological methods (Figure 1). Using light microscopy, we did not detect any contaminant debris in the isolated lipid droplet fraction (Figure 1A, B). Lipids in the isolated lipid droplet fraction were first extracted with acetone and then with ethyl acetate. Figure 1C shows the UV-visible absorption spectra of acetone extracts from the isolated lipid droplets, chloroplasts, and
whole cells. The absorption peaks of the lipid droplet fraction were observed at 448 nm and 474 nm, which correspond to carotenoids. Although an absorption peak corresponding to chlorophyll $a$ was observed at 663 nm in the extracts from chloroplasts and whole cells, the peak of $A_{663}$ was well suppressed in the extract from the isolated lipid droplets. These results indicate that chloroplast contamination in the isolated fraction was minimal.

Lipids extracted from the isolated lipid droplets and the whole cells were analyzed by silica-gel TLC (Figure 1D). The TAG spot was observed in extracts from the isolated lipid droplets.

We performed a Western blot analysis using rabbit antiserum against the large subunit of Rubisco (As-RbcL) in order to confirm the purity of the lipid droplets (Figure 1E, F). The As-RbcL reacted with the protein extracted from the whole cells but did not react with the protein extracted from the isolated lipid droplets (Figure 1E, F).

**SDS-PAGE and protein identification**

We fractionated proteins that were extracted from whole cells and from isolated lipid droplets with SDS-PAGE (R1–R3) (Figure 2). The molecular mass of the major protein in the lipid droplets of *P. tricornutum* was 49 kDa (gel fraction 4).

Proteins identified in the isolated lipid droplet fraction are shown in Table 1. The protein Phatr48859 was identified in all three replicates in gel fraction 4 and it corresponded with the 49-kDa major band. Thus, Phatr48859 may be one of the abundant proteins on lipid droplets in *P. tricornutum*. As shown in Supplemental figure 1, the orthologs of Phatr48859 were conserved mainly in Stramenopiles; hence we named this protein “Stramenopile-type lipid droplet protein (StLDP)”. The results of BLAST search indicated that StLDP did not share any known functional domain with those registered in the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) database and did not have sequence homology to any known lipid droplet proteins.

Phatr48778, which includes an acyl-CoA binding site, was identified in all three replicates, suggesting that Phatr48778 plays an important role on the lipid droplets, whereby the acyl-CoA binding site relates to fatty acid metabolism. The other identified proteins, presented in Table 1, may be of minor importance because they were only detected in a single experiment. Phatr54019, which is similar to the heat shock protein 70 (Hsp70), may be a molecular chaperone for proteins located on the lipid droplets. Phatr45894 and Phatr49981 seemed to undergo redox reactions, but we will not speculate on
the substrates of these reactions in this work.

*Molecular characteristics of StLDP*

Figure 3 shows hydropathy plots of StLDP and representative proteins from lipid droplets; i.e., oleosin of *Arabidopsis thaliana* and LDSP of *Nannochloropsis* sp. Although StLDP and two other comparative proteins were composed of different numbers of amino acids, all these proteins had hydrophobic domains in the central region (222–275 aa of StLDP, 54–128 aa of oleosin, and 72–133 aa of LDSP), despite low similarities in their amino acid sequences.

As a result of a BLAST search on databases in NCBI and the Joint Genome Institute (JGI), we found homologs of StLDP conserved in four diatoms (*Fragilariopsis cylindrus, Pseudo-nitzschia multiseries, Thalassiosira pseudonana,* and *T. oceanica*) and two other heterokontophytes (a Eustigmatophyte; *Nannochloropsis gaditana;* and a brown alga, *Ectocarpus siliculosus*). Figure 4 shows a multiple alignment of amino acid sequences of StLDP and its orthologs. The hydrophobic domains are shown in the red dotted square (222–275 aa in *P. tricornutum* StLDP). This domain consists of 54 amino acid residues, which are mainly hydrophobic, except for proline. Four distinctive proline residues (red arrows) were recognized and we suggest that they make a characteristic motif (PX₉PX₁₀PX₃P) in the hydrophobic domain. In addition, there were two conserved domains at the N-terminal and C-terminal regions from the central hydrophobic domains (indicated by black and red two-headed arrows, respectively).

*Expression levels of StLDP and sizes of lipid droplets during N-deficient cultivation*

To examine whether StLDP expression is induced under N-deficient conditions, we determined the levels of StLDP transcripts by qRT-PCR along with the sizes of lipid droplets (Figure 5). We speculated that if the StLDP served as major protein, lipid droplet surface should be filled with it; therefore, the change of surface area of lipid droplet should be accompanied with the expression of the StLDP. The StLDP expression levels were upregulated during the N-deficient condition and the extent of its induction ranged from 2.8-folds (1 d after N-deprivation) to 7.1-folds (3 d after N-deprivation) than during N-sufficient conditions. The expression levels reached a peak at 3 d after N-deprivation and then decreased to a steady-state level that was approximately 3- to 4-folds higher than the control. Fluctuations in the expression levels corresponded with the size of the lipid droplets, as we expected.
Figure 5 presents the average sizes of the lipid droplets during N-deficiency. The average size of the lipid droplets increased up to 4 d after N-deprivation and reached plateau (Figure 5).

Discussion

*Evaluation of contaminants and lipid contents of the lipid droplet fraction*

We disrupted the cells as gently as possible to prevent unnecessary breakage of the lipid droplets and other organelles. If the disruption forces are too intense, the risk of contamination by other organelles increases, particularly because debris and vesicles from various organelles are generated. Moreover, cells of common diatoms possess distinct, hard siliceous frustules; thus, it is difficult to break their cells with mild disruption. In previous studies of the diatom *Fistulifera solaris*, Nojima et al. (2013) adopted bead beating as a disruption method, and they reported that debris of chloroplasts could not be excluded. We disrupted the diatom cells using a French press and then the lipid droplets were isolated using sucrose density gradient centrifugation, based on the method of Ding et al. (2013). Vieler et al. (2012) also used a French press, although at 20 kpsi, to disrupt *Nannochloropsis* cells in order to isolate lipid droplets, whereas we employed 1 kpsi. After fractionation using sucrose density gradient centrifugation, we washed the lipid droplets with a weak detergent to remove small amount of debris and to purify the lipid droplets.

Evaluation of purity is an important step in organelle isolation as it guarantees the quality of the fraction. We performed a Western blot analysis using As-RbcL because it is highly sensitive at detecting the target proteins. Nevertheless, contaminants from organelles other than chloroplast stroma may have been present in the isolated lipid droplet fractions. Better evaluation could be accomplished using antibodies against various other organelle markers. We did not detect any contamination by Rubisco, one of the most abundant proteins in cells.

Acetone extracts of the isolated lipid droplets contained certain carotenoids (Figure 1C). According to previous reports, purified lipid droplets from other microalgae also contained some carotenoids (Moellering and Benning 2010, Peled et al. 2011, Davidi et al. 2012, Vieler et al. 2012). Whether carotenoids are contaminants or native compounds in isolated lipid droplets has not been concluded. In agreement with previous reports of other microalgal lipid droplets (Moellering and Benning 2010, Davidi et al. 2012, Vieler et al. 2012), isolated lipid droplets in *P. tricornutum* mainly contained TAG (Figure 1D).
Characterization of the identified lipid droplet proteins

In this study, we identified five proteins from the isolated lipid droplets, and StLDP was the major protein. The orthologs of StLDP were conserved in the four other diatom genomes that have been determined and published: Phatr48778 with an acyl-CoA binding site, and three other proteins Phatr54019, Phatr45894, and Phatr49981. Previously five other proteins were identified as candidates for lipid droplet proteins from the diatom *F. solaris*. (Nojima et al. 2013). More recently, Maeda et al. (2014) identified the protein g4301 (similar to g12504) in *F. solaris* as a diatom-oleosome-associated protein 1 (DOAP1) and they discussed an ER-targeting signal in DOAP1. We searched for orthologous proteins among the proteins encoded by the genome of *P. tricornutum*. Except for protein g6705, orthologs of the other four proteins were also conserved in the genome of *P. tricornutum* (Table 2); however, none of them was found in our isolated lipid droplets.

Shi et al. (2015) reported that coccolith scale associated protein as a result of alkenone body proteomics and the protein was also upregulated under nitrogen deprivation in *P. tricornutum* in the report of Valenzuela et al. (2012). However the reported protein Phatr55010, was not detected in our experiment.

*Chlamydomonas* MLDP was more hydrophobic protein than *Arabidopsis* oleosin, mouse perilipin, and ADRP (Moellering and Benning 2010). *Phaeodactylum* StLDP showed higher hydrophobic score on GRAVY index at 0.26 than those of *Chlamydomonas* MLDP at 0.11. Ranking the GRAVY index score on some known lipid droplet proteins is as follows: *Nannochloropsis* LDSP (0.71, AFB75402), *Phaeodactylum* StLDP (0.26, XP_002183367), *Chlamydomonas* MLDP (0.11, XP_001697668), avocado LDAP-1 (-0.10, AGQ04593), *Haematococcus* HOGP (-0.13, ADN95182), *Arabidopsis* oleosin (-0.14, AAA87295), mouse ADRP (-0.28, AEB77763), mouse perilipin (-0.40, NP_783571), and *Auxenochlorella* caleosin (-0.59, AEB77763). Thus, especially the lipid droplet proteins in Stramenopiles, namely LDSP and StLDP seem to have higher hydrophobicity than those of the others.

Hydrophobic region and functional domain of StLDP

StLDP has a hydrophobic domain in the protein central region as well as in oleosin of the plant *A. thaliana* and in LDSP of *Nannochloropsis*. On the other hand, other microalgal lipid droplet proteins, such as MLDP in *Chlamydomonas* and *Dunaliella* and DOAP1 in the *F. solaris*, do not have a
hydrophobic domain. In addition, the typical motif \((PX_9PX_{10}PX_3P)\), which was conserved among Heterokontophyta, exists in this hydrophobic region (Figure 4). In the hydrophobic domain of oleosin, there is also a conserved proline knot motif \((PX_5SPX_3P\text{, where } X \text{ consists of hydrophobic amino acids})\) (Tzen et al. 1992). The hydrophobic domain in oleosin makes a hairpin-like loop structure, and the proline knot motif (Abell et al. 2004) is located at the tip of the hairpin loop. The folded-hydrophobic domain can be anchored into the hydrophobic core of the lipid droplet and this structure enables oleosin to localize on the surface of the lipid droplets. We predicted the presence of a transmembrane region of StLDP using TMHMM Server v. 2.0 (Krogh et al. 2001). There were two predicted transmembrane helices in StLDP of \(P. tricornutum\); both were 23 amino acid residues (223–245 aa and 252–274 aa). Both of these predicted helices were located in the central hydrophobic region in StLDP and there is a probability that these two helices make a hairpin structure. However, positions for all of the conservative proline residues did not exist between the helices (i.e., at the tip of the hypothetical hairpin loop), unlike the proline knot in oleosin; therefore, the conservative proline residues may have other roles.

When the gene for the oleosin1 protein was knocked out in \(Arabidopsis\), the sizes of the lipid droplets increased, and the efficiency of lipid hydrolysis decreased because of a reduced surface area per volume, and germination was also delayed (Siloto et al. 2006). Furthermore, when LDSP was expressed in the oleosin1 knocked-out mutant, the sizes of the lipid droplets recovered but the TAG degradation rate did not recover completely compared with those in the wild type (Vieler et al. 2012). These results indicate that oleosin not only has a structural function but also plays an important role in lipid metabolism. Concerning the enzymatic activities of oleosin, Parthibane et al. (2012) demonstrated that oleosin3 (OLE3) in peanut has both monoacylglycerol acyltransferase and phospholipase activities. OLE3 has \(GXSXG\) lipase and \(HX_4D\) motifs, and these motifs are important for the enzymatic activities in OLE3. Thus, that oleosin itself is related to the biosynthesis and degradation of plant lipids. In the case of StLDP, \(GXSXG\) or \(HX_4D\) motifs did not exist in the entire amino acid sequence. The N-terminal region from the central hydrophobic domain of StLDP was more variable than the C-terminal region when we compared StLDP orthologs in Heterokontophyta (Figure 4). A short sequence in the N-terminal region, which ranged from 164 to 197 amino acid residues in StLDP of \(P. tricornutum\), was conserved among the orthologs (black two-headed arrow). On the other hand, approximately 80 amino acid residues located at the C-terminal region, from the next hydrophobic
domain to amino acid 403 in the StLDP were conserved. Interestingly, we noticed that the sequence from 329 to 402 amino acid residues in the C-terminal region of StLDP (red two-headed arrow) was highly conserved among various microorganisms. The proteins that contained these 73 amino acids as a homologous domain were basically conserved in the Stramenopiles (Heterokonta), and they were also found in the Chlorophyta, Coccomyxa subellipsoidea C-169 (NCBI ID, XP_005651106), Micromonas sp. RCC-299 (XP_002500436), Micromonas pusilla CCMP1545 (XP_003062838), the Rhodophyta, Chondrus crispus (XP_005715278), the Cryptophyta, Guillardia theta CCMP2712 (XP_005838121), the Haptophyta, Emiliania huxleyi (XP_005760304), and in bacterium such as Flavobacterium species. It seems that this domain has some kind of function.

Relationship between the expression level of StLDP and the surface area of lipid droplets

The qRT-PCR results indicate that the expression level of StLDP reached a peak at 3 d after N-deprivation, which is very similar to the pattern of growth of the lipid droplets (Figure 5). This was, however, a relatively late response compared with HOGP or MLDP, the green algal lipid droplet proteins. Expression of HOGP reached a maximum level at after 12 h of cultivation in an N-deficient medium (Peled et al. 2011). In the case of C. reinhardtii, a maximum expression of MLDP was observed after 24 h of N-deprivation (Moellering and Benning 2010). After 3 d in our experiment, StLDP expression decreased and maintained a steady-state level. Observations with a microscope reveal that the average sizes of the lipid droplets were maximal at 4 d after N-deprivation and maintained thus until 6 d (Figure 5). These results provide indirect evidence that StLDP is a main surface protein on the lipid droplets because the change in expression level corresponded to the change in size of the lipid droplets. A difference in the expression pattern between StLDP and green algal lipid droplet proteins may be driven by a different regulatory mechanism.

Protein transition hypothesis during lipid accumulation

The results presented in Figure 5 indicate that there were at least two stages of formation of the lipid droplets. The first was the early stage, which ranged from inoculation (0 d) to 3 d or 4 d on transcription level or actual lipid droplet growth level, respectively, when the lipid droplets accumulated oils inside. The second was at the late stage after these periods, when the lipid droplets retained their oils. We speculate that the composition or the state of the surface proteins on the lipid droplets changed
between these two stages because the proteins that are required for lipid droplets in each stage were different.

A compositional change in surface proteins of lipid droplets was observed in a study of lipid droplets in mouse adipocytes (Wolins et al. 2003, 2005). According to these reports, TIP47 and S3-12 moved from the cytosol to the surface of nascent lipid droplets during initial fat accumulation. In contrast, perilipin and adipophilin constitutively exist on large or middle-sized lipid droplets and relate to sustaining fat storage and lipolysis.

In this report, we isolated lipid droplets from cells that were cultured for 6 d in N-deficient medium, in other words, we prepared lipid droplet samples at their late stage of formation. Accordingly, we speculate that StLDP has a function for maintenance, distribution, and degradation of the lipids as well as perilipin. In addition, Phatr48778 with an acyl-CoA binding protein, and Phatr54019, which is similar to Hsp70, may play a role to assist the distribution or degradation of TAG in lipid droplets.

Materials and methods

Strain and culture condition

*Phaeodactylum tricornutum* CCAP 1055/6 was used for all experiments. The cells were cultured in a modified Mann and Myers medium (Mann and Myers 1968) as the normal medium. The composition of the medium is described in Table S1. In the N-deficient culture, we used a N-free medium that did not contain sodium nitrate. The cells were washed with N-free medium three times before inoculation into N-free culture. Cultivation was conducted at 20°C under 200 μmol photons m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ from a continuous white fluorescent lamp. The culture was aerated with filtered air containing 1% (v/v) CO$_2$. For lipid droplet isolation, we used two 1 L Erlenmeyer flasks with 800 mL of medium for cultivation, and 1.6 L of culture broth was used for each experiment. The cells cultured in the normal medium were transferred into the N-free medium and were then cultured for 6 days to induce the formation of lipid droplets.

Lipid droplet isolation

The cells were harvested by centrifugation at 3000 × g for 5 min at room temperature and washed using Tris buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.6) with 2% (w/v) NaCl solution. The following procedures were performed on ice. The harvested cells were re-suspended with sucrose buffer (0.25 M sucrose and protease inhibitor cocktail (cOmplete, Roche Diagnostics) in the Tris buffer), then disrupted using a
French press at 1000 psi. After the disruption, the unbroken cells and other organelles were removed as a pellet by centrifugation at $50,000 \times g$ for 5 min at 4°C. The surface layer of the supernatant containing the lipid droplets was collected into new tubes. Then, 1 mL of 2.5 M sucrose solution was added to 4 mL of the collected supernatant to adjust the sucrose concentration to 0.7 M. We gently poured the Tris buffer on the 0.7 M sucrose solution to make a discontinuous sucrose gradient layer. The tubes were centrifuged again at $50,000 \times g$ for 20 min at 4°C. Lipid droplets on the surface of the solution were collected into ten 1.5 mL tubes and then centrifuged at $20,000 \times g$ for 10 min at 4°C to remove any remnant buffer at the bottom. The remaining lipid droplets in the ten tubes were gathered into one tube and then re-centrifuged and as much buffer solution was discarded as possible. After the concentration of lipid droplets, 1 mL of weak detergent buffer (0.2% (v/v) Triton X-100 in the Tris buffer) was added to the tube of lipid droplets and incubated on ice for 10 min to remove the debris. Then, the tube was centrifuged at $20,000 \times g$ for 10 min at 4°C and the weak detergent buffer was discarded. After the detergent treatment, the lipid droplets were washed twice with the Tris buffer.

**Sample preparation for lipid and protein analysis**

Cooled-acetone was added to the lipid droplet fraction and incubated at $-20°C$ overnight. The sample tube was centrifuged at $20,000 \times g$ for 10 min at 0°C. The lipid-containing acetone supernatant was collected for UV-visible spectrophotometry and lipid analysis. Furthermore, cooled-ethyl acetate was added to the sample to eliminate any residual oil component from the protein precipitate and incubated at $-20 °C$ for 2 h. The tube was centrifuged and the ethyl acetate supernatant was removed. The precipitated protein fraction was dissolved in 6 μL of lysis buffer (7 M urea, 2 M thiourea, 4% CHAPS, 3% Triton X-100, and 2% SDS). For the protein preparation from whole cells, lysis buffer was directly added to the harvested cells, incubated on ice for 30 min and centrifuged. The supernatant was used as the protein extract from whole cells.

**UV-visible spectrophotometry and silica-gel thin layer chromatography**

The crude lipids from whole cells were also extracted by acetone with sonicator treatment. These acetone extracts of lipid droplets and whole cells were analyzed using an UV-visible spectrophotometer (UV-1800, UV spectrophotometer, Shimadzu). The absorbance of the 350–750 nm wavelength was measured to evaluate the contamination level, especially of chloroplasts. After the
spectrophotometric analysis, the acetone and ethyl acetate extracts described above were evaporated under a N₂ stream and weighed gravimetrically. The lipid extracts were used for silica-gel TLC.

The lipid extracts were re-dissolved in the measured amounts of solvent to adjust the concentration and following volumes of them were taken to TLC plate with micro syringe. For each lipid extract, 25 μg of crude lipid from whole cells, 10 μg of lipid droplet fraction, and 10 μg of TAG standard (triolein) were spotted onto a silica-gel TLC plate (HPTLC Silica gel 60 F₂₅₄, Merck). Hexane:chloroform 1:1 (v/v) was used as a developing solvent. After development, 20% sulfuric acid was sprayed onto the plate and then the plate was heated to visualize the lipid spots.

**SDS-PAGE and Western blotting**

A 6-μL aliquot of the protein sample solution from the lipid droplets was mixed with 2 μL of 4× SDS sample buffer (0.25 M Tris-HCl (pH 6.8), 8% SDS, 20% sucrose, and 0.008% bromophenol blue), then 0.8 μL of 500 mM dithiothreitol was added to the mixture and incubated at room temperature for 1 h to denature the proteins. Half of the prepared solution (4.4 μL) was used for proteomic analysis and the other half was used for Western blotting against As-RbcL. A protein sample from the whole cell was also prepared in the same manner.

For the proteomic analysis, protein electrophoresis was performed in Novex 12% Tris-Glycine gel (Invitrogen). The gel was then fixed with a solution that consisted of 40% MeOH and 10% acetic acid for 15 min and stained using GelCode Blue Stain Reagent (Thermo Scientific) for 30 min.

For the Western blotting, protein electrophoresis was performed in c-PAGEL C-12.5L minigel (Atto Corporation) as described previously (Tsuji et al. 2012). Universal rbcL antibody (Agrisera) which diluted with blocking buffer at 1:20,000 was used as primary antibody.

**Peptide preparation for mass spectrometry**

The proteins separated by SDS-PAGE were sliced into approximately 1-mm³ pieces. The gel slices were destained and digested with sequence-grade modified trypsin (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) as described previously (Katayama et al. 2001) with minor modifications. After digestion, the peptides were extracted from the gel pieces with acetonitrile:5 % (v/v) formic acid aqueous solution 1:1 (v/v). The extracted solution was recovered into a vial.
**MS analysis and database search**

The digested peptides were separated by HPLC with a capillary pump (Agilent 1200 series) equipped with ZORBAX 300SB-C18 (0.3 mm × 150 mm, Agilent) column. For the elution, mobile phase A consisted of H₂O:acetonitrile 95:5 (v/v) containing 0.1% formic acid, and mobile phase B consisted of H₂O:acetonitrile 10:90 (v/v) containing 0.1% formic acid. The peptide samples were eluted at 5 µL min⁻¹ under the following gradient: ratio of mobile phase B started at 5% and increased to 50% for 60 min, then B ratio elevated quickly to 95% for 1 min and was maintained at 95% for 14 min. The eluted peptides were applied to an electrospray ionization quadrupole time-of-flight (ESI-Q/TOF) system (Agilent 6520 Accurate-Mass QTOF LC/MS). The MS scan range was set to m/z 105–3000 and multi-charged ions (+2, +3, and >+3) were preferentially subjected to MS/MS analysis. The obtained data were exported as Mascot generic files and then each corresponding protein was searched for in the genome database of *P. tricornutum* obtained from JGI (http://genome.jgipsf.org/Phatr2/Phatr2.home.html; *Phaeodactylum tricornutum* v2.0) using Mascot Server (version 2.2.06, Matrix Science). A BLASTp search for the identified proteins was performed at NCBI (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/) and JGI (http://genome.jgi.doe.gov/) websites. Prediction of the transmembrane helix was performed at TMHMM Server 2.0 (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/TMHMM/, Krogh et al. 2001).

**Real-time qRT-PCR**

After harvesting the cells, respective samples were immediately frozen with liquid N₂ and kept at −80°C until RNA extraction. A bead beater was used for cell disruption. TRIzol reagent and PureLink RNA Mini Kit (Invitrogen) were used for the RNA extraction. The qualities of total RNA extracts were checked by MOPS-agarose gel electrophoresis.

We performed qRT-PCR and then conducted the analysis using the comparative C₅ method (Livak and Schmittgen 2001). The actin12 gene was used as the housekeeping gene. We used the primer set that was previously designed for actin12 (Siaut et al. 2007). For the detection of mRNA of DLDP, we used the following primers: (F-) 5′-GCCTGGTTTCGTTTCGTTG-3′ and (R-) 5′-AAGACGGCGACAATCGGTA-3′. SuperscriptIII Platinum SYBR Green qRT-PCR Kit (Invitrogen) was used for the preparation of the reaction mixture. StepOnePlus (Applied Biosynthesis) was used for executing qRT-PCR.
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Legends to figures

Figure 1. Quality evaluation of the isolated lipid droplet fraction. (A, B) Microscopic image of a Nile-red stained lipid droplet. Scale bars indicate 20 µm. (A) Differential interference contrast image. (B) Fluorescence image. (C) Absorption spectra of acetone extracts obtained from nitrate (N) deprived whole cells (black line), chloroplast (green line), and lipid droplet (yellow line). (D) Silica-gel thin layer chromatography of lipid extracts obtained from whole cells (+N, nitrate sufficient; −N, nitrate deficient); lipid droplets from −N cells (LD); and triglycerol standard, triolein (std). P/S indicates peak of developing solvent. (E) Western blotting results against rbcL antibody. Lane 1–5: proteins from the whole cell. Lane 6–8: proteins from the lipid droplet fraction (replicate 1–3, respectively). Lane 9–11: proteins from the whole cell extract washed with acetone in the same manner as the lipid droplet fraction. M indicates the marker lane. (F) Magnified image of the white dotted area in E. R1–3 indicates samples from the three biological replicates.

Figure 2. SDS-PAGE gel image of proteins from the whole cell extract and the lipid droplet fraction. All lanes of the lipid droplet fraction (R1–3) were sliced into numbered gel pieces for proteomic analysis, as indicated at the right side.
Figure 3. Hydropathy plots of StLDP, oleosin, and LDSP. For this analysis we used an amino acid sequence of StLDP (*Phaeodactylum tricornutum*, NCBI accession no. XP_002183367), Oleosin (*Arabidopsis thaliana*, GenBank: AAA87295), and LDSP (*Nannochloropsis* sp. CCMP1779, GenBank: AFB75402).

Figure 4. Multiple sequence alignment of StLDP and homologs. The red dotted square indicates the hydrophobic domain conserved in the StLDP homologs. Small red arrows indicate the conservative proline residue in the hydrophobic region. The black two-headed arrow indicates a short conserved domain in the N-terminal side and the red two-headed arrow indicates a widely conserved domain in the C-terminal side. Fracyl167885 (*Fragilariopsis cylindrus*, JGI protein ID: 167885), Psemu21664 (*Pseudo-nitzschia multiseries*, JGI protein ID: 21664), Phatr48859 (*Phaeodactylum tricornutum*, JGI protein ID: 48859), Thaps23929 (*Thalassiosira pseudonana*, JGI protein ID: 23929), Thao30415 (*T. oceanica*, JGI protein ID: 30415), Naga100005g121 (*Nannochloropsis gaditana*, GenBank: EWM25464), and EctsiCBN75389 (*Ectocarpus siliculosus*, GenBank: CBN75389).

Figure 5. Changes in StLDP mRNA expression level and lipid droplet diameter during nitrate deprivation. Expression level was normalized using the housekeeping gene actin12 and the comparative C\(_t\) method; the error bars indicate S.D. (n = 3). Lipid droplet diameters were determined using the Nile-red stained cell image and the scale bars indicate S.E. values (n > 80).
## Tables

Table 1. List of the proteins identified from the lipid droplet fraction.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gel fraction</th>
<th>Protein ID</th>
<th>Protein name</th>
<th>Mascot score</th>
<th>Sequence coverage [%]</th>
<th>Functional domain (amino acid region)</th>
<th>Amino acid number</th>
<th>mol wt [kDa]</th>
<th>NCBI reference no</th>
<th>Similar protein in Thalassiosira pseudonana</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R1 R2 R3</td>
<td>Phatr48859</td>
<td>Lipid Droplet Protein, StLDP</td>
<td>4 1113 378 190 43 41 34</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>456</td>
<td>48.774</td>
<td>XP_002183367</td>
<td>protein</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R1 R2 R3</td>
<td>Phatr48778</td>
<td>Acyl-CoA binding protein</td>
<td>5 50 45 41 13 5 9</td>
<td>acyl-CoA binding region (14-96)</td>
<td>351</td>
<td>38.195</td>
<td>XP_002183443</td>
<td>binding protein, partial</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#</td>
<td>Length</td>
<td>Start</td>
<td>End</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Accession</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
<td>heat shock protein, Hsp70</td>
<td>XP_002177351</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HspA1-2,6-8 like</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>nucleotide-binding domain (7-382)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td>hypothetical protein</td>
<td>XP_002180271</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Thioredoxin-like</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>protein (171-260)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>37</td>
<td></td>
<td>hypothetical oxygenase</td>
<td>XP_002184813</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>superfamily (102-323),</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SAD/SRA domain</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table 2. List of the proteins identified in a previous report (Nojima et al. 2013) and its homologs in *P. tricornutum*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ProteinID</th>
<th>Putative function</th>
<th>mol wt [kDa]</th>
<th>Homolog in Phaeodactylum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>g4796</td>
<td>transmembrane protein</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>Phatr44488/50592</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g6705</td>
<td>ABC transporter, partial</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g6574</td>
<td>potassium channel</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>Phatr13578</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g4301</td>
<td>unknown, DOAP1</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>Phatr48876</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g5708</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>Phatr45146</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Supplementary Figure S1. Multiple sequence alignment of StLDP orthologs. The red dotted square indicates the hydrophobic domain in the StLDP homologs. Small red arrows indicate conservative proline residues in the hydrophobic region. The black two-headed arrow indicates a short conserved domain in the N-terminal side and the red two-headed arrow indicates a widely conserved domain in the C-terminal side.

Amino acid sequences used in this analysis are as follows; Phatr48859 (Phaeodactylum tricornutum, JGI ID: 48859), Fracyl167885 (Fragilaropsis cylindrus, JGI ID: 167885), Psemu21664 (Pseudo-nitzschia multiseries, JGI ID: 21664), Thaps23929 (Thalassiosira pseudonana, JGI ID: 23929), Thaoc30415 (Thalassiosira oceanica, JGI ID: 30415), Naga100005g121 (Nannochloropsis gaditana, GenBank: EWM25464), EctsiCBN75389 (Ectocarpus siliculosus, GenBank: CBN75389), Apke97617 (Aplanochytrium kerguelense, JGI ID: 97617), Aurl151081 (Aurantiochytrium limacinum, JGI ID: 151081), Schag94104 (Schizochytrium aggregatum, JGI ID: 94104), Physo532873 (Phytophthora sojae, JGI ID: 532873), Guith161621 (Guillar dia theta, JGI ID: 161621), and Emihu310878 (Emiliania huxleyi, JGI ID: 310878).
Supplementary Table S1. Composition of the modified Mann and Myers medium.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NaNO₃</td>
<td>50 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂HPO₄</td>
<td>5 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂SiO₃ 9H₂O</td>
<td>30 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin B₁₂</td>
<td>0.05 µg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biotin</td>
<td>0.05 µg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thiamine HCl</td>
<td>10 µg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaCl</td>
<td>1.5 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgSO₄ 7H₂O</td>
<td>360 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KCl</td>
<td>180 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaCl₂ 2H₂O</td>
<td>120 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂EDTA 2H₂O</td>
<td>30 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₃BO₃</td>
<td>6 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeSO₄ 7H₂O</td>
<td>2 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnCl₂ 4H₂O</td>
<td>1.4 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnSO₄ 7H₂O</td>
<td>33 µg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co(NO₃)₂ 6H₂O</td>
<td>7 µg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CuSO₄ 6H₂O</td>
<td>2 µg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tris (hydroxymethyl) aminomethane</td>
<td>100 mg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

pH was adjusted with HCl at 8.0

| Distilled water                        | 100 mL     |