

1 **Suppression of sound radiation to far field of near-field acoustic** 2 **communication system using evanescent sound field**

3 Ayaka Fujii¹, Naoto Wakatsuki^{2*}, and Koichi Mizutani²

4 ¹*Graduate School of Systems and Information Engineering, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Ibaraki*
5 *305-8573, Japan*

6 ²*Faculty of Engineering, Information and Systems, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8573,*
7 *Japan*

8

9 A method of suppressing sound radiation to the far field of a near-field acoustic communication system
10 using an evanescent sound field is proposed. The amplitude of the evanescent sound field generated from an
11 infinite vibrating plate attenuates exponentially with increasing a distance from the surface of the vibrating
12 plate. However, a discontinuity of the sound field exists at the edge of the finite vibrating plate in practice,
13 which broadens the wavenumber spectrum. A sound wave radiates over the evanescent sound field because
14 of broadening of the wavenumber spectrum. Therefore, we calculated the optimum distribution of the
15 particle velocity on the vibrating plate to reduce the broadening of the wavenumber spectrum. We focused
16 on a window function that is utilized in the field of signal analysis for reducing the broadening of the
17 frequency spectrum. The optimization calculation is necessary for the design of window function suitable for
18 suppressing sound radiation and securing a spatial area for data communication. In addition, a wide frequency
19 bandwidth is required to increase the data transmission speed. Therefore, we investigated a suitable method
20 for calculating the sound pressure level at the far field to confirm the variation of the distribution of sound
21 pressure level determined on the basis of the window shape and frequency. The distribution of the sound
22 pressure level at a finite distance was in good agreement with that obtained at an infinite far field under
23 the condition generating the evanescent sound field. Consequently, the window function was optimized by
24 the method used to calculate the distribution of the sound pressure level at an infinite far field using the
25 wavenumber spectrum on the vibrating plate. According to the result of comparing the distributions of the

1 sound pressure level in the cases with and without the window function, it was confirmed that the area
2 whose sound pressure level was reduced from the maximum level to -50 dB was extended. Additionally, we
3 designed a sound insulator so as to realize a similar distribution of the particle velocity to that obtained
4 using the optimized window function. Sound radiation was suppressed using a sound insulator put above
5 the vibrating surface in the simulation using the three-dimensional finite element method. On the basis of
6 this finding, it was suggested that near-field acoustic communication which suppressed sound radiation can
7 be realized by applying the optimized window function to the particle velocity field.

8 1. Introduction

9 The near-field wireless communication systems included in various devices such as mo-
10 bile phones can easily perform one-on-one communication.¹⁾ Such communication sys-
11 tems are also utilized for electronic payments and require a high security level because
12 data communication can be performed only when a device approaches sufficiently close
13 to an intended device.²⁾ Some methods using IC cards that are in widespread use have
14 a common standard and require corresponding hardware.³⁾ On the other hand, we have
15 studied an acoustic transducer for a near-field acoustic communication system using
16 an evanescent sound field generated near the surface of a vibrating plate, as shown in
17 Fig. 1.⁴⁾ Extra hardware for data communication is unnecessary because the near-field
18 acoustic communication system requires only a loudspeaker and a microphone, which
19 are already installed in mobile devices.

20 The proposed acoustic transducer consists simply of a single vibrating plate, which

*E-mail: wakatuki@iit.tsukuba.ac.jp

1 is made of acrylic with a thickness of 2 mm, and two actuators. Figure 2 shows the
2 frequency characteristics of the wavenumber k_p of a bending wave propagating on an
3 infinite acrylic plate with a thickness of 2 mm. The frequency of the bending wave
4 is expressed as f hereafter. The density of the plate is 1,225 kg/m³ and its Young's
5 modulus is 5.61 GPa. In addition, the dashed line shows the frequency characteristics
6 of the wavenumber k of a plane wave in air when the sound speed in air is 340 m/s.
7 An evanescent sound field is generated near the surface of the vibrating plate when f is
8 under 15 kHz because the wavenumber k_p of the bending wave on the vibrating plate is
9 larger than the wavenumber k of the plane wave in air.⁵⁾ The amplitude of the evanescent
10 sound field generated from an infinite vibrating plate attenuates exponentially with
11 increasing distance from the surface of the vibrating plate.⁶⁻⁸⁾ Therefore, it is possible
12 to perform data communication without leaking acoustic data. Additionally, the existing
13 acoustic communication system for mobile devices transmits data at a low speed because
14 the frequency band is limited to near the maximum auditory frequency of 18 kHz to
15 prevent the radiation of sound that may be unpleasant for people.^{9,10)} In contrast,
16 the proposed acoustic transducer can increase the data transmission speed using a
17 wide frequency band including auditory frequencies because the generated sound does
18 not reach the audible spatial area in theory. However, a discontinuity of the sound
19 field exists at the edge of the finite vibrating plate in practice. This broadens the
20 wavenumber spectrum, and the vibration plate fails to satisfy the condition of $k_p > k$.
21 In consequence, a sound wave radiates over the evanescent sound field, causing the
22 leakage of acoustic data and sound noise. Therefore, we need a method for reducing the
23 broadening of the wavenumber spectrum or a vibrating plate that always satisfies the

1 condition of $k_p > k$. Since the proposed acoustic transducer consists of a homogeneous
2 vibrating plate, k_p can be increased by reducing the thickness or by choosing a material
3 with a high density and low Young's modulus.¹¹⁾ However, the area in which data
4 communication can be performed becomes extremely narrow because the attenuation
5 of the sound pressure with increasing distance from the surface of the vibrating plate
6 becomes very large with increasing k_p . Accordingly, we must consider the use of near-
7 field acoustic communication.

8 In this study, we propose a method for suppressing sound radiation by reducing the
9 broadening of the wavenumber spectrum. First, the optimal distribution of the particle
10 velocity on the vibrating plate is calculated so as to make the sound pressure level
11 small at the boundary of the spatial area in which data communication is forbidden.
12 We focus on a window function that is utilized in the field of signal analysis to reduce
13 the broadening of the frequency spectrum. The window function is optimized by vary-
14 ing its length and its type of shoulder. To confirm the possibility of suppressing sound
15 radiation, we calculate the distribution of the sound pressure level when the optimized
16 window function is applied to the particle velocity field. Next, we design a sound in-
17 sulator so as to realize a similar distribution of the particle velocity to that obtained
18 using the optimized window function. We investigate the suppression of sound radia-
19 tion upon placing a sound insulator above the vibrating surface by simulation using the
20 three-dimensional finite element method (FEM).

2. Wavenumber spectrum of wave field on vibrating plate and window functions

Figure 3 shows sound generation from a bending wave propagating on a finite plate. An evanescent sound field is generated near the surface of the vibrating plate when the wavenumber of the bending wave on the vibrating plate k_p is larger than that of the plane wave in air k . On the other hand, when k_p is smaller than k , the sound is radiated similarly to a beam with a uniform level and a constant angle, as shown in Fig. 3(a). Figure 4 shows the wavenumber spectrum of a bending wave propagating on an infinite vibrating plate with the wavenumber k_p and that obtained by applying a finite rectangular window to the particle velocity field. The solid line and dashed line show the results for the infinite vibrating plate and finite rectangular window, respectively. In the case of the infinite vibrating plate, only the component with the wavenumber k_p exists in the particle velocity field, and no extra components of the wavenumber spectrum, called spurious signals, appear. Thus, the evanescent wave dominates the sound field. On the other hand, in the case of the finite rectangular window, the wavenumber spectrum has a main lobe with a finite bandwidth and the spurious signal is large. Additionally, a non-evanescent sound wave radiates since a large power exists at wavenumbers smaller than k , the wavenumber of a plane wave in air. Therefore, the window function is necessary for suppressing sound radiation and securing a spatial area for data communication.

Here, we discuss the window functions used in this study and their characteristics. We use a triangular window, a Hann window, a cosine window, and a Blackman window. Figures 5 and 6 respectively show the window functions and their wavenumber spectra. The solid line, dashed line, chain line, and two-dot chain line in Fig. 5 show the trian-

gular window, Hann window, cosine window, and Blackman window, respectively. It is confirmed that the triangular window and cosine window have a large side lobe level. However, the main lobe of the cosine window is the narrowest. The width of the main lobe of the Hann window is identical to that of the triangular window. Nevertheless, the spurious level of the Hann window is lower than that of the triangular window. On the other hand, the Blackman window has the smallest spurious level and the widest main lobe. Since these windows have different characteristics, optimization calculation is necessary to determine the window function most suitable for data communication. Figure 7 shows an example of a window function with a shoulder part consisting of a cosine roll-off. This window is called the cosine roll-off window. The window function is optimized by varying the ratio of the shoulder part to the flat part of the window, as shown in Fig. 7. To secure a large sound pressure level in the receivable area, the minimum value of the window inside the receivable area is unity.

In addition, it is predicted that the sound pressure level of a sound wave leaking to a far field changes with the ratio of k_p to k . We have to take into account the fact that the wavenumber k_p is defined as a function of the frequency in the case of the vibrating plate, as shown in Fig. 2. Therefore, we calculate the variation of the sound pressure level at the far field with respect to the frequency and window shape. Calculation methods for the sound pressure level at the far field from a vibrating plate are investigated in Sect. 3.1.

3. Sound pressure level with respect to frequency and window shape

3.1 Calculation of sound pressure level at far field of vibrating plate

In order to evaluate the sound wave leaking to the far field with respect to the frequency and window shape under the condition that an evanescent sound field is generated, we investigated calculation methods for the sound pressure level at a far field from a vibrating plate. First, we used a calculation method for the sound pressure level at a finite distance from the vibrating plate as a realistic method. Acoustic holography is a well-known method for calculating the sound field on a plane using another sound field on a parallel plane.^{12–15)} Acoustic holography can be utilized to evaluate the difference in sound pressure level between the surface of the vibrating plate and an adjacent parallel surface at a distance from the vibrating plate.^{16–20)} However, we should consider a sound wave radiating at an angle given by following equation when the wavenumber k_p of the bending wave is smaller than the wavenumber k of the plane wave in air.

$$\tan \theta = \sqrt{k^2/k_p^2 - 1} \quad (1)$$

The surface at the far field used for evaluating the sound radiation in a finite length is not on a parallel plane but on a spherical surface. Therefore, we used the Rayleigh integral to calculate the sound pressure at any observation point.^{21–23)} Figure 8 shows the position of the vibrating plate of the proposed acoustic transducer on the xy -plane and the calculation area of the sound pressure level at the far field. The length L of the vibrating plate was 200 mm. The calculation area, in which data communication is forbidden, was defined as the outside of a semicircle of 500 mm radius that was sufficiently far from the center of the vibrating plate. The boundary of the calculation area is called

1 the evaluation boundary. The calculation interval at the evaluation boundary was one-
 2 tenth of the maximum wavelength λ of the radiated sound. It was assumed that the
 3 proposed acoustic transducer, which was surrounded by an infinite baffle at $z = 0$,
 4 vibrated with a bending wave at the particle velocity $v(x, t)$. Additionally, the particle
 5 velocity distribution in the y -direction was assumed to be uniform within the width of
 6 the vibrating plate. When the sound pressure radiating from a point $\mathbf{r}_0 = (x_0, y_0, z_0)$
 7 on the vibrating plate is observed at a point $\mathbf{r} = (x, y, z)$, the instantaneous velocity
 8 potential $w(\mathbf{r}, t)$ at time t is given by:

$$w(\mathbf{r}, t) = \iint_S \frac{1}{2\pi |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_0|} v \left(t - \frac{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_0|}{c} \right) dx_0 dy_0, \quad (2)$$

$$v \left(t - \frac{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_0|}{c} \right) = u_p \exp(-jk_p x) \exp \left[j\omega \left(t - \frac{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_0|}{c} \right) \right], \quad (3)$$

9 where S , c , and u_p are the vibrating surface, the sound speed of the plane wave in air,
 10 and the amplitude of the bending vibration, respectively. The following equation was
 11 utilized to perform harmonic analysis when $\exp(j\omega t)$ is separated from Eq. (3) in this
 12 study:

$$w(\mathbf{r}) = \iint_S \frac{1}{2\pi |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_0|} u_p \exp(-jk_p x) \exp \left(-j\omega \frac{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_0|}{c} \right) dx_0 dy_0. \quad (4)$$

13 The calculation intervals in the x - and y -directions on the vibrating surface were one-
 14 hundredth of the maximum wavelength λ_p and one-tenth of λ_p for the bending wave.

15 We also investigated a calculation method for the sound pressure level at an infinite
 16 distance. The method employs the Frounhofer approximation to define the wavenumber
 17 spectrum of a vibrating surface as the sound pressure level at an infinite far field.²⁴⁾ The
 18 wavenumber spectrum was calculated by performing a Fourier transform with respect
 19 to the distribution of the sound pressure on the vibrating plate. The sound pressure

1 level at the angle of an infinite distance was calculated by converting the wavenumber
 2 k_p into the angle using Eq. (1). The region of the vibrating plate and the calculation
 3 area on the x -axis were $-100 \leq x \leq 100$ mm and $-1,000 \leq x \leq 1,000$ mm, respectively. The
 4 calculation interval was $\lambda_p/100$. For both methods, we compared the sound pressure
 5 level at the far field under the condition where an evanescent sound field is generated,
 6 i.e., $k_p > k$, and that under the condition radiating sound wave, i.e., $k_p < k$. Therefore,
 7 a bending wave propagating at frequencies of 6 and 30 kHz on the acrylic plate shown
 8 in Fig. 2 was assumed.

9 3.2 Results

10 We compared the distributions of the sound pressure level at a finite distance and
 11 an infinite distance from the vibrating plate. The z -axis was set to 0 rad. The sound
 12 pressure level was normalized using the maximum value in the range from $-\pi/2$ to $\pi/2$.
 13 Figures 9(a) and 9(b) show the angular characteristics of the sound pressure level at
 14 frequencies of 6 and 30 kHz, respectively. The solid line and dotted line show the sound
 15 pressure level at the evaluation boundary and that obtained at an infinite distance.

16 In the case of $f = 6$ kHz under the condition that an evanescent sound field is
 17 generated, the angular characteristics of the sound pressure level at the finite distance
 18 were in good agreement with those at the infinite distance, as shown in Fig. 9(a). In
 19 addition, the differences in sound pressure level with respect to the angle were small.
 20 On the other hand, in the case of $f = 30$ kHz under the condition that a sound wave is
 21 radiated, the sound pressure level at the infinite distance had a maximum value with a
 22 sharp peak at $\pi/4$. The difference in sound pressure level between the maximum value

1 of the main lobe and that of the adjacent peak was approximately 13 dB. The sound
2 pressure level at the evaluation boundary also had a maximum value at $\pi/4$; however,
3 the main lobe was wider than that for the infinite distance. It is inferred that the sound
4 wave is radiated similarly to a beam from the finite vibrating plate, and the beam width
5 was too long relative to the circumference of the semicircle of the evaluation boundary,
6 as shown in Fig. 3(a). Consequently, the sound pressure level at the evaluation boundary
7 was in disagreement with that obtained at the infinite distance.

8 3.3 Discussion

9 We aim to design a window function that reduces the maximum sound pressure level at a
10 far field to suppress the leakage of sound waves. Therefore, the error at the dip shown in
11 Fig. 8 is less important when the peak values for both methods are identical. According
12 to Fig. 9(a), differences in the level and the position at the peak between the cases of
13 a finite distance and an infinite distance are not observed under the condition that an
14 evanescent sound field is generated. Additionally, the method using the wavenumber
15 spectrum on the vibrating plate allows easier calculation than that using Eq. (4). Since
16 this study aims to suppress the radiation component of the evanescent sound field,
17 we evaluate the sound pressure level at the far field using the method in which the
18 wavenumber spectrum on the vibrating plate is calculated.

19 4. Optimization of window function

20 4.1 Frequency characteristics of sound pressure level with respect to window shape

21 The distribution of the particle velocity should be designed to suppress the sound
22 pressure level at the far field. Therefore, the sound pressure level at the far field from

the vibrating plate with respect to the frequency and window shape is calculated. Here, we set some limiting conditions for the window function that determine the distribution of the particle velocity on the vibrating surface. First, the entire length of the window function was identical to the length of the vibrating plate of the proposed acoustic transducer, i.e., $L = 200$ mm. The window function was symmetrical about the center of the vibrating plate. Next, the ratio of the shoulder part to the flat part of the window was changed by varying the shoulder length l from 0 to 100 mm, as shown in Fig. 7. In addition, it was inferred that the sound pressure level in the receivable area above the vibrating plate becomes small upon increasing the ratio of the shoulder part to the flat part. Therefore, the area that the data should reach was defined as the range $-50 \leq x \leq 50$ mm, and the minimum value of the window inside the area was set as 1. Additionally, the window functions whose shoulders consisted of the triangular window, Hann window, cosine window, and Blackman window are called triangular-type window, cosine roll-off window, cosine-type window, and Blackman-type window, respectively. The maximum sound pressure level within the range under the condition for which sound is radiated, $k_p < k$, was obtained by calculating the wavenumber spectrum on the vibrating plate when the window functions were applied to the particle velocity field. Finally, we must consider the fact that the proposed acoustic transducer was designed for data communication by mobile devices. Therefore, the frequency characteristics of the sound pressure level were calculated in the frequency band $1 \leq f \leq 15$ kHz, i.e., under the theoretical condition that an evanescent sound field is generated that can be received by the microphone in a mobile device. The frequency interval in the calculation was 0.1 kHz.

Figure 10 shows the sound pressure level at the far field from the vibrating plate with respect to the frequency for different window shapes. Figures 10(a)–10(d) show the results for the triangular-type window, cosine roll-off window, cosine-type window, and Blackman-type window, respectively. In addition, it is clear from Figs. 10(a) – 10(d) that the sound pressure level abruptly increased at approximately $f = 10$ kHz. The frequency band below 15 kHz theoretically satisfies the condition that an evanescent sound field is generated when the vibrating surface has an infinite length. However, it was inferred that the main lobe of the wavenumber spectrum increased in width owing to the length being finitely cut off in all windows. Therefore, part of the main lobe was already smaller than the wavenumber k of the plane wave in air at $f = 10$ kHz. The fact that the sound pressure level of the radiated sound is high in all windows indicates that the frequency band above $f = 10$ kHz is unsuitable for limiting the communication area to near the proposed acoustic transducer. In addition, the maximum sound pressure level at the far field changed with the frequency, the length of the shoulder, and the type of window. The window function in which the maximum sound pressure level is small has to be designed to suppress sound radiation. However, the proposed acoustic transducer aims to transmit acoustic data with a wide frequency bandwidth to allow high data transmission speeds. It is also necessary to prevent sound from radiating at a specific frequency so that sound noise as well as acoustic data do not leak. Therefore, we optimize the window function in the frequency band $1 \leq f \leq 10$ kHz so that the maximum sound pressure level at the far field is minimized.

4.2 Procedure of optimization of window function

The limiting conditions in the optimization of the window function follow those in the previous section, as shown in Fig. 7. Here, we describe the evaluation function use in the optimization. Similarly, the sound pressure level was calculated using the wavenumber spectrum on the vibrating surface by the same method as that in the previous section. The maximum sound pressure level of the radiated sound at the infinite far field from the vibrating plate was calculated. The window function in which the maximum sound pressure level at the far field has the smallest value in the frequency band $1 \leq f \leq 10$ kHz was obtained. Under these conditions, the optimization involves the following steps.

(1) The maximum sound pressure level L_{\max} at an infinite far field in the frequency band $1 \leq f \leq 10$ kHz for each shoulder length in the range of $0 \leq l \leq 100$ mm is calculated.

(2) The shoulder length of the optimal window that gives the minimum value of L_{\max} in step (1) is searched for.

Using the evaluation function, the optimized window that suppresses sound radiation at the far field when using the proposed acoustic transducer for data communication was determined.

4.3 Results

Figure 11 shows L_{\max} with respect to the shoulder length of the window in the frequency band $1 \leq f \leq 10$ kHz. The solid line, dashed line, chain line, and two-dot chain line show L_{\max} for the triangular-type window, cosine roll-off window, cosine-type window, and Blackman-type window, respectively. The shoulder lengths giving the minimum value

1 of L_{\max} for the triangular-type window, cosine roll-off window, cosine-type window, and
2 Blackman-type window were 80, 84, 100, and 74 mm, respectively, as shown in Fig. 11.
3 The corresponding values of L_{\max} were 12.90, 18.98, 19.35, and 21.51 dB, respectively.
4 Thus, the triangular-type window with $l = 80$ mm, for which the minimum value of
5 L_{\max} was obtained among the four types of windows, was determined as the optimized
6 window.

7 The distribution of the sound pressure level on the xz -plane ($y = 0$) was calculated
8 using the Rayleigh integral to confirm that the sound pressure level depends on the
9 presence or absence of the optimized window. The calculation area in the sound field
10 was $-500 \leq x \leq 500$ mm and $0 \leq z \leq 1,000$ mm. The calculation interval was 1 mm.
11 Figure 12 shows the distribution of the sound pressure level on the xz -plane ($y = 0$).
12 Figures 12(a) and 12(b) show the distributions of the sound pressure level without and
13 with the optimized window when $f = 6$ kHz, respectively. The maximum sound pressure
14 level in each sound field was normalized as 0 dB, and the level is shown down to -50
15 dB.

16 First, we focused on the area whose level was in the range from 0 to -10 dB. Ac-
17 cording to Figs. 12(a) and 12(b), this area was included within the area $-50 \leq x \leq 50$
18 mm. This suggests that a sufficiently high sound pressure level can be secured within
19 the area defined as the minimal spatial area for data communication. Next, we focused
20 on the sound pressure level at the far field. In the case without the optimized window,
21 the area where the sound pressure level decreased from -40 to -50 dB existed at $z =$
22 1,000 mm, as shown in Fig. 12(a). On the other hand, in the case with the optimized
23 window, the area where sound pressure level decreased from -40 to -50 dB existed within

1 $-150 \leq x \leq 500$ mm and $0 \leq z \leq 250$ mm, as shown in Fig. 12(b).

2 4.4 Discussion

3 It was predicted that the Blackman window is most effective for the suppression of sound
4 radiation in the case of a single frequency since the spurious wavenumber spectrum
5 is the smallest. However, an acoustic transducer using an evanescent sound field was
6 proposed for near-field acoustic communication with the acoustic data transmitted with
7 a frequency bandwidth. Therefore, we had to secure a large sound pressure level in the
8 receivable area as well as suppress the sound wave leakage. The results indicated that
9 a large sound pressure level can be secured within the area defined as the minimal
10 spatial area for data communication. Furthermore, the sound pressure level decreased
11 in a shorter distance than in the case without the optimized window. Therefore, it is
12 possible to design an optimized function using the evaluation function and to limit
13 the area that transmits acoustic data using the optimal window while securing a high
14 sound pressure level near the vibrating plate. According to these results, near-field
15 acoustic communication with suppressed sound radiation can be achieved by applying
16 the optimal window to the particle velocity field.

17 5. FEM simulation on effect of covering sound source with porous material to 18 suppress sound radiation

19 5.1 Simulation procedure using three-dimensional finite element method

20 To confirm the feasibility of distributing the particle velocity field in reality, we simulate
21 the particle velocity above the vibrating surface when a sound insulator made of a
22 porous material is placed in the evanescent sound field. Porous materials are often used

1 for sound absorption and insulation. The characteristic impedance Z and propagation
 2 constant γ of a porous material are expressed as the following functions of the flow
 3 resistivity R_f and frequency f in the Miki model:^{25,26)}

$$Z(f) = R(f) + jX(f), \quad (5)$$

$$\gamma(f) = \alpha(f) + j\beta(f), \quad (6)$$

$$R(f) = \rho c \left[1 + 0.070 \left(\frac{f}{R_f} \right)^{-0.632} \right], \quad (7)$$

$$X(f) = -0.107 \rho c \left(\frac{f}{R_f} \right)^{-0.632}, \quad (8)$$

$$\alpha(f) = 0.160 \frac{\omega}{c} \left(\frac{f}{R_f} \right)^{-0.618}, \quad (9)$$

$$\beta(f) = \frac{\omega}{c} \left[1 + 0.109 \left(\frac{f}{R_f} \right)^{-0.618} \right], \quad (10)$$

4 where c and ω are the sound velocity in air and the angular frequency, respectively.
 5 Here, the distribution of the particle velocity in the case that a porous material, as an
 6 example of a sound insulator, is placed above the vibrating surface was calculated by
 7 FEM simulation. The evanescent sound field was generated by interchanging the normal
 8 particle velocity of a bending wave traveling in the positive x -direction on the vibrating
 9 surface and that of the sound field. The pressure of the sound field was input into the
 10 vibrating surface. The bending wave was assumed to be propagating with a frequency
 11 of $f = 6$ kHz on the acrylic plate in accordance with Fig. 2. The shape of the sound
 12 insulator should be designed so that the distribution of the particle velocity above
 13 the sound insulator is extremely close to that obtained using the optimized window
 14 function. However, the attenuation characteristics in the porous material have not been
 15 analyzed in detail when the material is placed in the evanescent sound field. On the

1 other hand, it is well known that the amplitude decreases with increasing thickness of
2 the porous material in the case of a plane wave. Therefore, we investigate the possibility
3 of distributing the particle velocity above the vibrating surface using a sound insulator
4 with a continuous thickness distribution. The sound insulator had a wedge shape so
5 that the distribution of the particle velocity at the edge of the vibrating surface changes
6 smoothly. Figure 13 shows the shape of the sound insulator along with the sound field
7 and boundary conditions in the simulation. Since the sound field, vibrating surface,
8 and sound insulator were symmetric with respect to the xz -plane, only one side of the
9 symmetry plane was simulated so as to reduce the computational cost. Therefore, the
10 widths of the vibrating surface and sound insulator were 5 mm, i.e., half the width
11 of the proposed acoustic transducer. The length of the vibrating surface was identical
12 to the length L of the proposed acoustic transducer. The flow resistance R_f of the
13 sound insulator, assumed to be rock wool, was 1×10^6 Pa·s/m², and the characteristic
14 impedance and propagation constant of the porous material were calculated using Eqs.
15 (6)-(10). The maximum thickness of the sound insulator was 8.96 mm, which was one-
16 quarter of the wavelength of the bending wave when $f = 6$ kHz. In addition, the slope
17 length of the sound insulator was 80 mm. The boundary of the sound field was assumed
18 to satisfy the absorbing boundary condition. The vibrating surface was surrounded by
19 a rigid wall. The distribution of the particle velocity at a distance of 1 mm from the
20 sound insulator ($z = 9.96$ mm) was calculated.

5.2 Results

Figure 14 shows the distributions of the particle velocity at a distance of 1 mm from the sound insulator ($z = 9.96$ mm) when $f = 6$ kHz. Figures 14(a) and 14(b) show the distributions of the particle velocity obtained without and with the sound insulator, respectively. The particle velocity at the flat part was normalized as 1. The solid line and dashed line respectively show the ideal value obtained using the Rayleigh integral in the previous section and the simulation result obtained by FEM simulation. The chain line shown in Fig. 14(b) shows the result obtained by FEM simulation in the case of inputting the optimized function in the vibrating surface. The chain line cannot be seen because it is in exact agreement with the solid line obtained using the Rayleigh integral. In addition, in the result obtained without the sound insulator, the distribution of the particle velocity at $z = 9.96$ mm was in good agreement with the ideal value in that the particle velocity increased at the edge of the vibrating plate, as shown in Fig. 14(a). The results suggest that it is appropriate to calculate the distribution of the particle velocity by FEM simulation. From Figs. 14(a) and 14(b), it can be seen that the distribution of the particle velocity was asymmetric regardless of the presence of the sound insulator because the phase of the vibrating surface was also asymmetric. In the result obtained with the sound insulator, the right part of the slope was in good agreement with the ideal value, as shown in Fig. 14(b). However, only the left part of the slope increased rapidly despite the symmetry of the sound insulator. The increase in particle velocity was presumably due to the interference of the leaking wave from the edge of the vibrating surface and from the thin part of the sound insulator. According to these results, even if a symmetric sound insulator is placed in the evanescent wave

field, it cannot produce a symmetric distribution of the particle velocity field.

Furthermore, the sound radiation from the vibrating surface with the distribution of the particle velocity shown in Fig. 14(b) was obtained using the Rayleigh integral. Figure 15 shows the distributions of the sound pressure level on the xz -plane when $f = 6$ kHz. Figures 15(a) and 15(b) show the simulation results obtained with the optimized window and with the sound insulator, respectively. The maximum sound pressure level in each sound field was normalized as 0 dB, and the sound pressure level was shown down to -50 dB. It can be seen that the sound field obtained by the sound insulator shown in Fig. 15(a) was in good agreement with that shown in Fig. 12(b). On the other hand, the suppression effect of the sound insulator seems to be small in comparison with the optimized window function in this simulation as shown in Figs. 15(a) and 15(b). Nevertheless, the area where the sound pressure level decreased from -30 to -50 dB was limited in comparison with the result obtained without the sound insulator shown in Fig. 12(a).

5.3 Discussion

According to the results, it was clarified that the sound insulator can control the distribution of the particle velocity via its thickness distribution. In addition, it was suggested that a sound insulator made of a porous material can suppress sound radiation by placing it above the vibrating plate. However, it is presumed that the amplitude of the evanescent sound field does not decrease monotonically with increasing thickness of the porous material when the material is placed in the evanescent sound field. Furthermore, the sound insulation characteristics vary intricately with the physical properties of the

1 porous material, such as the density, the diameter of the fibers, and the percentage
2 volume of air pores. Therefore, the attenuation characteristics of the evanescent sound
3 field in the porous material should be analyzed in more detail. For this reason, it re-
4 mains a challenge to propose a theoretical method for calculating the shape of a sound
5 insulator that gives an identical distribution to the window function optimized by the
6 evaluation function. To further verify the applicability of the evaluation function for
7 calculating the optimized window function, we plan to propose a theoretical equation
8 for calculating the shape of the sound insulator using the optimized window function
9 while considering the physical properties and insulation characteristics of the sound
10 insulator as a future work.

11 6. Conclusions

12 In this study, a method of suppressing sound radiation to the far field of a near-field
13 acoustic communication system using an evanescent sound field was proposed. A sound
14 wave radiates over an evanescent sound field because of the broadening of the wavenum-
15 ber spectrum caused by the presence of a discontinuity in the particle velocity. There-
16 fore, in order to suppress sound radiation, we calculated an optimum window function
17 giving the particle velocity field near a vibrating plate. We investigated a suitable
18 method for calculating the sound pressure level at the far field, and the sound pressure
19 level at the far field with respect to the frequency was calculated for different window
20 shapes. A window function in which the maximum sound pressure level at the far field
21 has the smallest value in the frequency band $1 \leq f \leq 10$ kHz was obtained, and it was
22 defined as the optimized window function. It was confirmed that the optimized window

1 function can limit the area in which acoustic data is transmitted while securing high
2 sound pressure level near the vibrating plate. Additionally, it was found by simulation
3 using the three-dimensional FEM that sound radiation can be suppressed by placing a
4 sound insulator above the vibrating surface. As further study, we will theoretically in-
5 vestigate the method for calculating the shape of a sound insulator using the optimized
6 window function while considering the physical properties and insulation characteristics
7 of the sound insulator.

References

- 1) G. Madlmayr, J. Langer, C. Kantner, and J. Scharinger, IEEE 3rd Int. Conf. Availability, Reliability and Security, 2008, p. 642.
- 2) J. Ondrus and Y. Pigneur, IEEE 6th Int. Conf. Management of Mobile Business, 2007, p. 43.
- 3) J. Cho, K. Min, and S. Kim, IEICE Trans. Commun. E89-B, 598 (2006).
- 4) A. Fujii, N. Wakatsuki, and K. Mizutani, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. **53**, 07KB07 (2014).
- 5) A. Fujii, N. Wakatsuki, and K. Mizutani, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. **54**, 07HB08 (2015).
- 6) D. H. Trivett, L. D. Luker, S. Petrie, A. L. Van Buren, and J. E. Blue, J. Acoust. Soc. Am. **87**, 2535 (1990).
- 7) K. Nakamura and S. Hongo, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. **37**, 2853 (1998).
- 8) K. Yamada, D. Yamazaki, and K. Nakamura, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. **43**, 2996 (2004).
- 9) H. Matsuoka, Y. Nakashima, and T. Yoshimura, *Advances in Multimedia Modeling* (Springer, Heidelberg, 2008) p. 498.
- 10) N. Shinmen, T. Ebihara, and K. Mizutani, Proc. IEEE Global Conf. Consumer Electronics, 2012, p. 152.
- 11) A. Fujii, N. Wakatsuki, and K. Mizutani, Proc. 20th Int. Congr. Sound and Vibration, 2013, R25-344.
- 12) K. Koyama, T. Yamamoto, Y. Tamura, and Y. Wada, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. **27**, 218 (1988).
- 13) K. Saijyou, M. Egawa, and S. Yoshikawa, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. **38**, 3036 (1999).
- 14) M. Noguchi, K. Mizutani, K. Nagai, and Y. Yamashita, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. **38**,

- 1 3111 (1999).
- 2 15) M. Noguchi, K. Mizutani, K. Nagai, and W. Cao, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. **40**, 3562
- 3 (2001).
- 4 16) T. Ohbuchi, T. Ebihara, K. Mizutani, N. Wakatsuki, and H. Masuyama, Jpn. J.
- 5 Appl. Phys. **46**, 4629 (2007).
- 6 17) T. Ohbuchi, T. Ebihara, K. Mizutani, N. Wakatsuki, and H. Masuyama, Jpn. J.
- 7 Appl. Phys. **48**, 07GC03 (2009).
- 8 18) T. Ohbuchi, T. Ebihara, K. Mizutani, N. Wakatsuki, and H. Masuyama, Jpn. J.
- 9 Appl. Phys. **49**, 07HC06 (2010).
- 10 19) S. Harigane, R. Miyasaka, S. Yoshizawa, and S. Umemura, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys.
- 11 **52**, 07HF07 (2013).
- 12 20) R. Miyasaka, J. Yasuda, M. Syahid, S. Yoshizawa, and S. Umemura, Jpn. J. Appl.
- 13 Phys. **53**, 07KF24 (2014).
- 14 21) G. R. Harris, J. Acoust. Soc. Am. **70**, 10 (1981)
- 15 22) M. Yoshioka and T. Kikuchi, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. **51**, 07GB03 (2012).
- 16 23) X. Guo, Y. Mizuno, and K. Nakamura, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. **52**, 127301 (2013).
- 17 24) J. W. Goodman, *Introduction to Fourier Optics* (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1996)
- 18 p. 73.
- 19 25) M. E. Delany and E. N. Bazley, Appl. Acoust. **3**, 105 (1970).
- 20 26) Y. Miki, J. Acoust. Soc. Jpn. (E) **11**, 1 (1990).

1 **Fig. 1.** Near-field acoustic communication system using an evanescent sound field
2 generated near the surface of a vibrating plate.

3 **Fig. 2.** Frequency characteristics of the wavenumber k_p of a bending wave
4 propagating on an infinite acrylic plate with a thickness of 2 mm.

5 **Fig. 3.** Sound generation from a bending wave propagating on a finite plate. (a) A
6 sound wave is radiated similarly to a beam with a uniform level and a constant angle
7 when the wavenumber of the bending wave k_p is smaller than that of the plane wave in
8 the sound field k . (b) An evanescent sound field is generated when k_p is larger than k .

9 **Fig. 4.** Wavenumber spectrum of bending wave propagating on an infinite vibrating
10 plate with wavenumber k_p and that obtained by applying a finite rectangular window
11 to the particle velocity field.

12 **Fig. 5.** Window functions: triangular window, Hann window, cosine window, and
13 Blackman window.

14 **Fig. 6.** Wavenumber spectra of window functions: (a) triangular window, (b) Hann
15 window, (c) cosine window, and (d) Blackman window.

16 **Fig. 7.** Example of a window function with a shoulder part consisting of a cosine
17 roll-off.

18 **Fig. 8.** Position of the vibrating plate of the proposed acoustic transducer on the
19 xz -plane and the calculation area of the sound pressure level at the far field.

20 **Fig. 9.** Angular characteristics of sound pressure level at frequencies of (a) $f = 6$ kHz
21 and (b) $f = 30$ kHz.

22 **Fig. 10.** Sound pressure level at the far field from the vibrating plate with respect to
23 the frequency for the (a) triangular-type window, (b) cosine roll-off window, (c)

1 cosine-type window, and (d) Blackman-type window.


2 **Fig. 11.** Maximum value L_{\max} with respect to the edge length of the window in the
3 frequency band $1 \leq f \leq 10$ kHz.


4 **Fig. 12.** Distributions of sound pressure level on the xz -plane ($y = 0$) (a) without
5 optimized window and (b) with optimized window.

6 **Fig. 13.** Shape of the sound insulator along with the sound field and boundary
7 conditions in the simulation using the three-dimensional FEM.

8 **Fig. 14.** Distributions of the particle velocity at a distance of 1 mm from the sound
9 insulator ($z = 9.96$ mm) when $f = 6$ kHz (a) without sound insulator and (b) with
10 sound insulator.

11 **Fig. 15.** Distributions of sound pressure level on the xz -plane when $f = 6$ kHz (a)
12 with optimized window and (b) with sound insulator.

**Fig. 1.**

**Fig. 2.**




Fig. 3.




Fig. 4.




Fig. 5.




Fig. 6.




Fig. 7.




Fig. 8.




Fig. 9.




Fig. 10.




Fig. 11.




Fig. 12.




Fig. 13.




Fig. 14.




Fig. 15.