

**Cilostazol reduces restenosis after carotid artery stenting**

Tomoji Takigawa, M.D,<sup>a</sup> Yuji Matsumaru, M.D,<sup>a</sup> Mikito Hayakawa, M.D,<sup>a</sup> Shigeru Nemoto, M.D,<sup>b</sup> Akira  
Matsumura, M. D.<sup>c</sup>

Department of Endovascular Neurosurgery, Toranomon Hospital, Tokyo, Japan<sup>a</sup>; Department  
of Endovascular Surgery, Jichi Medical University, Tochigi, Japan<sup>b</sup>; and Department of  
Neurosurgery, Institute of Clinical Medicine, Graduate School  
of Comprehensive Human Sciences, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Ibaraki, Japan.<sup>c</sup>

**Address reprint requests to:**

Tomoji Takigawa, M.D.

Department of Neurosurgery, University of Tsukuba,

1-1-1 Tennodai, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8575, Japan

E-mail: t-tomojy@mx2.harmonix.ne.jp

TEL: +81-29-853-3220, FAX: +81-29-853-3214

**Background:** Although carotid artery stenting (CAS) has been proposed as an alternative to carotid endarterectomy in cerebral revascularization, restenosis remains an unsolved issue. Cilostazol is a unique antiplatelet drug that has vasodilatory effects and inhibits smooth muscle cell proliferation. We investigated whether cilostazol reduces restenosis after CAS.

**Methods:** A database of 113 consecutive CAS between April 2002 and December 2007 was assessed retrospectively. All patients received aspirin (100mg/day) and another antiplatelet drug such as cilostazol (200mg/day), ticlopidine (200mg/day), or clopidogrel (75mg/day) at least 3 days before CAS. Two antiplatelet drugs were continued for 2 to 3 months after CAS, and reduced to one thereafter. Patients were evaluated at 3 and 6 months and at 6-month intervals thereafter with duplex ultrasonography (US). Angiography was used to confirm when stenosis was suspected as greater than 50% with US.

**Results:** We were able to follow 97 patients over a 12 month period. The overall combined rate of stroke, myocardial infarction, and death was 3.1% at 30 days, and 4.1% at 1 year. 11 patients (11%) had in-stent recurrent stenosis. Restenosis occurred within 12 months of CAS in 10 patients (9.7%). In-stent restenosis was significantly reduced in the cilostazol (+) group (0% [0/27] vs. 15.7% [11/70],  $p = .03$ ). Patient characteristics were similar between the cilostazol (+) and cilostazol (-) groups.

**Conclusions:** Although this study was retrospective and nonrandomized, the results suggest that cilostazol administration improves long-term patency after CAS due to its inhibitory effect on smooth muscle cell growth.

**Running Title:** Cilostazol reduces restenosis after CAS

**Key words:** Carotid artery stenting, Cilostazol, In-stent restenosis.

Carotid artery stenting (CAS) is being used widely to treat severe carotid obstructive disease, and it is now accepted as a less invasive technique that provides an alternative for some patients, particularly those with significant comorbidities.<sup>1-5</sup> Although distal embolism decreased with use of the embolization protection device (EPD), up to 10% of patients develop > 50% stenosis as determined by angiography or carotid duplex ultrasound (US) scanning and this problem is not yet solved.<sup>3, 6-8</sup> In some patient subgroup, such as women and the elderly, it approaches 20%.<sup>7</sup>

These series of studies placed aspirin, ticlopidine or clopidogrel as the current standard of antiplatelet drugs. Cilostazol, a cyclic adenosine monophosphate phosphodiesterase inhibitor, has multiple actions including vasodilation and inhibition of platelet aggregation.<sup>9-11</sup> Cilostazol is widely used as an antiplatelet drug in Japan. Several small trials reported that cilostazol use after endovascular treatment with peripheral artery and coronary artery diseases has a low rate of in-stent restenosis.<sup>12-22</sup> However, it is not known whether a preventive effect of cilostazol on restenosis is similarly recognized after CAS. Accordingly, the present study was undertaken to determine whether cilostazol is effective in preventing restenosis after CAS compared to other antiplatelet drugs.

## METHODS

### **Study design and patient sample.**

A retrospective study was conducted of patients who had undergone CAS between April 2002 and December 2007. Carotid duplex US scannings were performed before the stenting procedure, and high grade carotid stenosis was documented in all patients. High-risk patients for CEA with symptomatic carotid stenosis of 50% or greater and asymptomatic carotid stenosis of 80% or greater were considered for the stenting protocol.

Procedural indications, clinical, laboratory, antiplatelet drugs, techniques, treatment outcomes, and postoperative course were analyzed.

Eligibility for CAS was further determined on the basis of criteria established at a consensus conference,<sup>23</sup> including recurrent stenosis after previous CEA; contralateral carotid occlusion; primary lesions in patients with significant medical comorbid conditions, such as coronary artery disease requiring angioplasty or bypass grafting that has not or cannot be revascularized, history of congestive heart failure, current ejection fraction 30% or less (stage III or IV of the New York Heart Association classification), steroid-dependent chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, or measured 1-second forced expiratory volume 30% or less; primary lesion anatomically inaccessible at surgery such as high carotid bifurcation (higher than the C2 level); and primary lesion with previous ipsilateral cervical radiation therapy.

Carotid artery stenting procedures (n=113) were performed in 106 patients over this period. We were able to follow 97 patients over 12 months. These included 83 men and 14 women with the mean age being  $69.9 \pm 7.2$  years. 61 patients (62.9%) had symptomatic stenosis; 36 patients (37.1%) had asymptomatic lesions. Indications for carotid artery stenting included recurrent stenosis after previous CEA (n=5, 5.1%), high-risk cardiac comorbidity (n=26, 26.8%), high-risk pulmonary comorbidity (n=1, 1.0%), high carotid bifurcation (n=30, 30.9%) and previous ipsilateral cervical radiation therapy (n=8, 8.2%). Clinical characteristics are presented in Table 1.

#### **Carotid artery stenting protocol.**

All patients were examined prior to each procedure to ascertain neurological function by independent neurologist; duplex US scanning was also performed in all cases. Patients treated electively received aspirin (100mg/d) and another antiplatelet agent such as clopidogrel (75mg/d), ticlopidine (200mg/d), or cilostazol (200mg/d) for at least 3

days before the intervention. Patients electively received aspirin, and thienopyridine (ticlopidine or clopidogrel). In Japan, because Clopidogrel was approved by the pharmaceutical affairs from December, 2006, patients received ticlopidine before then, and clopidogrel was added since December 2006. In cases of the patients with peripheral artery disease or coronary artery disease, cilostazol and/or thienopyridine was added before carotid artery stenting. This combination of antiplatelet drugs was continued from the formula of the cardiovascular medicine. Standard monitoring techniques were employed, including intra-arterial pressure monitoring, oximetry, and continuous electrocardiography. During the procedure, the patient's neurological status was continuously monitored via verbal command.

Standard retrograde access was achieved in the common femoral artery under local anesthesia with 1% lidocaine. An 8F vascular sheath was inserted. Heparin was administered to achieve an activated clotting time of over 300 seconds. An 8F guiding catheter was navigated into the common carotid artery. Carotid angiogram and intracranial injections were performed. A 0.018-inch guidewire system with EPD was then manipulated to cross the internal carotid lesion. For the patients with high-grade stenosis which was nearly occluded or with thrombosis, procedures were performed using the reversed-flow system. After the activation of the embolic system, a coaxial angioplasty balloon was used to predilate the carotid lesion if necessary. Next, a self-expanding carotid stent was deployed across the internal carotid stenosis. Post-dilatation was performed if necessary. On completion, ipsilateral cervical and intracranial carotid angiography was performed to assess technical success and to exclude distal cerebral embolization.

Patients were monitored in an intensive care unit overnight after the procedure, and

were discharged 3 or 4 days after the procedure. Postprocedure clinical examination and duplex US scanning were performed before discharge, to confirm stent patency and position. One antiplatelet drug was prescribed to be taken for life and another one to be terminated after 2 or 3 months. Aspirin was prescribed to be taken for life basically. In cases of the patients with peripheral artery disease or coronary artery disease, cilostazol and/or thienopyridine was added before carotid artery stenting. This combination of antiplatelet drugs was continued from the formula of the cardiovascular medicine. After CAS, this combination was continued for life.

#### **Follow-up protocol and criteria for restenosis assessment.**

All patients were followed at the hospital's outpatient clinic at 1, 3, 6, 9 and 12 months after the procedure and every 6 months thereafter. During these routine postoperative visits, the surgeon and independent neurologist examined each patient, and carotid duplex US scans were performed at 3 and 6 months and at 6-month intervals thereafter. The velocity criteria used to evaluate carotid artery stenosis were modifications of the Japanese Academy of Neurosonology Guidelines for Neurosonology and were validated in our hospital. Peak systolic velocity greater than 150 cm/s correlated with greater than 50% stenosis.<sup>24</sup> Additionally, luminal reductions on grayscale images and color flow disturbances were further evaluated. In-stent restenosis, identified by US scanning, was further verified by carotid angiography, and stenosis was measured geometrically on the basis of the North American Symptomatic Carotid Endarterectomy Trial (NASCET) criteria.<sup>25</sup> When more than 50% restenosis was recognized, carotid angioplasty and possible stenting were subsequently performed.

#### **Statistical analysis.**

Clinical variables that may be associated with restenosis after CAS were analyzed. Data

are shown as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD). The unpaired t-test was used to compare continuous variables between the groups. The chi-square test or Fisher's exact test was used to compare ratios. Statistical significance was defined as a  $p < 0.05$ .

## RESULTS

### **Thirty-day and one-year outcome of carotid artery stenting.**

The lesion was accessed and the procedure performed successfully in all cases, for a technical success rate of 100%. Mean stenosis treated was  $82.9 \pm 9.5\%$ , and post-treatment mean residual stenosis was  $5.2 \pm 0.5\%$ . Wallstents (Boston Scientific, Natick MA, USA) were deployed in 71 procedures (73.2%), Precise (Cordis, Miami FL, USA) in 8 procedures (8.2%), Protage (eV3, Plymouth, Minn, USA) in 2 procedures (2.1%), Xpert (Abbott Vascular, Redwood City, Calif, USA) in 1 procedure (1.0%), and SMARTeR stent (Cordis) in 15 procedures (15.5%). All stenting procedures were performed by using EPD, including the PercuSurge Guardwire device (Medtronic, Minneapolis MN, USA;  $n=82$ ; 84.5%), AngioGuard XP (Cordis;  $n=7$ ; 7.2%), and reversed-flow system ( $n=7$ ; 7.2%).

Overall 30-day stroke, myocardial infarction, and death rate was 3.1% ( $n=3$ ). Each of the three strokes was minor (two ipsilateral minor strokes and one contralateral stroke). Post operative duplex US scanning was performed within a week after CAS. There were no in-stent restenosis, carotid dissections, or thromboses in all patients. During one-year follow-up, myocardial infarction was noted in one patient. Over all one-year outcome for stroke, myocardial infarction, and death was 4.1%.

### **Long-term follow-up and in-stent restenosis.**

During follow-up of 12 to 67 months (mean,  $28.6 \pm 13.3$  months), 9 patients were lost in the follow-up. In-stent restenosis confirmed by duplex US scanning and

angiography was detected in 11 (11.3%) of 97 carotid arteries. Recurrent stenosis occurred within 5 to 16 months (mean,  $8.9\pm 3.0$  months). Mean restenosis was  $55.5\%\pm 7.2\%$ , and mean peak systolic velocity was  $200.8\pm 75.1$  cm/s. None of the patients showed symptoms of recurrent stenosis. There were ten men and one woman with mean an age of  $69.3\pm 16.2$  years. In comparing patient characteristics, clinical symptoms, CAS indications, CAS devices, and CAS technique between the patients with in-stent restenosis and without in-stent restenosis, no significant difference was noted (Table 2).

Two patients did not want re-treatment and were followed up with serial clinical evaluation and duplex US scanning at 3-month to 6-month intervals. Nine of eleven patients underwent endovascular repeat intervention; five of which were repeat balloon angioplasty with four being repeat angioplasty and secondary stenting. Technical successes were achieved in all patients, and the mean carotid artery stenosis decreased from 54.6% to 11% after reintervention. No procedural related complications were noted after in-stent restenosis intervention. All patients who underwent reintervention have remained recurrence-free during follow-up periods (20.6 month median).

#### **Post-CAS antiplatelet therapy and in-stent restenosis.**

Antiplatelet drugs that were continued for 1-year after CAS are presented in table 3. The combinations of antiplatelet agents were as follows (aspirin n=28, ticlopidine n=3, clopidogrel n=10, cilostazol n=1, aspirin + ticlopidine n=23, aspirin + clopidogrel n=6, aspirin + cilostazol n=19, cilostazol + clopidogrel n=3, aspirin + ticlopidine + cilostazol n=1, aspirin + clopidogrel + cilostazol n=3). No significant differences were noted in overall 30-day and 1-year stroke, myocardial infarction, and death among each drug group. Among the cilostazol (+)

group, there were significantly fewer incidences of restenosis compared to patients without cilostazol (0% vs. 15.7%,  $p = .03$ ). The restenosis rate was significantly higher in patients who took ticlopidine compared to patients without ticlopidine (25.9% vs. 5.7%,  $p = .01$ ). Patient, lesion characteristics, and CAS technique did not differ between the cilostazol (+) and cilostazol (-) groups. Use of additional drugs was similar between the groups, except that ticlopidine was used more frequently in the cilostazol (-) group than in the cilostazol (+) group.

## DISCUSSION

The incidence of postprocedural in-stent restenosis ranges from 1% to 50% in published reports.<sup>1, 3, 26-28</sup> The reported rate of in-stent restenosis depends on the definition of recurrent stenosis, duration of follow-up, and the methods of diagnosis. Although most of these reports were based on short follow-up periods, several authors more recently presented findings after periods of longer follow-up. Setacci et al<sup>29</sup> reported a 3.6% incidence of high-grade restenosis (> 80%) over a 21 month follow-up period with more than 372 carotid stents. Similarly, Chakhtoura et al<sup>26</sup> reported an 8% high-grade restenosis rate during their 18 month follow-up of 50 carotid stent procedures. Our study, likewise, demonstrated an 11.3% moderate-grade (> 50%) in-stent restenosis rate during a mean 28.6 month follow-up period.

Cilostazol, a phosphodiesterase 3 inhibitor has antiplatelet action and vasodilatory effects and inhibits smooth muscle cell proliferation.<sup>10, 11, 31, 32</sup> It has been reported that cilostazol increases the cyclic adenosine monophosphate phosphodiesterase level in vascular smooth muscle cells, resulting in upregulation of the antioncogenes p53 and p21 and hepatocyte growth factor.<sup>33</sup> Because the increase in p53 protein blocks cell cycle progression and induces apoptosis in vascular smooth

muscle cells, these mechanisms have an antiproliferative effect.<sup>34</sup> Furthermore, hepatocyte growth factor stimulates re-endothelization after vascular injury, inhibits abnormal vascular smooth muscle cell growth, and improves endothelial function.<sup>35</sup> Because one of the major causes of recurrent stenosis after CAS is neointimal hyperplasia, these actions may possibly explain the beneficial effect of cilostazol on reducing the in-stent restenosis rate. Cilostazol also inhibits P-selectin-mediated leukocyte activation, platelet-leukocyte interaction, and subsequent Mac-I-mediated leukocyte activation.<sup>36</sup> Because inhibition of these actions is thought to reduce neointimal thickening after vascular injury, this mechanism may be also be important in the reduction of restenosis after CAS.

Earlier studies have indicated that cilostazol improves symptoms and increases walking distance in patients with peripheral artery disease with intermittent claudication.<sup>12</sup> Recently, studies have shown that cilostazol reduces restenosis and target lesion revascularization after percutaneous transluminal angioplasty in patients with peripheral artery disease.<sup>13-15</sup> Consequently, cilostazol is a class 1 drug for patients with peripheral artery disease according to American Heart Association guidelines.<sup>37</sup> Furthermore, several studies have shown that cilostazol has the potential to reduce restenosis compared with aspirin after balloon angioplasty, stent implantation, and directional coronary atherectomy.<sup>16-20</sup> Douglas JS et al<sup>12</sup> reported that cilostazol was effective on restenosis after coronary artery stenting when compared to placebo-treated patients. Tanabe et al<sup>21</sup> reported on cilostazol's effect on restenosis after coronary angioplasty and stenting in comparison to coronary artery stenting with ticlopidine.

In the study presented here, cilostazol was as effective as other antiplatelet drugs in preventing periprocedural and 1-year complications after CAS, as evidenced by the lack of any significant differences in vascular events observed at the 30-day and 1-year follow-ups. Furthermore, cilostazol showed more effectiveness in reducing restenosis after stent implantation than the other antiplatelet drugs. The inhibitory effect of cilostazol on restenosis may not be due to its antiplatelet effects but is possibly due to its direct inhibition of smooth muscle cell growth.

This study was a nonrandomized, retrospective at a single center trial, and there were few numbers. A large-scale, prospective, multicenter study should be undertaken to verify these preliminary conclusion.

### **CONCLUSION**

Cilostazol may have the potential to reduce the rate of restenosis after CAS due to its inhibitory effect on smooth muscle cell growth.

**REFERENCES**

1. Wholey MH, Wholey M, Mathias K, Roubin GS, Diethrich EB, Henry M, et al. Global experience in cervical carotid artery stent placement. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv* 2000; 50:160-7.
2. Diethrich EB, Ndiaye M, Reid DB. Stenting in the carotid artery: initial experience in 110 patients. *J Endovasc Surg* 1996;3:42-62.
3. Yadav JS, Roubin GS, Iyer S, Vitek J, King P, Jordan WD, et al. Elective stenting of the extracranial carotid arteries. *Circulation* 1997; 95:376-381.
4. Ouriel K, Yadav J, Green RM. Standards of practice: carotid angioplasty and stenting. *J Vasc Surg*. 2004;39:916-7.
5. Cremonesi A, Manetti R, Setacci F, Setacci C, Castriota F. Protected carotid stenting: clinical advantages and complications of embolic protection devices in 442 consecutive patients. *Stroke* 2003;34:1936-41.
6. Roubin GS, New G, Iyer SS, Vitek JJ, Al-Mubarak N, Liu MW, et al. Immediate and late clinical outcomes of carotid artery stenting in patients with symptomatic and asymptomatic carotid artery stenosis: a 5-year prospective analysis. *Circulation* 2001;103:532-7.
7. Lal BK, Hobson RW 2nd, Goldstein J, Geohagan M, Chakhtoura E, Pappas PJ, et al. In-stent recurrent stenosis after carotid artery stenting: life table analysis and clinical relevance. *J Vasc Surg* 2003;38:1162-8;discussion 1169.
8. Khan MA, Liu MW, Chio FL, Roubin GS, Iyer SS, Vitek JJ. Predictors of restenosis after successful carotid artery stenting. *Am J Cardiol* 2003;92:895-7.
9. Kimura Y, Tani T, Kanbe T, Watanabe K. Effect of cilostazol on platelet aggregation and experimental thrombosis. *Arzneimittelforschung* 1985;35:1154-6.

10. Tanaka T, Ishikawa T, Hagiwara M, Onoda K, Itoh H, Hidaka H. Effects of cilostazol, a selective cAMP phosphodiesterase inhibitor on the contraction of vascular smooth muscle. *Pharmacology* 1988;36:313-20.
11. Ikeda Y, Kikuchi M, Murakami H, Satoh K, Murata M, Watanabe K, et al. Comparison of the inhibitory effects of cilostazol, acetylsalicylic acid and ticlopidine on platelet functions ex vivo. Randomized, double-blind cross-over study. *Arzneimittelforschung* 1987;37:563-6.
12. Dawson DL, Cutler BS, Meissner MH, Strandness DE Jr. Cilostazol has beneficial effects in treatment of intermittent claudication: results from a multicenter, randomized, prospective, double-blind trial. *Circulation* 1998;98:678-86.
13. Ishii H, Kumada Y, Toriyama T, Aoyama T, Takahashi H, Yamada S, et al. Cilostazol improves long-term patency after percutaneous transluminal angioplasty in hemodialysis patients with peripheral artery disease. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 2008;3:1034-40.
14. Iida O, Nanto S, Uematsu M, Morozumi T, Kotani J, Awata M, et al. Cilostazol reduces target lesion revascularization after percutaneous transluminal angioplasty in the femoropopliteal artery. *Circ J* 2005;69:1256-9.
15. Iida O, Nanto S, Uematsu M, Morozumi T, Kitakaze M, Nagata S. Cilostazol reduces restenosis after endovascular therapy in patients with femoropopliteal lesions. *J Vasc Surg* 2008 ;48:144-9.
16. Take S, Matsutani M, Ueda H, Hamaguchi H, Konishi H, Baba Y, et al. Effect of cilostazol in preventing restenosis after percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty. *Am J Cardiol* 1997;79:1097-9.
17. Tsuchikane E, Katoh O, Sumitsuji S, Fukuhara A, Funamoto M, Otsuji S, et al. Impact

- of cilostazol on intimal proliferation after directional coronary atherectomy. *Am Heart J* 1998;135:495-502.
18. Sekiya M, Funada J, Watanabe K, Miyagawa M, Akutsu H. Effects of probucol and cilostazol alone and in combination on frequency of poststenting restenosis. *Am J Cardiol* 1998;82:144-7.
  19. Yamasaki M, Hara K, Ikari Y, Kobayashi N, Kozuma K, Ohmoto Y, et al. Effects of cilostazol on late lumen loss after Palmaz-Schatz stent implantation. *Cathet Cardiovasc Diagn* 1998;44:387-91.
  20. Tsuchikane E, Fukuhara A, Kobayashi T, Kirino M, Yamasaki K, Kobayashi T, et al. Impact of cilostazol on restenosis after percutaneous coronary balloon angioplasty. *Circulation* 1999;100:21-26.
  21. Tanabe Y, Ito E, Nakagawa I, Suzuki K. Effect of cilostazol on restenosis after coronary angioplasty and stenting in comparison to conventional coronary artery stenting with ticlopidine. *Int J Cardiol* 2001;78:285-91.
  22. Douglas JS Jr, Holmes DR Jr, Kereiakes DJ, Grines CL, Block E, Ghazzal ZM, et al. Cilostazol for Restenosis Trial (CREST) Investigators. Coronary stent restenosis in patients treated with cilostazol. *Circulation* 2005; 112:2826-32.
  23. Veith FJ, Amor M, Ohki T, Beebe HG, Bell PR, Bolia A, et al. Current status of carotid bifurcation angioplasty and stenting based on a consensus of opinion leaders. *J Vasc Surg* 2001;33(2 Suppl):S111-6.
  24. Wang TJ, Nam BH, Wilson PW, Wolf PA, Levy D, Polak JF, et al. Association of C-reactive protein with carotid atherosclerosis in men and women: the Framingham Heart Study. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2002;22:1662-7.
  25. North American Symptomatic Carotid Endarterectomy Trial Collaborators. Beneficial

- effect of carotid endarterectomy in symptomatic patients with high-grade carotid stenosis. *N Engl J Med* 1991;325:445-53.
26. Chakhtoura EY, Hobson RW 2nd, Goldstein J, Simonian GT, Lal BK, Haser PB, et al. In-stent restenosis after carotid angioplasty-stenting: incidence and management. *J Vasc Surg* 2001;33:220-5; discussion 225-6.
27. Criado FJ, Lingelbach JM, Ledesma DF, Lucas PR. Carotid artery stenting in a vascular surgery practice. *J Vasc Surg* 2002;35:430-4.
28. Leger AR, Neale M, Harris JP. Poor durability of carotid angioplasty and stenting for treatment of recurrent artery stenosis after carotid endarterectomy: an institutional experience. *J Vasc Surg* 2001;33:1008-14.
29. Setacci C, de Donato G, Setacci F, Pieraccini M, Cappelli A, Trovato RA, et al. In-stent restenosis after carotid angioplasty and stenting: a challenge for the vascular surgeon. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg* 2005;29:601-7.
30. Kastrati A, Mehilli J, Dirschinger J, Pache J, Ulm K, Schühlen H, et al. Restenosis after coronary placement of various stent types. *Am J Cardiol* 2001;87:34-9.
31. Takahashi S, Oida K, Fujiwara R, Maeda H, Hayashi S, Takai H, et al. Effect of cilostazol, a cyclic AMP phosphodiesterase inhibitor, on the proliferation of rat aortic smooth muscle cells in culture. *J Cardiovasc Pharmacol* 1992;20:900-6.
32. Kamishirado H, Inoue T, Mizoguchi K, Uchida T, Nakata T, Sakuma M, et al. Randomized comparison of cilostazol versus ticlopidine hydrochloride for antiplatelet therapy after coronary stent implantation for prevention of late restenosis. *Am Heart J* 2002;144:303-8.
33. Hayashi S, Morishita R, Matsushita H, Nakagami H, Taniyama Y, Nakamura T, et al. Cyclic AMP inhibited proliferation of human aortic vascular smooth muscle cells, accompanied by induction of p53 and p21. *Hypertension* 2000;35:237-43.

34. Aoki M, Morishita R, Matsushita H, Hayashi S, Nakagami H, Yamamoto K, et al. Inhibition of the p53 tumor suppressor gene results in growth of human aortic vascular smooth muscle cells. Potential role of p53 in regulation of vascular smooth muscle cell growth. *Hypertension* 1999;34:192-200.
35. Aoki M, Morishita R, Hayashi S, Jo N, Matsumoto K, Nakamura T, et al. Inhibition of neointimal formation after balloon injury by cilostazol, accompanied by improvement of endothelial dysfunction and induction of hepatocyte growth factor in rat diabetes model. *Diabetologia* 2001;44:1034-42.
36. Inoue T, Uchida T, Sakuma M, Imoto Y, Ozeki Y, Ozaki Y, et al. Cilostazol inhibits leukocyte integrin Mac-1, leading to a potential reduction in restenosis after coronary stent implantation. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2004;44:1408-14.
37. Hirsch AT, Haskal ZJ, Hertzler NR, Bakal CW, Creager MA, Halperin JL, et al. ACC/AHA 2005 Practice Guidelines for the management of patients with peripheral arterial disease. *Circulation* 2006;113:e463-654.

**Table 1: Patient characteristics**

	Total (n=97)
Age (y) (mean $\pm$ SD)	69.6 $\pm$ 7.2
Male sex, n (%)	83 (85.6)
Type of lesion	
Asymptomatic carotid stenosis, n (%)	36 (37.1)
Symptomatic carotid stenosis, n (%)	61 (62.9)
Stroke, n (%)	38 (39.2)
Transient ischemic attack, n (%)	16 (16.5)
Amaurosis fugax, n (%)	7 (7.2)
Severity of stenosis (%) (mean $\pm$ SD)	82.9 $\pm$ 9.5
Comorbidities	
Coronary artery disease, n (%)	32 (33.0)
History of myocardial infarction, n (%)	18 (18.6)
Smoking, n (%)	70 (72.2)
Hypertension, n (%)	78 (80.4)
Diabetes, n (%)	29 (29.9)
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, n (%)	1 (1.0)
Hypercholesterolemia, n (%)	49 (50.5)
Renal insufficiency, n (%)	7 (7.2)
Peripheral artery disease, n (%)	8 (8.2)
CAS indications	
High-risk cardiac comorbidity, n (%)	26 (26.8)
High carotid bifurcation, n (%)	30 (30.9)
High-risk pulmonary comorbidity, n (%)	1 (1.0)
History of neck irradiation, n (%)	8 (8.2)
Post CEA stenosis, n (%)	5 (5.1)

CAS, carotid artery stenting; CEA, carotid endarterectomy.

**Table 2:** Comparison of patient characteristics, clinical symptoms, CAS indications, CAS devices, and CAS technique between the patients with in-stent restenosis and without in-stent restenosis.

	No ISR (n=86)	ISR (≥50%) (n=11)	P value
Age (y) (mean ± SD)	69.7±7.2	69.3±7.9	NS
Male sex (%)	84.8	90.1	NS
Type of lesion			
Asymptomatic carotid stenosis (%)	38.4	27.3	NS
Symptomatic carotid stenosis (%)	61.6	72.7	NS
Stroke (%)	38.4	45.5	NS
Transient ischemic attack (%)	16.2	18.1	NS
Amaurosis fugax (%)	7.0	9.1	NS
Severity of stenosis (%) (mean ± SD)	82.5±9.9	85.8±5.2	NS
Comorbidities			
Coronary artery disease (%)	32.5	36.4	NS
History of myocardial infarction (%)	18.6	18.2	NS
Smoking (%)	70.9	81.8	NS
Hypertension (%)	82.6	63.6	NS
Diabetes (%)	30.2	27.3	NS
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (%)	1.2	0	NS
Hypercholesterolemia (%)	51.2	45.5	NS
Renal insufficiency (%)	8.1	0	NS
Peripheral artery disease (%)	8.1	9.1	NS
CAS indications			
High-risk cardiac comorbidity (%)	26.7	27.3	NS
High carotid bifurcation (%)	30.2	36.4	NS
High-risk pulmonary comorbidity (%)	1.2	0	NS
History of neck irradiation	8.1	9.1	NS
Post CEA stenosis	5.8	0	NS
Stents			
Wallstent (%)	74.4	63.6	NS
Precise (%)	8.1	9.1	NS
SMARTeR (%)	14.0	27.2	NS
Xpert (%)	1.2	0	NS
Protage (%)	2.3	0	NS
EPD			
PercuSurge Guardwire device (%)	83.7	90.9	NS
AngioGuard (%)	7.0	9.1	NS
Navi balloon (%)	1.2	0	NS
Reversed-flow system (%)	8.1	0	NS
Pre-balloon dilatation (%)	97.7	100	NS
Post-balloon dilatation (%)	80.2	72.7	NS
Post-treatment residual stenosis (%) (mean ± SD)	4.8±5.2	8.9±8.0	NS

ISR, in-stent restenosis; CAS, carotid artery stenting; NS, not significant; CEA, carotid endarterectomy, EPD; embolization protection device

**Table 3.** Antiplatelet drugs used in 97 patients after CAS for a year.

	N	Overall 30-day stroke, myocardial infarction, and death (n=3)	Overall 1-year stroke, myocardial infarction, and death (n=4)	restenosis (n=11)
Aspirin (+)	80	2 (2.5%)	3 (3.8%)	8 (10.0%)
Aspirin (-)	17	1 (5.9%)	1 (5.9%)	3 (17.6%)
Cilostazol (+)	27	1 (3.7%)	1 (3.7%)	0 (0%) *
Cilostazol (-)	70	2 (2.9%)	3 (4.3%)	11 (15.7%)
Ticlopidine (+)	27	0 (0%)	1 (3.7%)	7 (25.9%) **
Ticlopidine (-)	70	3 (4.3%)	3 (4.3%)	4 (5.7%)
Clopidogrel (+)	22	2 (9.1%)	2 (9.1%)	3 (13.6%)
Clopidogrel (-)	75	1 (1.3%)	2 (2.7%)	8 (10.7%)

\*p= .03; \*\*p= .01